PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE

SPIDigitalLibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie

Front Matter: Volume 8121

, "Front Matter: Volume 8121," Proc. SPIE 8121, The Nature of Light: What are Photons? IV, 812101 (25 October 2011); doi: 10.1117/12.916891

SPIE.

Event: SPIE Optical Engineering + Applications, 2011, San Diego, California, United States

PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE

The Nature of Light: What are Photons? IV

**Chandrasekhar Roychoudhuri
Andrei Yu. Khrennikov
Al F. Kracklauer**
Editors

**22–25 August 2011
San Diego, California, United States**

Sponsored by
SPIE

Cosponsored by
General Resonance, LLC (United States)

Published by
SPIE

Volume 8121

Proceedings of SPIE, 0277-786X, v. 8121

SPIE is an international society advancing an interdisciplinary approach to the science and application of light.

The papers included in this volume were part of the technical conference cited on the cover and title page. Papers were selected and subject to review by the editors and conference program committee. Some conference presentations may not be available for publication. The papers published in these proceedings reflect the work and thoughts of the authors and are published herein as submitted. The publisher is not responsible for the validity of the information or for any outcomes resulting from reliance thereon.

Please use the following format to cite material from this book:

Author(s), "Title of Paper," in *The Nature of Light: What are Photons? IV*, edited by Chandrasekhar Roychoudhuri, Andrei Yu. Khrennikov, Al F. Kracklauer, Proceedings of SPIE Vol. 8121 (SPIE, Bellingham, WA, 2011) Article CID Number.

ISSN 0277-786X

ISBN 9780819487315

Published by

SPIE

P.O. Box 10, Bellingham, Washington 98227-0010 USA

Telephone +1 360 676 3290 (Pacific Time) · Fax +1 360 647 1445

SPIE.org

Copyright © 2011, Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers

Copying of material in this book for internal or personal use, or for the internal or personal use of specific clients, beyond the fair use provisions granted by the U.S. Copyright Law is authorized by SPIE subject to payment of copying fees. The Transactional Reporting Service base fee for this volume is \$18.00 per article (or portion thereof), which should be paid directly to the Copyright Clearance Center (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923. Payment may also be made electronically through CCC Online at copyright.com. Other copying for republication, resale, advertising or promotion, or any form of systematic or multiple reproduction of any material in this book is prohibited except with permission in writing from the publisher. The CCC fee code is 0277-786X/11/\$18.00.

Printed in the United States of America.

Publication of record for individual papers is online in the SPIE Digital Library.

SPIDigitalLibrary.org

Paper Numbering: Proceedings of SPIE follow an e-First publication model, with papers published first online and then in print and on CD-ROM. Papers are published as they are submitted and meet publication criteria. A unique, consistent, permanent citation identifier (CID) number is assigned to each article at the time of the first publication. Utilization of CIDs allows articles to be fully citable as soon as they are published online, and connects the same identifier to all online, print, and electronic versions of the publication. SPIE uses a six-digit CID article numbering system in which:

- The first four digits correspond to the SPIE volume number.
- The last two digits indicate publication order within the volume using a Base 36 numbering system employing both numerals and letters. These two-number sets start with 00, 01, 02, 03, 04, 05, 06, 07, 08, 09, 0A, 0B ... 0Z, followed by 10-1Z, 20-2Z, etc.

The CID number appears on each page of the manuscript. The complete citation is used on the first page, and an abbreviated version on subsequent pages. Numbers in the index correspond to the last two digits of the six-digit CID number.

Contents

ix	Conference Committee
xiii	Introduction

SESSION 1 PHOTON COUNTING STAT AND QM-CM DICHOTOMY

- 8121 02 **The singlet state and Bell-inequality tests** [8121-01]
A. F. Kracklauer, Bauhaus Univ. (Germany)
- 8121 03 **Towards an event-based corpuscular model for optical phenomena** [8121-02]
H. De Raedt, Univ. of Groningen (Netherlands); F. Jin, K. Michielsen, Institute for Advanced Simulation, Research Ctr. Jülich (Germany)
- 8121 04 **A modified Mach-Zehnder experiment to test the applicability of quantum theory to single-particle experiments** [8121-03]
K. Michielsen, Th. Lippert, M. Richter, Institute for Advanced Simulation, Research Ctr. Jülich (Germany); B. Barbara, Institut NÉEL (France); S. Miyashita, The Univ. of Tokyo (Japan); H. De Raedt, Univ. of Groningen (Netherlands)
- 8121 05 **Controversy among giants: Young's experiment and loss of fringe visibility at low photon-count levels** [8121-05]
W. T. Rhodes, Florida Atlantic Univ. (United States)

SESSION 2 GRAVITY, RELATIVITY, AND EM WAVES

- 8121 06 **Gauss's Law for gravity and observational evidence reveal no solar lensing in empty vacuum space** [8121-06]
E. H. Dowdye, Jr., Pure Classical Physics Research (United States)
- 8121 07 **The high velocity version of classical mechanics** [8121-07]
R. T. Dorn, Independent Researcher (United States)
- 8121 08 **Alternative realization for the composition of relativistic velocities** [8121-08]
M. Fernández-Guasti, Univ. Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa (Mexico)
- 8121 09 **Relativity: a pillar of modern physics or a stumbling block** [8121-59]
G. S. Sandhu, Independent Researcher (India)
- 8121 0A **Shapiro delay: a frequency dependent transit time effect, not a space time effect** [8121-61]
S. Ghazanshahi, California State Univ., Fullerton (United States); E. H. Dowdye, Jr., Pure Classical Physics Research (United States)
- 8121 0B **Explanation of relativistic phenomena on the basis of interactions of particle energy, applied energy, and field energy** [8121-62]
V. Fernando, Independent Researcher (Canada)

SESSION 3 REVISITING DERIVATIONS AND QM CONCEPTS I

- 8121 0C **Interplay between theories of quantum and classical signals: classical representation of entanglement** [8121-14]
A. Khrennikov, Linnaeus Univ. (Sweden)
- 8121 0D **Planck's constant h not only governs atomic shell energies, moreover, is also responsible for the neutrons and protons internal structure (charge and size)** [8121-10]
E. H. Berloff, Leopold-Franzens-Univ. Innsbruck (Austria)
- 8121 0E **The physical origin of the uncertainty theorem** [8121-11]
A. Giese, Consultant (Germany)
- 8121 0F **Microscope and spectroscopy results are not limited by Heisenberg's Uncertainty Principle!** [8121-12]
N. S. Prasad, NASA Langley Research Ctr. (United States); C. Roychoudhuri, Univ. of Connecticut (United States)
- 8121 0G **Arising of entangled photon in the high finesse nanocavity** [8121-13]
V. Cheltsov, Moscow State Mining Univ. (Russian Federation); A. Cheltsov, Q-MOL LLC (United States)

SESSION 4 REVISITING DERIVATIONS AND QM CONCEPTS II

- 8121 0H **Understanding the masses of elementary particles: a step towards understanding the massless photon?** [8121-15]
K. O. Greulich, Fritz Lipmann Institute (Germany)
- 8121 0I **Diffraction described by virtual particle momentum exchange: the "diffraction force"** [8121-63]
M. J. Mobley, Arizona State Univ. (United States)
- 8121 0J **Quantum points/patterns, Part 1: from geometrical points to quantum points in a sheaf framework** [8121-17]
A. N. Fedorova, M. G. Zeitlin, Institute of Problems of Mechanical Engineering (Russian Federation)
- 8121 0K **Quantum points/patterns, Part 2: from quantum points to quantum patterns via multiresolution** [8121-18]
A. N. Fedorova, M. G. Zeitlin, Institute of Problems of Mechanical Engineering (Russian Federation)

SESSION 5 WAVES, PHOTONS, AND COMPUTING LOGICS

- 8121 0L **Wave-particle duality? not in optical computing (Invited Paper)** [8121-19]
H. J. Caulfield, Alabama A&M Univ. (United States)
- 8121 0M **Cryptography and system state estimation using polarization states** [8121-20]
S. Kak, Oklahoma State Univ. (United States); P. Verma, G. MacDonald, The Univ. of Oklahoma, Tulsa (United States)

SESSION 6 SPACE AS A MEDIUM AND ITS PROPERTIES

- 8121 ON **The extraterrestrial Casimir Effect** [8121-21]
R. C. Storti, Delta Group Engineering (Australia)
- 8121 OO **Doppler phenomena determined by photon-cosmic field interactions** [8121-22]
V. Fernando, Independent Researcher (Canada)
- 8121 OP **The constancy of "c" everywhere requires the cosmic space to be a stationary and complex tension field** [8121-23]
C. Roychoudhuri, Femto Macro Continuum (United States) and Univ. of Connecticut (United States); A. M. Barootkoob, Consultant (United States); M. Ambroselli, Univ. of Connecticut (United States)
- 8121 OR **The necessity of two fields in wave phenomena** [8121-25]
M. Fernández-Guasti, Univ. Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa (Mexico)

SESSION 7 DIVERSE PHOTON MODELS I

- 8121 OS **The nature of the photon in the view of a generalized particle model (Invited Paper)** [8121-26]
A. Giese, Consultant (Germany)
- 8121 OT **The birth of a photon** [8121-27]
K. O. Greulich, Fritz Lipmann Institute (Germany)
- 8121 OU **Non-equilibrium mechanisms of light in the microwave region** [8121-28]
J. H. J. Mortenson, General Resonance, LLC (United States)

SESSION 8 DIVERSE PHOTON MODELS II

- 8121 OV **Creation and fusion of photons** [8121-29]
A. Meulenberg, Jr., Univ. Sains Malaysia (Malaysia)
- 8121 OW **Birth of a two body photon** [8121-30]
R. T. Dorn, Independent Researcher (United States)
- 8121 OX **A QED-compatible wave theory of light, electrons, and their interactions** [8121-31]
H. H. Lindner, Consultant (United States)
- 8121 OY **The conservation of light's energy, mass, and momentum** [8121-32]
J. H. J. Mortenson, General Resonance, LLC (United States)
- 8121 OZ **Analysis of spectrometric data and detection processes corroborate photons as diffractively evolving wave packets** [8121-33]
N. Tirfessa, Manchester Community College (United States); C. Roychoudhuri, Univ. of Connecticut (United States) and Femto Macro Continuum (United States)

SESSION 9 DIVERSE PHOTON MODELS III

- 8121 11 **Studies on reaction kinetics under coherent microwave irradiations (Invited Paper)** [8121-35]
M. Sato, National Institute for Fusion Science (Japan); J. Fukushima, Nagoya Univ. (Japan); K. Kashimura, Kyoto Univ. (Japan); M. Tanaka, Chubu Univ. (Japan)
- 8121 12 **Light's infinitely variable energy velocities in view of the constant speed of light** [8121-36]
J. H. J. Mortenson, General Resonance, LLC (United States)
- 8121 13 **Investigation concerning the radiation behaviour of an elementary dipole transition** [8121-37]
E. H. Berloff, Leopold-Franzens-Univ. Innsbruck (Austria)
- 8121 14 **Virtual and real photons** [8121-38]
A. Meulenberg, Jr., Univ. Sains Malaysia (Malaysia)

SESSION 10 SUPERPOSITION AND INTERACTION PROCESS MODELS I

- 8121 15 **Evidence for unmediated momentum transfer between light waves** [8121-39]
W. R. Hudgins, Science for Humanity Trust, Inc. (United States); A. Meulenberg, Science for Humanity Trust, Inc. (United States) and Univ. Sains Malaysia (Malaysia); S. Ramadass, Univ. Sains Malaysia (Malaysia)
- 8121 16 **Why does the wave particle dualism appear to become evident particularly at optical wavelengths** [8121-40]
K. O. Greulich, Fritz Lipmann Institute (Germany)
- 8121 17 **Nature of EM waves as observed and reported by detectors for radio, visible, and gamma frequencies** [8121-41]
M. Ambroselli, P. Poulos, C. Roychoudhuri, Univ. of Connecticut (United States)
- 8121 18 **Physical processes behind a Ti:Sa femtosecond oscillator** [8121-42]
M. Fernández-Guasti, E. Nava, F. Acosta, Univ. Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa (Mexico); C. Roychoudhuri, Univ. of Connecticut (United States)
- 8121 1A **Re-interpreting "coherence" in light of Non-Interaction of Waves, or the NIW-Principle** [8121-44]
C. Roychoudhuri, Univ. of Connecticut (United States) and Femto Macro Continuum (United States)

SESSION 11 SUPERPOSITION AND INTERACTION PROCESS MODELS II

- 8121 1B **Appreciating the principle of Non-Interaction of Waves (NIW-principle) by modeling Talbot diffraction patterns at different planes** [8121-45]
N. S. Prasad, NASA Langley Research Ctr. (United States); C. Roychoudhuri, Univ. of Connecticut (United States)

- 8121 1D **Visualizing the mode evolution process in passive and active cavities based on the NIW-Principle** [8121-47]
N. S. Prasad, NASA Langley Research Ctr. (United States); C. Roychoudhuri, Univ. of Connecticut (United States)
- 8121 1E **Coherence and frequency spectrum of a Nd:YAG laser: generation and observation devices** [8121-48]
M. Fernández-Guasti, H. Palafox, Univ. Autónoma Metropolitana-Iztapalapa (Mexico); C. Roychoudhuri, Univ. of Connecticut (United States)
- 8121 1F **Visualizing superposition process and appreciating the principle of non-interaction of waves** [8121-49]
M. Ambroselli, C. Roychoudhuri, Univ. of Connecticut (United States)

SESSION 12 BEING AWARE OF OUR DIVERSE EPISTEMOLOGIES I

- 8121 1G **The nature of light in Indian epistemology (Invited Paper)** [8121-50]
S. Kak, Oklahoma State Univ. (United States)
- 8121 1H **Two-slit interference and wave-particle duality for single photons from Observer's Mathematics point of view** [8121-51]
B. Khots, D. Khots, Compressor Controls Corp. (United States)
- 8121 1I **Two types of arguing in physics: a critical discussion** [8121-52]
A. I. Vistnes, Univ. of Oslo (Norway)
- 8121 1J **Simple alternative model of the dual nature of light and its Gedanken experiment** [8121-53]
F. Hénault, Observatoire de la Côte d'Azur, CNRS, Univ. de Nice Sophia Antipolis (France)

SESSION 13 BEING AWARE OF OUR DIVERSE EPISTEMOLOGIES II

- 8121 1K **Beyond relativity and quantum mechanics: space physics (Invited Paper)** [8121-54]
H. H. Lindner, Consultant (United States)
- 8121 1L **Did Michelson and Morley test the wrong phenomenon?** [8121-55]
G. N. Mardari, The Johns Hopkins Univ. (United States) and Open Worlds Research (United States)

SESSION 14 BEING AWARE OF OUR DIVERSE EPISTEMOLOGIES III

- 8121 1M **Epistemology of quantum mechanics: the Växjö viewpoint** [8121-60]
A. Khrennikov, Linnaeus Univ. (Sweden)
- 8121 1N **Experiment versus theory: do physicists still know the difference? (Invited Paper)** [8121-56]
C. Rangacharyulu, Univ. of Saskatchewan (Canada)
- 8121 1O **The coming revolution in physics (Invited Paper)** [8121-57]
T. Silverman, IIAS (United States)

- 8121 1P **Appreciation of the nature of light demands enhancement over the prevailing scientific epistemology (Invited Paper)** [8121-58]
C. Roychoudhuri, Univ. of Connecticut (United States) and Femto Macro Continuum (United States)

Author Index

Conference Committee

Conference Chairs

Chandrasekhar Roychoudhuri, University of Connecticut (United States) and Femto Macro Continuum (United States)
Andrei Yu. Khrennikov, Linnaeus University (Sweden)
Al F. Kracklauer, Consultant (Germany)

Program Committee

Shahriar S. Afshar, Rowan University (United States)
Benjamin J. Eggleton, The University of Sydney (Australia)
Tepper L. Gill, Howard University (United States)
Karl Otto Greulich, Fritz Lipmann Institute (Germany)
Habib Hamam, Université de Moncton (Canada)
Margaret H. Hawton, Lakehead University (Canada)
Subhash C. Kak, Oklahoma State University (United States)
Akhlesh Lakhtakia, The Pennsylvania State University (United States)
Carl F. Maes, College of Optical Sciences, The University of Arizona (United States)
Juliana H. J. Mortenson, General Resonance, LLC (United States)
John M. Myers, Harvard University (United States)
Narasimha S. Prasad, NASA Langley Research Center (United States)
Chary Rangacharyulu, University of Saskatchewan (Canada)
Wolfgang P. Schleich, Universität Ulm (Germany)
Marlan O. Scully, Texas A&M University (United States) and Princeton University (United States)
Weilong She, Sun Yat-Sen University (China)
Ian A. Walmsley, University of Oxford (United Kingdom)
Herbert G. Winful, University of Michigan (United States)
Ewan M. Wright, College of Optical Sciences, The University of Arizona (United States)

Session Chairs

- 1 Photon Counting Stat and QM-CM Dichotomy
Hans De Raedt, University of Groningen (Netherlands)
Al F. Kracklauer, Consultant (Germany)
- 2 Gravity, Relativity, and EM Waves
Manuel Fernández-Guasti, Universidad Autonoma Metropolitana Iztapalapa (Mexico)
Edward H. Dowdy, Jr., Pure Classical Physics Research (United States)

- 3 Revisiting Derivations and QM Concepts I
Narasimha S. Prasad, NASA Langley Research Center (United States)
Albrecht Giese, Consultant (Germany)
- 4 Revisiting Derivations and QM Concepts II
Karl Otto Greulich, Fritz Lipmann Institute (Germany)
Andrei Yu. Khrennikov, Linnaeus University (Sweden)
- 5 Waves, Photons, and Computing Logics
Subhash C. Kak, Oklahoma State University (United States)
Riccardo C. Storti, Delta Group Engineering, P/L (Australia)
- 6 Space as a Medium and its Properties
Riccardo C. Storti, Delta Group Engineering, P/L (Australia)
- 7 Diverse Photon Models I
Erich H. Berloff, Leopold-Franzens-Universität Innsbruck (Austria)
Karl Otto Greulich, Fritz Lipmann Institute (Germany)
- 8 Diverse Photon Models II
Sureswaran Ramadass, Universiti Sains Malaysia (Malaysia)
Henry H. Lindner, Consultant (United States)
- 9 Diverse Photon Models III
Angela M. Guzman, Florida Atlantic University (United States)
Juliana H. J. Mortenson, General Resonance, LLC (United States)
- 10 Superposition and Interaction Process Models I
Arnt Inge Vistnes, University of Oslo (Norway)
Andrew Meulenberg, Jr., Universiti Sains Malaysia (Malaysia) and Hi Pi Consulting (United States)
- 11 Superposition and Interaction Process Models II
François Hénault, Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis (France)
Narasimha S. Prasad, NASA Langley Research Center (United States)
- 12 Being Aware of Our Diverse Epistemologies I
Boris Khots, Compressor Controls Corporation (United States)
Arnt Inge Vistnes, University of Oslo (Norway)
- 13 Being Aware of Our Diverse Epistemologies II
François Hénault, Université de Nice Sophia Antipolis (France)
Ghenadie N. Mardari, The Johns Hopkins University (United States) and Open Worlds Research (United States)

- 14 Being Aware of Our Diverse Epistemologies III
Andrei Yu. Khrennikov, Linnaeus University (Sweden)
Al F. Kracklauer, Consultant (Germany)
- 15 Panel Discussion on Being Aware of Our Diverse Epistemologies
Chary Rangacharyulu, University of Saskatchewan
(Canada) - *moderator*
Erich H. Berloff, Leopold-Franzens-Universität Innsbruck (Austria)
H. John Caulfield, Alabama A&M Univ. (United States)
Vladislav F. Cheltsov, Moscow State Mining Univ. (Russian Federation)
Albrecht Giese, Consultant (Germany)
Karl Otto Greulich, Fritz Lipmann Institute (Germany)
Andrei Yu. Khrennikov, Linnaeus University (Sweden)
Al F. Kracklauer, Consultant (Germany)
Henry H. Lindner, Consultant (United States)
Andrew Meulenberg, Jr., Universiti Sains Malaysia (Malaysia) and Hi Pi
Consulting (United States)
Chandrasekhar Roychoudhuri, University of Connecticut (United
States) and Femto Macro Continuum (United States)

Introduction

This is the fourth biannual conference on this series exploring the nature of light. We are pleased to say that we have experienced a dramatic increase in our growth compared to the 3rd biannual conference held in 2009. Compared to 30 papers published for the 2009 conference proceedings, we have 56 papers published for this year out of 63 accepted (due to various attritions). This is a very positive sign for this conference series. So, all of you, the contributors and all other readers, are very welcomed to join us, while actively soliciting papers from other colleagues, for our 5th biannual conference. It will be held during 25–29 August 2013 in San Diego. It will have a new parallel program: a workshop on how to logically analyze and map nature by studying interaction processes behind various natural phenomena. The workshop will be further strengthened by a good number of hour-long review presentations on relevant phenomena by various invited experts. We are in the process of raising funds from various sources to provide travel grants to senior graduate students who will be pre-selected based on their submitted papers. Readers, you are very welcomed to contact us with potential sources for raising private funds to expand the long-term productivities of this conference series.

History and Background: This conference was launched in 2005, the year of Einstein centennial, with Nobel Laureate Nicholas Bloembergen as the opening Keynote speaker. Our key objective is to provide a platform to all those serious scientists who appreciate that it is time to revitalize physics from the bottom-up starting from the time of Galileo. We are aware that the field of physics has been stagnant for decades due to the prevailing belief system that the foundation of the *Physics Edifice* has been laid quite firmly! So we need serious forums for those whose enquiring minds fully appreciate that all of our theories are necessarily incomplete as they have been constructed based upon insufficient knowledge of the cosmic system.

While we have stayed on course with the ontological question “What are photons,” the prevailing concept of *wave-particle-duality* has given us the reason to solicit all possible papers that can guide us to broaden and deepen our understanding of the nature of both photons and particles. We have remained conscious that the nature of light and the nature of elementary particles are inseparable, as demonstrated by the decay processes of elementary particles and their association with photons. That this connection is inseparable is also obvious from the fact that the limiting velocities of light and particles are given by: (i) $c^2 = \epsilon_0^{-1} \mu_0^{-1}$; (ii) $m_v = m_0 (1 - v^2 / c^2)^{-1/2} = m_0 (1 - v^2 \epsilon_0 \mu_0)^{-1/2}$. Even the mass of a particle is recognized as some inertial resistance of the relevant energy packet, $m = \Delta E / c^2 = \Delta E \epsilon_0 \mu_0$. Thus the *free space* that helps manifest both photons and

particles, clearly possess the physical properties ε_0 & μ_0 which dictate the motions of photons without inertia and the motions of particles with inertia, besides making them deeply interconnected. However, almost 90 years of *wave-particle-duality* has not brought us any closer to a clear understanding of the physical (ontological) structure of either photons or particles. This conference explicitly solicits papers that help us bring out self-consistent logical congruence between our hypotheses, mathematical theories, and observed data in such a way that they facilitate the visualization (imagination) of the invisible interaction processes, which is one of the paths towards the ontological reality! The prevailing epistemology of our Quantum Theory explicitly directs us to stay away from asking such questions. The instruction is: *just compute!*

For several centuries we have basically remained focused on successfully modeling the measurable data, without paying deeper attention to the invisible interaction processes that give rise to the data through our instruments. Thus, our mainline epistemology of modeling only data has kept us away from getting closer to the ontological realities of the cosmic system. We need to appreciate that a set of rules discovered while successfully modeling data, gathered from the study of a tiny segment of a vastly complex cosmic system, may not exactly coincide with the actual cosmic rules behind interactions. So, we must revitalize our efforts to discover and employ new logical tools, including interaction process mapping epistemology, to iteratively improve/correct the foundational hypotheses behind all of our working theories. It has definitely been productive to study particle-particle interactions at very high energies, albeit at very high costs, to unravel some of the unknown mysteries of our cosmic system! Yet, in spite of staggering successes of quantum hypotheses and their various theoretical derivatives over some 80 years, they do not really explain the root physical process behind its foundational discovery, the quantumness of the material universe!

In semi classical theory, the quantumness of the radiation field can be fully accommodated in terms of quantumness of the material emitters and detectors. Can the quantumness of the material world be fully understood in terms of classical stochastic field, or do we need to hypothesize elementary particles as quantized resonant undulations of the *vacuum field*? Are not the mainstream research efforts neglecting the fact that a deeper focus on the light-matter *interactions processes*, in contrast to just data modeling, is significantly more cost effective approach to probe the ontological structures of light and matter?

We need a rational balance. It is time for private and public funding organizations to support new scientific approaches based on a combination of old and new hypotheses, supported by logically self-consistent new theories, anchored by reproduction of the existing observations, while leading us into the future by predicting new observables, hitherto unknown!

Appreciating the Energy Behind the 4th Biannual Conference: The excitement and the energy that was pervading this 4th biannual conference was not just due to the large number of papers, but also due to the diversity of session topics. Distinctly different models for photons were presented, which should pave the way for much more refined debates during the future biannual conferences. We also had a very productive session on *Superposition and Interaction Process Models* driven by experiments and experimental modeling. We must keep on soliciting these kinds of papers since they help us anchor our models and theories closer to reality. The sessions on the *Being Aware of Our Diverse Epistemologies*, followed by the Panel Discussion on the same topic, clearly energized the minds of most of the participants. Participants recognized that we all suffer from our deep evolutionary propensity to individual subjective interpretations of the same mathematical model. This is why we need to develop our logic-refining tools like interaction process mapping epistemology in the absence of direct access to the cosmic ontology. This was obvious from participants' comments like: (i) The Solvay Convention on Quantum Mechanics will be superseded by the San Diego Convention. (ii) The sessions and the panel discussions on *Being Aware of Our Diverse Epistemologies* is a very foresighted step towards bringing new growth impetus to physics, etc...

Translating the Energy to Future Growth: Our European colleagues are already working towards expanding this San Diego conference series to Europe, by raising the necessary funds from European organizations. This is very inspiring for the organizing chairs and committee members. We would like to utilize this moment of our *conference-energy* to solicit new committee members. Please, feel free (i) to volunteer to join the committee and feel empowered to solicit new contributors, and (ii) to submit new session concepts with names of potential participants.

The Sessions of the 4th Biannual Conference: Below we present the names of the sessions for this year's conference. Please feel free to comment on which ones you would consider important and productive for the future growth of this conference series. Of course, the final session names are usually dictated by the content of the actual papers submitted.

Session 1:	Photon Counting Stat and QM-CM Dichotomy
Session 2:	Gravity, Relativity, and EM Waves
Session 3-4:	Revisiting Derivations and QM Concepts
Session 5:	Waves, Photons, and Computing Logics
Session 6:	Space as a Medium and its Properties
Session 7-9:	Diverse Photon Models
Session 10-11:	Superposition and Interaction Process Models
Session 12:	Being Aware of Our Diverse Epistemologies
Panel Discussion:	Being Aware of Our Diverse Epistemologies

We would like to take this opportunity to thank all the participants for taking time, bearing expenses for the attendance, and presenting thoughtful ideas to give this 4th biannual conference such inspiring and dramatic growth. Technological innovations come through successful emulation of physical processes behind natural phenomena. We are promoting the development of deeper understandings of physical processes behind all light-matter interaction phenomena.

Chandrasekhar Roychoudhuri
Andrei Yu. Khrennikov
Al F. Kracklauer

Announcement for the 5th biannual conference

For our 5th biannual conference in 2013, we want our current and future participants to appreciate that our conference platform will remain as broad as the frequency range of EM waves, from radio waves to gamma-rays! The key platform is to broaden our understanding of light and matter through all possible light-matter interactions. We strongly encourage authors to submit papers that attempt to imagine, visualize and explain the real physical processes behind the generation, propagation, and detection of EM waves, thereby energizing the debate on whether EM waves consist of indivisible quanta, divisible wave packets, or something new. All submitted theoretical and experimental papers should deal with actual or feasible experiments to prove their points in deference to pure mathematically formalistic papers primarily on quantum philosophy, pure quantum logics. To trigger the creative minds of the potential contributors to develop specific ideas for their papers, we are presenting a set of guiding concepts below.

Generic Physics Questions

Principle of Non-Interference of Waves (NIW)

Can a single photon create "interference" effects all by itself, when photon-photon interaction is essentially negligible in the absence of material dipoles? Are photons indivisible quanta, or divisible classical wave packets?

Causality of Time-Frequency Fourier Theorem

Should we continue to use Fourier's mathematical time-frequency theorem as a de-facto principle of physics, as if superposed light beams interfere with each other, even though they constitute non-interacting Bosons, or non-interfering classical wave packets?

Relativity and Reality of Cosmic Medium as Sustainer of Light

Why do we continue to ignore the field properties of space as unreal when Maxwell's wave equation and quantum field theory of light are based upon such fields? How can light travel through real empty space with the same possible highest velocity irrespective of the velocity of the emitters?

Quantum Optics Questions (Both Atoms and Light are Quantized)

Electrons are Discrete but "Photons" May Not Be

If electrons are stable and quantized elementary particles and their binding energies are quantized in detectors, how can we be so decisive that discrete number of photoelectron emission proves that light is also discrete? Does QM bar

all quantum devices from absorbing the necessary quantum of energy from multiple stimulators?

Single Photon Generation, Detection

Since emitting and detecting molecules are nanometer in size and the wavelengths of visible light are three orders of magnitude larger, can we trust the hypothesis of single photon generation or detection unless the emitter/detector is an isolated single atom?

"Photon Interferes Only with Itself"

Can we accept this proposal by Dirac as final when we know that heterodyne spectrometry can be carried out by superimposing beams of light on a fast detector from a star some 13-billion light-years far and an Earth-based laser?

Photons do not Arrive at Dark Fringe Locations

Should we accept the explanation that superposition fringes (whether spatial or temporal) are produced due to preferential arrival of photons as the final resolution of Dirac's proposal? All transformations happen in nature through interactions and energy exchange. How can a single photon make itself appear or disappear without any physical interaction?

Photon as a Fourier Monochromatic Mode of the Vacuum

Is it logically congruent for us to accept the definition for a photon as a Fourier monochromatic mode of the "vacuum?" A Fourier monochromatic mode is a non-causal proposition since it mathematically exists over all space and time!

Non-locality

If the fringes of superposition due to light beams become manifest only in the presence of nanometer size detecting molecules, present within the physical volume of superposition of the beams, how can interference phenomenon be non-local?

Validity of Bell's Theorem

If the fringes of superposition due to light beams become manifest only in the presence of detecting molecules, should not the Bell's theorem be re-derived in terms of summation of the simultaneous dipole stimulations by the light beams?

a & $a^\dagger$ as Repackaged A&B Coefficients

Since "creation" and "annihilation" of photons in reality are always carried out by material dipoles, do a & $a^\dagger$ really represent Einstein's "AB" coefficients for atoms?

Quantum Computation by Single Photons

Is it possible to track the same single photon through the stages of generation, propagation, manipulation, and detection processes for quantum computation,

encryption, and communication when the light-matter interaction is always statistical?

Nanophotonics and Plasmonic Photonics

If quantum, in contrast to bulk, material properties start dominating in nanophotonic materials, why do we not need propagating quantized EM fields in such devices? Why classical diffractive propagation using Maxwell's wave equation yields perfectly valid results?

Optical Trapping and Vortex Optics

Can the experimental advancements in these fields help us discern between "indivisible photon vs. classical wave packet?"

Bose-Einstein Condensates

Since photons are Bosons, can we leverage the advancements in BEC physics to understand the nature of light, photons vs. wave packets, any better?

Particle Physics

Since photons and elementary particles can give birth to each other, can the study of the structure of light lead to better understanding of the structure of particles?

Semi-Classical Optics Questions (Quantized Atoms and Classical Wave Packets)

Diffraction vs. Interference

Classical mathematical formulation for both these phenomena is fundamentally the same. We sum the component EM waves, whether they are secondary wavelets produced due to perturbation by apertures or they are superposed well-formed beams. But, if light beams constitute photons and photons are non-interacting Bosons, then classical physics has been wrong for centuries to assume "interference" of light. Is classical physics correct?

Fourier Transform Spectroscopy

Why does Michelson's Fourier transform spectroscopy work, even though different frequencies produce heterodyne signals, while Michelson derived his relation by assuming that different frequencies do not interfere?

Mode-lock Laser Pulse

If light beams of different frequencies do not interfere, why do we claim that mode-locked laser pulses are produced by superposition of periodic longitudinal modes? Why do the multi-mode CW He-Ne gas lasers produce steady CW intensity instead of random pulses even though the "temporal coherence" of each mode is over millisecond?

Elliptically Polarized Beam

If orthogonally polarized light cannot interfere to produce fringes, how can they interfere to produce elliptically polarized beam? If the amplitude of the electric vector in an elliptically polarized light oscillates in its value, would not the energy of the beam (square of the amplitude) oscillate?

Dispersion: Fourier Frequencies of a Pulse and Pulse Broadening

If the response time of atoms and molecules to incident light beam is in the domain of femto seconds or shorter, then how do material media figure out how to respond to the Fourier frequencies of pico or nano second pulses that barely touched the material facet? What are the physical processes by which the molecules of a "dispersive" medium figures out the presence of Fourier frequencies due to short pulses?

Slow and Fast Light

Is "superluminal" velocity of light a physical reality or limitations of our mathematical model that use Fourier frequencies?

Coherence Theory

If light beams constitute non-interacting Bosons, then why do we present coherence of light beams as field-field correlation instead of as correlation of simultaneous dipole stimulations of the detecting molecules simultaneously induced by multiple superposed fields?

Coherence and Decoherence

If diffractive propagation of all classical beams show enhancement in coherence (van Cittert-Zernike theorem), how can we reconcile decoherence of light beams as they evolve through propagation?

Classical Spectroscopy

The principle of conservation of energy in the real world dictates that all light signals constitutes finite pulses. Then, why do we not derive classical spectroscopic formula by directly propagating the carrier frequency of pulses, while taking care of their time-finite durations? Can a passive grating really decompose a pulse into its component Fourier frequencies and then separate them out? Then why do we need nonlinear optical media to generate new frequencies?

Resolution Limit of Classical Spectroscopy

Classical physics accepts the fundamental limit of spectrometric resolving power limit as $\delta\nu\delta t \geq 1$ for pulse of width δt . This is only a corollary of the time-frequency Fourier theorem. Can this mathematical corollary of the time-frequency Fourier theorem be a principle of nature when the parent theorem is not?