PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE

SPIDigitalLibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie

A method for nonlinear conjugate scales in a multi-position radar system with ambiguous range measurements

Volodymyr-Myron Miskiv, Ivan Prudyus, Piotr Kaniewski, Mateusz Pasternak

Volodymyr-Myron Miskiv, Ivan Prudyus, Piotr Kaniewski, Mateusz Pasternak, "A method for nonlinear conjugate scales in a multi-position radar system with ambiguous range measurements," Proc. SPIE 11442, Radioelectronic Systems Conference 2019, 114420C (11 February 2020); doi: 10.1117/12.2564088

SPIE.

Event: Radioelectronic Systems Conference 2019, 2019, Jachranka, Poland

A method for nonlinear conjugate scales in a multi-position radar system with ambiguous range measurements

Volodymyr-Myron Miskiv^{1*}, Ivan Prudyus^{1†}, Piotr Kaniewski^{2‡}, Mateusz Pasternak^{2§}

¹Lviv Polytechnic National University, 12 Bandery St., 79013 Lviv, Ukraine

²Military University of Technology, Faculty of Electronics, Institute of Radioelectronics,
2 Kaliski St., 00–908 Warsaw, Poland

ABSTRACT

In this work, a two-dimensional analytical model of a multi-position radar system with ambiguous range measurements is elaborated and tested. In the proposed analytical model, properties of ambiguous measurements of fractional parts of relative unambiguity intervals in each radar are determined. Theorems are formulated defining the conditions of the unambiguous mapping of a target's coordinates onto the aforementioned fractional parts, as well as a reverse unambiguous mapping of those fractional parts onto a two-dimensional vector of integers. The vector contains ranges from the target to pairs of radars composing the considered system. The theorems are based on a principle of mapping the measurements of fractional parts onto a multidimensional unit cube, and the interpretation of the total set of measurements as a multilayer structure of this cube. Moreover, each layer is a multidimensional hypersurface bounded by the cube faces, and the unambiguity conditions are reduced to the conditions that these layers do not intersect with each other. Based on the developed model and the formulated theorems, an algorithm is proposed for disclosing the ambiguities of the fractional parts mentioned, as well as for obtaining unambiguous estimates of the target coordinates. An example of a multi-position radar system and results of modeling chosen elements of the algorithm for disclosing ambiguities are also presented. The aims of further research are formulated, particularly regarding the synthesis of multi-position radar systems and the elaboration of an analytical model for systems for the localization of emission sources of periodic radio signals.

Keywords: multi-position radar system, measurement unambiguity.

1. INTRODUCTION

Trends in modern radioelectronic system (RES) development have resulted in increasingly complex and often contradictory requirements for these systems. Concerning radar systems, such a contradiction consists of the expectation of extended range and improved effectiveness while at the same moment ensuring noise immunity and stealth operation. Such expectations, as a rule, cause an inconsistency in the requirements with respect to the desired parameters of the emitted radio signals and achievable ranges of the RES. There are well-known approaches to partially solve the problems stated above. They consist of using radio signals with complex types of modulation [1-6], or combining single measurements from different radars [1], [7-8] in order to obtain a desired effect in a multi-position radar system (MPRS).

In this paper, an approach to solve the above contradiction is proposed. It involves using modular code binary sequences (CBSs) in radars with structures optimized by the criteria of secrecy and noise immunity [1], [4-6], but with ambiguous estimation of the radar-target distance. Additionally, the approach involves the joint processing of ambiguous measurements of each of the radars, and on this basis, getting unambiguous estimates of the target coordinates. The implementation of the proposed approach is based on the construction of a two-dimensional MPRS analytical model, using a method of nonlinear conjugation of ambiguous scales and an algorithm of ambiguity disclosure.

* mmiskiv@lp.edu.ua

† iprudys@polynet.lviv.ua

‡ piotr.kaniewski@wat.edu.pl

§ mateusz.pasternak@wat.edu.pl

2. PROBLEM FORMULATION

It is assumed that each radar operates in an active mode, emitting a continuous radio signal modulated by the optimal CBS. In general, modulators of the CBS are paired differently and are quasi-orthogonal for each pair of radars. Thus, all radars emit radio signals with quasi-orthogonal modulations, which ensures their effective identification when receiving and processing reflected radio targets. The duration of one cycle of a modulated radio signal (repetition period T_i of the modulating CBS in the radio signal of the i -th radar) should not exceed the value $R_{\min}/c$, where $R_{\min}$ represents the minimum distance to the target; c is the free-space electromagnetic wave velocity.

The interval (scaled in distance units) of a single distance measurement of the radar is determined by the ratio $d_i = T_i \cdot c$. The time of the radio signal passing from the radar to the target and back is $T_{\text{pass}} = 2R_i/c$, where R_i is the distance, and $R_i/d_i = T_{\text{pass}} \cdot c/(2d_i)$ is the relative distance from the i -th radar to the target. The receiver of the i -th radar estimates the fractional part of the relative distance R_i/d_i . The corresponding ratios can be written as follows:

$$\left[R_i/d_i \right]^+ + \{ R_i/d_i \}^+ = T_{\text{pass}}/2T_i, \quad (1)$$

where $\left[\right]^+$ is a floor function of R_i/d_i and $\{ \}^+$ means a fractional part function of R_i/d_i ;

$\left[R_i/d_i \right]^+ = K_i$ - unknown number of entire relative periods in the distance R_i/d_i ;

$\{ R_i/d_i \}^+ = \phi_i$ - fractional part of the relative period at the distance R_i/d_i for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

From (1) the following relations between the relative distance, period T_i and time interval Δt_i follow, which define the fractional part ϕ_i :

$$\left\{ T_{\text{pass}}/(2T_i) \right\}^+ = \{ \Delta t_i/T_i \}^+ = \phi_i, \quad \{ 2R_i/d_i \}^+ \cdot T_i = \{ 2\phi_i \}^+ \cdot T_i = \Delta t_i. \quad (2)$$

Thus, the time interval Δt_i , which can be estimated in the i -th radar using the reflected radio signal, is determined by relation (2). In order to further formulate the MPRS model, we will need to establish an analytic formula that expresses the value of ϕ_i by means of $\{ 2\phi_i \}^+ \cdot T_i$. From expression (2), we can write the following congruence:

$$\{ 2\phi_i \}^+ = \Delta t_i/T_i; \quad T_i > 0, \quad 0 \leq \Delta t_i < T_i, \quad 0 \leq \phi_i < 1. \quad (3)$$

Formula (3) has the following solution:

$$\phi_{i1} = \Delta t_i/(2T_i); \quad \phi_{i2} = \Delta t_i/(2T_i) + 0.5. \quad (4)$$

The plot of ϕ_i vs $\Delta t_i/T_i$ is shown in Fig. 1.

Figure 1. Dependence of ϕ_i value on $\Delta t_i/T_i$

Equation (4) and Fig. 1 imply that $\Delta t_i/T_i$ is mapped onto ϕ_i ambiguously.

The schematic representation shown in Fig. 2 is used to form an analytical two-dimensional model of the MPRS.

Figure 2. Two-dimensional representation of MPRS

From the above, it follows that a separate i -th radar can estimate a fractional part of ϕ_i but cannot determine the whole value K_i . Thus, the problem can be solved by developing a deterministic analytical model of the MPRS and determining the conditions for unambiguous mapping of coordinates x_0, y_0 onto multiple values ϕ_i . In addition, it is necessary to determine the conditions for the unambiguous map of values ϕ_i in K_i for two selected radars to elaborate an algorithm for the disclosure of an ambiguity. Note that the term “deterministic model” used here means that the values ϕ_i are not random.

To solve this problem, the approach developed in [9-11] concerning the methods of revealing ambiguity in the linear conjugation of scales was developed.

3. ANALYTICAL MODEL OF A MULTI-POSITION RADAR SYSTEM

From the above considerations, a deterministic analytical model of the radar can be represented in the form of a system of nonlinear congruences:

$$\left\{ \sqrt{(x_0 - x_i)^2 + (y_0 - y_i)^2} / d_i \right\}^+ = \phi_i, \quad (5)$$

where x_0, y_0 - target coordinates; x_i, y_i - coordinates of the i -th radar. It allows the two following statements to be formulated:

Statement 1: a necessary and sufficient condition for unambiguity mapping $(x_0, y_0) \rightarrow \phi_i$ in the system of nonlinear congruences (5) has the form of inequality: $\sqrt{(x_0 - x_i)^2 + (y_0 - y_i)^2} / d_i > 0$.

For two arbitrarily selected i -th and j -th radars, a system of equations can be obtained from (5) by elementary transformations:

$$\sqrt{(x_0 - x_i)^2 + (y_0 - y_i)^2} = K_i d_i + \Delta R_{0i}, \quad \sqrt{(x_0 - x_j)^2 + (y_0 - y_j)^2} = K_j d_j + \Delta R_{0j}, \quad (6)$$

where $\Delta R_{0i} = \phi_i d_i$, $\Delta R_{0j} = \phi_j d_j$.

In general, from (5) we can write n independent equations similar in form to (6). The values K_i and K_j are unknown integer parameters, x_0, y_0 are unknown coordinates of the target, and $\Delta R_{0i}, \Delta R_{0j}$ are known values. Therefore, it is necessary to express x_0, y_0 from (6) by $K_i \cdot d_i, K_j \cdot d_j$ and $\Delta R_{0i}, \Delta R_{0j}$. Next, substitute x_0, y_0 to the rest of $n-2$ equations that are formed from (5) and obtain $n-2$ equations that contain n unknown integer values K_m for $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}, m \neq i, j$. An essential feature of the obtained integer equations is that the integer parameters K_m enter linearly into equations with indices m . Next, for the resulting system of $n-2$ equations with n unknown integer variables K_m , conditions under which this system has a single solution are formulated.

Therefore, based on this consideration, when carrying out the equivalent transformations of the system (6), the following expressions for x_{0i}, y_{0i} can be obtained:

$$x_{0i} = 0.5 \cdot x_{ij} (A_i^2 - A_j^2 - R_{ji}^2) / R_{ji}^2 \pm 0.5 \cdot y_{ij} \sqrt{2(A_i^2 A_j^2 + A_i^2 R_{ji}^2 + A_j^2 R_{ji}^2) - A_i^4 - A_j^4 - R_{ji}^4} / R_{ji}^2 \quad (7)$$

$$y_{0i} = 0.5 \cdot y_{ij} (A_i^2 - A_j^2 - R_{ji}^2) / R_{ji}^2 \mp 0.5 \cdot x_{ij} \sqrt{2(A_i^2 A_j^2 + A_i^2 R_{ji}^2 + A_j^2 R_{ji}^2) - A_i^4 - A_j^4 - R_{ji}^4} / R_{ji}^2 \quad (8)$$

where $A_i = K_i \cdot d_i + \Delta R_{0i}$; $A_j = K_j \cdot d_j + \Delta R_{0j}$; $x_{ij} = x_i - x_j$; $y_{ij} = y_i - y_j$; $R_{ij}^2 = x_{ij}^2 + y_{ij}^2$; $x_{0i} = x_0 - x_i$; $y_{0i} = y_0 - y_i$.

Substituting (7) and (8) into (6) with index $m \neq i, j$ and carrying out a series of transformations gives the following equation with three unknown integers K_i, K_j, K_m :

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_{ij} x_{im} + y_{ij} y_{im}) (A_i^2 - A_j^2 - R_{ji}^2) / R_{ji}^2 \pm \\ & \pm (y_{ij} x_{im} - x_{ij} y_{im}) \sqrt{2(A_i^2 A_j^2 + A_i^2 R_{ji}^2 + A_j^2 R_{ji}^2) - A_i^4 - A_j^4 - R_{ji}^4} / R_{ji}^2 = \\ & = (K_m \cdot d_m + \Delta R_{0m})^2 - (K_i \cdot d_i + \Delta R_{0i})^2 - R_{im}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The practical significance has the variant of MPRS radar placement on a straight line which is taken as the x -axis of the coordinate system (Fig. 2). In this case, the values y_{ij} for $i \neq j, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ are equal zeroes $y_{ij} = 0$, and (9) can be written after a series of transformations in the following form:

$$\left[(K_j + \phi_j)^2 \cdot d_j^2 x_{mi} - (K_i + \phi_i)^2 \cdot d_i^2 x_{mj} \right] / d_m^2 x_{ji} + x_{mi} \cdot x_{mj} / d_m^2 = (K_m + \phi_m)^2; \quad i \neq j; \quad m \neq i, j \quad (10)$$

The equation (10) with integer variables K_i, K_j, K_m for $i \neq j$ and $m \neq i, j$ provides the ability to write down the system of $n-2$ nonlinear congruences, each containing only two integer variables K_i and K_j :

$$\left\{ \sqrt{\left[(K_j + \phi_j)^2 \cdot d_j^2 x_{mi} - (K_i + \phi_i)^2 \cdot d_i^2 x_{mj} \right] / d_m^2 x_{ji} + x_{mi} x_{mj} / d_m^2} \right\}^+ = \phi_m. \quad (11)$$

The system of congruences (11) gives the following mapping: for each fixed pair of values K_i, K_j selected from the set of integers, the set of left-hand sides of the congruence system (11) defines $\{(\phi_i, \phi_j) \rightarrow (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_m, \dots, \phi_n)\}$ for $i \neq j$ and $m \neq i, j$. This map is continuous and sets the $n-2$ dimensional surface in a single cube, and provides a tool for forming multiple values K_i, K_j within which unambiguous mapping $(x_0, y_0) \Leftrightarrow (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n) \Leftrightarrow (K_i, K_j)$ is ensured.

Statement 2: a necessary and sufficient condition for mutual unambiguous mapping in the form $(x_0, y_0) \Leftrightarrow (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_n) \Leftrightarrow (K_i, K_j)$ requires that there is no congruence solution:

$$\left\{ \left[x_{mi} (K_{jp}^2 - K_{jq}^2) - 2\phi_j x_{mi} (K_{jp}^2 - K_{jq}^2) \right] / d_j^2 - \left[x_{mi} (K_{ir}^2 - K_{il}^2) - 2\phi_i x_{mj} (K_{ir}^2 - K_{il}^2) \right] / d_i^2 \right\}^2 = 0 \quad (12)$$

where $\phi_i, \phi_j \in [0 \div 1)$, $K_{jp}, K_{jq} \in \Theta_j$, $K_{ir}, K_{il} \in \Theta_i$ and Θ_i, Θ_j are sets of K_i, K_j values within the range of unambiguity.

The system of congruences (11) gives a practical algorithm for obtaining estimates of K_i, K_j values and calculating the coordinates by the equations (7) and (8).

The sequence of the algorithm steps is as follows:

1. The known input values in (11) are $\phi_i, \phi_j, \phi_m, d_i, d_j, x_{ji}, x_{mi}, x_{mj}$ and Θ_{ij} set of values (K_i, K_j) .
2. Calculate the value of the left-hand sides of (11), substituting in turn their values (K_i, K_j) from the set of $\Theta_i \times \Theta_j$. For each (K_i, K_j) can be received $n-2$ values of the left parts, and there will be $M \cdot (n-2)$ of them in total, where M is the number of elements of the set $\Theta_i \times \Theta_j$.
3. Evaluation (K_i, K_j) , which determines the coordinates (x_0, y_0) is obtained by the following criterion:

$$\min_{K_i, K_j \in \Theta_i \times \Theta_j} \sum_{m \neq i, j; m \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} |\xi_{ijm} - \phi_m|. \quad (13)$$

where ξ_{ijm} represents the left-hand sides of (11).

4. NUMERICAL EXAMPLE

A quantitative example for a two-dimensional radar system is shown below.

$$(x_0, y_0) = (7; 23), R_1/d_1 = 3.4345185, R_2/d_2 = 4.07074408$$

$$(x_1, y_1) = (0; 0), (x_2, y_2) = (2; 0), (x_3, y_3) = (5; 0)$$

$$d_1 = 7.0, d_2 = 5.0, d_3 = 3.0$$

$$\phi_1 = 0.4345185, \phi_2 = 0.7074408, \phi_3 = 0.6955973.$$

A set of permissible values of (K_i, K_j) is shown in Table 1.

Table 1. The set of permissible pairs (K_1, K_2)

$K_2 \backslash K_1$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
1		+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
2			+	+	+	+	+	+	+
3				+	+	+	+	+	+
4						+	+	+	+
5							+	+	+
6								+	+

The results of the calculations according to criterion (13) are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Results of (K_1, K_2) calculations using criterion (13)

$K_1 \backslash K_2$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
0	0.5786	0.0858	0.4909	0.1254	0.2503	0.6222	0.079	0.3600	0.2717
1		0.1395	0.0670	0.2135	0.5160	0.1592	0.1778	0.5221	0.1288
2			0.0543	0.0433	0.0852	0.3038	0.5687	0.1390	0.1697
3				0.2238	0.0063	0.0366	0.1796	0.3856	0.6307
4						0.0845	0.0352	0.1121	0.2636
5							0.1798	0.0659	0.0852
6								0.2864	0.1189

The calculations performed according to the developed algorithm restore the values (K_i, K_j) , which unambiguously, by the formulae (7) and (8), provide the determination of coordinates (x_0, y_0) .

5. CONCLUSIONS

The paper presented a two-dimensional analytical model of a multi-position radar system with ambiguous measurements of distances from each of the radars of the system, a method of the nonlinear conjugation of radar measuring scales, and an algorithm for revealing the ambiguity of measurements of a set of radar scales. The developed algorithm provides an effective ambiguity disclosure since the dimension of the recoverable two-dimensional parameter does not depend on the number of radars in the system. An example of a two-dimensional multi-position radar system and the results of a numerical simulation of the ambiguity detection algorithm elements were given.

From the studies and the results obtained in this work, the following directions of designing multi-position radar systems can be formulated:

- further development of an analytical MPRS model for the three-dimensional case with consideration of the stochastic nature of ϕ_m in (5),
- development of an analytical model and an effective method of detecting ambiguity in passive systems for determining the coordinates of radiation sources of periodic radio signals,
- synthesis of MPRS scales with the active radar mode,
- synthesis of scales of passive systems for determining coordinates of periodic signals of radiation sources.

The obtained results provide a good basis for enabling the implementation of multi-position radar systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] Skolnik M.I., "Radar Handbook," 3rd Ed. New York: McGraw-Hill (2008).
- [2] Levanon N. and Mozeson E., "Radar Signals," New York: IEEE Press, John Wiley & Sons, Inc. (2004).
- [3] Cook C.E. and Bernfield M., "Radar Signals: An Introduction to Theory and Application," New York: Academic Press (1967).
- [4] Packebusch T., Mertens S., "Low Autocorrelation Binary Sequences," Journal of Physics A Mathematical and Theoretical 49 (16) (2016).
- [5] Miskiv V.M., Prudyus I.N. and Yankevych R.V., "Optimization of Code Constructions of Binary Sequences Class on a Basis of Set Theoretical Representation," Radioelectronics and Communications Systems, Volume 61, Number 7, pp. 292-305 (2018).

- [6] Miskiv V.M., Kaniewski P., Prudyus I., and Konatowski S., "Method of synthesis of signal-code constructions for secretive noise-proof data transmission radio systems," Proc. of SPIE, Volume 11055, Art. No. 1105516 (2019).
- [7] Salah J.E. and Morriello J.E., "Development of a multistatic measurement system," IEEE International Radar Conference, pp. 88-93 (1980).
- [8] Willis N.J. and Griffiths H.D., (eds.), "Advanced in Bistatic Radar, Raleigh," NC: Sci Tech Publishing Inc. (2007).
- [9] Skrypnik G.I., "On the recurrent procedure of disclosing ambiguity of phase measurements," Autometry, Novosibirsk, No. 3, pp. 8-13 (1978), <https://www.iae.nsk.su>articles-archive> (in Russian).
- [10] Miskiv M.V. and Skrypnik G.I., "On the choice of the number of scales and ratios of scales in a multiscale measuring system," Autometry, Novosibirsk, No. 4, pp. 93-98 (1979), <https://www.iae.nsk.su>articles-archive> (in Russian).
- [11] Popov Yu.D., "On a problem of optimal choice of a decision rule for an alphabetical scheme for eliminating the ambiguity of cyclic measurements," Autometry, Novosibirsk, No. 3, pp. 7-8 (1978), <https://www.iae.nsk.su>articles-archive> (in Russian).