Optical micro-shadowgraph-based method for measuring micro-solderball height
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Abstract. An optical micro-shadowgraph for the height measurement of a micro-solderball on a semiconductor wafer is proposed. The micro-shadow image resulting from an oblique illumination onto the protruded solderball/bump on the wafer is clearly captured. Experimental investigation shows that accurate solderball height measurement can be readily obtained. © 2005 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.1906003]
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The reliability of the solder joint/solderball in the micro-ball grid array (micro-BGA)1 has been observed to be highly dependent on the height uniformity/coplanarity2 of the micro-solderballs across the wafer. To obtain the height information from a micro-BGA on a silicon wafer, we explore a simple but effective method using the inherent shadow phenomenon of an object illuminated by an oblique illumination.

Figure 1 shows a schematic of a micro-solderball/bump illuminated by an incident beam of angle \( \theta \). The image plane of the CCD sensor in the X-Y plane is parallel to the line \( AD \) and perpendicular to the reflected light. A lens would direct the resulting shadow onto the CCD sensor and hence a typical shadow and projection image of a micro-solderball in the X-Y plane can be illustrated as an overlapped shadow pattern. The length of both \( d \) and \( L \) can be measured, where \( d \) is the diameter of the bump and \( L \) is the total length across the bump and its shadow. Hence, from Rt \( \Delta ACD \), we have

\[
\sin 2\theta = \frac{AD}{AC} = \frac{AD}{AC} = L/\beta = \frac{L}{\beta}, \tag{1}
\]

\[
AC = \frac{L}{\beta \cdot \sin 2\theta}. \tag{2}
\]

where \( \beta \) is magnification of the microscopic system. From Rt \( \Delta AOG \),

\[
\left(\overline{AE} + EO\right) \sin \theta = \overline{GO}, \tag{3}
\]

where \( EO = GO = d/2\beta \). We have

\[
\overline{AE} = \frac{d}{2\beta} \cdot \sin \theta. \tag{4}
\]

and from Rt \( \Delta AFC \), we have

\[
\overline{AF} = \overline{AC} \cos \theta. \tag{5}
\]

Substituting Eqs. (2), (4), and (5) into \( H = \overline{AF} - \overline{AE} \), the height of the solderball is given by:

\[
H = \frac{1}{2\beta \sin \theta} \left[ L - (1 - \sin \theta) \cdot d \right]. \tag{6}
\]

In Fig. 2, light from a halogen lamp passes through a collimating lens 1 and is directed onto a focusing lens 2. The light beam emerging from lens 2 is focused onto an aperture stop 1. A telecentric illumination consisting of lens 1, lens 2, stop 1, and lens 3 enables illumination with collimated light over the test surface.3 Note that lens 3 (semilens) allows the illumination angle to be easily adjusted by selecting illumination axis offset (P) and most of the light.
is reflected in the specular direction as the test surface (silicon wafer) is mirror-like. To capture the resulting shadow pattern, another telecentric microscope consisting of an objective (focal length \( f_o = 20 \) mm), aperture stop 2, and lens 4 (focal length \( f_s = 100 \) mm) is aligned in the specular direction of the reflected light. This arrangement ensures an optimal contrast of the recorded white-black pattern on a CCD sensor. The resulting shadow pattern is recorded through a frame grabber for the further processing.

Figure 3(a) shows a typical shadow pattern of micro-solderballs on a wafer. It is seen that those shadows are elongated in the X direction. To determine the shadow contour, Canny’s edge method is applied to the above shadow pattern. Figure 3(b) shows the edges/contours of those shadows. As shown in Fig. 3(b), the shadow edges have been located and the corresponding shadow length is correlated to the micro-solderball/bump height. For example, the edge locations across the centroids of a specific bump A and its shadow length along the Y direction have been used to measure the bump diameter \( d (= 58 \) pixels) and the elongated shadow along the X direction in a length of \( L(= 75 \) pixels) was also obtained. Based on Eq. (6), the bump height of 108 \( \mu \)m was determined. Similarly, individual height of each bump on the wafer can be measured accordingly. For those bumps in Fig. 3, the bump height variation (uniformity/coplanarity) was evaluated using a statistical parameter of standard deviation \( \sigma \) to be \( \pm 4.8 \mu \)m. To verify the accuracy of the proposed method, the height (108.2 \( \mu \)m) of bump A was obtained using a commercial WYKO profiler (Model: Wyko NT 3300, a white-light interferometric profiler). Compared to the height (108 \( \mu \)m) obtained by the proposed method, the discrepancy is less than 0.2%. According to the ISO guide, the combined standard uncertainty \( u_c(H) \) attributed to \( H \) based on Eq. (6) is given as follows:

\[
u_c(H) = \left[ \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial L} \right)^2 \left( u_L \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial d} \right)^2 \left( u_d \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \beta} \right)^2 \left( u_\beta \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} \right)^2 \left( u_\theta \right)^2 \right]^{1/2},
\]

where the partial derivatives \( \left( \frac{\partial H}{\partial L} = 0.3, \frac{\partial H}{\partial d} = 0.2, \frac{\partial H}{\partial \beta} = 22, \text{and} \frac{\partial H}{\partial \theta} = 137 \) \) for bump A are called sensitivity coefficients, \( u_L, u_d, u_\beta, \text{and} u_\theta \) are the standard uncertainties of \( L, d, \beta, \text{and} \theta \) respectively. The error resulting from determination of those lengths of \( L \) and \( d \) are less than 1 pixel (10 \( \mu \)m) which is 2 \( \mu \)m on the test surface while the magnification \( (\beta) \) is \( 5 \times \). The corresponding standard uncertainties assuming a rectangular distribution are given approximately by \( u_L = 2/\sqrt{3} = 1.2 \mu m \). The magnification \( (\beta = 5 \times) \) is in a tolerance of \( \pm 0.05 \% \) with standard uncertainty \( u_\beta = 0.05/\sqrt{3} = 0.03 \times \). The error resulting from illumination angle uncertainty was estimated to be in the range of \( \pm 1 \) deg (0.02 rad) and the corresponding standard uncertainty is given by \( u_\theta = 0.02/\sqrt{3} = 0.01 \) rad. The combined standard uncertainty \( u_c(H) \) in Eq. (7) can be calculated as follows:

\[
u_c(H) = (0.3^2 \times 1.2^2 + 0.2^2 \times 1.2^2 + 22^2 \\
\times 0.05^2 + 137^2 \times 0.01^2)^{1/2} = 1.8 \mu m.
\]

In summary, the proposed microscopic system is feasible to do measurement on the height of the smooth and curved micro-solderball. The results presented in this letter demonstrate the potential of the proposed method to be a practical tool for in-situ inspection of height and coplanarity on a micro-BGA.
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