PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE

SPIDigitalLibrary.org/conference-proceedings-of-spie

Spatially offset Raman spectroscopy for photon migration investigations in long bone

Kay Sowoidnich, John Churchwell, Kevin Buckley, Jemma Kerns, Allen Goodship, et al.

Kay Sowoidnich, John H. Churchwell, Kevin Buckley, Jemma G. Kerns, Allen E. Goodship, Anthony W. Parker, Pavel Matousek, "Spatially offset Raman spectroscopy for photon migration investigations in long bone," Proc. SPIE 9540, Novel Biophotonics Techniques and Applications III, 954009 (17 July 2015); doi: 10.1117/12.2183632

SPIE.

Event: European Conferences on Biomedical Optics, 2015, Munich, Germany

Spatially Offset Raman Spectroscopy for photon migration investigations in long bone

Kay Sowoidnich^{*a,b}, John H. Churchwell^b, Kevin Buckley^{a,b}, Jemma G. Kerns^{b,c},
Allen E. Goodship^b, Anthony W. Parker^{a,b}, Pavel Matousek^{a,b}

^a Central Laser Facility, Research Complex at Harwell, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory,
Harwell Oxford OX11 0FA, UK

^b UCL Institute of Orthopaedics and Musculoskeletal Science, Royal National Orthopaedic Hospital,
London HA7 4LP, UK

^c Lancaster Medical School, Faculty of Health and Medicine, Lancaster University, LA1 4YG, UK

ABSTRACT

Raman Spectroscopy has become an important technique for assessing the composition of excised sections of bone, and is currently being developed as an *in vivo* tool for transcutaneous detection of bone disease using spatially offset Raman spectroscopy (SORS). The sampling volume of the Raman technique (and thus the amount of bone material interrogated by SORS) depends on the nature of the photon scattering in the probed tissue. Bone is a complex hierarchical material and to date little is known regarding its diffuse scattering properties which are important for the development and optimization of SORS as a diagnostic tool for characterizing bone disease *in vivo*. SORS measurements at 830 nm excitation wavelength are carried out on stratified samples to determine the depth from which the Raman signal originates within bone tissue. The measurements are made using a 0.38 mm thin Teflon slice, to give a pronounced and defined spectral signature, inserted in between layers of stacked 0.60 mm thin equine bone slices. Comparing the stack of bone slices with and without underlying bone section below the Teflon slice illustrated that thin sections of bone can lose appreciable number of photons through the unilluminated back surface. The results show that larger SORS offsets lead to progressively larger penetration depth into the sample; different Raman spectral signatures could be retrieved through up to 3.9 mm of overlying bone material with a 7 mm offset. These findings have direct impact on potential diagnostic medical applications; for instance on the detection of bone tumors or areas of infected bone.

Keywords: Spatially offset Raman spectroscopy (SORS), photon migration, bone, diffuse scattering, sub-surface analysis, turbid medium

1. INTRODUCTION

Raman spectroscopy can provide rich chemically specific information and is therefore widely applied as an analytical tool in a number of areas. However, in its conventional form confocal Raman microscopy restricts the sample volume probed to depths of around 100-200 μm . The advent of spatially offset Raman spectroscopy (SORS) enables these limits to be breached and has proven successful in numerous applications¹. The SORS technique is based on a spatial separation of the point of sample illumination by the excitation laser beam and the point at which the Raman scattered photons are collected. A small spatial offset will favor the detection of photons emerging from near the surface while larger offsets increase the probability of detecting photons from deeper layers. Near infrared excitation wavelengths also facilitate higher penetration depths into the probed sample, in particular human tissue, as this spectral region exhibits low light absorption for the vast majority of (biological) samples.

The ability of SORS to extract chemical information from deep inside the sample (up to several millimeters) has paved the way for numerous applications in non-destructive sub-surface analysis². Especially in the biomedical field SORS is rapidly becoming a valuable tool for tissue analysis³. Application areas include the identification of breast calcifications⁴ and the detection of tumors⁵. Intensive research has also been performed on transcutaneous bone characterization to assess material composition for potential bone disease diagnosis⁶⁻⁸. Despite these successes, a key issue remains largely unaddressed: from what depth Raman information is extracted for a given spatial offset and how does the light scattering properties of tissues influence the SORS process at the applied NIR wavelengths? This paper aims to address these points by carrying out photon migration investigations inside bone.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Instrumentation

The Raman investigations were performed using a custom built SORS system (Cobalt Light Systems Ltd., Oxfordshire, UK) delivering 300 mW of 830 nm radiation to the sample surface. The spatial offset was achieved by means of annular laser illumination zones with selectable radius and a fixed signal collection zone in the center of the illumination ring¹. The spatial offsets between excitation and collection areas ranged from 0 mm to 7 mm. The scattered Raman radiation from the central collection zone on sample surface of diameter 1 mm was imaged into a low-loss Optran WF fiber bundle (CeramOptec, East Longmeadow, MA) and transferred into a spectrograph (Raman Explorer, Headwall, MA) equipped with a CCD detector (Andor iDus 420 BR-DD; Andor, Belfast, Northern Ireland).

Figure 1. Photograph of the custom built SORS system used in this study.

2.2 Sample material

Tissue samples comprised section cuts from the mid-shaft (diaphysis) as well as the end-shaft (metaphysis) of a horse metacarpal, each 4 cm in length. By means of a band-saw 6 slices 0.60 mm thick were cut along the long axis of the bone section. The top section was not used in the measurements due to its small size and curvature. For the photon migration studies the remaining five bone slices were stacked together in the same order they originally had within the bone before cutting, forming a stack of 4 bone-bone interfaces between layers. For SORS measurements a slice of Teflon (polytetrafluoroethylene) with a thickness of 0.38 mm was inserted between individual bone layers within the stack, and below the stack. For each Teflon depth position three lateral positions were probed and at each spot 200 spectra with an integration time of 0.1 s were collected. To investigate a possible influence of an additional bone volume below the slices experiments were repeated with the corresponding bone segments below the stack of bone slices. Raman intensity ratios were determined considering the most prominent bands of Teflon at 733 cm^{-1} as well as bone at 961 cm^{-1} .

Figure 2. Schematic representation of used bone sample and measurement arrangements (a), photograph of cut sections and slices from the mid-shaft (diaphysis) as well as from the end-shaft (metaphysis) (b).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 SORS spectra of buried Teflon layer

Teflon was selected as it has a strong single Raman band at 733 cm^{-1} which is in the vicinity but completely resolved from the strong bone phosphate band at 961 cm^{-1} . The Teflon thickness of 0.38 mm was selected to yield Raman band intensity in the same order of magnitude as the bone phosphate band. Figure 3 displays Raman spectra for SORS offsets of 0 mm , 2 mm , 4 mm , and 6 mm when the Teflon layer is located below 3 mm of horse metacarpal end-shaft (metaphysis) with the bone segment placed below the stack of slices. For clarity the broad background due to fluorescence interference was removed by subtracting a 5th order polynomial from each spectrum⁹. When the Teflon layer was measured through 3 mm of bone material the prominent Teflon band at 733 cm^{-1} was still observable in the spectra.

Figure 3. Background-corrected Raman spectra of horse metacarpal end-shaft (metaphysis) with a Teflon layer located below 3 mm of bone and above the bone segment shown for selected SORS offsets, a) 0 mm , b) 2 mm , c) 4 mm , d) 6 mm , spectra are shifted vertically for clarity, insert depicts expanded view of the Teflon Raman band.

In Figure 4, the Teflon to bone intensity ratio and the signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio of the Teflon Raman band at 733 cm^{-1} are presented as a function of the applied SORS offset. Although the absolute intensities were slightly higher for small spatial offsets the Teflon to bone ratio increased from about 1.7 % for zero offset to 8.1 % for a SORS offset of 7 mm. A similar trend can be observed for the S/N ratio of the most intense Teflon band with values increasing from 2.7 at 0 mm offset up to about 7-8 at offsets greater than 6 mm. Both graphs demonstrate a superior visibility of the small Teflon signal using larger SORS offsets due to a combination of increased Teflon to bone ratio and larger S/N ratios.

Figure 4. Teflon to bone intensity ratios (left-hand scale) and S/N ratios of the Teflon band at 733 cm^{-1} (right-hand scale) in dependence from SORS offset, Teflon layer located below 3 mm of horse metacarpal end-shaft bone (metaphysis) with the bone segment in place beneath the Teflon slice.

When investigating the Teflon layer below the 5 bone slices, without solid bone material underneath, the same trend could be observed albeit with the Teflon to bone intensity ratios reduced by up to 52 % and the S/N ratios of the Teflon band reduced by up to 58 %. These dramatic reductions are ascribed to the absent bone material beneath. Without a diffusely scattering medium underneath photons passing through the Teflon slice retain their propagation direction and therefore cannot contribute to the Raman signal intensity. In contrast, with the bone underneath the Teflon laser photons which have already travelled through the thin Teflon sample have a certain probability to reverse their propagation direction by means of multiple diffuse scattering inside the underlying bone. This diffuse scattering can partly be regarded as a “photon reversal” redirecting at least some photons back towards the overlaying Teflon. Hence, these additional laser photons provide the potential to generate more Raman photons inside the Teflon thereby increasing the Raman signal intensity. By the same mechanism Raman photons already originating from the Teflon layer that would have been lost at the rear of sample are also returned back into the sample by means of the underlying bone tissue.

The Teflon to bone intensity ratios were calculated for all investigated SORS offsets and selected data are displayed in Figure 5 as a function of the overlaying bone thickness above the Teflon slice. The decrease in the intensity ratio is much more pronounced for smaller SORS offsets than for larger offsets. The overall reduction going from 0.6 mm depth to 3 mm depth amounts to 94 % and 67 % for SORS offsets of 0 mm and 6 mm, respectively. This can be explained as smaller offsets giving a higher ratio for smaller depths as the Teflon volume and the probed volume have a maximum overlap in that case. Moving the Teflon deeper inside the bone will reduce the spatial overlap and hence the Teflon to bone ratio resulting in the observed dramatic decrease. In contrast, using a 6 mm spatial offset, i.e. probing greater depths, results in a small ratio when the Teflon sample is located at a small depth. Here, increasing the Teflon depth inside the bone will consequently increase the overlap with the sampled volume leading to larger values for the Teflon to bone ratio. However, in all the cases this effect is accompanied by an overall signal decrease with increasing depth leading to the observed curve shapes.

3.2 Dependence of signal recovery with depth from SORS offset

Figure 5. Teflon to bone ratios dependence on bone layer thickness above the Teflon slice using bone slices of horse metacarpal end-shaft (metaphysis) with the bone segment located underneath the stack of slices.

From the data we obtain the SORS optimum offset to give the maximum Teflon to bone Raman signal intensity ratios for each Teflon depth within the bone layer stack. The resulting plots in figure 6 give valuable information about the approximate depth from which the main signal contribution arises when a specific spatial offset is applied. If the bone segment is placed beneath the slices there is a monotonic increase in SORS offset required to retrieve the maximum signal contribution with increasing depth. As an example, to interrogate the sample predominantly at a depth of 3 mm requires SORS offsets of 8 mm and 7 mm for mid-shaft bone (diaphysis) and end-shaft bone (metaphysis), respectively. In contrast, when using the slices only, the spatial offset necessary to obtain the maximal Teflon to bone intensity ratio exhibits only small variation when the Teflon slice is moved to depths larger than about 1.8 mm. At that point, already significant amounts of photons get lost through the unilluminated back-surface of the bone layer stack. However, at lower Teflon depths inside the bone there is still a sufficient amount of bone material below the Teflon layer to enable effective backscattering of photons resulting in similar curve shapes for using the slices with and without the bone segment underneath it.

Figure 6. SORS offsets required obtaining maximum Teflon to bone intensity ratio for selected Teflon depths below the bone surface for horse metacarpal mid-shaft (diaphysis) and end-shaft (metaphysis), slices with bone segment underneath in place (a), stack of five bone slices only (b).

3.3 Maximum accessible penetration depth for signal recovery

Taking into account the S/N ratios of the Teflon Raman band at 733 cm^{-1} penetration depths for a given SORS offset were estimated based on the 3-sigma criterion, i.e. considering the Raman band to be still detectable if its intensity equals three times the baseline noise level. It is noteworthy that even for the zero spatial offset depths of 2.8-3.0 mm can be probed in the applied illumination and collection configuration. This is particularly advantageous when investigating biological samples as probing a larger volume helps to reduce inhomogeneity. When having the bone segment in place underneath the stack of 5 bone slices penetration depths of up to 3.7 mm and 3.9 mm can be realized using 7 mm spatial offset for mid-shaft bone (diaphysis) and end-shaft bone (metaphysis), respectively. Considering the bone slices only, i.e. without a diffusely scattering medium underneath penetration depths are generally lower. The reduction was ca. 4-6 % for small offsets and increased up to 22 % for large SORS offsets due to the missing “photon reversal” effect.

Figure 7. Estimated penetration depths dependent from applied SORS offset based on the 3-sigma criterion for horse metacarpal mid-shaft (diaphysis) and end-shaft (metaphysis), slices with bone segment underneath in place (a), stack of bone slices only (b)

4. CONCLUSION

The research undertaken provides valuable information about photon migration inside selected bone material. For a given SORS offset the approximate location of the probed volume within the bone material, i.e. at what depth Raman signals are predominantly detected from, has been determined. To enable effective signal recovery from the desired depth it is essential that a sufficiently large amount of diffuse scattering material is present beneath that depth within the sample. When this condition is met and using Teflon as an example, a Raman signature arising from a substance chemically different from and not present in the native bone spectrum could be recovered through up to 3.9 mm of overlaying compact bone tissue. These findings have a direct impact on medical diagnostics using SORS, e.g. enabling the non-invasive detection of spectral changes caused by cancer or infection deep inside the bone.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council (EP/H002693/1), the Science and Technology Facilities Council, and University College London for their support.

REFERENCES

- [1] Matousek, P., "Inverse Spatially Offset Raman Spectroscopy for Deep Noninvasive Probing of Turbid Media," *Appl. Spectrosc.* 60(11), 1341-1347 (2006).
- [2] Buckley, K. and Matousek, P., "Non-invasive analysis of turbid samples using deep Raman spectroscopy," *Analyst* 136(15), 3039-3050 (2011).
- [3] Matousek, P. and Stone, N., "Recent advances in the development of Raman spectroscopy for deep non-invasive medical diagnosis," *J. Biophotonics* 6(1), 7-19 (2013).
- [4] Stone, N., Baker, R., Rogers, K., Parker, A. W. and Matousek, P., "Subsurface probing of calcifications with spatially offset Raman spectroscopy (SORS): future possibilities for the diagnosis of breast cancer," *Analyst* 132(9), 899-905 (2007).
- [5] Keller, M. D., Vargis, E., de Matos Granja, N., Wilson, R. H., Mycek, M.-A., Kelley, M. C. and Mahadevan-Jansen, A., "Development of a spatially offset Raman spectroscopy probe for breast tumor surgical margin evaluation," *J. Biomed. Opt.* 16(7), 077006-1-077006-8 (2011).
- [6] Schulmerich, M. V., Dooley, K. A., Vanasse, T. M., Goldstein, S. A. and Morris, M. D., "Subsurface and Transcutaneous Raman Spectroscopy and Mapping Using Concentric Illumination Rings and Collection with a Circular Fiber-Optic Array," *Appl. Spectrosc.* 61(7), 671-678 (2007).
- [7] Okagbare, P. I., Begun, D., Tecklenburg, M., Awonusi, A., Goldstein, S. A. and Morris, M. D., "Noninvasive Raman spectroscopy of rat tibiae: approach to in vivo assessment of bone quality," *J. Biomed. Opt.* 17(9), 090502-1-090502-3 (2012).
- [8] Buckley, K., Kerns, J. G., Gikas, P. D., Birch, H. L., Vinton, J., Keen, R., Parker, A. W., Matousek, P. and Goodship, A. E., "Measurement of abnormal bone composition *in vivo* using noninvasive Raman spectroscopy," *IBMS BoneKEy* 11, Article number 602 (2014).
- [9] Lieber, C. A. and Mahadevan-Jansen, A., "Automated Method for Subtraction of Fluorescence from Biological Raman Spectra," *Appl. Spectrosc.* 57(11), 1363-1367 (2003).