PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE

SPIDigitalLibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie

Formation flying performances simulator for the shadow position sensors of the ESA PROBA-3 mission

Gerardo Capobianco, Francesco Amadori, Silvano Fineschi, Alessandro Bemporad, Marta Casti, et al.

Gerardo Capobianco, Francesco Amadori, Silvano Fineschi, Alessandro Bemporad, Marta Casti, Davide Loreggia, Vladimiro Noce, Maurizio Pancrazzi, Federico Landini, Cedric Thizy, Raphael Rougeot, Damien Galano, Jorg Versluys, "Formation flying performances simulator for the shadow position sensors of the ESA PROBA-3 mission," Proc. SPIE 11852, International Conference on Space Optics — ICSO 2020, 118526P (11 June 2021); doi: 10.1117/12.2600310

SPIE.

Event: International Conference on Space Optics — ICSO 2021, 2021, Online Only

International Conference on Space Optics—ICSO 2020

Virtual Conference

30 March–2 April 2021

Edited by Bruno Cugny, Zoran Sodnik, and Nikos Karafolas

Formation flying performances simulator for the shadow position sensors of the ESA PROBA-3 mission

Formation Flying performances simulator for the Shadow Position Sensors of the ESA PROBA-3 mission

Capobianco Gerardo^a, Amadori Francesco^a, Fineschi Silvano^a, Bemporad Alessandro^a, Casti Marta^b, Loreggia Davide^a, Noce Vladimiro^c, Pancrazzi Maurizio^a, Landini Federico^a, Thizy Cedric^d, Rougeot Raphael^e, Galano Damien^e, and Versluys Jorg^e

^aINAF-Astrophysical Observatory of Torino, Via Osservatorio 20, Pino T.se (To), Italy

^bALTEC S.p.A., Corso Marche,79, Torino, ITALY

^cUniversity of Florence - Dept. of Physics and Astronomy, Largo E. Fermi 2, Florence, Italy

^dCentre Spatial de Liege, Av. du Pré Aily, Liege, Belgium

^eEuropean Space Agency-ESTEC, Keplerlaan 1, Noordwijk, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

PROBA-3 (PRoject for OnBoard Autonomy) is an ESA mission to be launched on beginning of 2023 where a spacecraft is used as an external occulter (OSC-Occlutter Spacecraft), to create an artificial solar eclipse as observed by a second spacecraft, the coronagraph (CSC-Coronagraph Spacecraft). The two spacecrafts (SCs) will orbit around the Earth, with a highly elliptic orbit (HEO), with the perigee at 600 km, the apogee at about 60530 km and an eccentricity of ≈ 0.81 . The orbital period is of 19.7 hours and the precise formation flight (within 1 mm) will be maintained for about 6 hours over the apogee, in order to guarantee the observation of the solar corona with the required spatial resolution. The relative alignment of the two spacecrafts is obtained by combining information from several subsystems. One of the most accurate subsystems is the Shadow Position Sensors (SPS), composed of eight photo-multipliers installed around the entrance pupil of the CSC. The SPS will monitor the penumbra generated by the occulter spacecraft, whose intensity will change according to the relative position of the two satellites. A dedicated algorithm has been developed to retrieve the displacement of the spacecrafts from the measurements of the SPS. Several tests are required in order to evaluate the robustness of the algorithm and its performances/results for different possible configurations. A software simulator has been developed for this purpose. The simulator includes the possibility to generate synthetic 2-D penumbra profile maps or analyze measured profiles and run different versions of the retrieving algorithms, including the “on-board” version. In order to import the “as-built” algorithms, the software is coded using Matlab. The main aspects of the simulator, such as the results of the simulations, with the inclusion of some specific case studies, will be reported and discussed in this paper.

Keywords: PROBA-3, Space Mission, Spacecraft Formation Flying, Numerical Simulator, Solar Corona.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the framework of the ESA PROBA-3 mission activities [1], [2], a numerical simulator has been developed to test and validate the Shadow Position Sensors (SPS) algorithm. The PROBA-3 mission, is the fourth ESA PROBA mission, following the successful PROBA-1 (launched in 2001), PROBA-2 (2009), and PROBA-V (2013). The four PROBA missions are depicted in Figure 1.

The main objective of the PROBA-3 mission is the validation of the formation flying technologies and increase the Technology Readiness Level (TRL) of new metrology units to TRL 9 (‘Actual system “flight proven” through successful mission operations’ [3]). The formation flying is applied to two spacecraft, working together as a “rigid structure”. One of the two spacecraft, the Coronagraph Spacecraft (CSC), host the telescope of the ASPIICS instrument [1], [2], when the second spacecraft, the Occulter Spacecraft (OSC), is working as an external occulter when the CSC is pointing the sun. Due to the large distance between the two spacecrafts, nominally

Further author information: (Send correspondence to Capobianco Gerardo)

Capobianco Gerardo: E-mail: gerardo.capobianco@inaf.it, Telephone: +39 011 8101961

Figure 1. The ESA PROBA satellites with the launch dates. Images credits: PROBA-1 ©Verhaert Space, ESA; PROBA-2 ©ESA; PROBA-V ©ESA; PROBA-3 ©ESA.

$\approx 144m$, the diffraction of the sunlight produced by the occulter disk will be very low, so that the solar corona should be observed in its inner region (i.e., from 1.08 solar radii). In order to achieve both the objective of the mission, technological and scientific, a sub-millimeter alignment must be guaranteed for about the 30% of the orbit period. Several subsystems are involved in the task of the metrological alignment. One of the most accurate subsystems is the SPS, acronyms of Shadow Position Sensors, a set of 8 silicon photomultipliers (SiPMs) installed around the telescope pupil (at 55 mm from the center of the pupil), mapping the relative displacement of the two spacecrafts by monitoring the asymmetries in the penumbra profile generated by the misalignment of the spacecrafts. A detailed review of the SPS subsystem has been recently reported in [4]. A picture of the Flight Model of the SPS board indicating the sensor number and the SPS Reference system (SPSXF) is reported in Figure 2.

The Channel A (or nominal) is composed by the SPS_1 , SPS_3 , SPS_5 and SPS_7 , when the channel B (or redundant) by SPS_2 , SPS_4 , SPS_6 and SPS_8 . During the nominal operations only one channel at a time is expected to be used. The two channels will be acquired simultaneously only for calibration purposes. In agreement with the SPSXF reference frame, the Y and Z axes are referred to the lateral displacement when the X axis to the longitudinal.

Figure 2. The SPS board (Flight Model) with the SiPMs identification number and the reference frame SPSXF.

2. SPS ALGORITHM

The SPS algorithm compute the relative and absolute position of the spacecrafts in order to retrieve the nominal alignment. The algorithm is described in details in [5] and is composed by five blocks, as shown in Figure 3.

The first module, the "pre-processing" module, returns the set of the SPS to be used for the computation of the spacecraft position and, based on the signal levels, select between High gain (HG) measurements or low gain (LG) when the high gain values are saturated or close to the saturation.

The second module, the "Validity Flag 1" block, returns a flag indicating the measurement validity, based on the door status (closed, open, or in transition), the SPS temperatures, and other physical parameters. This flag is used for trigger the Guidance and Navigation Control (GNC) to consider or not the positions returned by the SPS subsystem.

The third module, the "Algo Verification", returns a flag obtained by checking at the arguments of the used mathematical functions (i.e., root square and arccos, see eqn. 3) to avoid the presence of complex solutions in the position computation.

The fourth module, the "SPS Algorithm", is the core of the algorithm, responsible for computation of the spacecrafts position. Three different computational methods should be used and defined from a telecommand from ground. The first computation method is the called "Linear algorithm". The positions Y and Z are computed as differences between opposite SiPMs (eqn. 1 and eqn. 2).

Figure 3. The SPS algorithm flow chart. See Reference [5]

$$Y = \frac{(SPS_5 - SPS_1)}{dy}; Z = \frac{(SPS_3 - SPS_7)}{dz}, \quad (1)$$

when the Channel A (i.e., nominal set) is used or

$$Y = \frac{(SPS_6 - SPS_2)}{dy}; Z = \frac{(SPS_4 - SPS_8)}{dz}, \quad (2)$$

when the Channel B (i.e., redundant set) is used. The parameters dy and dz are both re-configurables from ground. The second computation method is the so called "Pseudo-Paraboloid" algorithm. The positions [X, Y, Z] are computed by inverting the equations of two paraboloids fitting the penumbra intensity in two different areas. The positions are evaluated as:

$$\begin{cases} x_0 = \frac{-K - \sqrt{K^2 - 4H(L - D_{SPS_{pos}})}}{2H} + Jd_0^2 \\ y_0 = 2\sqrt{-\frac{A}{3}} \cdot \cos \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \left[\cos^{-1} \left(\frac{(I_5 - I_1)c^3}{4\sqrt{-(\frac{A}{3})^3}} \right) + 4\pi \right] \right\} \\ z_0 = 2\sqrt{-\frac{A}{3}} \cdot \cos \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \left[\cos^{-1} \left(\frac{(I_3 - I_7)c^3}{4\sqrt{-(\frac{A}{3})^3}} \right) + 4\pi \right] \right\} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

where A is given by (4):

$$A = c^3 \left(\frac{1}{a} + \frac{2r_{SPS}}{b^2} + \frac{3r_{SPS}^3}{c^3} \right) \quad (4)$$

a, b, c, H, K, J and L are the parameters of the 3D pseudo-paraboloid (re-configurable from ground), r_{SPS} is the position where the SPS are installed w.r.t. the center of the telescope pupil (i.e., 55mm), $D_{SPS_{pos}}$ is the

average of the differences between the measured values and the ones referred to the aligned configuration and $d_0 = \sqrt{y_0^2 + z_0^2}$.

The parameters of the pseudo-paraboloid (i.e., a , b , c , H , K , J and L) are theoretically estimated before the launch, but needs to be calibrated in-flight [6]. The third computation method is providing the average of the results of the two described methods.

The last module, called "Validity Flag 2", compares the ongoing calculated positions with the previous one, estimating the lateral and longitudinal speeds and checking their compatibility with the expected ones . It returns two flags related to each set of SPSs.

3. END-TO-END SIMULATOR

The end-to-end simulator has been developed in Matlab[®] since the SPS algorithm is coded using this language. The main purpose of the simulator is to provide, to the user, a tool for testing the complete SPS measurement chain, test the robustness and performances of the algorithm, verify how the errors on the XYZ coordinates returned by the algorithm changes while changing the penumbra fitting parameters. Moreover, the simulator will give a support for the activities during the commissioning phase, evaluating possible critical conditions related to the retrieved fitting parameters. In Figure 4 is shown the main page of the simulator.

Figure 4. The main page of the simulator

The tool allows the user to simulate different configurations, by changing all the re-configurable parameters of the algorithm and/or changing the measurements from the sensors (i.e., temperatures, door status, DNs generated by the SiPMs). The simulator can also generate synthetic penumbra profiles maps according to the geometry of the flight formation [7]. From a given penumbra profile map and spacecraft position, the simulator is also able to determine the irradiances detected by each SiPM and the DNs generated.

As shown in the Figure 4, on the top-left side of the simulator there are five macro sections (tabs), three related to numerical computation functionalities and two for results preview. In the next section, the numerical computation functionalities will be detailed.

3.1 2-D synthetic penumbra profile maps generation

Through the interface shown in Figure 5 ("Penumbra Profile Creation" tab), the user can generate a 2-D synthetic penumbra map.

PENUMBRA-PROFILE CREATION
[X, Y, Z] to [SPS]
[SPS] to [X, Y, Z]
GENERAL PLOTS
RELEVANT PLOT

IMPORT CSV PANEL

SSI_solar_min_max
Select SSI_solar_min_max CSV
Path SSI_solar_min_max CSV:
NaN

Responsivity_temp_dependence_m20degC_to_60degC
Select Responsivity_temp_dependence_m20degC_to_60degC CSV
Path Responsivity_temp_dependence_m20degC_to_60degC:
NaN

Ld_coefficients_CSV
Select Ld_coefficients CSV
Path Ld_coefficients CSV:
NaN

Glass_transmittance
Select Glass_transmittance CSV
Path Glass_transmittance CSV:
NaN

Coating_transmittance
Select Coating_transmittance CSV
Path Coating_transmittance CSV:
NaN

ALGORITHM PARAMETERS

Change X array range
☒ Specular at 0
☐ Start-Step-End

Longitudinal Range

Specular at 0 (meter)
To generate the fit parameters the algorithm needs at least 3 X values (ex with start at -0.025 and step at 0.05 the algo will generates three csv [-0.025 0 0.025])
Start (meter) -0.075
Step (meter) 0.05

Start - Step - End (meter)
- Initialize the range at "Start"
- Step it with a value equal to "Step"
- End it at "End" (putting 0 in the middle)
Start (meter) -0.075
Step (meter) 0.05
End (meter) 0.075

PATH EXPORT CSV

Select the folder where to export the CSV
PATH:
<ACTUAL MATLAB PATH>

Macro - Iteration
1 / N

Sub - Iteration
1 / 16

☐ Calculate fit params

START GENERATIONS

Figure 5. Penumbra profile generation tab.

The inputs for the algorithm are:

- "SSI_solar_min_max": a .CSV file reporting the solar irradiance related to the sun activity period (maximum or minimum);
- "Responsivity_temp_dependence_m20degC_to_60degC": a .CSV file containing the SiPMs responivities at different temperatures;
- "Ld_coefficients_CSV": a .CSV file with the solar limb darkening coefficients;

- "Glass_transmittance": a .CSV file containing the transmissivity data of the windows in front of the SiPMs;
- "Coating_transmittance": a CSV file with the data related to the coatings of the SiPMs;
- "Longitudinal Range": the longitudinal distances (X) where evaluate the penumbra irradiance on the lateral plane (Y-Z). The values of the penumbra irradiance is the average of the irradiances at the selected distances (X). The distances can be selected manually by using the "Start-Step-End" option, where the user will introduce the first and last longitudinal distances (i.e., start point and end point) and the step size or symmetrically to the nominal position by using the "Specular to 0" option. In this case only the start point and the step size are required;
- "Path Export CSV", the path where penumbra profile map and the fitting parameters will be exported.

The 2-D penumbra maps generated covers the lateral range (Y-Z) from [-155, -155] mm to [+155, +155] mm with a resolution of 0.5mm.

3.2 Simulation of the expected output from the SPS

This interface, depicted in Figure 6 ("[X,Y,Z] to [SPS]" tab), allows the user to evaluate the expected output from the SPS in Digital Units (DN) starting from a penumbra profile map.

The inputs for the algorithm are:

- "COORDINATES": the relative position of the spacecrafts [X,Y,Z] to simulate;
- "SPS THETA": the angle of each SiPM in radians (the 0 rad angle is aligned with the Z_{SPSXF});
- "CSV PATH": the location of the files containing the penumbra profile information and the fitting parameters.

The algorithm will display the currents and the DNs generated by each SiPMs in the current configuration. These values can be exported in files. A graphical visualization of the SPS disposition compared to the centred position and the OSC umbra is also displayed.

3.3 Spacecrafts Position Retrieval

This section is dedicate to run the SPS algorithm. From the output of the SiPMs in DN and by setting the re-configurable parameters, the position of the flight formation is returned. The tab is shown in Figure 7 .

The main settings are:

- "Raw Data": Containing the readings from the sensors (i.e., High Gain and Low Gain DNs from the SiPMs, Temperatures, Door status,...);
- "Reconf Params": all the re-configurable parameters of the algorithms (i.e., " K_i ", "pp_coarse", "pp_fine", "linear", "long", "thresholds", "algorithm" and "radius SPS"). The re-configurable parameters are listed below;
- "X, Y, Z Expected": the expected position of the formation. This values are used for evaluate the errors in the algorithm retrieved position.

The lower part of the page contains the settings for the test to be performed. The user can select the number of test to be performed, the parameters to change and their variation range (absolute or relative), to change randomly more than one parameter by performing a Montecarlo simulation, etc. The results can be exported in .csv files and/or displayed in real-time mode.

The re-configurable parameters are the same expected for the "flight" version of the algorithm:

Figure 6. Simulation of the SPS readings tab.

- the K_i parameters, 8 parameters taking into account the variation in terms of responsivity of the SiPMs during the mission life. These parameters works also as a cross-calibration parameter between the 8 SiPMs;
- pp_coarse parameters, are the four parameters (i.e., a, b, c and A) fitting the pseudo-paraboloid in a large region (see equation 3);
- pp_fine parameters, are the four parameters (i.e., a, b, c and A) fitting the pseudo-paraboloid in a small region around the "aligned" configuration. The "delta_transv" parameter define the limit of this region;
- long, are the five parameters (i.e., H, K, L, J and delta_R) for the evaluation of the longitudinal position (X), accordingly to equation 3;

PENUMBRA-PROFILE CREATION		[X, Y, Z] to [SPS]	[SPS] to [X, Y, Z]	GENERAL PLOTS	RELEVANT PLOT																																																																																																																												
SET PARAM VALUES																																																																																																																																	
Raw Data <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="3">SPS</th> </tr> <tr> <th></th> <th>High Gain</th> <th>Low Gain</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>SPS 1</td><td>1034</td><td>207</td></tr> <tr><td>SPS 2</td><td>1034</td><td>207</td></tr> <tr><td>SPS 3</td><td>1034</td><td>207</td></tr> <tr><td>SPS 4</td><td>1034</td><td>207</td></tr> <tr><td>SPS 5</td><td>1034</td><td>207</td></tr> <tr><td>SPS 6</td><td>1034</td><td>207</td></tr> <tr><td>SPS 7</td><td>1034</td><td>207</td></tr> <tr><td>SPS 8</td><td>1034</td><td>207</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Temp</th> <th>Door</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>Nom T1</td><td>308</td></tr> <tr><td>Nom T2</td><td>308</td></tr> <tr><td>Red T1</td><td>308</td></tr> <tr><td>Red T2</td><td>308</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		SPS				High Gain	Low Gain	SPS 1	1034	207	SPS 2	1034	207	SPS 3	1034	207	SPS 4	1034	207	SPS 5	1034	207	SPS 6	1034	207	SPS 7	1034	207	SPS 8	1034	207	Temp	Door	Nom T1	308	Nom T2	308	Red T1	308	Red T2	308	Recon Params <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">K coeff</th> <th colspan="2">pp_coarse</th> <th colspan="2">pp_fine</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>K1</td><td>1</td><td>a_coarse</td><td>-8.4911629078079e-1</td><td>a_fine</td><td>-4.12828072223287e</td></tr> <tr><td>K2</td><td>1</td><td>b_coarse</td><td>0.000621592577773</td><td>b_fine</td><td>0.000456794446297</td></tr> <tr><td>K3</td><td>1</td><td>c_coarse</td><td>-0.004943987617826</td><td>c_fine</td><td>-0.003621820841321</td></tr> <tr><td>K4</td><td>1</td><td>A_coarse</td><td>-0.011097367313389</td><td>A_fine</td><td>-0.004462316033793</td></tr> <tr><td>K5</td><td>1</td><td colspan="2" rowspan="2">Algorithm Algo: BOTH ☐ In run self-changing algorithm value</td><td>delta_transv</td><td>13.8</td></tr> <tr><td>K6</td><td>1</td></tr> <tr><td>K7</td><td>1</td><td colspan="2">Radius SPS R_sps: 0.055</td><td colspan="2" rowspan="2">☐ Maintain last position</td></tr> <tr><td>K8</td><td>1</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Thresholds</th> <th>Linear</th> <th>Long</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>V_max_lat</td><td>5</td><td>H</td><td>5.8413563419434e-05</td></tr> <tr><td>V_max_long</td><td>50</td><td>d_z</td><td>185800</td></tr> <tr><td>T_max</td><td>348</td><td>d_y</td><td>185800</td></tr> <tr><td>delta_flag</td><td>13.8</td><td>K</td><td>-0.542178741406663</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td>L</td><td>1034</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td>J</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td></td><td></td><td>delta_R</td><td>2327.47786519536</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>X Y Z Expected</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>X</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td>Y</td><td>0</td></tr> <tr><td>Z</td><td>0</td></tr> </tbody> </table> <input type="button" value="Import params"/>				K coeff		pp_coarse		pp_fine		K1	1	a_coarse	-8.4911629078079e-1	a_fine	-4.12828072223287e	K2	1	b_coarse	0.000621592577773	b_fine	0.000456794446297	K3	1	c_coarse	-0.004943987617826	c_fine	-0.003621820841321	K4	1	A_coarse	-0.011097367313389	A_fine	-0.004462316033793	K5	1	Algorithm Algo: BOTH ☐ In run self-changing algorithm value		delta_transv	13.8	K6	1	K7	1	Radius SPS R_sps: 0.055		☐ Maintain last position		K8	1	Thresholds	Linear	Long	V_max_lat	5	H	5.8413563419434e-05	V_max_long	50	d_z	185800	T_max	348	d_y	185800	delta_flag	13.8	K	-0.542178741406663			L	1034			J	0			delta_R	2327.47786519536	X Y Z Expected	X	0	Y	0	Z	0
SPS																																																																																																																																	
	High Gain	Low Gain																																																																																																																															
SPS 1	1034	207																																																																																																																															
SPS 2	1034	207																																																																																																																															
SPS 3	1034	207																																																																																																																															
SPS 4	1034	207																																																																																																																															
SPS 5	1034	207																																																																																																																															
SPS 6	1034	207																																																																																																																															
SPS 7	1034	207																																																																																																																															
SPS 8	1034	207																																																																																																																															
Temp	Door																																																																																																																																
Nom T1	308																																																																																																																																
Nom T2	308																																																																																																																																
Red T1	308																																																																																																																																
Red T2	308																																																																																																																																
K coeff		pp_coarse		pp_fine																																																																																																																													
K1	1	a_coarse	-8.4911629078079e-1	a_fine	-4.12828072223287e																																																																																																																												
K2	1	b_coarse	0.000621592577773	b_fine	0.000456794446297																																																																																																																												
K3	1	c_coarse	-0.004943987617826	c_fine	-0.003621820841321																																																																																																																												
K4	1	A_coarse	-0.011097367313389	A_fine	-0.004462316033793																																																																																																																												
K5	1	Algorithm Algo: BOTH ☐ In run self-changing algorithm value		delta_transv	13.8																																																																																																																												
K6	1																																																																																																																																
K7	1	Radius SPS R_sps: 0.055		☐ Maintain last position																																																																																																																													
K8	1																																																																																																																																
Thresholds	Linear	Long																																																																																																																															
V_max_lat	5	H	5.8413563419434e-05																																																																																																																														
V_max_long	50	d_z	185800																																																																																																																														
T_max	348	d_y	185800																																																																																																																														
delta_flag	13.8	K	-0.542178741406663																																																																																																																														
		L	1034																																																																																																																														
		J	0																																																																																																																														
		delta_R	2327.47786519536																																																																																																																														
X Y Z Expected																																																																																																																																	
X	0																																																																																																																																
Y	0																																																																																																																																
Z	0																																																																																																																																
CYCLE PANEL																																																																																																																																	
Test: 10 Only Real Time Select path CSV Path CSV: <ACTUAL MATLAB PATH> ☐ Montecarlo Percentage																																																																																																																																	
pp_coarse a_coarse ☐ Active Range +- 0 b_coarse ☐ Active Range +- 0 c_coarse ☐ Active Range +- 0 A_coarse ☐ Active Range +- 0		pp_fine a_fine ☐ Active Range +- 0 b_fine ☐ Active Range +- 0 c_fine ☐ Active Range +- 0 A_fine ☐ Active Range +- 0		Long H ☐ Active Range +- 0 K ☐ Active Range +- 0 L ☐ Active Range +- 0 J ☐ Active Range +- 0 delta_R ☐ Active Range +- 0																																																																																																																													
K coeff K1 ☐ Active Range +- 0 K5 ☐ Active Range +- 0 K2 ☐ Active Range +- 0 K6 ☐ Active Range +- 0 K3 ☐ Active Range +- 0 K7 ☐ Active Range +- 0 K4 ☐ Active Range +- 0 K8 ☐ Active Range +- 0		Linear d_z ☐ Active Range +- 0 d_y ☐ Active Range +- 0		START ☐ Save relevants values in a CSV Path CSV: <ACTUAL MATLAB PATH> <input type="button" value="START SIMULATION SPS-TO-XYZ"/>																																																																																																																													
SPS <table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td>SPS1 High ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> <td>SPS3 High ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> <td>SPS5 High ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> <td>SPS7 High ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SPS1 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> <td>SPS3 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> <td>SPS5 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> <td>SPS7 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SPS2 High ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> <td>SPS4 High ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> <td>SPS6 High ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> <td>SPS8 High ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> </tr> <tr> <td>SPS2 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> <td>SPS4 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> <td>SPS6 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> <td>SPS8 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						SPS1 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS3 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS5 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS7 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS1 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS3 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS5 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS7 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS2 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS4 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS6 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS8 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS2 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS4 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS6 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS8 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0																																																																																																												
SPS1 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS3 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS5 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS7 High ☐ Active Range +- 0																																																																																																																														
SPS1 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS3 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS5 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS7 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0																																																																																																																														
SPS2 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS4 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS6 High ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS8 High ☐ Active Range +- 0																																																																																																																														
SPS2 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS4 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS6 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0	SPS8 Low ☐ Active Range +- 0																																																																																																																														

Figure 7. The tab for run the SPS algorithm.

- linear, contains the two parameters for the evaluation of (Y and Z) by using the "linear" algorithm, d_y and d_z , see equations 1 and 2;
- thresholds, are the limit values for the activation of the flags;
- algorithm, allows the user to select if use the "linear" algorithm, the "pseudo-paraboloid" algorithm or both;
- radius SPS is the distance of the SiPMs w.r.t. the center of the ASPIICS pupil (i.e., 55mm).

3.4 Results display and real-time computational output

The results of the simulations are shown in real-time in a table on the right panel (see Figure 8) . The plots showing the results for the three axis [X,Y and Z] and a user defined 2 variables plot are shown in two dedicated

tabs(see Figure 9).

Figure 8. The tab where the simulation results are shown in real-time.

A detailed description of the simulator, including the Matlab[®] source code is reported in [8].

4. SIMULATOR VALIDATION

The simulator is expected to be validated in all the produced output.

- The "penumbra profile generation" module validation is in progress by using the full Sun disk data from the Solar Dynamics Observatory mission (SDO).
- The "expected output from the SiPMs" module has been validated by checking the conversion between irradiances and DN's generated by the SiPMs with the electronics design [9].
- The "spacecrafts Position Retrieval" has been validated by checking the results (i.e., X,Y and Z) provided by the simulator for known S/C positions. By the way, during the debugging of the simulator, the validation of the results has been performed by checking the results of the simulator with the results given by running the SPS algorithm stand-alone.

5. APPLICATION OF THE SIMULATOR TO THE SPS ALGORITHM TEST

The simulator has been used for the SPS algorithm debugging and is currently largely used for the SPS algorithm performances test. The main questions addressing this study are:

Figure 9. The tab dedicated to the data display (graphs).

- How much the algorithm is sensitive to the penumbra profile knowledge?
- How much the algorithm is sensitive to measurement errors?
- Are the SPS algorithm results still valid in some "exotic" S/Cs configurations?

5.1 SPS algorithm sensitivity to the penumbra profile knowledge

This study was performed in three steps. Starting from several S/C alignment configurations and using the nominal parameters for the fit of the penumbra profile, we checked the results returned by the SPS algorithm. The second step was to change for these S/C configurations a single fitting parameter at a time, finding for each parameter a range of variability where the results of the algorithms are still mathematically/physically correct

(i.e., no complex values). The last step was, starting from the information coming from the first two steps, (i.e., expected results and maximum variation range of the parameters) to apply a "Montecarlo" simulation, where all the parameters are changing randomly inside a fixed range. The results shows that the SPS algorithm returns a position with the required accuracy, when the penumbra parameters is fitted with a precision of $\pm 1\%$ in the full range or $\pm 2\%$ inside the "fine" range. In Figure 10 the results of the Montecarlo simulation at the nominal inter-satellite distance (i.e., $X=0$) and for a lateral misalignment of $Y=+10\text{mm}$ and $Z=+10\text{mm}$ is shown. The variation of the parameters was set to $\pm 2\%$ and 10^5 runs were performed.

Figure 10. The Montecarlo simulation for the position $[0,10,10]$ with a variation range of the parameters of $\pm 2\%$ and 10^5 runs. Deviations from expected results for Y axis (top left), Z axis (top right) and X axis (bottom).

5.2 SPS algorithm sensitivity to measurement errors

Since the SPS algorithm is based on differences between the readings of opposite SiPMs (see section 2), this test evaluates the errors in the retrieved position introducing asymmetries in the measurements. The results are in agreement with what expected from the electronics design [9] and they shows as depicted in Figure 11 a variation of about 46 micron/DN. This information is reported as accuracy in radiometric calibration of the SiPMs that should be better than 1%.

5.3 Specific test cases

Several configurations have been tested by using the simulator. The results provided useful information about the limitations of algorithm to retrieve specific configurations (i.e., one SiPM measure 0 DN, 2 SiPMs in the same side of the shadow, one SiPM saturated, and so on...).

The simulator has been also used as a tool for investigate the number of iterations required for the algorithm to return positions with the required accuracy, (usually < 4). A different application of the simulator has been the evaluation of the computation method (linear, pseudo-paraboloid, or the average of the two) providing better performances for specific S/C configurations. The map shown in Figure 12, referred to $X=0$, $X=100$ and $X=500\text{mm}$ ($X=0$ correspond to the nominal inter-satellite distance) depict on the lateral plane, the algorithm giving higher accuracy.

The last application we used the simulator is for the check of the region where evaluate the pseudo-paraboloid fitting parameters.

Figure 11. Error on the Y position as function of the difference between the measurements provided by SPS_5 and SPS_1 .

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this work, we shown and described the numerical simulator developed for debugging, verify and characterize the performances of the algorithm developed for the SPS subsystem of the ESA/PROBA-3 mission. The validation of the simulator itself has been reported, as some specific results of the verification campaign of the SPS algorithm. The use of such a simulator should be useful also during the commissioning and nominal phase of the mission as supporting tool for the calibration activities.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the support provided by the PROBA-3 Managerial and Technical Staff of the European Space Agency (ESA) within the contract with CSL (Centre Spatial de Liège) and subcontractors, subscribed for the Payload Instrument design and development (C/D Phases).

F. Amadori acknowledges professor Dr. Levi Giuseppe (University of Bologna) for his teachings and his ability to instill his passion and dedication to Astronomy research fields.

Figure 12. Identification of the algorithm giving better performances on the lateral plane (Y-Z) for inter-satellite distance nominal (top left), nominal+100 mm (top right) and nominal+500 mm (bottom)

REFERENCES

- [1] Lamy, P., Dame, L., Vives, S., and Zhukov, A., "ASPIICS: a giant coronagraph for the ESA/PROBA-3 Formation Flying Mission," in *[Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2010: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave]*, *Proc. SPIE* **7731** (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.858247>.
- [2] Galano, D. and et al., "Development of ASPIICS: a coronagraph based on Proba-3 formation flying mission," in *[Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2018: Optical, Infrared, and Millimeter Wave]*, *Proc. SPIE* **10698** (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2312493>.
- [3] Mankins, J. C., *[TECHNOLOGY READINESS LEVELS: A White Paper]*, NASA (1995).
- [4] Noce, V., Loreggia, D., Capobianco, G., Fineschi, S., Bemporad, A., Casti, M., Buckley, S., Romoli, M., Focardi, M., Belluso, M., Thizy, C., Hermans, A., Galano, D., and Versluys, J., "Metrology on-board PROBA-3: The shadow position sensors subsystem," *Advances in Space Research* (2020). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2020.08.004>.
- [5] Casti, M., Bemporad, A., Fineschi, S., Capobianco, G., Loreggia, D., Noce, V., Landini, F., Thizy, C., and Damien Galano, R. R., "PROBA-3 formation-flying metrology: algorithms for the shadow position sensor system," in *[International Conference on Space Optics — ICSO 2018]*, *Proc. SPIE* **11180**, 1118082 (2019). <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2536209>.

- [6] Capobianco, G., Fineschi, S., Loreggia, D., Bemporad, A., Landini, F., Casti, M., Noce, V., Romoli, M., Galano, D., and Thizy, C., “The in-flight calibration procedures of the Shadow Positioning Sensors (SPS) a very accurate optical metrology system of the ESA-PROBA3 formation flying mission,” in *[2019 IEEE 5th International Workshop on Metrology for AeroSpace (MetroAeroSpace)]*, 479–483 (2019).
- [7] Bemporad, A., Baccani, C., Capobianco, G., Fineschi, S., Focardi, M., Landini, F., Loreggia, D., Massone, G., Nicolini, G., Noce, V., Pancrazzi, M., Romoli, M., Buckley, S., O’Neill, K., Renotte, E., Servaye, J. S., and Thizy, C., “The Shadow Positioning Sensors (SPS) for formation flying metrology on-board the ESA-PROBA3 mission,” in *[Solar Physics and Space Weather Instrumentation VI]*, *Proc. SPIE* **9604**, 96040C (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1117/12.2191829>.
- [8] Amadori, F., Capobianco, G., Loreggia, D., Casti, M., Bemporad, A., Fineschi, S., Noce, V., Zangrilli, L., Belluso, M., and Pancrazzi, M., *[End-to-end numerical simulator of the Shadow Position Sensor (SPS) metrology subsystem of the PROBA-3 ESA mission]*, vol. 65 of *INAF Technical Reports* (2020). <http://dx.doi.org/10.20371/INAF/TechRep/65>.
- [9] Noce, V., Capobianco, G., Bemporad, A., Buckley, S., Romoli, M., Casti, M., Belluso, M., Fineschi, S., Billotta, S., Loreggia, D., Thizy, C., and Napiello, L., “The Shadow Position Sensors (SPS) metrology subsystem on-board PROBA3 mission : Design and performance,” in *[2019 IEEE 5th International Workshop on Metrology for AeroSpace (MetroAeroSpace)]*, 111–115 (2019).