Rapid near-infrared fluorescence excitation–emission matrix spectroscopy for multifluorophore characterization using an acousto-optic tunable filter technique
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Abstract. We report on a novel acousto-optic tunable filter (AOTF)–based near-infrared (NIR) fluorescence excitation–emission matrix (EEM) spectroscopy technique for rapid multifluorophore characterization. We implement a unique light filtering module design by using cascaded AOTFs coupled with three orthogonally oriented polarizers to effectively remove the side-ripple artifacts of AOTFs as well as by using a pair of AOTFs coupled with two orthogonally oriented polarizers to improve detection efficiency for high-quality fluorescence EEM acquisitions. NIR fluorescence EEM spectroscopy (41 excitation wavelengths ranging from 550 to 950 nm in 10-nm increments; fluorescence emission from 570 to 1000 nm at 10-nm intervals) can be acquired from fluorescence dyes [e.g., diethylthiatricarbocyanine (DTTC) iodide, oxazine 750, and IR 140] within 10 s or even less, illustrating the potential of the AOTF-based NIR EEM technique developed for rapid multifluorophore analysis and characterization in biochemical and biomedical systems. © 2010 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.3374051]
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Fluorescence spectroscopy has been studied over decades for noninvasive or minimally invasive tissue diagnosis and characterization.1–5 Fluorescence depends on exogenous or endogenous fluorophores in the tissue, which may undergo a change associated with disease transformation.1–5 The fluorophore change may be detected as an alteration in the spectral profile and intensity of fluorescence emission.4,5 Different excitation wavelengths can induce different types of tissue fluorophores to fluoresce, resulting in different spectral profiles and intensities of tissue spectra with different diagnostic abilities for disease detection.3 Fluorescence excitation–emission matrices (EEMs) spectroscopy is a powerful technique for comprehensively investigating fluorescence properties of specific fluorophores in tissues for better diagnosis and characterization.4,5 In typical existing EEM systems, four different types of tunable excitation light modules are usually used: (1) an arc lamp coupled with a monochromator or interference bandpass filters, (2) an arc lamp coupled with double monochromators, (3) a nitrogen-pumped dye laser, and/or (4) an optical parametric oscillator (OPO) tunable laser.4,5 But all these types of tunable light sources use stepper motor–type mechanisms for rotating gratings or filter wheels or crystals for tuning the excitation light wavelengths. This mechanical movement imposes limitations on the speed of tunings from one wavelength to another, resulting in lengthy EEM data acquisitions (up to minutes or hours) which are unsuitable for in vivo biomedical applications.4–7 To tackle this problem, an acousto-optic tunable filter (AOTF) can be employed to electronically tune various wavelengths of a xenon arc lamp with a high-throughput (>90% diffraction efficiency) within milliseconds without moving parts by varying the radio frequency (RF) of the acoustic wave propagating through the crystal.9 To date, most EEM studies have focused on excitation wavelengths ranging between ultraviolet (UV) and shorter wavelength visible (VIS) light.4–7 Near-infrared (NIR) fluorescence EEM, which has the potential of providing the optimized excitation–emission wavelengths maxima for realizing deep-tissue imaging, has not yet been reported in the literature. In this work, we report on a novel AOTF-based NIR fluorescence excitation–emission matrix (EEM) spectroscopy technique for rapid multifluorophore analysis and characterization. The special excitation and emission AOTF filtering modules coupled with polarizers are integrated into the EEM system to effectively remove the side-ripple artifacts of AOTFs as well as to improve the collection efficiency for high-quality fluorescence EEM measurements.
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Figure 1 shows the schematic diagram of the rapid NIR fluorescence excitation–emission matrices (EEMs) spectroscopy system utilizing the acousto-optic tunable filter (AOTF) technique. 1—xenon arc lamp; 2—adjustable parabolic reflector; 3, 5, 8, 10—lens; 4—hot mirror; 6—excitation filtering module; 7—bifurcate fiber-optic probe; 9—emission filtering module; 11—patch cord fiber; 12—single-photon counting module (SPCM); 13—computer; 14—sample.

To electronically continuously tune the excitation wavelengths of the xenon light source ranging from 550 to 950 nm with a narrow bandwidth (FWHM of ≈5 nm for each excitation wavelength) at 10-nm increments or even smaller intervals, we devise a novel excitation filtering module for electronic tuning of excitation light wavelengths, a bifurcate fiber-optic probe for excitation light delivery and fluorescence light collection, a pair of AOTFs arranged in series coupled with two polarizers as an excitation filtering module for electronic tuning of excitation light wavelengths, a bifurcate fiber-optic probe for excitation light delivery and fluorescence light collection, a pair of AOTFs arranged in series coupled with two polarizers as an emission wavelength filtering module for fluorescence emission, and an avalanche photodiode integrated with a single-photon counting module (SPCM-AQR, PerkinElmer, Inc., Santa Clara, California) for fluorescence detection. We have also developed LabView-based software for the real-time EEM data acquisition and processing (e.g., synchronization of AOTFs for excitation and emission wavelength tuning, SPCM dark-noise subtraction, wavelength calibration, system spectral response calibration, wavelength-dependent excitation power calibration and normalization, etc.).

To electronically continuously tune the excitation wavelengths of the xenon light source ranging from 550 to 950 nm with a narrow bandwidth (FWHM of ≈5 nm for each excitation wavelength) at 10-nm increments or even smaller intervals, we devise a novel excitation filtering module for electronic tuning of excitation light wavelengths, a bifurcate fiber-optic probe for excitation light delivery and fluorescence light collection, a pair of AOTFs arranged in series coupled with two polarizers as an excitation filtering module for fluorescence emission, and an avalanche photodiode integrated with a single-photon counting module (SPCM-AQR, PerkinElmer, Inc., Santa Clara, California) for fluorescence detection. We have also developed LabView-based software for the real-time EEM data acquisition and processing (e.g., synchronization of AOTFs for excitation and emission wavelength tuning, SPCM dark-noise subtraction, wavelength calibration, system spectral response calibration, wavelength-dependent excitation power calibration and normalization, etc.).

In this excitation filtering design, the polarization axes of the adjacent polarizers as well as of the two AOTF modules are orthogonally oriented with each other to optimize the coupling of the incident light among the different optical components. That is, the approximately collimated incident light of the xenon lamp passes through the first polarizer oriented in the perpendicular direction, and the resulting perpendicularly polarized incident light is optimally coupled into the first AOTF, such that the excitation light can be spectrally filtered out by the first AOTF along its first-order diffractive zero-order beam. The spectrally filtered light from the first AOTF is horizontally polarized (the polarization direction of the light changes 90 deg after the first AOTF), and passes through the second polarizer for further purification of the polarization status of the incident light along the horizontal direction. Then, the horizontally polarized light is coupled into the second AOTF for further spectral filtering and for another 90-deg polarization rotation. A third polarization (oriented in the perpendicular direction) is placed after the second AOTF to block the residual horizontally polarized light while allowing the doubly spectrally filtered incident light in perpendicular polarization to come out from the excitation filtering module for fluorescence excitation. The side-ripple level of single AOTF excitation filtering module $S_s$ is the ratio of intensity maximum of the first side-robe band ($I_1$) to the primary band transmission intensity ($I_{max}$),

$$S_s = 10 \log \left( \frac{I_1}{I_{max}} \right) = 10 \log \left( \frac{(u/2)^4 \cos^2 \delta}{\sin^2(u/2)} \right),$$

where $u$ is the AO coupling coefficient, and $\delta$ is the smallest positive nonzero solution of equation $\tan \delta = \delta$ (Ref. 9). Without a loss of generality by assuming that the coupling coefficients $u$ of the two AOTFs are the same, the side-ripple level of the cascaded AOTFs excitation filtering module $S_c$ (inset of Fig. 1) can be expressed as

$$S_c = 10 \log \left( \frac{I_1 I_{2max}}{I_{1max} I_{2max}} \right) = 10 \log \left( \frac{(u/2)^4 \cos^4 \delta}{\sin^4(u/2)} \right) = 2S_s.$$

Hence, compared to the single AOTF filtering design, our cascaded AOTF filtering module design provides approximately two fold improvements in side-ripple suppression of the spectrally filtered excitation light. Additionally, the orthogonal polarization settings between the cascaded AOTFs and the polarizers ensure both the polarization and spatial separations of the spectrally filtered light beam (i.e., first-order diffraction) from the nondiffraction light beam (i.e., zero order) to be double as compared to the single AOTF filtering module, thereby further reducing the out-of-band leakage.

To identify the fluorescence emission wavelengths without mechanical scan as well as to further improve the detection of fluorescence emission from the samples, we incorporate a unique AOTF emission filtering module design into the NIR EEM technique (inset of Fig. 1). The emission filtering module comprises a pair of NIR AOTFs arranged in series (with the same incident axis and polarization orientation with each other) as well as the two orthogonally oriented polarizers. The two polarizers are placed before the first AOTF and after the second AOTF, respectively. This unique emission filtering design ensures that the total diffractive fluorescence light $I_{em}$ from both the first and second AOTFs can pass through the second polarizer for fluorescence detection (inset of Fig. 1), which can be written as

$$I_{em} = I_1 + I_2,$$

where $I_1$ and $I_2$ are the fluorescence light intensity of the first and second AOTFs, respectively. The emission filtering module design allows for the optimization of the coupling efficiency of the fluorescence light into the second AOTF, thereby further reducing the out-of-band leakage.
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where $I_1$ and $I_2$ are the fluorescence light intensity of the first and second AOTFs, respectively. The emission filtering module design allows for the optimization of the coupling efficiency of the fluorescence light into the second AOTF, thereby further reducing the out-of-band leakage.
\[ I_{em} = \sin^2\left(\frac{\nu}{2} (1 - \rho) + \left[1 - \sin^2\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)\right] \sin^2\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)\right), \tag{3} \]

where \( \rho \) denotes the cross-talk coefficient between the first and second AOTFs, whereby the spectrally filtered fluorescence light from the first AOTF is collected by the second AOTF. With a proper arrangement of the separation as well as the parallel polarization orientations between the two AOTFs, the cross-talk coefficient can be minimized (i.e., \( \rho \ll 1 \)). Hence, the overall fluorescence intensity \( I_{em} \) collected is rewritten as

\[ I_{em} \approx \left[2 - \sin^2\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right)\right] \sin^2\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right) = (2 - I_0) \cdot I_0, \tag{4a} \]

\[ I_0 = \sin^2\left(\frac{\nu}{2}\right), \tag{4b} \]

where \( I_0 \) (<1) is the maximum diffraction efficiency of a single AOTF emission filtering module. Obviously, our double AOTF emission filter module design provides a higher diffraction efficiency of fluorescence detection compared to the single AOTF filtering module.

We have verified the improvements of the out-of-band rejection and the detection efficiency for fluorescent dye measurements based on the unique excitation and emission filtering modules design in our NIR EEM technique developed. Figure 2(a) shows the comparison of transmission spectra of the excitation light at 730 nm from the xenon arc lamp using the cascaded AOTF-NIR EEM design and the conventional single AOTF-NIR EEM design. The out-of-band rejection in our cascaded AOTF EEM design is approximately two orders of magnitude higher than that of the single AOTF EEM design [i.e., improved from \(-20 \text{ dB} \) to \(-40 \text{ dB} \), as shown in Fig. 2(a)]. Figure 2(b) shows the comparison of fluorescence spectra of diethylthiatricarbocyanine (DTTC) iodide with a concentration of \(1.84 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}\) in ethanol solution acquired by using three different AOTF EEM designs: curve 1 is acquired by using our developed AOTF-NIR EEM system (Fig. 1); curve 2 is acquired by using the AOTF-NIR EEM system, but the excitation filtering module is replaced with a single AOTF module design; and curve 3 is obtained by using the AOTF-NIR EEM system, but the excitation filtering module is replaced by using a single AOTF module design. Clearly, the side-ripple artifacts of the AOTF peaking at about 830, 885, 910, and 920 nm occur in the fluorescence spectrum measured by using the single AOTF excitation filtering module (curve 2), while these spectral contaminations are effectively removed using our cascaded AOTFs excitation filtering module design (curves 1 and 3). The diffraction efficiency for improving fluorescence detection has also been demonstrated in the fluorescence spectrum (curve 1) observed in the NIR EEM system using the double AOTF emission filter module design (Fig. 1) as compared to curve 3 obtained in the NIR EEM system using a single AOTF emission filtering module.

We have also evaluated the repeatability and sensitivity of the developed AOTF-based NIR EEM system. Figure 3 shows the fluorescence peak intensity (at 805 nm) of DTTC versus the concentration ranging from \(5.74 \times 10^{-8}\) to \(1.84 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}\) in ethanol solution as well as the corresponding signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) under the excitation wavelength of 730 nm. The variations of fluorescence signals detected by the NIR EEM system are less than 8% (standard deviations for 10 measurements at each concentration). The fluorescence intensity observed is approximately proportional to the increased DTTC concentrations (from \(5.74 \times 10^{-8}\) to \(1.84 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}\) in ethanol solution), and the corresponding signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs) also increase (from 2 dB up to 30 dB) accordingly.

We also demonstrate that NIR fluorescence EEM spectroscopy (41 excitation wavelengths ranging from 550 to 950 nm in 10-nm increments; fluorescence emission from 570 to 1000 nm at 10-nm intervals) can be acquired from multifluorophores within 10 s (each data point is integrated with 5 ms to ensure a good SNR of >30 dB) or even shorter (of a few seconds or subseconds by using a shorter integration time of \(\approx 1 \text{ ms}\) for each data point) utilizing the developed rapid AOTF-based NIR EEM technique. Figure 4 shows an example of a 2-D EEM map of the three NIR fluorescent dyes.
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 constituents the advantages of fully electronic tuning abilities of wavelengths without any mechanical scanning parts, thereby enabling fast excitation and emission wavelength tuning, large sizes of excitation–emission data matrices for multifuorophore analysis, as well as high reproducibility of EEM scans in a rapid manner. The unique excitation and emission filtering modules design ensures an effective suppression of side-ripple artifacts of AOTFs as well as improves the detection efficiency for high-quality fluorescence NIR EEM measurements. The NIR EEM technique developed with short measurement times will make in vivo measurements convenient and efficient, which has the potential to move this strict research tool into clinical research and practice in clinical settings. One notes that although our current EEM system is designed for long-wavelength VIS/NIR bands, where currently available AOTFs have optimum transmission efficiency, it can also be readily modified into an EEM system for UV/VIS AOTFs with high-performance UV/VIS AOTFs (e.g., quartz or potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KDP)–crystal–based AOTFs).11,12

Acknowledgments

This research is supported by the Biomedical Research Council, the National Medical Research Council, and the Faculty Research Fund from the National University of Singapore.

References


