Two-photon imaging using adaptive phase compensated ultrashort laser pulses
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Abstract. An adaptive pulse shaper controlled by multiphoton intrapulse interference phase scanning (MIIPS) was used, together with a prism-pair, to measure and cancel high-order phase distortions introduced by a high-numerical-aperture objective and other dispersive elements of a two-photon laser-scanning microscope. The delivery of broad-bandwidth (~100 nm), sub-12-fs pulses was confirmed by interferometric autocorrelation measurements at the focal plane. A comparison of two-photon imaging with transform-limited and second-order-dispersion compensated laser pulses of the same energy showed a 6-to-11-fold improvement in the two-photon excitation fluorescence signal when applied to cells and tissue, and up to a 19-fold improvement in the second harmonic generation signal from a rat tendon specimen. © 2009 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.3059629]
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1 Introduction

Since its introduction in 1990 by Denk et al.1 two-photon excitation fluorescence (TPEF) microscopy has become a valuable tool for high-resolution imaging in living tissue. It is well recognized that multiphoton excitation based microscopy has a number of advantages over single-photon excitation techniques, including confocal capability without a pinhole; greater penetration depth; and minimal, spatially confined photodamage.2–6 However, the full potential of ultrashort laser pulses with adaptive pulse compression remains largely unexploited in two-photon microscopy (MPM).

Within certain limits, TPEF efficiency, i.e., the number of produced TPEF photons per given laser pulse energy at the sample, depends linearly on the inverse of the laser pulse duration, as illustrated in Fig. 1. Previously available pulse durations were limited to 100 to 150 fs; however, one can now purchase laser systems that produce pulses an order of magnitude shorter. Despite the advances in ultrafast laser technology, most research groups and instrument manufacturers still use the same pulse durations (≥100 fs) as those available in the 1990s. In this paper, the use of pulse duration as an optimization parameter for TPEF microscopy is discussed in detail. We review advantages and disadvantages of using ultrashort laser pulses in MPM. We elaborate on the compensation of phase distortions introduced by the microscope optical train, including high numerical aperture (NA) optics. We describe a two-photon laser-scanning microscope setup that delivers sub-12-fs pulses at the focus of a high-NA microscope objective, and finally, demonstrate the efficiency of the implemented phase compensation scheme when applied to TPEF microscopy and second harmonic generation (SHG) imaging. This work concentrates on improving nonlinear optical imaging by reducing pulse duration; the effect of ultrashort pulses on photobleaching and photodamage will be reported elsewhere.

2 Optimal Pulse Duration for Two-Photon Excitation

As dictated by the inverse relation between time and energy, the shorter the pulse duration, the broader the pulse spectrum. For a Gaussian, transform-limited (TL) laser pulse, the time-bandwidth product Δt Δν is known to be 2 ln(2) / π ≈ 0.44, which corresponds to Δλ Δτ ≈ 940 nm fs if the laser spectrum is centered at 800 nm. From the last expression it follows that the spectrum of a 10-fs pulse has a full width at half maximum (FWHM) of ~100 nm. This observation has been used to imply that such pulses would exceed the width of the absorption spectrum of most fluorophores of interest and are therefore not practical for MPM. That conclusion, however, misses two important points. First, two-photon absorption (TPA) spectra of most dye molecules and quantum dots do not exhibit discrete, well-isolated resonant peaks like their single-photon absorption spectra. TPA spectra usually extended to shorter wavelength. This is one of the reasons why two-photon excitation (TPE) can be used to activate a broad range of fluorophores with a single laser source. Note that TPE is defined entirely by the laser pulses used, while TPA depends on molecular properties.
Second, the effective FWHM bandwidth of TPE for a Gaussian pulse is \( \sqrt{2} \) smaller than the spectral bandwidth of the input radiation because of the quadratic dependence of the excitation probability on the laser intensity. The total yield of TPE is proportional to the integrated product of the two-photon cross-section \( g^{(2)}(\omega) \), where \( \omega \) is the frequency, and the spectral intensity \( I^{(2)}(\omega) \) of the so-called two-photon field, i.e.,

\[
S \propto \int g^{(2)}(\omega)I^{(2)}(\omega)d\omega. \tag{1}
\]

Here, \( f^{(2)}(\omega) = \int |E(t)\cdot \exp[i\omega t]|^2 dt \), and \( E(t) \) is the electric field strength of the light interacting with fluorophores, which is related to the spectral intensity \( I(\omega) \) and phase \( \phi(\omega) \) of the incoming pulse as

\[
\frac{E(t)}{\sqrt{2} L} = \frac{\sqrt{2}L}{\Delta \lambda_{\text{FWHM}}(\text{TL})} \cdot \frac{\omega_0^2}{\Delta \omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{\omega^2}{\Delta \omega^2} + \left( \frac{\omega^2}{\Delta \omega^2} \right) \cdot \exp[-i\omega t]d\omega. \tag{2}
\]

If the pulse is not too short, i.e., the TPA spectrum is narrower than the TPA spectrum, one can substitute \( g(\omega) \) in Eq. (1) with a constant, and the yield becomes just proportional to the integral under the spectrum of the effective two-photon field intensity. For a TL Gaussian pulse having the spectral intensity profile \( I(\omega) \propto \exp[-4 \ln(2) \cdot (\omega - \omega_0)^2/\Delta \omega_0^2] \), where \( \omega_0 \) is the carrier frequency and \( \Delta \omega_0 \) is the FWHM bandwidth, one can obtain a simple analytical relation between the spectral bandwidths of the incoming pulse and the \( F^{(2)}(\omega) \) profile, \( \Delta \omega_0 = \sqrt{2} \Delta \omega_0 \) (in the wavelength domain, it takes the form \( \Delta \lambda_0 = \sqrt{2} \Delta \lambda_0 \)). At the fundamental frequency, the TPE bandwidth is \( \Delta \lambda_{\text{FWHM}} = \Delta \lambda_{\text{L}} / \sqrt{2} \). The same relation holds for \( \Delta \lambda_{\text{TPF}} \) and \( \Delta \lambda_L \).

Table 1 summarizes the calculated bandwidths of the incoming radiation, TPE, and two-photon field intensity for a few different FWHM time durations of a TL Gaussian laser pulse centered spectrally at 800 nm. The respective two-photon field intensity spectra are plotted in the inset of Fig. 1. The TPA spectrum of cyan fluorescent protein (CFP) is given as an example. One can infer that even for a 20-nm wide absorption band, the use of laser pulses 10-fold shorter than 100 to 150 fs is beneficial and would produce the expected linear increase in the excitation efficiency. However, when the TPE bandwidth becomes comparable with the bandwidth of TPA, the dependence deviates from linear and eventually reaches an asymptotic maximum.

Finally, note that femtosecond lasers have historically been expensive and difficult to operate. For a long time, the generation of ultrashort pulses has been a task reserved for highly specialized research groups that focused on laser development. This paradigm has changed dramatically in the last few years. Today, several companies offer single-box laser systems capable of producing ~10-fs pulses (for example, Coherent, CA; KMLabs, Boulder, CO; FemtoLasers, Vienna, Austria). These systems are simpler, more stable, and less expensive than the standard 100 to 150-fs pulse lasers presently used for TPEF microscopy. The stability comes from the fact that the nonlinear Kerr-lens mode-locking process is more pronounced for shorter pulses. Since these laser systems have a broad spectral bandwidth, there is less of a need to make them tunable; therefore, they have fewer parts. The use of chirped mirrors instead of prisms in some models allows for a more compact design.

---

**Table 1** FWHM time duration \( \tau_{\text{FWHM}} \) and the corresponding spectral widths of the laser pulse \( \Delta \lambda_0 \), TPE profile \( \Delta \lambda_{\text{TPF}} \), and two-photon field intensity \( \Delta \lambda^{(2)} \) for TL Gaussian laser pulses centered at 800 nm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \tau_{\text{FWHM}} ) (fs)</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \lambda_0 ) (nm)</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \lambda_{\text{TPF}} ) (nm)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Delta \lambda^{(2)} ) (nm)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3 Compensation of Phase Distortions

This section addresses the problem of spectral phase distortions introduced by microscope objectives and other dispersive components of the optical train. It is a common practice to distinguish the first two orders of dispersion, group delay dispersion (GDD) and third-order dispersion (TOD), which correspond respectively to $\varphi''$ and $\varphi'''$ in the Taylor series expansion of the pulse spectral phase $\varphi(\omega)$ about the carrier frequency $\omega_0$:

$$\varphi(\omega) = \varphi_0 + \varphi' \cdot (\omega - \omega_0) + \frac{1}{2} \varphi'' \cdot (\omega - \omega_0)^2$$
$$+ \frac{1}{6} \varphi''' \cdot (\omega - \omega_0)^3 + \ldots$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

GDD causes different frequency components of the pulse to arrive at the sample at different times, effectively increasing the pulse duration, while TOD breaks the pulse into sub-pulses. A typical high-NA microscope objective introduces $\sim 4,000$ fs$^2$ of GDD and $\sim 2,500$ fs$^3$ of TOD. This amount of nonlinear spectral phase distortion is sufficient to broaden a 10-fs pulse to more than one picosecond; however, with pre-compensation, it is possible to deliver the 10-fs pulse to the sample. A simple prism pair can compensate for GDD. Such a correction would cause a modest $\sim 3 \times$ increase in signal when using 10-fs pulses instead of 150-fs pulses of the same energy. Unfortunately, the prism pair introduces a significant amount of additional TOD. Only by correcting for both GDD and TOD to ensure TL pulses (i.e., pulses with no dispersion) would result in the expected $15 \times$ improvement in signal for two-photon microscopy. In this estimation, we used only GDD and TOD to give an approximate idea of how phase distortions reduce signal. In our system, high-order distortions beyond TOD account for an additional factor of 3. The higher terms that need to be corrected are fourth, fifth, and sixth order, and there is no simple arrangement of prisms and/or gratings that can correct for them.

We performed a simulation for CFP where the efficiency of TPA was investigated as a function of pulse duration. The results are summarized in Fig. 2. Here we refer to FWHM pulse duration when the pulses are TL; the actual parameter is their spectral bandwidth. For this simulation we first considered broadening of a TL pulse spectrum. Since the pulse energy is fixed, the increase in peak intensity resulted in a $17 \times$ increase in TPA efficiency from 150 to 10 fs (solid red line). On the other hand, tuning a narrowband laser exactly on resonance with the TPA of CFP would lead to the signal enhancement by only a factor of 2. Therefore, a 10-fold improvement due to shortening the excitation pulse is still expected. When TOD is not corrected, spectrally broader pulses no longer assure greater TPA efficiency (dashed green line). Finally, uncorrected GDD leads to the monotonous decrease of TPA efficiency when the pulse bandwidth is increased from about 6 nm (150-fs TL pulse) to 100 nm (dotted blue line). Clearly, the calculations show that the correction of GDD and TOD is essential to achieve the greatest efficiency.

The calculations agree with a common experimental observation that in a typical microscope setup, the dispersion of laser pulses shorter than $\sim$150 fs needs to be pre-compensated. The TL pulse durations down to $\sim$60 fs (spectral bandwidth of $\sim$15 nm, centered at 800 nm) have been shown to be restored at the objective lens focus with a simple prism-pair compressor\[1\].

\[1\] Larson and Yeh reported the design of a single multilayer mirror to minimize the GDD and TOD of an objective. Grisms (gratings in optical contact with a prism) are another modality that can simultaneously compensate for GDD and TOD\[2\]. However, all these designs are static, i.e., they require meticulous tailoring of their parameters and are applicable to a specific optical setup (laser and microscope objective). Furthermore, compensation of fourth and higher order dispersion requires accurate measurement and an adaptive pulse shaper.

The other aspect that obviously requires attention when the pulse duration is reduced down to tens of femtoseconds is a comprehensive characterization of the laser pulse dispersion, beyond GDD. Several methods have been developed to replace the interferometric autocorrelation as a standard pulse characterization technique. Pulse characterization is routinely performed using the frequency-resolved optical gating (FROG) technique, which retrieves the phase of the pulse from a spectrally resolved autocorrelation. Another popular
method that can achieve greater accuracy is spectral phase interference for direct electric-field reconstruction (SPIIDER).

Despite the indicated progress, the use of ultrashort pulses (below 50 fs) for two-photon microscopy has been deemed impractical. The proposed schemes were not flexible enough and did not allow for routine compensation of phase distortions introduced by the laser alignment or by changing the microscope objective. The situation changed with the introduction of a novel approach called multiphoton intrapulse interference phase scan (MIIPS) developed by the Dantus group.

MIIPS is an adaptive procedure that measures and cancels GDD, TOD, and higher-order spectral-phase distortion terms automatically. It is based upon monitoring characteristic changes that occur in the spectrum of a nonlinear process, such as SHG, when the phase of the input pulse is altered. In particular, it is known that the cancellation of GDD in the presence of TOD at some wavelength \( \lambda \) within the pulse spectrum leads to a local maximum in the SHG spectrum at the corresponding wavelength \( \lambda/2 \). In MIIPS, a pulse shaper with a programmable spatial light modulator (SLM) is used to introduce a reference phase function \( f(\lambda) \), and the algorithm searches for wavelengths that satisfy the equation \( \psi''(\lambda) - f''(\lambda) = 0 \), where \( \phi(\lambda) \) is the unknown spectral phase of the laser pulse at the focal plane. Finding the values that satisfy this equation is as simple as scanning the range of quadratic phase functions (amount of linear chirp) and collecting an SHG spectrum for each such phase. From the SHG spectral peak dependence on the reference phase, the function \( \psi''(\lambda) \) can be directly obtained. After its double integration, the spectral phase \( \phi(\lambda) \) is obtained, and a compensation phase (negative of the measured phase) is introduced to obtain TL pulses at the sample. Note that since GDD is measured and corrected for all wavelengths within the pulse spectrum rather than at a single (central) wavelength, MIIPS automatically accounts for all higher orders of dispersion.

4 Experiments

A schematic of a MIIPS-enabled multiphoton laser-scanning microscope is shown in Fig. 3(a). The excitation source is a commercially available femtosecond Ti:sapphire oscillator (TS laser kit, MLabs, Boulder, CO) with the repetition rate of 86 MHz and the output spectral bandwidth corresponding to sub-15-fs (down to \( \sim 10\)-fs) pulses. The laser output is coupled into a 4\( f \) pulse shaper. The spectral components of the ultrashort laser pulses are dispersed by a plane-ruled reflection grating (300 line/mm; Newport Corp., CA) and then focused with a 3-in. (1 in. = 25.4 mm) gold-coated 760 mm spherical mirror (Newport Corp., CA) onto a 640-pixel liquid-crystal SLM with a single (phase-only; CRi SLM-640-P, Cambridge Research & Instrumentation, Inc.) or dual (phase-amplitude, CRi SLM-640-D, Cambridge Research & Instrumentation, Inc.) mask. The pulse shaper is calibrated and controlled by MIIPS software (BioPhotonic Solutions, Inc., Okemos, MI). Phase-amplitude shaping is used for autocorrelation measurements to create a pair of TL pulses separated by a tunable time delay. For imaging, however, phase-only compensation suffices. The phase-amplitude shaper has a throughput of 25%, while the phase-only shaper has a throughput of \( \sim 50\% \). The difference arises from a low-quality polarizer that can be replaced by a high-efficiency polarizer if needed.

The 4\( f \) pulse shaper is followed by a standard prism-pair compressor. The prism system serves two purposes. First, it compensates for a major contribution of GDD acquired by the laser pulse along the optical path, and thereby reduces the phase wrapping in the compensation mask introduced by the SLM. Second, it allows for a direct comparison with prism-
pair compensated systems used elsewhere. In the last case, the phase mask on the SLM is set to zero for all controlled
executed, the scanning is disabled.

MIIPS-compensated pulses at the focus of a Zeiss LD
C-APOCHROMAT 40x/1.1 NA objective and were adjusted for the same intensity scale. Image size is 150 μm. TL pulse duration for all images is 12 to 13 fs.

Following the phase precompensation stages, the laser beam is scanned by a pair of mirrors that oscillate in the x and y directions. A dichroic filter (700DCSPXR, Chroma Technology Corp.) in front of the galvanic scanner (QuantumDrive-1500, Nutfield Technology, Inc.) separates the collected fluorescence or SHG signal and the scattered excitation light. A 3:1 lens telescope that images the scanning mirrors to the back aperture of a microscope objective is used to expand the laser beam and overfill the objective input lens. The water-immersion objective (Zeiss LD C-APOCHROMAT 40x/1.1, working distance of 0.62 mm for a 0.17-mm thick cover glass) is mounted in an adapted Nikon Eclipse TE-200 inverted microscope fed through the mercury lamp port.

The TPEF (or SHG) signal is collected by the objective and descanned by the galvanometers mirrors. After passing through the aforementioned dichroic mirror and a shortpass emission filter (ET680-SP-2P8, Chroma Technology Corp.), the acquired fluorescence photons are focused with a 75 mm lens onto a photomultiplier tube (PMT, HC120-05MOD, Hamamatsu). The signal recording and beam scanning are synchronized by a computer through a data acquisition board (PCI-6251, National Instruments). For MIIPS compensation, SHG signal from a thin nonlinear crystal (usually a 100-μm KDP crystal fixed on a cover slide) at the focal plane of the objective is collected in a forward direction with a 75 mm lens and fiber-coupled into a spectrometer (USB4000, Ocean Optics). While the MIIPS algorithm is executed, the scanning is disabled.

Figure shows an interferometric autocorrelation of MIIPS-compensated pulses at the focus of a Zeiss LD C-APOCHROMAT 40x/1.1 objective. The pair of laser pulses with a tunable time delay is created via phase-amplitude shaping, with the corresponding phase mask imposed on top of the compensation mask retrieved from MIIPS. The autocorrelation profile is a spectrally integrated SHG signal from a thin KDP crystal at the focus of the objective, plotted as a function of delay between the two TL pulse replicas. The obtained FWHM of the autocorrelation profile, 16.6 ± 0.5 fs, corresponds to 11.7 ± 0.4-fs pulse duration and agrees well with that expected from the recorded IR spectrum [left inset in Fig. 3(b)]. The FWHM of the SHG spectrum after compensation is about 31 nm. The autocorrelation trace confirms the delivery of sub-12-fs pulses at the focus of the objective.

5 Results and Discussion

Various biological samples, spanning from single-colored fixed and living cells [Figs. 4(a) and 4(b)] to triple-stained mouse tissue [Fig. 4(c)] and fresh unstained rat-tendon tail [Fig. 4(d)] are used here to explore the effect of dispersion compensation. TPEF and SHG images obtained with both GDD-only compensated and TL pulses were acquired and compared. The image acquisition parameters were: 512 × 512 pixels, 30 frames per image with the scanning speed of 1 frame per second. The image size was 150 μm. The laser power at the sample for all images was around 10 mW. A neutral density filter was used to attenuate the input laser power. For every sample, the average signal gain and the standard deviation were calculated over 15 different locations across the acquired images.

The images of fixed SAOS-2 cells stained with phallloidin 568 in Fig. 4(a) show a typical actin fiber network. The measured signal gain was 10.6 ± 1.4. When imaging live U2OS cells stained with MitoTracker, a specific marker for mitochondria, the observed signal enhancement after full phase distor-
tion compensation over GDD-only correction was $5.8 \pm 2.7$. [Fig. 4b]. A cross-section image of a fixed liver sample stained with Mito-Tracker 488 and phalloidin 568 (actin) is given in Fig. 4c. It shows hepatocytes (liver cells) with a typical cytoplasmic mitochondrial staining and distinct actin staining of the cell boundaries (membrane). The image obtained using TL pulses exhibits $6.8 \pm 1.1$ times greater TPEF intensity than that acquired with GDD-only compensated pulses. Finally, in Fig. 4d SHG images of fresh unstained rat tendon show collagen fiber enhanced by $18.8 \pm 3.0$ when using TL pulses as oppose to GDD-only compensated. Clearly, high-order phase distortions, still present in the spectral phase of GDD-corrected pulses, have a dramatic effect on the amount of TPEF or SHG photons generated in the imaged samples when laser sources with \( \sim 100\)-nm bandwidth are used.

From a practical point of view, one could argue that an increase of the laser pulse bandwidth from 30 nm, for which compensation can be accomplished via a prism pair compressor, to 100-nm results in a factor-of-3 enhancement in the TPEF intensity. On the other hand, the drawbacks are (1) added pulse shaper complexity, and (2) unknown impact on phototoxicity. Please note, however, that for the added complexity of the setup, one gains the ability to deliver TL or accurately shaped pulses from any femtosecond laser through any objective. The precompensation process is fully automated and takes about two minutes. This translates into reproducible imaging data on a day-to-day basis and between different setups. As for the second point, phototoxicity is not relevant when imaging fixed samples, but photobleaching is. The preliminary results in Ref. 22 indicate that the photobleaching rate does not increase with shorter pulses. The phototoxicity of ultrashort pulses on living samples is currently under investigation, and the results are to be published elsewhere.

6 Conclusion

The concept of improving TPEF signal by increasing laser peak power is widely known; however, in spite of the expected benefit, sub-15-fs laser pulses are rarely used in the biomedical field. Chromatic dispersion is one of the main factors that limit the utilization of ultrashort laser pulses in MPM. By using a pulse shaper and an accurate means for pulse characterization, as shown here with MIIPS, one can precompensate for pulse phase distortions and recover the anticipated advantages. Autocorrelation measurements confirm delivery of sub-12-fs TL pulses at the focus of the microscope objective. Comparative two-photon imaging with TL and GDD-corrected laser pulses of the same energy showed that a 6-to-11-fold improvement in TPEF signal and up to a 19-fold improvement in SHG signal can be obtained in fixed and living cells, as well as in fixed mouse tissue and fresh rat tendon.
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