Tangential resolution improvement in thermoacoustic and photoacoustic tomography using a negative acoustic lens
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Abstract. We developed a novel concept of using a negative acoustic lens to increase the acceptance angle of an unfocused large-area ultrasonic transducer (detector), leading to more than twofold improvement of the tangential resolution in both thermoacoustic and photoacoustic tomography. In both thermoacoustic and photoacoustic tomography, for a given transducer bandwidth, the aperture size of the detector affects the tangential resolution greatly when the object of interest is near the detector surface. We were able to overcome such tangential resolution deterioration by attaching an acoustic concave lens, made of acrylic in front of the flat detector surface. We then quantified the tangential resolution improvement using phantom images. We also showed that the use of the negative lens preserves the shape of an object after the image is reconstructed.
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One way of improving the tangential resolution is to use small-aperture unfocused detectors—ideally, point detectors—that can receive signals from a large angle of acceptance. However, the small active area of point detectors leads to high thermal-noise-induced electric voltage in the transducer, making the sensitivity too low to detect weak signals. Thus, we need to use large-area detectors to get better sensitivity, compromising the receiving angle. Without compromising the sensitivity of the imaging system to a great extent, it was shown that the use of a negative cylindrical lens increases the acceptance angle and increases the detection region in PAT. In this paper, we extended the same concept of using a negative lens detector, for the first time to our knowledge, in TAT and PAT to quantify the tangential resolution improvement. We conducted phantom experiments for all quantitative analyses. We also showed that the use of a negative lens detector helps to preserve the shape of the target object in the reconstructed image.

Earlier, we designed an integrated TAT/PAT breast cancer screening system for early breast cancer diagnosis. The cylindrical breast holder has a diameter of ~15.5 cm, and the ultrasound detectors, placed outside the breast holder, scan around it in a full circle to collect data. The scanner is based on circular scanning mechanism and an orthogonal detection system suitable for deep tissue imaging. Due to large scanning region, the tangential resolution near the breast holder boundary (i.e., far from the scanning center) is extremely poor compared to the resolution in the vicinity of the scanning center. An ideal imaging system would have uniform radial and tangential resolution across the whole scanning region. One way

1 Introduction

In thermoacoustic and photoacoustic tomography (TAT and PAT, respectively), a wideband ultrasonic transducer (UST) detects the acoustic signal generated due to thermoelastic expansion of tissue upon microwave/laser irradiation. From the detected acoustic signal, we map back the electromagnetic (EM) absorption distribution of tissue, which is useful for diagnostic and treatment purposes. In a planar circular scanning geometry, the transducer is rotated around the sample in a full circle, and signals are collected. A delay-and-sum algorithm is used to reconstruct the TAT/PAT images from the raw data. Spatial resolution is one of the important parameters in both TAT and PAT. Figure 1 shows how radial and tangential resolutions are defined for planar circular scanning. Various factors affect the spatial resolution, but the two main limiting factors are the finite bandwidth of the ultrasound detection system and the size of the detector aperture. It was shown theoretically that both the radial and the tangential resolution are dependent on the bandwidth and that the tangential resolution is dependent on the aperture size. It was also shown that the dependency of spatial resolution on bandwidth is space invariant for any recording geometry but that the dependency of tangential resolution on the detector aperture size is not space invariant. The farther the target is from the scanning center, the greater the blurring effect. In other words, the tangential resolution becomes worse as the target moves toward the detector surface.
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of improving the near-boundary tangential resolution would be to put the detectors far from the scanning region. In doing so, the SNR would be reduced. Therefore, the negative lens detector concept was adopted, and studies were carried out to quantify the resolution of the imaging system at different locations inside the scanning region. Although a TAT/PAT combined breast scanner system was used for our study, the same concept can be extended to other TAT and/or PAT imaging systems where unfocused detectors are used for receiving signals.

2 System Description

A combined TAT/PAT scanner was used for all the experiments. For TAT, a 3.0-GHz microwave source with a 0.5-μs pulse duration and 100-Hz pulse repetition rate was used. The pulse energy was estimated to be around 10 mJ (≈20 kW × 0.5 μs), falling within the IEEE safety standards (illumination area is ~180 cm²). PAT was done at 532-nm wavelength. A Q-switched Nd:YAG laser with a 10-Hz pulse repetition rate, 5-ns (≈532-nm wavelength) laser pulse width, and 450-mJ maximal output energy was the light source. The incident laser fluence on the sample surface was controlled to be less than 20 mJ/cm² to conform to the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) standards. The generated acoustic signal was detected using a 13-mm-diam active area unfocused transducer (with and without acoustic negative lens) operating at a 2.25-MHz central frequency (ISS 2.25 × 0.5 COM, Krautkramer). The signal was first amplified by a low-noise pulse amplifier (5072PR, OlympusNDT), then filtered electronically, and last recorded using a digital data acquisition card (14-bit Gage Card). Data was collected around the sample in a full circle. Different reconstruction algorithms can be used to reconstruct TAT/PAT images from the raw data. Here, a modified delay-and-sum (backprojection) algorithm was used for all image reconstructions, taking into account both the dependence of time delay on the angle in the lens and also the accurate directivity factor.

The acoustic concave lens (negative cylindrical lens) was made of acrylic (density 1.19 g/cm³, speed of sound 2.75 mm/μs). The lens, made of a 14.5-mm-diam acrylic rod, was 8.3 mm thick. The lens was epoxied to the flat surface of the transducer. Figures 1(b) and 1(c) are photographs of the transducers with and without the negative cylindrical lens. Once the lens was glued to the transducer, the active area of the transducer was completely covered by the lens. Figures 1(b) and 1(c) are two orthogonal views of the same transducer. Figure 1(d) is a schematic of how the cylindrical negative lens was made from an acrylic cylinder. Figure 1(d1) shows the 14.5-mm-diam cylindrical rod. Figure 1(d2) shows how the rod was machined to cutout a circular part (red circle; this circular part has a diameter similar to that of the transducer surface). Figure 1(d3) shows the side view of the rod after machining. Figure 1(d4) is a digital photograph. The lens is cut out of the acrylic base along the red dotted line (Figures 1(d3) and 1(d4)).

3 Results and Discussions

An 18-gauge needle (1 mm diameter) inserted inside a pork fat base was the target object for the TAT experiments. The detector was located ~75 mm away from the scanning center. Considering the scanning center to be at (0, 0), other object locations were as follows: Figure 2(a) (−1.4 mm, 4.0 mm), distance from center ~4.0 mm, distance from detector ~71 mm. Figure 2(b) (13.5 mm, 1.5 mm), distance from center ~14 mm, distance from detector ~61 mm. Figure 2(c) (32.0 mm, 2.0 mm), distance from center ~32 mm, distance from detector ~43 mm. Figure 2(d) (40.5 mm, 28.0 mm), distance from center ~50 mm, distance from detector ~25 mm. Figure 2(e) (52.5 mm, 36.5 mm), distance from center ~64 mm, distance from detector ~11 mm. Figures 2(a), 2(e) show the TAT reconstructed images of the needle with a flat detector when the needle was placed at different distances from the scanning center as mentioned earlier. It is evident that when the object is far from the scanning center, the object is blurred in the reconstructed image and...
becomes elongated in the tangential direction. Figures 2(c)–2(j) show the corresponding images when the same target was imaged with a negative lens detector. Figure 2(k) shows the location of the needle inside the scanning region. The radial resolution remains almost the same for all the objects at different locations, as the dependency of radial resolution on the bandwidth and aperture size is spatially invariant. Moreover, the radial resolution is not improved by the use of the negative lens. In contrast, the tangential resolution is poor when the target object is far from the scanning center [Figs. 2(c), 2(e)], and it is improved significantly with the use of the negative lens [Figs. 2(b), 2(j)]. For objects 3, 4, and 5, we see a more than twofold tangential resolution improvement [Fig. 2(c) versus Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 2(d) versus Fig. 2(i)], and Fig. 2(e) versus Fig. 2(j).

PAM experiments were done using 0.5-mm-diam pencil leads as target objects. Figures 3(a) and 3(b) show the PAM reconstructed image with the flat and negatively focused detectors, respectively, when five pencil leads were placed inside the scanner at different locations. The pencil lead locations were (-0.5 mm, 2.0 mm), (18.5 mm, -0.6 mm), (36.0 mm, -1.2 mm), (55.0 mm, -1.2 mm), and (67.5 mm, -1.4 mm). Figure 3(b) clearly shows all five objects (two of them near the detector surface are blurred), whereas Fig. 3(a) fails to show the target objects except for the one near the scanning center. Figures 3(c)–3[f] show the close-up reconstructed images of each of the target objects. It is evident that when the object is far from the scanning center, the object is blurred and elongated in the tangential direction. Figures 3(h)–3[l] show the corresponding images acquired with a negative lens detector. Once again, as expected, the radial resolution is the same for all the objects (spatial invariance), and it is not improved with the use of a negative lens. But the tangential resolution has spatial dependence [Figs. 3(d), 3(g)], and it is significantly improved with the use of a negative lens [Figs. 3(f), 3(l)]. For objects 3, 4, and 5, we see a more than threefold tangential resolution improvement [Fig. 3(d) versus Fig. 3(f), Fig. 3(h) versus Fig. 3(l), and Fig. 3(k) versus Fig. 3(n)].

Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the tangential resolution versus the distance of the target object from the scanning center for TAT and PAT, respectively. Figure 4(c) shows the ratio of the tangential resolution acquired with the flat detector to that acquired with the negative lens detector as a function of the distance from the scanning center. We can see a more than twofold tangential resolution improvement for both TAT and PAT. We observed greater than threefold tangential resolution improvement in PAT when the object is ~20 mm away from the scanning center. Overall, greater than twofold tangential resolution improvement is observed for both TAT and PAT, far from the scanning center.

In the next step, we demonstrate how the type of transducer used for imaging affected the shape of the target object in the reconstructed image. To do so, a low-density polyethylene (LDPE) tube (~1 cc volume, inner diameter ~6 mm) filled with salt water (salt was added to increase the TAT signal strength) was placed at different locations, and TAT images were taken using both the flat and negative lens detectors. The tube locations were as follows: Fig. 5(a)

Fig. 5 Reconstructed cross-sectional TAT images, using the flat ultrasonic detector, of an LDPE tube (~1 cc volume; inner diameter ~6 mm) filled with salt water placed at distances of (a) ~2 mm, (b) ~23 mm, (c) ~39 mm, and (d) ~49 mm from the scanning center, respectively. Corresponding TAT images obtained with the negative lens detector are shown in (e), (f), (g), and (h), respectively. (i) Location of the tube inside the scanner is shown.
from the scanning center, acquired with the flat and negative lens detectors, respectively. Figure 6(d) clearly shows the circular shape of the object, whereas Fig. 6(c) fails to show the actual shape of the target object.

The artifacts seen in the images could be due to the quality of the lens fabrication and to imperfections in the glue film between the lens and the detector surface (air bubbles could be trapped in the film). A better lens quality and a bubble-free interface between the detector surface and the lens are probably the best ways to get rid of the artifacts in the images. There is also a loss of signal due to the absorption of ultrasound inside the acrylic lens and another loss due to impedance mismatch between the acoustic coupling mineral oil and the acrylic lens. In addition, the reverberation of sound trapped inside the lens could also affect the reconstructed images. Some of these issues could be resolved if instead of using a negative lens we could curve the piezo material used for ultrasonic detection itself to a convex shape. In that way, we could get rid of the sound absorption inside the lens material and also the signal loss due to impedance mismatch. In the future, we will be working in this direction to validate the concept.

4 Conclusions

We observed more than twofold improvement in tangential resolution in both TAT and PAT with the use of a negative lens detector, compared to a flat detector. The increase in acceptance angle enabled us to image a larger scanning area, which is especially useful for breast screening. The same concept can be extended to other tomographic imaging systems where a large imaging area is needed and flat transducers are used as detectors to receive signals. We also showed that a negative lens detector preserves the object shape in the reconstructed images, even when the target is far from the scanning center or close to the detector surface. Such shape preservation could be important in the accurate diagnosis and treatment of tumors.
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