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Introduction

Project management and systems engineering activities are crucial to ensure the successful delivery of complex, high technology projects. While project management is responsible for the timely delivery of the project within budget, systems engineering is responsible to deliver a product that will be fit for use and will fulfill the users' expectations. An essential element of systems engineering is the creation of realistic systems models that can be used to validate critical assumptions and verify the performance of the proposed system solutions.

As astronomical projects grow in scope and budget as well as becoming geographically more distributed, programmatic and technical management constitutes significant challenges. Project management tools and practices are aimed to mitigate technical, schedule, and cost risks, while facilitating “design to cost” process.

The systems engineering process begins with the establishment of the top-level requirements and continues through the design, manufacturing, and implementation phases, as well as through operation, maintenance, and eventually disposal. By facilitating a consistent and well-documented system design as well as a sound integration process, the systems engineer ensures that the project will be both scientifically rewarding and technically feasible.

System modeling is essential to validate performance allocations by proving that the entire system will achieve the high-level objectives if each system component meets its own requirements. Modeling is also vital for verifying the performance of the design developed. Simulation results are critical parts of system integration and test plans, while they also help in understanding unexpected behavior during implementation and commissioning.

This conference arches from traditional systems engineering fields, such as requirement definition, analysis, and flow-down, system architectural design, and performance analysis, to the emerging fields of end-to-end and integrated modeling. It also covers closely related areas of program management, such as financial modeling, parametric cost estimate, risk analysis, technology roadmaps, and life-cycle considerations.

The conference on Modeling and Systems Engineering was included for the first time in the SPIE symposium on Astronomical Telescopes and Instrumentation in Glasgow in June 2004. It attracted considerable interest then, and this interest continued at the meeting held in Orlando in May 2006 when project management was added to the scope of the conference. In this 2008 Symposium in Marseille, particular emphasis was placed on the synergy between ground and space projects, and this was reflected in many of the presentations.
A particular success was the session on the management of large ground-based and space projects which included five invited talks from speakers from NASA, ESA, ALMA, TNT, and the E-ELT.

George Z. Angeli
Martin J. Cullum
High redshift galaxy surveys

Masanori Iye
National Astronomical Observatory, Mitaka, Tokyo, 181-8588 Japan

ABSTRACT

A brief overview on the current status of the census of the early universe population is given. Observational surveys of high redshift galaxies provide direct opportunities to witness the cosmic dawn and to have better understanding of how and when infant galaxies evolve into mature ones. It is a much more astronomical approach in contrast to the physical approach of to study the spatial fluctuation of cosmic microwave radiation. Recent findings in these two areas greatly advanced our understanding of the early Universe. I will describe the basic properties of several target objects we are looking for and the concrete methods astronomers are using to discover those objects in early Universe. My talk starts with Lyman $\alpha$ emitters and Lyman break galaxies, then introduces a clever approach to use gravitational lensing effect of clusters of galaxies to detect distant faint galaxies behind the clusters. Finally I will touch on the status and prospects of surveys for quasars and gamma-ray bursts.

Keywords: gamma ray burst, high redshift, Lyman $\alpha$ emitter, Lyman break galaxy, quasar, survey

*m.iye@nao.ac.jp; phone 81 422 34 3520; fax 81 422 34 3527

1. INTRODUCTION

Since the discovery of the expansion of the Universe by Edwin Hubble in 1929, astronomers with ever more powerful telescopes surveyed the sky to find more and more distant galaxies. By studying distant galaxies, one can look back the early history of the Universe. Partridge and Peebles 1, in their classical 1967 paper, predicted the properties of primordial galaxies and pointed out that these galaxies with redshifted Lyman $\alpha$ emission are the targets observational astronomers should look for. Many attempts followed using 4m class telescopes for next three decades. This was, however, not an easy task 2.

Astronomers of this decade developed various techniques to isolate distant objects; narrow band imaging surveys for Lyman $\alpha$ emitting galaxies 3-28, multi-band photometric surveys for Lyman break galaxies 29-38, searches for amplified images of gravitationally lensed galaxies 39-44, quasars 45-54 and studies of sporadic gamma ray bursts 55-57 in high redshift galaxies. Galaxies up to redshift $z=6.96$ 18 were spectroscopically confirmed and there are additional candidate galaxies that appear to be at redshift $z>7$ 34-37,41,44,45.

The current picture of the big bang Universe indicates that the expanding universe cooled rapidly to form neutral hydrogen from protons and electrons at 380,000 years after the big bang. This is the epoch when the photons are decoupled from the matter. The density fluctuation of the dark matter and the matter grew by gravitational interaction and it is conceived that the first generation of stars were born at around 200 million years after the big bang. Initial set of formed stars contained wide range of mass spectrum. The absence of metal elements in the primordial gas helped to form massive stars. Due to the strong UV radiation from those newly formed massive hot stars, the surrounding intergalactic matter was gradually re-ionized. A kind of “Global Warming of the Universe”. When and how these re-ionization process took place is not observationally clarified yet but WMAP5 results 59 suggest $z=11$ if the re-ionization was an instantaneous event. It is more likely that the cosmic re-ionization could have taken place in an extended period sometime during $6 < z < 17$.

Detailed observations deep into the era beyond $z=7$ is, therefore, crucial. Some of the recent number counts of galaxies at $5.7 < z < 7$ indicate significant decrease in the number density of Lyman $\alpha$ emitting galaxies 16-18, which could either be...
due to the evolution of galaxies possibly through merging processes or due to the increasing fraction of neutral hydrogen blocking Lyman $\alpha$ emitting galaxies at high redshift.

I will describe the target population of galaxies in the early Universe and the technique astronomers are employing to find those objects together with some recent results.

2. NARROW BAND SURVEY FOR LYMAN $\alpha$ EMITTERS

What are Lyman $\alpha$ emitters, that are often abbreviated as LAEs? They are thought to be star-forming young galaxies with star formation rate from 1 to 10 solar mass per year. Hot massive stars produce strong UV radiation field and ionize the interstellar gas. The ionized hydrogen recombines and cools by emitting a Lyman $\alpha$ photon to settle down to the lowest ground level. The amount of stars produced in these galaxies is not yet very large as the usual continuum radiation from stars is not necessarily conspicuous. The spectra of LAEs are therefore characterized by strong Lyman-$\alpha$ emission line as shown in Fig.1.

![Fig. 1. Typical spectra of Lyman-$\alpha$ emitters showing conspicuous Lyman $\alpha$ emission lines.](image)

Fig. 2. OH night sky emission bands (lower panel) show a few gaps, which astronomers use as dark windows to study deep into the Universe. Narrow band filters whose transmission are matched to these dark windows are used to sample LAEs at $z=5.7$ (NB816), $z=6.6$ (NB921) and $z=7.0$ (NB973). The current CCD sensitivity falls rapidly toward 1000nm but recently developed high-resistivity, red-sensitive CCDs open a possibility to extend the accessible redshift limit up to $z=7.3$. 

![Fig. 2.](image)
How to find those LAEs? It would be natural to catch the Lyman α emission line signal from these galaxies. Since these objects are so faint, one has to consider the properties of the sky background, actually foreground radiation from the Earth’s atmosphere. The night sky glows ever brighter at longer wavelength. In the wavelength region below 1 micron, where Si-CCDs are sensitive, the night sky spectrum shows strong bands of OH emission lines as shown in the lowest panel of Fig.2. The gaps between these OH bands are nice dark windows to probe deep space.

Astronomers use narrow band filters whose transmittance bands are matched to one of these gaps to pick up light only in this gap to detect LAEs whose redshifted Lyman α emission enters in this gap. LAEs at appropriate redshift range are expected to show up brighter in the narrow band image than other broad band images. The narrow band (NB) survey is therefore trying to slice the universe in a narrow range of redshift. There are several such gaps, for instance, the narrow band filter NB816 that has the central wavelength at 816nm is suitable for isolating LAEs at redshift 5.7, NB921nm for redshift 6.6, etc. The most distant LAE at redshift 7.0 confirmed to date was also discovered using the narrow band imaging survey using a filter centered at 973nm. The sensitivity of current CCDs falls rapidly toward 1 micron but recent advent of red sensitive CCDs with thicker depletion layer will extend this redshift limit slightly up to about 7.3.

Let me talk on our discovery of the most distant galaxy. The red blob in the left panel of Fig. 3 shows the most distant galaxy, IOK-118. This LAE was discovered among the 41,533 objects in the Subaru Deep Field through the narrow band filter NB973 for a total of 15 hours with SuprimeCam58. All the objects were cross identified in images taken in other filters and only five photometric candidates for z=7 LAEs, which are visible only in this narrow band filter, were isolated (cf. Fig.4). Astronomers have a privilege to name their newly found objects and we took a liberty of naming them taking the initials of three main contributes to this survey, IOK-1 to IOK-5.

We have to be, however, careful as there are several types of possible contaminants in these 5-sigma photometric candidates. First, since the narrow band imaging observation was made 1-2 year after other broad band observations, some of the candidates may well be variable objects like AGNs or galaxies where supernovae added extra light when narrow band observation was made. Possibility for emission line objects at lower redshift is a common concern. To our surprise, simple statistics cautions us that there might be one or two 5 sigma noises as well, since there are millions of independent 2 arcsec apertures one can sample in the SuprimeCam field. Spectroscopic follow-up revealed that only one object, the brightest IOK-1, is a real LAE at redshift 6.96, with the characteristic asymmetric line profile as shown in the right panel of Fig.3.

Table 1 shows the top 10 list of high redshift galaxies with spectroscopic redshift measurement, to the best of my knowledge. You may notice that 9 out of 10 were discovered by Subaru/SuprimeCam survey in the single Subaru Deep Field. This is because Subaru/SuprimeCam enables observation of large survey volume with significant depth. Hubble Ultra Deep Field imaging survey with ACS probes much deeper than ground based observations, but has a much smaller survey volume. The wide field surveys to pick up scarce bright population and narrow field deep surveys to study fainter populations, are complementary to each other.

Subaru Deep Field surveys yielded several dozens of LAE candidates both at redshift 5.7 and 6.6 and about half of them are already confirmed spectroscopically to be LAEs. With this fair sample, one can derive the luminosity function of LAEs. The left panel of Fig.5 shows the UV continuum luminosity functions of LAEs at redshift 5.7 and 6.6 which are, more or less, identical. On the other hand, the right panel shows the Lyman α luminosity functions. We can see that the brighter population of LAEs at redshift 6.6 is significantly less abundant as compared to those at redshift 5.7.

This can be explained if the neutral hydrogen fraction of the intergalactic matter is increasing from redshift 5.7 to 6.6, as the neutral hydrogen selectively absorbs and scatters the Lyman α photons but not for UV continuum. The Ly-α luminosity functions, the UV luminosity functions, and the distribution of equivalent width of the LAEs can be reconciled with the presence of Pop III massive star formation followed by Pop II star formation to power Ly-α emission60. Of course, the scarcity in LAEs at high redshift could also be due to the evolutionary history of those galaxies building from tiny proto galaxies. Cosmic variance could be another factor, if not significant to this level.
In order to identify LAEs at $z>7$, quite a few projects to make narrow band imaging surveys with near infrared cameras are under way or planned\cite{23-28}. The field of view of infrared cameras is still considerably smaller than that of, e.g., SuprimeCam and the increasing night sky background make the infrared imaging survey very challenging if the LAE luminosity function is further declining from $z=6.6$ to further redshift.

Table 1. Top 10 list of the most distant galaxies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>ID</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>$z$</th>
<th>Gy#</th>
<th>Paper</th>
<th>Published date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>IOK-1</td>
<td>J132359.8+272456</td>
<td>6.964</td>
<td>12.88</td>
<td>Iye et al.</td>
<td>Sep. 14, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SDF ID1004</td>
<td>J132522.3+273520</td>
<td>6.597</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>Taniguchi et al.</td>
<td>Feb. 25, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SDF ID1018</td>
<td>J132520.4+273459</td>
<td>6.596</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>Kashikawa et al.</td>
<td>Apr. 25, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SDF ID1007</td>
<td>J132432.5+271647</td>
<td>6.580</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>Taniguchi et al.</td>
<td>Feb. 25, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SDF ID1008</td>
<td>J132518.8+273043</td>
<td>6.578</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>Taniguchi et al.</td>
<td>Feb. 25, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SDF ID1001</td>
<td>J132418.3+271455</td>
<td>6.578</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>Kodaira et al.</td>
<td>Apr. 25, 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*</td>
<td>HCM-6A</td>
<td>J023954.7-013332</td>
<td>6.560</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>Hu et al.</td>
<td>Apr. 1, 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SDF ID1003</td>
<td>J132408.3+271543</td>
<td>6.554</td>
<td>12.82</td>
<td>Taniguchi et al.</td>
<td>Feb. 25, 2005</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. TWO COLOR DIAGNOSIS FOR LYMAN BREAK GALAXIES

Another population of galaxies searched for in the early Universe is called Lyman Break Galaxies, abbreviated as LBGs. LBGs are thought to be fairly massive galaxies with evolved stellar population. Stellar continuum is much stronger than LAEs. Lyman $\alpha$ emission is less conspicuous as compared with LAEs. The spectra of these galaxies show characteristic discontinuity at the blue side of Lyman $\alpha$ line caused by the intrinsic stellar atmospheric absorption and by the Intergalactic neutral hydrogen absorption. These galaxies, therefore, are visible at bands redward of Lyman $\alpha$ line but are not visible at bands blueward of the Lyman $\alpha$ line. One can select out LBG candidates at $z=6$ by i-band dropouts, $z=7$ by $z'$-band dropout, and $z=9$ by J-band dropouts.

Here again, one have to be careful for possible contaminants. Galactic T-dwarfs dwell in the similar region in two color diagram. One may be able to reject T-dwarfs by their point source images if the image quality is superb. Variable objects and 5 sigma noises are the common problems for this survey as well.

Hubble ACS and NICMOS imaging at Hubble Ultra Deep Field and GOODS field was used to identify faint z-dropouts at around $z=7.3$ and about 8 candidates were isolated, but similar attempt for J dropout didn’t yield a candidate\textsuperscript{37}. Another group reported finding of 10 z-dropouts and 2 J-dropouts\textsuperscript{46}. Unfortunately, many of these objects do not show strong Lyman $\alpha$ emission and spectroscopic confirmation of their genuine redshift is difficult.

4. SURVEY FOR STRONGLY LENSED GALAXIES

Let me turn to genius survey projects using the gravitational lensing effect of a massive cluster of galaxies to magnify and brighten the background faint galaxies. Cluster of galaxies are largest telescopes in the Universe with diameter about 1Mpc. They are nice telescopes for astronomers. You do not need to ask for funding agencies for construction budget and you do not need to ask engineers to design and build them. They are in situ and free of charge to use. Of course there are some drawbacks. You cannot point them to your favorite targets. Wavefront aberrations are bazaar. Although the images produced by cluster lensing are peculiarly deformed and enlarged, the largest advantage is the fact some of the lensed images are brightened considerably and when multiply lensed images are available they can be used to check for the consistency of their reconstructed source image.

Appropriate modeling of the gravitational field of the cluster enables the prediction of the location of critical lines for assumed source redshift slice where the magnification becomes infinity. Observers can look for lensed object along these critical lines and there are in fact several candidate galaxies found in this way\textsuperscript{39,47}. For instance, a survey for strongly lensed LAEs in 9 clusters yielded six candidates\textsuperscript{44}. If any of these candidates are real, the number density of faint population of galaxies is much larger than previously considered and may well explain the necessary amount of re-ionizing source.

Fig.6 shows a promising z-dropout candidate at redshift 7.6 found behind the cluster Abel 1689 recently\textsuperscript{45}. Photometric results indicate better match to a galaxy at $z=7.6$, however, here again the possibility of galaxy at $z=1.7$ is hard to rule out just from imaging.

5. QUASARS AND GAMMA RAY BURSTERS

The last objects I am going to introduce are point sources, quasars and gamma ray bursts (GRBs), in the early Universe. The survey technique used to isolate high redshift quasar candidates is similar to that used for LBGs. Objects that match the expected spectral energy distribution of high redshift quasars are surveyed in the two color diagram or even a multi-dimension color manifold. Sloan Digital Sky Survey with its enormous data base is a nice test bed to apply this
approach. Many quasars beyond redshift 6 were found in this way\textsuperscript{48-52}. The most distant quasar to date is J1148+5251 at 6.42\textsuperscript{51}. Gunn-Peterson test of quasars up to redshift 6 indicated strongly that the cosmic re-ionization ended by redshift 6.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig5.png}
\caption{(Left panel) UV continuum luminosity function of LAEs at $z=5.7$ (blue) and $z=6.6$ (red) which are more or less identical. (Right panel) Lyman $\alpha$ luminosity functions of LAEs at $z=5.7$ (blue) and $z=6.6$ (red). Note that the significant decrease in Lyman-$\alpha$ luminosity function at its bright end (Edited from Kashikawa et al., 2006\textsuperscript{17}).}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig6.png}
\caption{Lyman break galaxy candidate at $z\sim 7.6$ discovered behind the lensing cluster A1689 (Edited from Bradley et al. 2008\textsuperscript{45}).}
\end{figure}
The advent of the real time alert system of gamma ray burst increased the chance of optical and infrared astronomers to make prompt observations of these rapidly declining bursts. The most distant GRB observed to date is GRB050904 at $z=6.3^{55}$. GRBs at high redshift can be useful tools to probe the cosmic re-ionization through its Lyman–α damping wing $^{56}$.

GRB has a much simpler featureless continuum than the quasar spectra which has broad emission lines superposed on the non-thermal continuum. GRBs are, in a way, better probes to study the re-ionization history. Both quasars and GRBs are point sources, the advent of laser guide star adaptive optics makes the observation of fainter objects feasible and we expect many such observations if the observatories pay efforts for timely follow-up spectroscopy of long burst GRBs. GRBs may provide a new way to study even higher-redshift galaxies and first generation of stars.

Fig. 7. Neutral hydrogen fraction of intergalactic matter as derived from Gunn-Peterson tests of $z>5$ quasars (black squares), damped Lyman–α wing profile (blue triangle), and Lyman α luminosity function (red circles). Also plotted is the WMAP 5 year result, which predict $z=11$ for instantaneous re-ionization. Note, however, that WMAP cannot constrain when re-ionization started and how long it took to complete.

Fig.8. Growth history of largest redshift objects. Note that GRBs are catching up quickly (Based on Tanvir & Jakobsson, 2007$^{57}$)
Fig. 7 shows the increase of the fraction of neutral hydrogen as measured from Gunn-Peterson tests of quasars up to redshift 6.42 on the left hand. Our results from redshift 6.6 and 7.0 LAE is shown in red and an upper limit from redshift 6.3 GRB is shown in blue triangle. WMAP5 polarization study concludes that the cosmic re-ionization, if it took place instantaneously, would be at redshift around 11. However, WMAP results alone cannot pin down when the cosmic re-ionization started and how long did it take to finish. Planck satellite may give more clue in 5 years time. Surveys for galaxies beyond redshift 7 up to 11 is, therefore, extremely important to elucidate what happened actually in this period and for that we need NIR deep surveys.

My last slide (Fig. 8) shows the annual growth of the records of highest redshift objects. The discovery of our z=6.96 galaxy was announced on Sep.14, 2006, 648 days ago. Simple statistical argument predicts that new record will come soon take over this race. GRBs are catching up quickly, and considering the availability of innovated LGSAO, I would rather predict GRB will not need to wait so long as lots of new surveys are under way using near infrared cameras. Besides, observations of GRBs are catching up quickly, and considering the availability of innovated LGSAO, I would rather predict GRB will soon take over this race.
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