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First responders, joint operations centers, and recovery and remediation personnel consider timely and affordable airborne chemical, radiological, imagery analysis, and related mapping products essential in the formulation of a complete understanding of an incident and its potential impact on adjacent communities, and for recovery and remediation. Airborne remote sensing provides the flexibility to produce incident specific products and conduct over-flights at the frequencies needed to provide timely and relevant information for recovery and remediation operations, optimization of resources during an event, and for the safety of emergency response personnel.

The utility of airborne chemical remote sensing became apparent to the EPA during a chemical plant explosion, which occurred in Sioux City, Iowa in December of 1994. The facility produced ammonium nitrate fertilizer, and also produced its own ammonia for use in the process. In late December an explosion occurred rupturing the main storage tank and spilling three million gallons of ammonia. This resulted in lethal vapor levels in and around the plant and created a plume of ammonia vapors estimated to be 35 miles long. Approximately 3,500 people were evacuated over a 50 square mile area. The EPA sent in vehicles with ground sampling crews dressed in Level A hazmat suits with 30 minute air packs to monitor the site. Due to heavy snow coverage on the ground and saturated soil conditions underneath the snow, all of the EPA vehicles became stuck. Ground sampling crews had to be rescued before air supplies ran out. Consequently, no monitoring of vapor levels was accomplished.

The lessons learned from responding to the chemical explosion in Sioux City, Iowa in 1994 prompted the EPA to begin evaluating the application of airborne remote sensing infrared and gamma ray spectroscopy for emergency responses involving chemical and radiological incidents. Concurrently, with the evaluation process to determine the performance and feasibility of implementing infrared and gamma ray spectroscopy in an airborne platform came the evolution of a set of core requirements for an airborne operational capability: Standoff chemical and gamma ray detection and identification with low false alarm rates; High resolution ortho-rectified day-night imagery; Airborne data collection under cloud ceilings; Rapid dispatch-wheels up in under one hour after activation; Automated data processing – real or near-real-time chemical data analysis; Direct integration of data and information to local incident commanders-local and federal joint operations centers; Data telemetry to and from the aircraft.

According to the EPA, in the United States there are approximately 123 facilities where a release of chemicals could threaten more than one million people. There are approximately 750 additional facilities where a chemical release could threaten more than a hundred thousand people.

In 2001, the EPA implemented the United States only civilian operational airborne chemical detection and identification capability called the Airborne Spectral Photometric Environmental Collection Technology (ASPECT) Program. Subsequently in 2003, the EPA and NGA agreed to collaborate in a cooperative research and development program focused on evolving the capabilities of the ASPECT Program to produce near-real-time state of the art chemical, radiological and imagery mapping emergency response products.
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The ASPECT model of operation combines an airborne operational remote sensing suite with a research and development support team to insure that analysis and products are validated and verified scientifically and are reviewed and checked before release. The research and development support team collaboration between the EPA and NGA to evolve the capabilities of the ASPECT Program has resulted in the following significant accomplishments: Near-real-time automated onboard chemical detection and identification of 78 chemical compounds with low false alarm rates; Near-real-time information on plume direction and concentrations; Automated software producing day/night ortho-rectified imagery rapid response maps; Automated software producing gamma ray survey information maps onboard the aircraft; Data and information telemetry to and from the aircraft facilitating turn-around times and seamless integration of vital situational awareness information from the aircraft to first responders or joint operation centers in 5 to 15 minutes.

Since 2001 the ASPECT Program has provided essential information during 115 emergency, disaster, and homeland security related incidents ranging from chemical plant explosions and train derailments to fires, floods, hurricanes, and special events. The ASPECT Program played key roles in providing essential information to first responders and joint operations centers in response to the following historical events: The Shuttle Columbia break up during re-entry over Texas in February of 2003; Hurricane Katrina in August of 2005; The Deepwater Horizon Oil Spill disaster in the Gulf of Mexico from April-August 2010.

Over the past decade in over 115 responses, the ASPECT program has demonstrated the utility of having timely, cost-effective operational airborne chemical and radiological remote sensing information integrated seamlessly into to the local, state and federal emergency response and disaster recovery and remediation communities. What is needed next is the implementation of multiple aircraft strategically located throughout the United States so that ASPECT capabilities can be on the scene of a disaster or event in less than three hours.