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Abstract. We demonstrate enhanced light extraction in organic light-emitting devices (OLEDs) by using microlens arrays fabricated by a soft lithography technique. A large-area and close-packed polystyrene (PS) monolayer was formed on the SiO2 substrate using a convective-capillary assembly method, and a polydimethylsiloxane polymer was used to obtain a concave template, from which microlens arrays were fabricated from a photopolymerizable transparent optical adhesive. The microlens contact angle and array fill factor both depend on the size of PS microspheres, and nearly close-packed, hemispherical microlens arrays with microlens contact angle of (85 ± 5 deg) and array fill factor of (85 ± 3)% were obtained with 100-μm PS microspheres. The enhancement in the light-extraction efficiency in OLEDs when such fabricated microlens arrays were attached to the light-emitting surface depends on the contact angle of microlens, device size, and detailed multilayer structure of the OLED. For a large-area (12 × 12 mm) fluorescent OLED with a near close-packed hemispherical microlens array, a maximum enhancement of (70 ± 7)% in the light-extraction efficiency was achieved. © 2011 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.3528267]
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1 Introduction
Since the first organic light-emitting devices (OLEDs) were introduced by Tang and Vanslyke,1 tremendous research carried out by academia and industry over the past two decades has led to real commercial applications in displays and lighting.2 The development of phosphorescent OLEDs (PHOLEDs), which can achieve nearly 100% internal quantum efficiency (ηIQE),3 made it possible to employ PHOLEDs for displays, typically operating at low brightness condition (luminance L = 100 cd/m²). However, PHOLEDs still have limitations in lighting applications, which require much higher efficiency (60–70 lm/W) and operating conditions (L = 1000 cd/m²) than those of displays.4 One of the major limiting factors is the low light-extraction efficiency of ~20% in planar structure PHOLEDs, due to the total internal reflection (TIR) losses at multiple interfaces.5

The significant amounts of photons that are trapped and lost in substrate, indium tin oxide (ITO)/organic, and localized plasmonic modes can be partially extracted using many different approaches,6–8 leading to enhanced light-extraction efficiency exceeding ~20%. It is necessary to modify the internal structure of OLEDs in order to extract ITO/organic and localized plasmonic modes, whereas a simple texturing technique on the surface of the glass substrate or attaching a macro lens or a microlens array to the glass substrate6–14 can easily enhance the photon
extraction from substrate modes. For example, Sun and Forrest recently demonstrated highly ordered hemispherical microlens arrays using an imprint lithography method, showing high light-extraction enhancement of $(1.68 \pm 0.09)$. However, complicated photolithography and wet-etching processes in making such a microlens mold is still considered to be an issue for the commercialization of microlens.

In this report, we introduce a rather simple microlens fabrication method with near perfect control on the microlens geometry and arrangement. A large-area and close-packed polystyrene (PS) monolayer was created using a convective and capillary assembly, and a soft lithographic molding technique was employed to generate a concave polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) template for a reproducible polymer microlens. We found that high microlens contact angle of $(85 \pm 5 \text{ deg})$ and array fill factor (FF) of $(85 \pm 3\%)$ could be obtained using $100-\mu\text{m}$ PS microspheres. The application of such microlens arrays to the glass surface of the large-area OLED enhances the light-extraction efficiency up to $(70 \pm 7\%)$. We also show that the light-extraction efficiency of the OLED is affected by microlens contact angle, OLED size, and detailed layer structure of the OLED.

2 Experiment

The microlens fabrication procedures based on a soft lithography molding technique are illustrated in Fig. 1. PS microspheres in an aqueous solution (0.3% for 5 and 20 $\mu\text{m}$, 2.1% for 100 $\mu\text{m}$ in solids content) were purchased from Thermo Fisher Scientific. All PS microspheres ($\phi_{\text{PS}} = 5, 20,$ and $100 \mu\text{m}$) were monodispersed using a convective and capillary assembly on a precoated hydrophilic UV-ozone–treated glass or SiO$_2$ substrate. The substrate was initially slightly tilted ($<10$ deg) in order to create a downward convection flow when the aqueous solution with PS microspheres was continuously dropped, eventually leading to the PS monolayer (without convection flow, multilayered PS arrays are expected). When water evaporates from the PS monolayer side, strong capillary forces between PS microspheres can make tightly closed-packed PS arrays. In order to make a reproducible soft mold for a microlens array, the PDMS (Sylgard 184 purchased from Dow Corning) was mixed with 10:1 weight ratio (base polymer : curing agent) and poured onto the PS monolayer. The substrate was kept in vacuum for 3 h to completely remove the gas from the PDMS precursor and thermally cured for 2 h at $60^\circ\text{C}$ in a vacuum oven. A highly transparent Norland optical adhesive [(NOA) 61, refractive index $\sim 1.56$ in cured polymer] is used for the polymer microlens material and directly dropped onto the concave surface of PDMS template a few times. The glass substrate of an OLED was then subsequently placed on top of NOA 61 and left for 2 min without any pressure to well

![Fig. 1 Schematic illustration of the procedures for fabricating large-area and close-packed microlens arrays using a soft lithographic molding method. (a) Create a PS monolayer on Si substrate (coated with thin SiO$_2$ layer), (b) pour PDMS precursor onto the PS monolayer, (c) remove the substrate after thermal curing of PDMS, (d) remove the embedded PS spheres from the cured PDMS with scotch tape, (e) apply 2–3 drops of optical adhesive on the concave PDMS mold and place the glass side of the OLED on top of optical adhesive, and (f) release the PDMS mold after UV-curing of the optical adhesive, leaving behind microlens arrays. See text for details.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Photonics-for-Energy on 28 Mar 2020 Terms of Use: https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/terms-of-use)
spread out the NOA 61 before the UV-curing process ($\lambda = 365$ nm, 200 mW/cm$^2$ for 3 min). Separating the concave PDMS mold from the cured NOA 61 leaves behind the convex microlens arrays on the glass substrate of the OLED. The soft PDMS mold could be reused for many times without any breakage.

The light-extraction efficiency enhancement of OLEDs was examined by attaching the large-area (covering a circle $\sim 2$-cm diam) microlens arrays to the glass surface of OLEDs, which have been fabricated using a vacuum thermal evaporation method following procedures published elsewhere.$^{16,17}$ Also, two different sizes of OLEDs, $2 \times 2$ mm$^2$ (small area) and $12 \times 12$ mm$^2$ (large area), defined by the overlapping area of two electrodes in the cross-bar geometry, were fabricated. The multilayer device structures of fluorescent OLEDs (FOLEDs) and PHOLEDs are schematically illustrated in the Figs. 2(a)–2(c). The green FOLED structure consisted of glass/ITO/N, N$'$-bis(naphthalen-1-yl)-N,N$'$-bis(phenyl)-benzidine [(NPB), 60 nm]/tris(8-hydroxy-quinolinato)aluminum (Alq3, 60 nm)/Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (1 nm)/Al (100 nm), and the green PHOLED structure was glass/ITO/NPB (40 nm)/8.0 wt.% fac-tris-(phenylpyridine) iridium [Ir(ppy)$_3$] doped 4,4$'$-N,N$'$-dicarbazole-biphenyl (CBP) (20 nm)/bathocuproine [(BCP), 20 nm]/Alq3 (40 nm)/Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (1 nm)/Al (100 nm). A two-color (blue-green)–emitting PHOLED structure was also fabricated: glass/ITO/1,1-bis-(di-4-tolylaminophenyl)cyclohexane [(TAPC), 40 nm]/25 wt.% iridium(III) bis(4$'$,6$'$-difluorophenylpyridinato)tetrakis(1-pyrazolyl) borate(FIr6) and 1.0 wt.% fac-tris-(phenylpyridine) iridium[Ir(ppy)$_3$]-doped N,N$'$-dicarbazolyl-3,5-benzene (mCP) (20 nm)/tris[3-(3-pyridyl)mesityl]borane [(3TPYMB), 40 nm]/Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (1 nm)/Al (100 nm).

Three different methods were applied to measure the outcoupling efficiency ($\eta_{out}$) enhancement between with and without microlens arrays. First, Si photodetector (818-UV, diameter = 1 cm) was used to measure the far-field luminous intensity at the normal direction assuming a Lambertian source, and calibrated using a luminance meter (Minolta LS-100 with No. 110 close-up lens). Current density ($J$) versus voltage ($V$) characteristics of the OLEDs were measured using an Agilent 4155C semiconductor parameter analyzer. Second, OLEDs were aligned and attached to the entrance port of a Newport integrating sphere (819D 3-Port), and an Ocean Optics Jaz spectrometer was connected to the outlet port to measure the total luminous flux from the OLEDs. Only the light-emitting device (LED) area was opened toward the inside of the integrating sphere, while all the other nonemitting areas were completely blocked. Third, an optical fiber sensor (Ocean Optics, diameter = 400 $\mu$m) was used to measure the near-field luminous intensity at the normal direction, and the angular distribution of the luminous intensity was measured using a small-area Si spot photodetector (818-UV) at 10 cm away from the device (corresponding to a half angle of $\sim 0.5$ deg).
Fig. 3 (a) Convective and capillary assembly is employed to form a large-area PS microsphere monolayer on a 3-in. Si wafer (coated with a thin SiO$_2$ layer). (b) Optical microscope image of a PS microsphere monolayer. 100-μm-size PS microspheres are used in both (a) and (b).

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Characterization of Microlens Arrays

A large-area PS microsphere monolayer on a 3-in. Si wafer fabricated by a convective and capillary assembly is shown in Fig. 3(a). Up to a few square inches of PS monolayer could be created. Although grain boundaries are observed between individually close-packed PS domains, hexagonally close-packed PS microsphere arrays are well formed as shown in Fig. 3(b). We observed that PS monolayers could be easily formed for 20- and 100-μm-size PS microspheres. However, it was more challenging to generate a large-area monolayer for 5-μm-size PS microspheres due to the increased impact of the capillary force; therefore, they are not used for the later device studies.

Figure 4(a) is a cross-sectional scanning electron microscopic (SEM) image showing the completely embedded PS microspheres in the cured PDMS after removing the substrate. The subsequent application of scotch tape could well remove the embedded PS microspheres and PDMS residues as shown in Fig. 4(b), leading to a concave PDMS mold successfully as shown in Fig. 4(c). A large-area microlens array using a PDMS mold is

Fig. 4 (a) Cross-sectional SEM image of embedded 100-μm-size PS spheres in cured PDMS. (b) The PDMS mold after (intentional) incomplete removal of 20-μm-size PS spheres. (c) Concave PDMS template for microlens arrays after completely removing 20-μm-size PS spheres. (d) Large-area 100-μm-size microlens arrays subsequently made with the concave PDMS mold. Inset: hexagonally close-packed lens arrays are observed. Scale bar is 100 μm in (a), (c), and (d), and 20 μm in (b).
shown in Fig. 4(d) and highly close-packed micro-lens arrays are also shown in the inset of Fig. 4(d). The measured FF of this microlens array (from 100-μm PS microspheres) is (85 ± 3)%, which is very close to the ideal FF of two-dimensional hexagonally close-packed spheres (91%).

The same microlens fabrication method could be applied to different sizes of PS microspheres; however, the contact angle $\theta_c$ of the PDMS mold is dependent on $\phi_{PS}$ as shown in the cross-sectional SEM images of Figs. 5(a)–5(c). As the size of PS microspheres was increased, the shape of PDMS mold was closer to the perfect hemisphere, showing almost hemispherical shape for $\phi_{PS} = 100$ μm. We believe that this is related to the mechanical robustness of the PDMS mold in the narrow regions between individual features. When the PDMS precursor was poured onto the PS microspheres in order to make a concave template, it could completely cover the PS microspheres, penetrating all the way down to the SiO$_2$ surface through the interstitials among the PS microspheres [see Fig. 4(a)], which after curing form columns connecting PDMS below and above the PS microspheres. When the scotch tape was applied to remove the PS microspheres from the thermally cured PDMS, it is ideal that PDMS breaks along the a-a' plane in Fig. 5(d), leaving concave hemisphere features in the mold. We believe that PDMS columns using $\phi_{PS} = 100$ μm are mechanically robust and broken at the narrowest neck area along the a-a' plane. However, narrower columns using smaller $\phi_{PS}$ are more easily torn off at locations away from the narrowest neck area (for example, along the b-b' plane), resulting in height less than the PS microsphere radius and, in turn, lower contact angles for the PDMS mold.

The contact angles of microlenses using different PDMS molds clearly showed the difference in $\theta_c$ as shown in Figs. 6(a)–6(c). Here, $\theta_c$, averaged over more than 10 lenses, was obtained by drawing a tangential line at the edge of a lens and measuring its angle with the substrate surface. We obtained $\theta_c = (45 \pm 5 \text{ deg})$ with $\phi_{PS} = 5$ μm, which is similar to previous reports. As the $\phi_{PS}$ was increased to 20 and 100 μm, $\theta_c$ was increased to $(65 \pm 5 \text{ deg})$ and $(85 \pm 5 \text{ deg})$, respectively, as shown in Fig. 6(d). The semicircle drawn in the cross-sectional microlens image for $\phi_{PS} = 100$ μm [see Fig. 6(c)] clearly shows the hemispherical shape of the lens. The FF of the microlens array was estimated by counting the number of lenses per unit area and measuring the base diameter of lens. The FF for the microlens array from $\phi_{PS} = 20$ μm was only (67 ± 3)%, but increased to (77 ± 3)% and (85 ± 3)% for $\phi_{PS} = 20$ and 100 μm, respectively, as shown in Fig. 6(d).
3.2 Enhancement in OLED Light Extraction due to Microlens Arrays

The light-extraction enhancement by microlens arrays (fabricated from 20- or 100-μm PS spheres) is investigated based on two different sizes of FOLEDs. For small-area (2 × 2 mm²) FOLEDs, Fig. 7 shows the external quantum efficiencies (ηEQE) with and without microlens arrays based on 100-μm PS spheres. The ηEQE was obtained from the far-field luminous intensity measurement method and showed a light-extraction enhancement factor (defined as the light output with the microlens array to that without the microlens array) of \( f = (1.35 \pm 0.04) \) in the entire current injection range. This enhancement factor, although confirming the applicability of using microlens arrays to enhance the light extraction in OLEDs, is somewhat lower than the previously reported enhancement factors using microlens arrays fabricated using other methods, which is in the range of 1.5–1.7 (Refs. 7 and 14).

Figure 8(a) compares the absolute luminous intensities for a large-area (12 × 12 mm²) FOLED with or without a microlens array (\( \phi_{PS} = 100 \mu m \)). The FOLED is operated at \( J = 5 \text{ mA/cm}^2 \), in both cases, and measured using an integrating sphere system. By integrating the area under the peak in each spectrum, we obtained a light-extraction enhancement factor of \( f = (1.70 \pm 0.07) \) with the microlens array, which was significantly higher than the result for the small-area FOLED. We believe such an OLED size dependence on \( f \) is attributed to the Al cathode size. When photons are reached at the air/microlens interface, some photons may be reflected back toward the cathode instead of escaping the front surface. A larger metal cathode can redirect those backward traveling photons again to the forward directions, enhancing...
Fig. 8 (a) EL intensity difference with and without microlens arrays (fabricated from $\phi_{PS} = 100 \ \mu m$) for large-area FOLEDs ($12 \times 12 \ mm$). EL intensities are measured with the device operated at $5 \ mA/cm^2$ in both cases using an integrating sphere system. Inset: Photo of the large-area FOLED covered with a microlens array on the glass surface ($\phi_{PS} = 100 \ \mu m$). Schematic illustrations for light-extraction mechanism in (b) large-area and (c) small-area OLEDs with a microlens array attached to the glass substrate.

the chance of forward light extraction as illustrated in Fig. 8(b). However, with a smaller metal cathode as shown in Fig. 8(c), there is a significant backward air mode, leading to low light-extraction efficiency into the forward-viewing direction.

The enhancement factor for large-area devices was also confirmed by the near-field luminous intensity measurement. As shown in the inset of Fig. 9, only half of a large-area FOLED was covered with a microlens array ($\phi_{PS} = 100 \ \mu m$). With the device operated at $J = 3 \ mA/cm^2$, the near-field optical intensity was recorded across the entire light-emitting area (between the bare side and the side covered with the microlens array) using an optical fiber that is perpendicular to the device. As illustrated in Fig. 9, we see that the electroluminescent (EL) intensity in the microlens covered region is $\sim 1.69$ times higher than that in the bare side of the OLED. This is in good agreement with the integrating sphere measurement results for large-area FOLEDs.

We have also studied the light-extraction enhancement in FOLEDs using microlens arrays fabricated from 20-$\mu m$ PS microspheres. As summarized in Fig. 10, enhancement factors of $f = (1.25 \pm 0.04)$ and $(1.45 \pm 0.05)$ were obtained for small-area and large-area devices, respectively. In addition to the device area dependence as observed with larger microlenses, we also see that the absolute enhancement was somewhat lower in this case, as compared to using microlenses from 100-$\mu m$ PS microspheres. We attribute this to the lower contact angles of microlenses from 20-$\mu m$ PS microspheres rather than similar FF as shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 9 Near-field luminous intensity profile across a large-area FOLED. The right half of the FOLED was covered with a microlens array, while the other half was bare. The FOLED was operated at $3 \ mA/cm^2$, and negligible degradation was confirmed before/after the measurement. The inset shows a picture of device in the measurement setup.
Eom, Wrzesniewski, and Xue: Enhancing light extraction in organic light-emitting devices...

Light-extraction efficiency can be enhanced significantly at larger angles than $\sim 41.8$ deg [critical angle for TIR at the air ($n = 1.0$)/glass ($n = 1.5$) interface with the planar glass] with the attachment of microlens arrays on the surface of glass substrate, and previous results showed that higher contact angle microlens can extract photons more effectively than by the lower contact angle microlens\textsuperscript{7,14}, which is consistent with our results here.

Figure 11 shows the angular emission patterns for the OLED with the microlens attachment ($\phi_{PS} = 100 \mu m$). High $\theta_c$ of microlens is obtained using standard procedure (see Section 2) with low-viscosity optical adhesive (NOA61, 300 cps), whereas low $\theta_c$ is intentionally fabricated by dropping high-viscosity optical adhesive (NOA 68, 5000 cps) onto the glass surface of the OLED and instant curing after putting down the PDMS mold. Although bare OLED showed a slightly wider angular emission pattern than a Lambertian source due to the measurement setup, slightly forward directional and a negligible pattern change were observed with high and low microlenses, respectively, compared to that of bare OLED. We believe tall height of high $\theta_c$ microlens arrays blocks the light emission at larger angles and redirects it forward, which is
The close-packed hemispherical microlens arrays ($\phi_{PS} = 100 \ \mu m$) were also attached to the large-area PHOLEDs, and the light-extraction efficiency was examined using the near-field luminous intensity measurement method. Interestingly, somewhat lower $f = (1.56 \pm 0.06)$ was observed for both green and blue-green PHOLEDs compared to that for green FOLEDs ($f = 1.69 \pm 0.04$) as shown in Fig. 10. We attribute this difference to the more significant optical modes confinement for the PHOLEDs with higher refractive indices of the emissive layers ($n_{CBP} = 1.83$ at $\lambda_{peak} = 510 \ nm$ and $n_{CP} = 1.81$ at $\lambda_{peak} = 460 \ nm$) than those of neighboring layers ($n_{NPB} = 1.81$ and $n_{BCP} = 1.74$, $n_{TAPC} = 1.73$ and $n_{3TPYMB} = 1.66$), measured by ellipsometry (see Fig. 2). Therefore, it is expected that less amount of photons could reach the glass substrate for the PHOLED, eventually leading to lower light-extraction enhancement by microlens arrays. The two PHOLEDs do exhibit nearly identical light-extraction enhancement factor, suggesting that the light-extraction mechanism we described here is not sensitive to the wavelength of emission; therefore, it could be potentially applied to white LEDs without altering the emission spectrum appreciably.

Note that the microlens arrays can be fabricated on the glass substrate prior to the deposition of the organic multilayer stack, which is on the other side of the glass substrate. Hence, it does not affect any possible degradation processes inside the OLED structure. The increased light-extraction efficiency does suggest that the OLED can be operated at a lower current and voltage in order to achieve the same operating brightness, which in turn prolongs the lifetime of the OLEDs. We have also tested the microlens array fabrication on the surface of the polyethylene terephthalate (PET) substrate for application to flexible OLEDs. Shown in Fig. 12 is a photograph of a PET substrate with a microlens array attached using the same procedure as described above for fabricating microlens arrays on glass substrates. Although we have not fabricated OLEDs on the PET substrate and, therefore, the light-extraction enhancement factor in flexible OLEDs due to the microlens array is unknown, this clearly shows that the microlens array fabrication process described here could be potentially integrated with roll-to-roll fabrication of OLEDs on flexible substrates.

4 Conclusions

We have shown that the light-extraction efficiency of FOLEDs can be improved up to 70% using large-area, close-packed hemispherical microlens arrays fabricated by a soft-lithography method. Different contact angles of the microlens are achieved with different sizes of PS microspheres, and contact angle of ($85 \pm 5 \ deg$) and fill factor of ($85 \pm 3\%$) are obtained using...
100-μm PS microspheres. It is also found that a large cathode size is necessary to maximize the light extraction by microlens arrays due to the photon redirection into forward by the large-area aluminum cathode. For multilayered POLEDs, slightly low-light-extraction efficiency is observed with microlens arrays due to the significant optical modes confinement in the emissive layer. The microlens fabrication technique described here has a great advantage for application to large-area lighting systems, instead of pixelated small-area display devices.
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