PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE

SPIDigitalLibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie

2- μ m hybrid laser emitter for future carbon dioxide space-borne lidar measurement

Julien Lahyani, Nicolas Cézard, Benoit Faure, Fabien Gilbert, Julien Le Gouët

Julien Lahyani, Nicolas Cézard, Benoit Faure, Fabien Gilbert, Julien Le Gouët, "2- μ m hybrid laser emitter for future carbon dioxide space-borne lidar measurement," Proc. SPIE 11852, International Conference on Space Optics — ICSO 2020, 118521W (11 June 2021); doi: 10.1117/12.2599328

SPIE.

Event: International Conference on Space Optics — ICSO 2021, 2021, Online Only

International Conference on Space Optics—ICSO 2020

Virtual Conference

30 March–2 April 2021

Edited by Bruno Cugny, Zoran Sodnik, and Nikos Karafolas

2- μ m hybrid laser emitter for future carbon dioxide space-borne lidar measurement

2- μm hybrid laser emitter for future carbon dioxide space-borne lidar measurement

Julien Lahyani^{a*}, Nicolas Cézard^b, Benoit Faure^c, Fabien Gibert^d, and Julien Le Gouët^a

^aDOTA, ONERA, Université Paris Saclay, F-91123 Palaiseau, France

^bONERA/DOTA, Université de Toulouse, F-31055 Toulouse, France

^cCNES, 18 av. Edouard. Belin, 31401 Toulouse, France

^dLaboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique (LMD), Centre National de Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), Ecole Polytechnique, FR-91128 Palaiseau Cedex, France

ABSTRACT

We are developing a Differential Absorption Lidar (DIAL) for CO₂ remote sensing using coherent detection, based on a pulsed hybrid laser emitter at 2.05 μm . In the 2 μm region, the R30 CO₂ absorption line has been identified as one of the most promising for space-borne DIAL instrument to provide precise sounding of the atmospheric boundary layer where CO₂ sources and sinks are located. In this paper, we present the Tm-doped all-fiber part of the emitter and we demonstrate its ability to measure the CO₂ Volume Mixing Ratio (VMR) in the atmosphere. The lidar setup is presented, including the telescope, the heterodyne detection system and a spectral reference system used to infer the absolute frequency of the laser and the ON-line/OFF-line energy difference. We investigate an Integrated-Path-DIAL measurement on a range of 426 m. Results compare well with an in-situ reference sensor (Picarro probe), and the estimate statistics show good agreement with theoretical error calculations.

This lidar demonstrator has been called HELENA (Hybrid Emitter Lidar for ENvironmental Applications). Once completed, it will feature an hybrid emitter combining a semiconductor laser, a high-power Tm-doped fiber amplifier stage, and a final Ho:YLF crystal single-pass amplification stage. This combination aims at benefitting of the versatility, compactness and alignment easiness of a fiber amplifier on one hand, and of the high-peak power attainable with a solid-state amplifier on the other hand. It could allow overcoming the traditional Brillouin limit met in fiber amplifiers, without sacrificing much in compactness and robustness.

Keywords: Laser, Lidar, DIAL, Hybrid amplifier

1. INTRODUCTION

Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is acknowledged as the most important anthropogenic greenhouse gas in the atmosphere. Its impact on future climate evolution still suffers some uncertainties¹. To improve our understanding of the CO₂ life cycle, and open ways to control anthropogenic emissions, it is necessary to monitor CO₂ sources and sinks fluxes, at a local scale with ground-based instruments, and if possible, at the global scale with space-borne instruments.

Active sensors are especially attractive for such tasks, as they can provide increased space-time coverage and precision² compare to passive sensors. Several lidar systems based on high energy solid-state lasers already demonstrated good capabilities for CO₂ monitoring at 2 μm ³⁻⁶ and 1.5 μm ⁷⁻¹⁰, using Differential Absorption Lidar (DIAL) or Integrated Path Differential Absorption (IPDA). However, bulk architectures generally involve large numbers of free-space optics that can bring substantial thermal and mechanical problems. Intrinsically, all-fiber laser architectures alleviate those issues. However,

the well-known Stimulated Brillouin Scattering (SBS) limitation makes difficult reaching the required peak power for a space-borne mission (tens to hundreds of kW).

Considering the interest of fiber laser for CO₂ space-borne lidar, ONERA, Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique (CNRS/LMD), and Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES) have decided in 2018 to start a two-steps research program. The first step consists in developing a high peak-power, narrow-linewidth, all-fiber pulsed laser source at 2.05 μm, suitable for standalone km-range ground-based CO₂/wind measurement using coherent detection¹¹. The working wavelength has been chosen to match the R30 CO₂ absorption line which has been identified in previous studies has one of the most promising for space-borne missions¹². In a second and future step, the fiber source will be combined with a solid-state amplifier for peak-power upscaling. The resulting hybrid fiber/solid-state laser source could represent an attractive trade-off combining high peak-power and limited free-space optics complexity, as required for future space-borne lidar missions.

In the first part, we report the most important results of the all-fiber part of the laser and we derive from the classical DIAL equations, the expected order of magnitude for the random error on the CO₂ Volume Mixing Ratio (VMR) with heterodyne and direct detection. In the second part, we describe our lidar design, the method to infer essential parameters for DIAL operation and we show the preliminary IP-DIAL results obtained. In the last part we explain the objectives of the second step of this research project.

2. ALL-FIBER LASER ARCHITECTURE AND PERFORMANCE

2.1 Architecture

The amplification chain is shown in Figure 1. The source architecture is based on a Polarization Maintaining (PM) Master Oscillator Fiber Power Amplifier (MOFPA) made of four Thulium Doped Fiber Amplifiers (TDFA) pumped by laser diodes at 793 nm. The MOFPA is alternatively seeded by two narrow-linewidth Distributed Feed-Back Laser Diodes (DFB-LD) using an Optical Switch (OS) with a rate up to 20 kHz. The pre-amplifier (TDFA1) delivers a continuous signal. The optical power at its output is split in two parts, one delivering the Local Oscillator (LO) power used to generate the beat note signal for heterodyne detection and one seeding the second amplifier. TDFA1 has been design to preserve as much as possible the optical properties of the seeders to ensure optimal detection performance¹³. An Acousto-Optic Modulator (AOM) shapes the signal into pulses and adds an optical frequency offset of 80 MHz for heterodyne detection. At the TDFA2 output, a Mach-Zehnder Electro Optic amplitude Modulator (EOM) is used as a time-gated attenuator to filter amplified AOM parasitic spikes and the inter-pulse Amplified Spontaneous Emission (ASE). TDFA3 output peak power is limited by Stimulated Brillouin Scattering (SBS). Its output is filtered by a High Reflectivity Fiber Bragg Grating (HR-FBG) centered on 2051.2 nm with a width of 1 nm. It permits to remove the large ASE component that can degrade the amplification efficiency at the signal wavelength in last amplifier. The last amplifier (TDFA4) is made with Large Mode Area (LMA) fiber that is longitudinally stretched to overcome the SBS limit. The laser output is spliced to a pigtail which made easier the collimation. For more details on the fiber laser source, see^{11,14}.

Figure 1: All-fiber MOPA architecture. DFB-LD: Distributed Feedback Laser Diode, OS: Optical Switch, LO: Local Oscillator, TDFA: Thulium Doped Fiber Amplifier, AOM: Acousto-Optic Modulator, EOM: Electro-Optic Modulator, ASE: Amplified Spontaneous Emission, HR-FBG: High Reflectivity Fiber Bragg Grating, SBS: Stimulated Brillouin Scattering

2.2 Laser performance

In this part, we summarized the most important feature of the fiber laser presented in section 2.1 for DIAL/Doppler application. In Table 1, main optical feature are shown

Table 1 : Main laser optical features

Feature	Results in this study
Laser wavelength	2.05 μm
Spectral tunability	70 GHz (1 nm)
Pulse energy	120 μJ
Peak – Average power	600 W – 2.4 W
Pulse duration	200 ns
PRF	20 kHz
ON-OFF switch rate	Up to 20 kHz

The laser wavelength is center on 2051.2 nm and tunable over 1 nm (i.e. 70 GHz) using the temperature setting of the seeder. The tuning range is limited by the HR-FBG width. It fully covers the R30 absorption line allowing the use of the side band for DIAL operations. In this study, the Pulse repetition Rate (PRF) and the pulse duration are set to 20 kHz and 200 ns respectively. The laser energy is 120 μJ which corresponds to 600 W/2.4W peak/average power. This working point is slightly under the SBS threshold in order to limit the warming of the pump diodes. The switching rate between the ON-line and OFF-line wavelengths is up to 20 kHz for pulse-to-pulse switching. Fast wavelength switching is required to keep high atmospheric correlation between ON and OFF signals. For the atmosphere to be considered as ‘frozen’, a switching rate of 1kHz or more is typically required¹⁵ (for space-borne operation, the requirement is higher since the satellite is moving very fast. In current solid-state lidar designs, the inter-pulse time between ON and OFF laser shots is typically 250 μs , which sets at 4 kHz the ON-OFF switching rate requirement¹⁶). In Table 2, the main features for lidar operations are summarized.

Table 2 : Laser features for DIAL operation

Features	Results in this study
RIN (around AOM frequency shift)	-160 dB/Hz
Spectral Linewidth	<5 MHz
Signal -LO beat frequency stability @ 10 ms – 10 s	100 kHz
Beam quality M^2	1,12
Polarization Extinction Ratio	Linear, PER > 16 dB
Free running frequency drift	< 50 MHz
Cross-Talk	-23 dB
SMSR	> 45dB

Heterodyne detection required a LO with low RIN. In our scheme, the noise is limited by the shot noise (quantum limit) at $\text{RIN}=-170$ dB/Hz. In this study, we reach -160 dB/Hz which leads to less than 1 dB loss on the Carrier-to-Noise Ratio (CNR). The spectral linewidth represents another critical issue to reduce the integrated noise. Indeed, narrower is the signal spectrum, lower is the integrated noise and higher is the CNR. In our case, the spectral linewidth is lower than 5 MHz. It represents a loss on the CNR of 1 dB compared to a pure Fourier-transform limited pulse (considering also the DFB-LD

linewidth of 2 MHz). The Signal-LO (S-LO) beat frequency stability can involve a broadening of the signal spectrum when averaging shots, and for the reason explained before, it could degrade the CNR. The RMS S-LO stability is 100 kHz at 10 ms averaging time and follows a root-time decrease up to 10 s. It implies negligible broadening of the averaged signal spectrum. The beam quality (M^2) denotes the beam divergence and is crucial to maximize the CNR. In our case it represents a loss of 0.5 dB on the CNR. Last three features in Table 2 play a role on the CO₂ measurement bias. The seeder is working in free-running mode and we measured a frequency shift lower than 50 MHz on 10 minutes. It leads to less than 0.2% bias on the CO₂ VMR (at R30 center), but anyway it will be necessary in the future to lock the DFB-LD frequency^{17,18} to ensure optimal performance. The transmission of the switched off wavelength is called the cross-talk (or spectral purity) and is crucial for DIAL measurement. In heterodyne detection, this feature is relaxed compared to the direct detection. In our case, the cross talk is -23 dB on both the LO and the emitted signal which leads to a bias lower than 0.1% up to 3 km of propagation in the atmosphere. The SMSR is also an important issue in the same way as the cross-talk. Our SMSR is higher than 45 dB and involve negligible VMR bias.

2.3 Expected lidar performance

In the perspective of a global monitoring of the CO₂ from space, the use of direct detection appears as much more performant to reach space mission goal of 0.1% VMR relative error. This study being in its first phase and for a reason of simplicity of implementation, we performed a heterodyne detection. It also presents the advantage to allow simultaneous wind monitoring for autonomous CO₂ flux rate measurement. However, in this part, we investigate the VMR error for both, heterodyne and direct detection.

The DIAL equation writes¹⁹:

$$VMR = \frac{1}{2 \cdot \Delta z \cdot WF} \ln \left(\frac{P_{ON}(z)}{P_{OFF}(z)} \cdot \frac{P_{OFF}(z + \Delta z)}{P_{ON}(z + \Delta z)} \right) \quad (1)$$

Where WF is the Weighting Function (in ppm⁻¹.m⁻¹), Δz is the range resolution (distance to hard target for IP-DIAL measurement) and $P_{ON/OFF}$ is the returned signal power. The log expression represents the slope of the optical depth i.e. the absorption coefficient. The VMR error can be expressed as:

$$\sigma_{VMR} = \frac{1}{2 \cdot \Delta z \cdot WF \cdot \sqrt{N_{avg}}} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{\sigma^2(P_{OFF})}{P_{OFF}^2} + \frac{\sigma^2(P_{ON})}{P_{ON}^2} - 2 \cdot \rho_{P_{ON}, P_{OFF}} \cdot \frac{\sigma(P_{ON}) \cdot \sigma(P_{OFF})}{P_{ON} \cdot P_{OFF}}} \quad (2)$$

Where N_{avg} is the number of VMR values averaged, σ is the standard deviation and ρ is the correlation coefficient, $\rho_{X,Y} = \text{cov}(X,Y) / (\sigma_X \cdot \sigma_Y)$ with cov the covariance function. In this equation, we can identify the Signal-to-noise Ratio as $\text{SNR}_P = P / \sigma(P)$. In heterodyne detection, the SNR can be written³:

$$SNR_p = \frac{\sqrt{N_{pulses} \cdot M_t}}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{\langle CNR \rangle}\right)} \quad (3)$$

Where N_{pulses} is the number of shots averaged to calculate a single returned power P , M_t is the number of independent speckle patterns and CNR is the Carrier-to-Noise Ratio. In practice, M_t is close to unity when the range gate equals to the laser coherence length. For a single shot ($N_{pulses} = 1$), the SNR_{pulse} is close to the unity in heterodyne detection. For this reason, it is better to use heterodyne detection with high PRF (>1 kHz) as it allows a fast accumulation to increase the SNR and then reduce the VMR error. Regarding the energy of the fiber laser of 120 μ J, the CNR will be usually lower than 0 dB for atmospheric signal and higher than 10 dB up to 5 km on a hard target.

In direct detection, the SNR for a single shot can be higher than 1 as the speckle noise can be largely averaged by the detector area²⁰. For space-borne measurement, as the number of averaged shot is limited, the use of direct detection appears preferable. In Killinger et al.²¹, the inter-comparison between heterodyne and direct detection shows, in same conditions, a SNR five times higher in direct detection.

On Figure 2 is plotted the VMR standard deviation assuming $SNR_{ON}=SNR_{OFF}$ (case where the distance of propagation is not sufficient to involve important absorption or case where ON-line and OFF-line signals energy are chosen to compensate the absorption), $WF=1.6 \cdot 10^{-6} \text{ ppm}^{-1} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$ (On-line signal at the R30 centre and no pressure/temperature variation on the line of sight) and the correlation degree equals to 0 function of the SNR for a single pulse (SNR_{pulse} , horizontal axis) and the product $N_{avg}^{1/2} \cdot \Delta z$ (vertical axis) which is representative to the space-time resolution.

Figure 2 : VMR error as function of the single pulse SNR and the product $N_{avg}^{1/2} \cdot \Delta z$

The CO₂ concentration in the atmosphere is around 400 ppm. Plotted blue lines stand for the relative errors of 100%, 10%, 1% and 0.1% (precision goal for spatial mission). For 1 km integrated path and 100 pairs of shot averaged, an heterodyne measurement can reach 10% relative error while a direct detection can reach 2% in the same condition regarding the performance reported in²¹. Moreover, if there is no relative displacement between hard target and the emitter, the speckle pattern is unchanged and the statistical error decrease slower in time. In the assessment for the A-Scope mission², some feasibility studies are detailed.

3. CO₂ SENSING DEMONSTRATION USING HETERODYNE DETECTION

All results presented in following sub-sections have been made at 120 μ J, 200 ns, 20 kHz and pulse-to-pulse ON/OFF switching rate. In the Emission/Reception module (E/R), the emitted light is collimated and goes through a Brewster angle plate that transmits the p-polarization and reflects the s-polarization. The residual signal on Figure 3 corresponds to the s-polarized part of the field. It is used for spectral reference and calculation of the energy ratio (see section 3.2). The PER is higher than 16 dB, thus most of the flux is transmitted to the telescope. The emitted beam has a diameter of 5.3 cm at $1/e^2$. The quarter wave plate in the telescope change the polarization by 90° after a round trip to reflect on the Brewster plate the backscattered signal.

Signal and LO are combined by a 95:5 coupler to the detector. The 95% arm is for the signal. The detector is a PIN photodiode with 150 MHz bandwidth, delivering 1.2 A/W and with a NEP of 8 pW.Hz^{-1/2} around the AOM frequency shift. The electronic signal is then band-pass filtered between 40 and 100 MHz. The analog signal is digitized by a 12 bits ADC at 250 MHz. The ADC is triggered by a TTL signal synchronized with the AOM command.

Figure 3 : Lidar design

3.1 Signal processing

The ON-line and OFF-line signals are handled separately for the power estimations. A sliding square gate of 200 ns (i.e. the coherence time of the pulse) is applied to the time-domain signal. The Power Spectral Density (PSD) is calculated for each distance-gate using a Fast Fourier Transform (FFT). To smooth the spectrum we average N_{pulses} PSDs. The last sample of each shot is used as the noise PSD (called $\text{PSD}_{\text{noise}}$) and is subtracted to previous samples. Then the noise-subtracted PSD, called PSD_{het} , is numerically filtered around the peak signal with a 20 MHz square gate and integrated to estimate the return signal power P . The CNR is calculated by dividing the power P by the noise using the same numerical filter. The procedure is the same as the one detailed in²².

3.2 Absolute spectral reference system and ON-line/OFF-line energy difference monitoring for DIAL operation

The spectral reference system is shown on Figure 3 (Spectral ref.). The residual beam from the Brewster plate is used to determine the energy difference between ON-line and OFF-line pulses and to estimate the absolute frequency of the laser. The light is fiber-coupled and separated in two parts. The first part goes directly to a DC-coupled detector and allows the determination of ON/OFF energy difference (value K in Eq.(4)). The second path goes through a gas cell filled with pure CO₂. Then, it is possible to calculate the differential absorption of the cell as the ON and OFF-line pulses are consecutive.

Once digitized, each signal is averaged to improve the SNR. To calculate the transmission, we set the OFF-line signal at - 18.8 GHz apart from R30 absorption line center. The tuning precision of our current and temperature drivers gives a resolution of +/- 250 MHz considering the measured DFB-LD wavelength sensitivity. It involves a potential bias on the ON-

line frequency of 50 MHz. The relative error on the WF is then lower than 0.2%. We used the HITRAN database and a local temperature sensor to compute the cell transmission. In a future phase, a frequency locking unit will be added to the system.

In the preliminary test presented in the following sub-section, WF and K are calculated by averaging 1000 pairs of shots. The K value is given with a relative random error lower than 1% over the averaging time of 10 ms to 10 s. The ON-line frequency is given with a random error of 25 MHz which represents a relative random error on the WF lower than 0.1%.

3.3 IP-DIAL

To perform an IP-DIAL measurement, we pointed the lidar on a static target located at 426 m. The IP-DIAL equation writes:

$$VMR_{IP} = \frac{1}{2.L.WF} \ln \left(\frac{P_{OFF}(L)}{P_{ON}(L)} . K \right) \quad (4)$$

This equation is the same as Eq.(1) using $z=0$ and $\Delta z=L$ where L is the distance to the hard target and K the energy difference between the ON and OFF signals ($K=P_{ON}(0)/P_{OFF}(0)$) determined using the spectral reference system. The WF for this measurements is $1.57.10^{-6} \text{ ppm}^{-1}.\text{m}^{-1}$ slightly detuned from the R30 absorption line center. As a reference, we had a Picarro probe close to the lidar giving the VMR with a sub-ppm precision.

The Figure 4 (a) represents the VMR Allan deviation performed with a VMR time series where $N_{\text{pulses}} = 100$ shots and $N_{\text{avg}} = 1$. The minimum time-resolution is then 10 ms. On Figure 4 (b), the time series VMR (doted, red) is plotted with a time averaging of 2s i.e. 2.10^4 pairs of shots ($N_{\text{pulses}}=100$ and $N_{\text{avg}}= 200$). The blue line is the Picarro probe data.

Figure 4 : (a) Allan deviation on the VMR (red) and $-1/2$ slope representing the stationary behavior (dashed, black), (b) VMR measurement of the Picarro probe (blue) and measured VMR by the lidar (doted, red)

The Allan deviation follows a $\tau^{-1/2}$ slope i.e. a stationary behavior over the measurement time of 5 minutes. The average value of the VMR is 414 ppm and the value given by the Picarro probe is 422.5 ppm. As the measurements are not co-located, we

are not able (currently) to determine the absolute precision of our instrument. However, it suggests that there is no major instrumental default. The statistical features calculated and observed for this measurement are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3 : IP-DIAL error analysis

Observed On-line signal		Observed Off-line signal		$\rho_{P_{ON}, P_{OFF}}$ N _{pulses} =100	IP-DIAL error			
					N _{pulses} =100, N _{avg} =1		N _{pulses} =100, N _{avg} =200	
CNR	SNR _p	CNR	SNR _p	Observed	Calculated	Observed	Calculated	Observed
26,64 dB	2.0	29,02 dB	2.0	0.64	316 ppm	309 ppm	22 ppm	23 ppm

The SNR of P_{ON} and P_{OFF} are similar as the distance of propagation does not significantly absorb the ON-line signal. Furthermore, the hard target signal is strong enough to limit the SNR by the speckle noise. The observed correlation degree of 0.64 is a consequence of high PRF and high switching rate which keep ‘frozen’ the atmosphere and the target reflectivity between ON-line and OFF-line signals. The observed IP-DIAL error is in good agreement with the calculations.

4. HYBRID EMITER

Looking forward for power-scaling of the fiber laser source, the second step of this research project aims at adding a single pass amplification stage in a Ho:YLF crystal. Such an amplifier could overcome the peak power limit encountered in optical fibers due to the Stimulated Brillouin Scattering (SBS). Ho:YLF crystals are usually pumped around 1940 nm using Tm-doped pump fiber lasers. This kind of high power, continuous wave fiber laser is available commercially and shows electro-optic efficiency higher than 10%. Moreover, the considered single-pass scheme is expected to be much more robust than a cavity, which would strongly alleviates mechanical problems encountered with such cavities.

The experimental work is in progress. The resonant pumping is performed by a 50 W continuous wave laser emitting at 1940 nm with a linewidth of 0.4 nm. Both the signal and the pump beams are focused in the crystal with an adjustable waist of 600 μm to 1000 μm. According to the simulations, lower is the beam waist, the more efficient is the amplification. However, the beam divergence and the laser-induced damage threshold of the crystal are the main limits. Once combined, the beams go through a 8 mm-long 0.5% doped Ho:YLF crystal. At 20 kHz, the expected gain is one order of magnitude. At lower PRF, the gain is expected to increase, as the upper-state lifetime in a Ho:YLF crystal is about 15 ms. This demonstration could open new perspectives to provide reliable, performant and robust laser architecture for ground-based or space-borne CO₂ lidar measurements. The detailed and performance of this experiment will be published in a future paper.

5. CONCLUSION

An all-fiber laser source at 2.05 μm has been built and used for CO₂ DIAL application. The fiber laser provides pulses of 200 ns with high PRF of 20 kHz and pulse-to-pulse switching rate using electro-optic system. The main laser characteristics involved in lidar performance (random and systematic error) are summarized. A sub-system used for the monitoring of the wavelength and the pulse energy difference is described in order to perform DIAL measurement. This system uses a gas cell filled with pure CO₂ at known pressure. In this study, only free-running seeder operation is performed. We demonstrate a first IP-DIAL measurement over 5 minutes of time. A Picarro probe gives an in-situ measurement of the VMR at the lidar location (i.e. zero distance for the DIAL measurement). Even if the inter-comparison is difficult due to possible urban CO₂ emission sources on the line-of-sight, only 2% bias is found with the IP-DIAL measurement. The random error is consistent with the theoretical calculation.

We also presented the next step of this research project, that aims at demonstrating a hybrid laser amplifier, benefiting of the robustness of a fiber architecture combined with the high peak power of a solid-state amplifier. The single-pass architecture of the free-space amplifier should alleviate mechanical issues encountered in bulk architectures.

REFERENCES

- [1] Pachauri, R. K., Mayer, L. and Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, eds., [Climate change 2014: synthesis report], Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Geneva, Switzerland (2015).
- [2] Clissold, P. and European Space Agency, eds., [Six candidate Earth Explorer core missions: reports for assessment: A-SCOPE, BIOMASS, CoReH²O, FLEX, PREMIER, TRAQ], ESA Communications, Noordwijk, The Netherlands (2008).
- [3] Gibert, F., Flamant, P. H., Bruneau, D. and Loth, C., “Two-micrometer heterodyne differential absorption lidar measurements of the atmospheric CO₂ mixing ratio in the boundary layer,” *Appl. Opt.*, AO **45**(18), 4448–4458 (2006).
- [4] Ishii, S., Mizutani, K., Fukuoka, H., Ishikawa, T., Philippe, B., Iwai, H., Aoki, T., Itabe, T., Sato, A. and Asai, K., “Coherent 2 μ m differential absorption and wind lidar with conductively cooled laser and two-axis scanning device,” *Appl. Opt.*, AO **49**(10), 1809–1817 (2010).
- [5] Singh, U. N., Walsh, B. M., Yu, J., Petros, M., Kavaya, M. J., Refaat, T. F. and Barnes, N. P., “Twenty years of Tm:Ho:YLF and LuLiF laser development for global wind and carbon dioxide active remote sensing,” *Opt. Mater. Express*, OME **5**(4), 827–837 (2015).
- [6] Cadiou, E., Mammez, D., Dherbecourt, J.-B., Gorju, G., Pelon, J., Melkonian, J.-M., Godard, A. and Raybaut, M., “Atmospheric boundary layer CO₂ remote sensing with a direct detection LIDAR instrument based on a widely tunable optical parametric source,” *Opt. Lett.*, OL **42**(20), 4044–4047 (2017).
- [7] Amediek, A., Fix, A., Wirth, M. and Ehret, G., “Development of an OPO system at 1.57 μ m for integrated path DIAL measurement of atmospheric carbon dioxide,” *Appl. Phys. B* **92**(2), 295–302 (2008).
- [8] Sakaizawa, D., Kawakami, S., Nakajima, M., Sawa, Y. and Matsueda, H., “Ground-based demonstration of a CO₂ remote sensor using a 1.57 μ m differential laser absorption spectrometer with direct detection,” *JARS* **4**(1), 043548 (2010).
- [9] Yu, A. W., Abshire, J. B., Storm, M. and Betin, A., “Laser amplifier development for IPDA Lidar measurements of CO₂ from space,” *Proc. SPIE* **9342**, San Francisco, California, United States (2015).
- [10] Amediek, A., Ehret, G., Fix, A., Wirth, M., Büdenbender, C., Quatrevalet, M., Kiemle, C. and Gerbig, C., “CHARM-F—a new airborne integrated-path differential-absorption lidar for carbon dioxide and methane observations: measurement performance and quantification of strong point source emission,” *Appl. Opt.*, AO **56**(18), 5182–5197 (2017).
- [11] Lahyani, J., Le Gouët, J., Gibert, F. and Cézard, N., “2.05- μ m all-fiber laser source designed for CO₂ and wind coherent lidar measurement,” (in press), *Appl. Opt.*, AO **60**(15), (2014).
- [12] Caron, J., Durand, Y., Bezy, J.-L. and Meynart, R., “Performance modeling for A-SCOPE: a space-borne lidar measuring atmospheric CO₂,” presented at SPIE Europe Remote Sensing, 17 September 2009, Berlin, Germany, 74790E.
- [13] Cariou, J.-P., Augere, B. and Valla, M., “Laser source requirements for coherent lidars based on fiber technology,” *Comptes Rendus Physique* **7**(2), 213–223 (2006).
- [14] Lucas, E., Lombard, L., Jaouën, Y., Bordaïs, S. and Canat, G., “1 kW peak power, 110 ns single-frequency thulium doped fiber amplifier at 2050 nm,” *Appl. Opt.*, AO **53**(20), 4413–4419 (2014).
- [15] Menyuk, N. and Killinger, D. K., “Temporal correlation measurements of pulsed dual CO₂ lidar returns,” *Opt. Lett.*, OL **6**(6), 301–303 (1981).
- [16] Gibert, F., Pellegrino, J., Edouart, D., Cénac, C., Lombard, L., Le Gouët, J., Nuns, T., Cosentino, A., Spano, P. and Di Nepi, G., “2- μ m double-pulse single-frequency Tm: fiber laser pumped Ho:YLF laser for a space-borne CO₂ lidar,” *Appl. Opt.* **57**(36), 10370 (2018).
- [17] Numata, K., Chen, J. R., Wu, S. T., Abshire, J. B. and Krainak, M. A., “Frequency stabilization of distributed-feedback laser diodes at 1572 nm for lidar measurements of atmospheric carbon dioxide,” *Appl. Opt.*, AO **50**(7), 1047–1056 (2011).
- [18] Curtis, E. A., Bradley, T., Barwood, G. P., Edwards, C. S., Wheeler, N. V., Phelan, R., Richardson, D. J., Petrovich, M. N. and Gill, P., “Laser frequency stabilization and spectroscopy at 2051 nm using a compact CO₂-filled Kagome hollow core fiber gas-cell system,” *Opt. Express*, OE **26**(22), 28621–28633 (2018).

- [19] Measures, R. M., [Laser remote sensing: fundamentals and applications], Krieger Pub. Co, Malabar, Fla (1992).
- [20] Bruneau, D., Gibert, F., Flamant, P. H. and Pelon, J., "Complementary study of differential absorption lidar optimization in direct and heterodyne detections," *Appl. Opt.* **45**(20), 4898 (2006).
- [21] Killinger, D. K., Menyuk, N. and DeFeo, W. E., "Experimental comparison of heterodyne and direct detection for pulsed differential absorption CO₂ lidar," *Appl. Opt.* **22**(5), 682 (1983).
- [22] Le Méhauté, S., Benoit, P., Cézard, N., Goular, D., Planchat, C., Dolfi-Bouteyre, A., Delbarre, H., Watremez, X. and Valla, M., "All-fibered coherent-differential absorption lidar at 1.645 μm for simultaneous methane and wind speed measurements," *Lidar Technologies, Techniques, and Measurements for Atmospheric Remote Sensing XIV*, U. N. Singh and G. D. Tzeremes, Eds., 2, SPIE, Berlin, Germany (2018).