Emission spectra of bioluminescent reporters and interaction with mammalian tissue determine the sensitivity of detection \textit{in vivo}
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Abstract. \textit{In vivo} bioluminescence imaging depends on light emitted by luciferases in the body overcoming the effect of tissue attenuation. Understanding this relationship is essential for detection and quantification of signal. We have studied four codon optimized luciferases with different emission spectra, including enzymes from firefly (Fluc), click beetle (CBGr68, CBRed) and \textit{Renilla reniformis} (hRLuc). At 25°C, the \textit{in vitro} \(\lambda_{max}\) of these reporters are 578, 543, 615, and 480 nm, respectively; at body temperature, 37°C, the brightness increases and the firefly enzyme demonstrates a 34-nm spectral red shift. Spectral shifts and attenuation due to tissue effects were evaluated using a series of 20-nm bandpass filters and a cooled charge-coupled device (CCD) camera. Attenuation increased and the spectra of emitted light was red shifted for signals originating from deeper within the body relative to superficial origins. The tissue attenuation of signals from CBGr68 and hRLuc was greater than from those of Fluc and CBRed. To further probe tissue effects, broad spectral emitters were created through gene fusions between CBGr68 and CBRed. These resulted in enzymes with broader emission spectra, featuring two peaks whose intensities are differentially affected by temperature and tissue depth. These spectral measurement data allow for improved understanding of how these reporters can be used \textit{in vivo} and what they can reveal about biological processes in living subjects.
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1 Introduction

\textit{In vivo} bioluminescence imaging (BLI) is emerging as a powerful tool for studying a wide range of biological processes from cell trafficking patterns to protein-protein interactions in live animals.\textsuperscript{1-7} This approach depends on external detection of weak bioluminescent light sources located at various places in the body, requiring that this light traverse the absorbing and scattering environment of mammalian tissues.\textsuperscript{8} Luciferases generate visible light through the oxidation of an enzyme-specific substrate in the presence of oxygen, and usually, a cofactor such as ATP as a source of energy. Inherently low background due to near absence of endogenous light from mammalian cells and tissues results in great sensitivity and make BLI ideal for real-time detection of biological events in the body.\textsuperscript{9,10} The versatility of this approach has led to widespread use in a variety of disciplines.\textsuperscript{9,10}

Images produced by BLI are a diffuse projection on the surface from the bioluminescent sources located deep inside the animal. Sensitive low light imaging systems, typically based on charge-coupled device (CCD) cameras, are used to localize and quantify the bioluminescent signals \textit{in vivo}.\textsuperscript{3,11} The signal intensity at the surface is dependent on both the optical properties (absorption and scattering) of the tissue through which the photons pass and the thickness of tissue through which they travel.\textsuperscript{3,12,13} While tissue scattering has weak wavelength dependence in the visible spectrum, absorption can have a sharply contrasted spectral signature originating from the various endogenous chromophores present in the body.\textsuperscript{12} Within the visible spectrum (\(\sim400\) to 760 nm), hemoglobin is the primary chromophore that absorbs light within tissues;\textsuperscript{13} melanin in skin is also a significant contributor to absorption if the animal is pigmented.\textsuperscript{15} Hemoglobin absorbs primarily in the blue and green part of the visible spectrum, but its absorption of wavelengths longer than 600 nm is reduced, allowing transmission of red light through several centimeters of tissue. Therefore, the spectral properties of the luciferase light source should have a significant impact on how much of the light is transmitted to the surface for external detection.
There are several luciferases that have been cloned and characterized such that they can be used as reporter genes in mammalian cells in culture and more recently in vivo. To be an optimal reporter for BLI, a luciferase gene needs to have expression context equivalent to those in the single luciferase constructs. The commercially available mammalian-optimized luciferases fall into two classes: the coelenterazine-utilizing enzymes from marine organisms, such as Fluc and CBLucs. The region of visible spectrum where these luciferases maximally emit is in the range of 480 to 613 nm (\(A_{\text{max}}\)), where the influence of tissue absorption is critical if comparisons between signals in tissue with varied depth relative to the surface of the animal. Bioluminescent signals from enzymes with spectral peaks in the blue/green wavelengths (~475 to 515 nm) were strongly absorbed with a pattern suggestive of a significant influence of hemoglobin. Enzymes with spectral peaks in the orange/red wavelengths (~590 to 650 nm) were less absorbed, with greater penetration through tissues. Thus, luciferases with a larger proportion of their emission spectra above 600 nm (Fluc+ and CBRed), which have the least attenuation of their signals by mammalian tissue, have greater applicability for measuring biological processes that occur in deep tissues. In addition, gene fusions that encoded dual wavelength luciferases, combining the coding sequences of CBGr68 and CBRed, had a broader spectral emission, and may prove useful for providing information on the signal depth and localization in tissue.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Plasmids and Reagents

Plasmids containing Fluc+ (pGL3-Basic), CBluc (pCBG68-Basic, pCBR-Basic) and hRLuc (phRLuc-CMV) were purchased from Promega Corporation (Madison, Wisconsin). The preparation of both luciferin (Biosynth, Naperville, Illinois) and native coelenterazine (Biotium, Incorporated, Hayward, California) were as previously described.

2.2 Construction of Luciferase Expression Plasmids and Dual Luciferase Fusion Genes

For consistency of expression, each luciferase coding sequence was inserted into the vector pCDNA3.1(+) (Invitrogen Corporation, Carlsbad, California) such that the reading frame of each gene is identical to the original vector sequence and the regulatory sequences are preserved and consistent among the constructs. All resulting plasmids contained the neomycin resistance gene (neo+) with the luciferase coding sequences behind the immediate early promoter from human cytomegalovirus (CMV). The dual luciferase fusion proteins were comprised of CBGr68 and CBRed and were created in both orientations (CBGr68-CBRed and CBRed-CBGr68), joined by a DNA linker, which encodes a 17 amino acid peptide rich in glycine and serine residues. Transcription of the fusion genes are also under control of the CMV promoter, and the expression context is equivalent to those in the single luciferase constructs.

2.3 Luciferase Activity Measurement in Cells

C6 (rat glioma) cells were obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, Virginia) and maintained according to ATCC instructions. In live cell assays, cells were seeded in 96-well plates with growth medium (Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum) and transiently transfected with the luciferase plasmids using Lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). Untransfected cells not containing luciferase genes were used as sham controls. 0.3 mg/ml of luciferin was added to cells labeled with Fluc+ and CBluc, while for hRLuc, growth medium was replaced with PBS containing coelenterazine with final concentration at 2.4 \(\mu\)M. PBS was used for measurements of hRLuc activity.

Fig. 1 The absorption spectra of oxy- and deoxygenated hemoglobin relative to emission spectra of several bioluminescent enzymes. The hemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin absorption spectra are plotted based on data from the Oregon Medical Laser Center. The peaks of the emission spectra for luciferases lie in a region of the visible spectrum (shaded gray) that is greatly influenced by hemoglobin absorption. The four selected luciferases represent the range of emission spectra from all well-characterized luciferases. Optimal transmission of photons through mammalian tissues occurs between 600 and 1400 nm based on the absorption spectra of tissues.

The absorption of oxy- and deoxygenated hemoglobins relative to emission spectra of several bioluminescent enzymes. The hemoglobin and deoxyhemoglobin absorption spectra are plotted based on data from the Oregon Medical Laser Center. The peaks of the emission spectra for luciferases lie in a region of the visible spectrum (shaded gray) that is greatly influenced by hemoglobin absorption. The four selected luciferases represent the range of emission spectra from all well-characterized luciferases. Optimal transmission of photons through mammalian tissues occurs between 600 and 1400 nm based on the absorption spectra of tissues.
ity in cultured cells, since culture medium has an effect on coelenterazine that results in higher nonspecific signals due to autocatalyses of substrate.\textsuperscript{14} Bioluminescent signals were determined using either the IVIS® 100 Imaging System (Xenogen Corporation, Alameda, California) or Triax 320 spectrometer (Jobin Yvon Incorporated, Edison, New Jersey).

2.4 Spectral Analyses and Spectral Imaging Using a Spectrometer

Bioluminescence emission spectra from cultured cells were obtained using the Triax 320 spectrometer (Jobin Yvon Incorporated, Edison, New Jersey). This instrument, capable of scanning from 270 to 820 nm, uses a diffraction grating system to spectrally decompose the light, which is then imaged onto a liquid-nitrogen cooled, high-sensitivity CCD camera. Culture dishes containing luciferase-expressing cells were placed on a heated pad whose temperature was monitored constantly using a type T thermocouple thermometer (Cole Palmer Instrument Company, Chicago, Illinois) placed in the media.

2.5 Spectral Measurements Using the IVIS 200 Imaging System

Low-light imaging was performed using an IVIS 200 Imaging System that consists of a cooled integrating CCD camera mounted on a light-tight specimen chamber, and controlled by computer. Grayscale reference images were obtained with low-light illumination, and bioluminescent output was then acquired in complete darkness. The data are represented as pseudocolor images (indicating light intensity, blue least and red most intense) superimposed over the grayscale reference images. The IVIS Imaging System is calibrated against a NIST traceable source to measure light in absolute, quantitative units. Based on this absolute calibration, light output from specified regions of interest (ROI) is quantified as the total number of photons emitted per second using Living Image analysis software (v2.50, Xenogen Corporation).

In vivo spectral imaging was performed using an IVIS 200 Imaging System in which the filter wheels had been loaded with 20-nm bandpass filters, ranging from 420 to 740 nm. A series of images was acquired using each of the filters in sequence between the source and detector. To determine the signal kinetics during the image sequence acquisition, two open-filter images were collected at the beginning and end of the spectral series. Depending on bioluminescence intensity, open-filter images were collected at the beginning and end of the image sequence between the source and detector. To determine the spectral properties of the sample.

Both the Triax 320 spectrometer and the IVIS 200 Imaging System were calibrated to correct the measured light output at each wavelength to account for the spectral response of the filters, optics, and CCD (quantum efficiency) that are specific to these devices.

2.6 Animal Models and In Vivo Spectral Imaging

BALB/c mice (6 to 8 week old females) were obtained from Stanford University’s Research Animal Facility (RAF) and the Department of Comparative Medicine, and maintained under strict adherence to Stanford University institutional guidelines. Three animal models were used. 1. Liver model: in vivo DNA transfection of mouse hepatocytes were performed using the hydrodynamic method as previously described.\textsuperscript{14,16,17} Briefly, the plasmid DNA was diluted in phosphate buffer (without Mg\textsuperscript{2+}, Ca\textsuperscript{2+}) in a volume of 1-ml/10 g body weight and was rapidly (within 10 s) injected into the tail veins of mice using a 3-ml syringe fitted with a 27-gauge needle. The amount of plasmid DNA injected was 0.5 μg per mouse. These volumes and amounts were selected based on published results,\textsuperscript{14,16,17} for conditions that would give us an optimal signal from the liver for these studies. Images were acquired between 22 and 24 h postliver transfection. The use of relatively large injection volumes and rapid i.v. injection has been shown to result in transfection of the liver, primarily.\textsuperscript{14,16,17} Transfection of other tissues is very inefficient in this approach, and the signals from other tissues relative to that from the liver are negligible. 2. Lung model: C6 cells expressing transiently transfected luciferase genes (3×10\textsuperscript{5} 200-μl culture medium) were injected via the tail vein, i.v., into mice. Bioluminescent signals were apparent from a region corresponding to the lungs within 5 min after injection. Images presented here were taken 10 min after luciferin administration. 3. Skin model: C6 cells expressing luciferase (1.5×10\textsuperscript{5} cells in 100-μl cultured medium) were injected [subcutaneous (s.c.)] at two dorsal locations on the mice. The images presented here were acquired 30 min after luciferin administration.

Mice were anesthetized by inhalation of isoflurane, and D-luciferin [150 mg/kg body weight (BW), interperitoneally (i.p.) injection], or coelenterazine (1-mg/kg BW, i.v., or i.p. injection\textsuperscript{14}) was administered, by injection, at 5 min (luciferin in liver and lung models), 30 min (luciferin in skin model), or immediately (coelenterazine in all models) prior to BLI. Images were acquired and analyzed with LivingImage software and the light intensity from the region of interest (ROI) corresponding to the liver, lung, or dorsal areas was measured in units of photons/s.

2.7 Quantitative RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted using RNAeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California) and message RNA levels for Fluc, CBGr68, and CBRed were determined using Quanti-Tect SYBR Green Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR) kit (Qiagen) following the manufacturer’s instructions. The reaction was performed in a GeneAmp® 5700 Sequence Detector System (PE Applied Biosystems), the primers were designed as follows: Fluc+ (forward, 5’ GGCCGCTTATATATCGGATT 3’; reverse, 5’ TACGGTTAGCAATCCA-GGTCTATT 3’); CBGr68 (forward, 5’ TGGTAGCTGGTGC 3’, reverse 5’ TCTTGGAGGCAACT 3’); CBRed (forward, 5’ GTGCCAGCAGCC 3’, reverse 5’ AC-AGGGATGGAGGCAACAAA 3’). Primers for GAPDH gene and control RNA were from TaqMan™ Rodent GAPDH Control Reagent Kit (PE Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California). Standard mRNA for Fluc+, CBGr68, and CBRed was synthesized from pCMV-Fluc+, pCMV-CBGr68, and pCMV-CBRed using the Riboprobe In Vivo Transcription
System (T7) (Promega), and the copy numbers were calculated using the formula: 

\[ \frac{Y \text{copies/\muL}}{X \text{g/\muL}} = \frac{\text{transcription length in nucleotide} \times 340}{6.022 \times 10^2} \]

For each sample, copy numbers per microgram of total RNA was calculated from a standard curve normalized to the GAPDH value.

### 3 Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Luciferase Expression

The four luciferase genes (Fluc+, CBGr68, CBRed, and hRLuc) used in this study are all commercially available and have been optimized for expression in mammalian cells by the manufacturer. The gene modifications include altering the codon usage to reflect the mammalian preference, removal of mammalian transcription factor binding sites, and removal of peroxisome targeting sites to allow for cytoplasmic expression (Promega Corporation). Each gene was placed in the identical expression vector for the resulting luciferase expression plasmids: pCMV-Fluc+, pCMV-CBGr68, pCMV-CBRed, and pCMV-hRLuc. All these constructs were confirmed by restriction enzyme digestion, the transcriptional activities detected by real-time RT-PCR, and luciferase activity measured by light production observed by IVIS 100 following substrate addition (data not shown).

#### 3.2 Validation of Spectral Measurements

Bioluminescent light, in general, is relatively weak and therefore requires the use of spectrometers operating with a cooled detector, such as the Triax 320 spectrometer. Yet these devices are generally not designed for in vivo animal experiments. Imaging systems with spectral capabilities are becoming available, and the IVIS 200 has been designed to accommodate a large selection of filters to enable spectral imaging; we used 20-nm bandpass filters, covering the region of the spectrum from 420 to 740 nm. This filter set provides fewer data points along the visible spectrum than a spectrometer, but it is dedicated in vivo animal imaging system that provides light output measurements in absolute, calibrated units.

When the luciferase spectra from rat glioma C6 cells transiently expressing each of the four enzymes in culture were measured sequentially in both the Triax 320 Spectrometer and IVIS® 200 at wavelengths between 420 and 740 nm, with...
well-controlled temperature, the spectral profiles measured from each instrument were comparable [Fig. 2(a)]. These data indicate that spectral measurements made using the more limited number of data points can be used to accurately measure spectral output from reporters expressed in animal models.

3.3 Thermal Activation of Luciferases in Live Mammalian Cells

Typically, bioluminescent measurements of cells culture, cell lysates, and tissue homogenates are performed at room temperature (25°C) or even lower. However, live animals have a physiological temperature around 37°C, depending on species and anatomic location of signal, and the activity of the enzymes are likely different at the warmer temperature. To investigate the effect of temperature on both the spectral characteristics and enzyme activity, light output from all four luciferases was measured over a range from 25 to 37°C using the spectrometer. The activities of all the luciferases increased with temperature [Fig. 2(b)], although a markedly larger increase in activity was apparent from the two CBLucs (6.4 fold for CBGr68, 7.8 fold for CBRed) relative to the other two enzymes (2.2 fold for hRLuc, 1.9 fold for FLuc). The thermal activation of all four of these luciferases has not been reported previously, although it was not unexpected, as most enzymes display a kinetic activation on temperature elevation within a physiological range. Although each of the beetle luciferases (CBLucs and FLuc) has comparable activities at 37°C, the CBLucs have significantly lower activity at 25°C. The smaller increase in thermal activation may reflect the lower temperature optimum of the Fluc enzyme, which is known to be thermolabile on prolonged incubation at 37°C.18 Baggett et al. reported firefly luciferase mutants with increased thermo-stability that produced more light in BLI than the enzyme from the parental gene, but the effects on spectral emission of these mutations were not reported. Selection of an optimal luciferase for in vivo (37°C) imaging may therefore be compromised by making measurements at room temperature (25°C). Using luciferases with known reduced activity following prolonged incubation at 37°C may not be ideal.

In addition to a temperature-dependent increase in activity, the spectral profile of FLuc also changed with elevated temperature, with a spectral peak (λ_{max}) ranging from 578 nm at 25°C to 612 nm at 37°C [Fig. 2(c)]. This red-shift phenomenon was observed only for FLuc. The spectral profiles of hRLuc (λ_{max} 480 nm), CBGr68 (λ_{max} 543 nm), and CBRed (λ_{max} 618 nm) remained stable and were in agreement with the manufacturers’ specifications (data not shown).

The total light output above 600 nm is a key determinant for transmission efficiency through mammalian tissues. The percentage of light above 600 nm relative to total light output was calculated for each luciferase from spectrometer measurements of labeled culture cells. This value was obtained by integrating the area under the spectrum from 600 to 750 nm, and dividing it by the area under the spectrum integrated over the whole wavelength range (400 to 750 nm). hRLuc and CBGr68 showed smaller percentage values (~5.5 and ~15%, respectively), while CBRed has the highest values (75 to 80%). For FLuc, the increase in intensity combined with the red shift of emission wavelength at the elevated temperature resulted in more light emission above 600 nm (41% at 25°C to 64% at 37°C) [Fig. 2(d)], revealing that FLuc is nearly equivalent to CBRed for BLI. This might explain the observation that the sensitivity of detection in BLI has been greater than what would have been predicted prior to the first publications of in vivo measurements of bioluminescence.2

3.4 Characterization of Spectra Collected In Vivo

Photons transmitted through animal tissue are both absorbed and scattered. To further understand which proportion of light is mostly affected when passing through tissues, the spectral properties (in cell culture, defined here as in vitro, and in mammals, defined here as in vivo) for four luciferases were compared in three animal models (liver, lung, and skin) relative to that from cell culture [Fig. 3(a)]. The liver model represents a deep-tissue model, in which luciferase is expressed in situ by liver cells. Photons emitted from these cells are transmitted through a solid milieu, rich in hemoglobin, before they can be detected at the surface. The lung model takes advantage of the temporary sequestering of cells that were injected i.v. before dispersal throughout the entire animal; the injected cells labeled with luciferase are trapped in the fine capillaries of the lung tissue. Photons must traverse an interface-rich path, being scattered at both the air/alveolar boundaries and lung/muscle junctions. Both liver and lung models represent deep, hemoglobin-rich tissues. The skin model places luciferase-labeled cells superficially in the mouse, s.c., approximately a millimeter below the surface.

In vivo spectra were obtained by collecting sequential bioluminescent images using 20-nm bandpass filters (420 to 740 nm). Figure 3(b) shows a spectral stack from three mice from the liver model. Open-filter images were acquired before and after this sequence, so that the difference of total flux, which resulted from signal degradation during the scanning process, could be used to calibrate the sequential imaging data. The entire sequence was acquired in less than five minutes to minimize signal deterioration due to substrate exhaustion. The spectra for each luciferase were normalized by the value at 680 nm (640 nm for hRLuc), where tissue absorption is at a minimum. This normalization allows for a qualitative, although not quantitative, observation of the light attenuation for the various tissues and signal depths.

The in vivo spectra of four luciferases revealed a significant attenuation of light at wavelengths below 600 nm, especially in the deep tissue models (lung and liver), due to hemoglobin absorption. FLuc and CBRed, with emission λ_{max} above 600 nm, displayed the least spectral attenuation in all animal models, and therefore appear to be the most suitable for BLI when maximum sensitivity is needed [Fig. 3(c)]. The CBGr68 light emission spectrum ranged from 500 to 600 nm and was nearly a direct inverse of the hemoglobin absorption spectrum, indicating that it is absorption by this pigment that largely accounts for the attenuation. Therefore, as could be predicted, most emitted light from CBGr68 is absorbed [Fig. 3(c)]. For hRLuc, only skin and liver models were used, since hRLuc signals in the lung model were either too weak to detect when coelenterazine was administered i.p., or the substrate was depleted too rapidly from this tissue to provide a reasonable window to perform spectral scanning after i.v. administration of the substrate. Although it has proven to be a
successful reporter for imaging of signals originating from subcutaneous sites, \(^{19}\) hRLuc showed great attenuation in deep tissue models [Fig. 3(c)]. In addition, rapid utilization of coelenterazine substrate necessitates imaging immediately following administration, \(^{14,20}\) which places constraints on imaging protocols. Signals from hRLuc-expressing cells in deep tissues have been obtained by increasing the amount of injected substrate over those reported initially (5.7 mg/kg compared to the usual 0.7–1.0 mg/kg), \(^{19}\) suggesting that nonsaturating doses of substrate are typically used when imaging hRLuc signals, but cost and possible toxicity of the substrate, or its buffer (containing methanol), may prevent routine use of such elevated doses.

### 3.5 Construction and Characterization of Fusion Luciferases with CBGr68 and CBRed

The CBGr68 coding sequence, sharing 68.9\% gene identity with the CBRed gene, was selected to create a gene fusion with CBRed. This lower sequence homology of CBGr68, relative to CBGr99 with 99\% sequence homology, was selected to reduce the likelihood of homologous recombination.
between the coding regions of the two reporter genes. Genes of CBGr68 and CBRed were fused to create translational fusions in both orientations, CBGr68-CBRed and CBRed-CBGr68, with a DNA linker encoding a glycine/serine rich peptide between the two open reading frames. Changes of spectral shapes are observed for each case when the temperature increases.

Message RNA levels for CBGr68 and CBRed, determined by real-time RT-PCR, are compared in two fusion luciferases or CB-(G+R) expressed in the liver (n=3). In vivo spectra were collected from the liver samples expressing pCB-RG or pCB-GR. Photon flux was normalized to the values at 680 nm (indicated at green arrow).

### 3.6 Comparison of Luciferase Light Output in Culture and In Vivo

Expression and light production of each luciferase was compared in cultured C6 cells transiently transfected by equimolar amounts of luciferase plasmids. The dual luciferase fusions showed higher activity than either individual luciferase. Activity of CBRG+R was higher than CB-RG+GR, and the thermal activation of CB-RG+R seemed higher than that of CB-RG.

In vivo spectra were collected from the liver samples expressing pCB-RG or pCB-GR. Photon flux was normalized to the values at 680 nm (indicated at green arrow). Hemoglobin absorption curves were plotted as background (shaded in gray).
tivity of Fluc+ at 35°C was 956.9±109.4 photons/s/cell, and the relative activity for each luciferases was FLuc:CBGr68:CBRed:CB-GR:CB-RG at 1.0:1.3:0.8:1.5:1.9 [Fig. 5(a)]. Similar mRNA levels were detected by real-time RT-PCR, demonstrating they have similar transcriptional activities (data not shown). However, measurement of protein levels by Western blots or ELISAs was not feasible due to lack of antibodies for the click beetle luciferases.

To determine the efficiency of light transmission through different depths of tissue compared to the total flux of the cells, cells were harvested from growing cultures, washed, and equal numbers of cells injected under the skin (subcutaneous), into the tail vein (i.v. to the lungs) or back into a culture dish containing fresh media. Harvesting and injection of cells decreases the total photon flux of mammalian cells [Fig. 3], therefore, the difference in light output from mice expressing Fluc+ in vivo liver transfections of the various luciferin utilizing enzymes (Table 1, column 3) was normalized by a reference value chosen arbitrarily to be that of hRLuc with i.p. injection of coelenterazine. The dual luciferase fusions produced the greatest signal, while the CBGr68 signal was significantly less than Fluc+ and CBRed [Fig. 5(b), Table 1]. The mRNA levels for each luciferase in excised liver tissues were roughly the same (data not shown), therefore, the difference in light output from mice expressing Fluc+, CBGr68, and CBRed were not due to differences in transcriptional activity, but rather due to differential absorption of light by the tissues overlaying the signal. This further supports the data from the previous experiment [Fig. 3(c)]. Signals from hRLuc-transfected liver were also measured after coelenterazine (1-mg/kg BW) was administered i.v. [5.39×10^5±1.23×10^5 photons/s, Fig. 5(b)]. Previous data indicated that a 36.2-fold higher signal was detected when coelenterazine was administered i.v. (1.95×10^8±1.07×10^9 photons/s, Table 1); yet since the kinetics of signal loss were too rapid following i.v. administration of the substrate, the i.p. route was utilized.16

4 Conclusions
We present the first comparative study of spectral imaging for four commonly used bioluminescent reporters in live animals, and two novel dual luciferase fusions. In addition to the many other considerations in the selection of a reporter for in vivo applications, the emission spectrum and its stability versus temperature are crucial determinants of maximum sensitivity of detection and utility. Each reagent and reporter will have advantages and disadvantages, and in any in vivo study the

Table 1 Transmission efficiency of luciferases in vivo.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal models</th>
<th>Transmission efficiency</th>
<th>Relative light output</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Luciferases</td>
<td>Skin</td>
<td>Lung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hRLuc</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBGr68</td>
<td>22.27%</td>
<td>1.42%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluc</td>
<td>16.72%</td>
<td>2.44%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBRed</td>
<td>15.38%</td>
<td>1.61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB-GR</td>
<td>10.72%</td>
<td>2.34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB-RG</td>
<td>13.0%</td>
<td>1.14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^a Coelenterazine was administered via i.p. injection for hRLuc relative light output of liver (1).
^{a} Coelenterazine was administered via i.v. injection for hRLuc relative light output of liver (36.2).
optical properties of tissues will influence our ability to detect and quantify signals from enzymes with different spectra of emission. This study emphasizes the influences of tissues on optical imaging modalities, including those that use reporter genes. Although this study was performed using genes encoding bioluminescent reporters, the optical properties of tissues will have the same impact on fluorescent reporters and dyes. In particular, we show that luciferases such as FLuc and CBRed are preferred for animal models with sources deep inside the body, since a larger fraction of their emission spectra lies in the red wavelength range where light penetrates more readily through tissues than light in the blue and green regions of the spectrum (shorter wavelengths).

Spectral imaging of optical reporters in vivo is the next advance in the field of optical molecular imaging, in that the spectra of the detected light carry information about tissue depth and source intensity. If understood, the emitted spectra will contribute greatly to improving our images by providing 3-D datasets and refining quantification. From the measurements reported in this study, it is shown that Fluc is a useful reporter because its spectrum contains both a red and green component that can be used for spectral methods to determine depth. Furthermore, the dual CBJGr68/CBRed luciferase fusions produced even broader spectral profiles than FLuc, and therefore it is possible that they will provide additional tissue optical contrast and further improve calculations of the signal depth and source localization in tissue. This suggestion is supported by our preliminary experiments conducted in the liver model in this study. The data from this study will have broad implications in how we interpret data, quantify signals, and write the next generation of algorithms for image reconstruction.
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