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Abstract. A new optical-fiber-based spectrofluorometer for in vivo or in vitro detection of delayed fluorescence is presented and characterized. This compact setup is designed so that it can be readily adapted for future clinical use. Optical excitation is done with a nitrogen laser-pumped, tunable dye laser, emitting in the UV-vis part of the spectrum. Excitation and luminescence signals are carried to and from the biological tissues under investigation, located out of the setup enclosure, by a single optical fiber. These measurements, as well as measurements performed without a fiber on in vitro samples in a thermostable quartz cell, in a controlled-atmosphere enclosure, are possible due to the efficient collection of the laser-induced luminescence light which is collected and focused on the detector with a high aperture parabolic mirror. The detection is based on a gated photomultiplier which allows for time-resolved measurements of the delayed fluorescence intensity. Thus, relevant luminescence lifetimes, typically in the sub-microsecond-to-millisecond range, can be measured with near total rejection of the sample’s prompt fluorescence. The instrument spectral and temporal resolution, as well as its sensitivity, is characterized and measurement examples are presented. The primary application foreseen for this setup is the monitoring and adjustment of the light dose delivered during photodynamic therapy.
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1 Introduction
Photodynamic therapy (PDT) is a well-established method for the treatment of age-related macular degeneration (AMD) and various kinds of light-accessible tumors.1,2 It relies on the use of light, a light-sensitive dye molecule, and molecular, oxygen present in the tissue.3–14 The mechanisms responsible for tissue destruction by PDT involve highly reactive singlet oxygen \( [O_2(1\Delta_g)] \), or oxygen radical species generated by the photoexcited dye molecule also called the photosensitizer (PS). These oxygen species oxidize the biological substrate, thus inducing photodamage which can lead to direct cell death and/or blood vessel occlusion.15–16 The efficiency of this process depends, among others, on the concentration of tissue oxygen. Excitation of the PS to the lowest excited singlet state, which can, in some molecules, undergo intersystem crossing to the PS’s triplet state. This triplet state may, in turn, be quenched by the tissue oxygen, allowing for an indirect measurement of the dissolved molecular oxygen concentration using the Stern–Volmer equation (1). The latter relates this tissue oxygen concentration \([O_2]\) to the photosensitizer’s triplet state phosphorescence intensity \( I \) and its lifetime \( \tau \) as follows:

\[
\frac{I_0}{I} = \frac{I_0}{\tau} = 1 + k_q \tau_0 [O_2]
\]

where \( I_0 \) and \( I \) are the phosphorescence intensities in the absence, respectively the presence of an oxygen concentration \([O_2]\), \( \tau_0 \) and \( \tau \) are the corresponding phosphorescence lifetimes, and \( k_q \) is the bimolecular quenching constant.

Measuring the oxygen concentration \([O_2]\) — usually expressed as its partial pressure \( pO_2 \) — at the actual location where the PS is applied for PDT, can help to determine and optimize the therapeutic light dose, if knowledge is available on several other PDT parameters.9 Indeed, differences in the oxygen consumption rate, as well as differences between the initial oxygen concentration in normal and neoplastic tissues, are likely to be responsible for over- or under-treatment of the targeted tissues. In any case, the oxygen concentration in the tissue and its diffusion toward the PDT-treated zone will influence the therapeutic efficacy. Furthermore, it is known that certain regions of larger tumors can become hypoxic, which may negatively affect the efficacy of PDT or radiotherapy.15–22 More generally, measurement of the \( pO_2 \) can, in principle, provide valuable information for the early diagnosis of various diseases. Among others, this may be the case for exsudative AMD, as well as vascular occlusive diseases, diabetic retinopathy, and possibly even glaucoma.23–25 Such diseases are very likely associated with changes of the microvasculature due to decreased cellular oxygen concentrations.26–28

Various kinds of oxygen-sensitive phosphorescent molecules have been proposed to monitor \( pO_2 \).29–31 However only a few among them can be exploited as PSs and none of these oxygen sensing molecules have been approved for use in humans. Unfortunately, most PSs — including PpIX, which is commonly used for PDT treatment of oncologic conditions — do not present a phosphorescence that is easily detectable in vivo, because either the phosphorescence quantum yield is too low and/or because this luminescence takes place at wavelengths too long to be easily detected.29–32 PpIX’s triplet state de-excitation
has been measured at low temperature (77° K) in a solid octane matrix, showing a phosphorescence peak at around 792 nm, a quantum yield of 6 × 10⁻³, and a lifetime of 11 ms, with a nonradiative T1-S0 decay probability about twice as large as the radiative one. More recently, phosphorescence lifetimes of HpD and PpIX were measured at room temperature at 894 nm — but in lipophilic milieu. In a biological milieu at about 37° C, the nonradiative decay pathways are even more favored than at low temperature in nonpolar matrixes. Thus, measuring PpIX’s phosphorescence under experimental conditions compatible with a clinical environment would be very difficult indeed. This difficulty can be overcome by measuring the delayed fluorescence lifetime instead of the PS’s phosphorescence lifetime. This idea underlies the work described in the present paper. The “delayed fluorescence” (DF) phenomenon is schematically represented in the Jablonski diagram of Fig. 1.

The electronic ground state of the PS is a singlet state (S0). Upon light absorption, (1) the PS is excited to a short-lived, vibrationally excited, first excited singlet state which rapidly vibrationally relaxes to its ground state S1. The overall lifetime of S1 in cuvette conditions is about 15 ns for PpIX in aqueous solution, and ≈ 7 ns in vivo. The PS can return to the S0 state by fluorescing (2) or by internal conversion (3). Alternatively, S1 can undergo “spin-forbidden” intersystem crossing (ISC) to the triplet state T1, (4). This fairly long-lived T1 state, whose lifetime is in the µs-to-ms range for PpIX, may return directly to the ground state by phosphorescence (5) or through a nonradiative path (6). Some molecules in the T1 state may, however, have sufficient thermal energy to undergo reverse ISC, from a vibrational excited level of T1 to the S1 singlet state (7), after which they may return to the ground state, through what is now delayed fluorescence (2): i.e., the PS returns to the ground state by emitting photons of essentially the same spectral distribution as normal “prompt” fluorescence. However, this DF generally has a much weaker intensity than the prompt fluorescence and its lifetime is similar to that of phosphorescence. This is why delayed fluorescence might sometimes be taken for phosphorescence. In the presence of molecular oxygen, near- resonant collisional energy transfer (8) between the T1 state of PpIX and the T0 ground state (Σ) of molecular O2 takes place, leading to (9) the excitation of O2 (Σ) to its S1 singlet excited state O2(Δg) and simultaneously the return of PpIX to its ground S0 state.

One advantage of using this approach for the in vivo measurement of the oxygen is that no exogenous molecular probe needs to be administered to a tissue. In the case of PpIX, an additional benefit arises from the fact that it becomes possible, through the PS’s quenched triplet state, to measure pO2 at the very locations where the PS is acting (see Sec. 3.2).

In this paper, we report on the design, characterization (in terms of excitation source, spectral and temporal resolution, and sensitivity), and calibration, of a sensitive, time-resolved, optical-fiber-based spectrophotometer, which can be used for both in vitro and in vivo detection of delayed fluorescence. The setup must be able to detect the very weak DF signals emitted by most PS’s, and allow (in the case of in vivo PDT), for using an optical fiber to probe tissues which would be difficult to access otherwise, for instance in the hollow organs.

2 Materials and Methods

One specific problem of this oxygen measurement approach is that prompt (normal) fluorescence (PF) and DF have essentially the same spectroscopics. In addition, the system must be usable for in vivo measurements. An optimal and practical design of the measurement setup must therefore address three main problems:

1. The weak intensity of the DF signal, as compared to the much stronger normal fluorescence, requires a high light gathering and transmission capacity.
2. Because DF and PF differ only by their lifetimes, we need a system to discriminate between these two signals: basically, the detector must be blinded during the emission of the strong PF to enable the detection of the much weaker DF.
3. The system should allow both to measure in vitro samples and to monitor living tissues.

The first and third concerns are dealt with and discussed under Sec. 2.1 hereunder while Sec. 2.2 deals with the second problem.

We also discuss the mathematical processing of the acquired data in Sec. 2.3. Validation of the sensitivity of the measurements, and their calibration, including the setup’s spectral and temporal resolution, are dealt with in Secs. 2.4 and 2.5, respectively.

2.1 General Design and Optics

A schematic representation of the apparatus is shown in Fig. 2. The excitation light pulses at 405 nm are provided by a dye laser (1) (LTB model UDL-200), pumped by a pulsed N2 laser (LTB model MSG 803-TD). The laser beam enters the main, light-tight, blackened experimental enclosure (2) through a small hole which blocks most of the spontaneous emission of the dye cell. The beam passes through a small channel drilled in the parabolic mirror (4) (Edmund Optics NT47-103, 90° off-axis, aluminium coated, effective focal length (EFL) = 50.8 mm), while being focused with a low luminescence plano-convex lens (3) (Edmund Optics NT47-276, Ø 20 mm, EFL = 150 mm) onto the tip (5) of a multimode optical fiber (6) (Laser Components HCG-M0550T, core diameter = 550 μm, NA = 0.22). For in vitro experiments, a quartz cuvette (Hellma, 174-QS) is held inside the apparatus at
the time-dependent digitized signal \( I(t) \) with a sum of oscilloscope and PC; (10) signal delay generator.
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Data Acquisition and Analysis

The DF signal is transformed by the PMT into an analog electrical signal which is supplied to the DSO for averaging. The analog signal from about 30 transients is digitized in 24-bits floating point values and transferred to the PC for further analysis. The parameters describing the luminescence decay are obtained by fitting the time-dependent digitized signal \( f(t) \) with a sum of

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_1 &\exp(-t/\tau_1) + \alpha_2 &\exp(-t/\tau_2) + \cdots + \alpha_n &\exp(-t/\tau_n)
\end{align*}
\]

2.2 Delayed and Prompt Fluorescence Discrimination: Detectors and Electronics

As the whole setup is constructed in a light-tight enclosure, undesirable light is eliminated. The time-resolved detection of the weak DF signal nevertheless requires gating to avoid saturation of the detector by the much stronger PF signal. To achieve this, the photomultiplier tube can be electrically switched on, about 3 \( \mu s \) after the laser pulse. During this time, the intensity of any PF decreases to the noise level. Because the intensity of the PF is at least 2 orders of magnitude stronger than that of the DF, and because the photons of the PF hit the inactive photocathode during the \( \approx 3 \mu s \) offset-time, fast recovery of the high gain as well as proper correction for PMT artifacts are required. For these reasons, we used an R955 Hamamatsu PMT with a broad wavelength response (185 to 900 nm), low noise, and high gain.

A gated D-type socket assembly (Hamamatsu C1392 series) was used together with this PMT. The PMT is “gated” by holding the photocathode at a potential of about +40 V with respect to the first dynode. The gate function is driven by an external user-triggered TTL pulse. In this way, the PMT is blinded during the laser excitation and prompt fluorescence emission. The signal delay generator (10, Fig. 2) thus turns the PMT off, about 5 \( \mu s \) before triggering the laser pulse, for about 8 \( \mu s \). The rise time for the gating is typically 400 ns (see Fig. 4).

2.3 Data Acquisition and Analysis

The DF signal is transformed by the PMT into an analog electrical signal which is supplied to the DSO for averaging. The analog signal from about 30 transients is digitized in 24-bits floating point values and transferred to the PC for further analysis. The parameters describing the luminescence decay are obtained by fitting the time-dependent digitized signal \( f(t) \) with a sum of
The first reference compound was a powdered samarium complex: [Sm\textsuperscript{III} -(hfa)\textsubscript{3}(4-cypNO)]\textsubscript{2} (hfa\textsuperscript{−} = hexafluoroacetylacetone; 4-cypNO = 4-cyanopyridine N-oxide).\textsuperscript{52} This sample was used to verify the validity of our measurements close to the temporal resolution limit of our system (≈ 0.7 µs, see Sec. 2.5). When the luminescence of this powder was measured with our setup, we observed a signal with a mono-exponential lifetime of 1.27 ± 0.09 µs.\textsuperscript{53} The second reference compound was a 2:3 stoichiometric solution of an europium complex [Eu\textsuperscript{III} -\textsubscript{H}L\textsubscript{3}C\textsubscript{2}] in water/glycerol (9/1, v/v) (H\textsubscript{2}L\textsubscript{2}: homoditopic ligand 6.6’ — for very long luminescence lifetimes) whose lifetime is expected to be in the milliseconds range. With a fiber-to-quartz-cell distance of 100 µm, we measured a mono-exponential decay with a lifetime of 2275 ± 127 µs, as compared to the published value of 2200 ± 100 µs.\textsuperscript{53} The small differences of <10% resp. <5% observed between our measurements and those made by Chauvin et al are compatible with the stated error limits. They might be explained by small differences in i. the sample excitation wavelengths: 355 nm versus 405 nm, and ii. the actual physical environments of the lanthanide complexes.

The sensitivity of the system was determined by measuring the time-resolved luminescence decay of solutions of PpIX in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and of Pd-meso-tetra(4-carboxyphenyl)-porphyrin (PdTCPP) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). PpIX is a frequently used photosensitizer and Chauvin et al. are compatible with the stated error limits. They are expected to be in the milliseconds range. With a fiber-to-quartz-cell distance of 100 µm, we measured a mono-exponential decay with a lifetime of 2275 ± 127 µs, as compared to the published value of 2200 ± 100 µs.\textsuperscript{53} The small differences of <10% resp. <5% observed between our measurements and those made by Chauvin et al are compatible with the stated error limits. They might be explained by small differences in i. the sample excitation wavelengths: 355 nm versus 405 nm, and ii. the actual physical environments of the lanthanide complexes.

2.4 Setup Calibration and System Sensitivity

We have validated and calibrated the setup through luminescence measurements on well-characterized molecules. The luminescence lifetime of reference samples depends on the fluorochrome’s environment, on small changes in the molecular structure, on the presence of quenchers, and on temperature. For these reasons, we have chosen two different crystalline lanthanide(III) complexes whose properties and behaviors were known to be stable in the chosen environments.

The calculated mathematical fits were graphically checked by plotting the residuals of each fit and the autocorrelation of the residuals,\textsuperscript{50, 51} as shown, e.g., in Fig. 5.

\[ f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i \exp^{t/\tau_i} \] (2)

The set of exponential lifetimes (\(\tau_i\)) characterizes the PS molecule and its physical environment. Each pre-exponential factor \(A_i\) is proportional to the intensity of the \(i\)th component to the total luminescence intensity.

The Levenberg–Marquardt (L–M) method is used for non-linear least-squares fit of the measured data.\textsuperscript{45–49} We wrote and used an Octave [GNU GPL] L–M algorithm implementation. The calculated mathematical fits were graphically checked by plotting the residuals of each fit and the autocorrelation of the residuals,\textsuperscript{50, 51} as shown, e.g., in Fig. 5.

**Fig. 4** Chronogram of the PMT gating and signal acquisition process.

**Fig. 5** Analysis of the fitting results: the semi-logarithmic scale used to plot the experimental data and the fitting results help to visualize a bad fit. Random dispersions, around zero, of the residuals and their autocorrelation, confirm the quality of the fit.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Setup sensitivity assessment with $\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 405$ nm, and $\lambda_{\text{em}}$ = 645 ± 73 nm FWHM.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concentration [M]</td>
<td>SNR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR of the time-resolved luminescence decay of PpIX (MW = 606 g/mol) in DMSO solution at different concentrations, at standard environmental oxygen concentration ($pO_2 = 150$ mm Hg)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>64.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>36.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>32.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.6 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>5.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.2 x 10^{-7}</td>
<td>2.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNR of the time-resolved luminescence decay of PdTCPP (MW = 895 g/mol) in PBS solution at different concentrations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 x 10^{-5}</td>
<td>26.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>23.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 x 10^{-6}</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 x 10^{-7}</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After these preliminary validating results, we compared the radiant DF energy of PpIX, which is proportional to the area under the recorded signal — and therefore to the total of detected DF photons — to its total luminescence. The ratio of these energies yields an estimate of the DF’s detectability. The DF signal was recorded and measured as already described. The signal of the total luminescence (proportional to the sum of all molecule’s emissive de-excitation) was measured by i. adding neutral density (OD 3.9) filters between the parabolic mirror and the PMT, and ii. recording the luminescence emitted by the PpIX sample from the beginning of the laser excitation pulse. The ratio of the total PpIX’s luminescence to its DF was found to be 145:1 for in vitro measurements performed on a DMSO solution at pH = 7.2, and 300:1 for in vivo measurements performed on a chorio-allantoic membrane (CAM) membrane topicaly treated with 5-aminoolevulinic acid (ALA), a precursor of PpIX. The values obtained are in reasonable agreement with the estimates given in the literature. Indeed, Mauzerall and Feitelson determined that this ratio is equal to about 40 for a similar porphyrin measured in an oxygen-free environment.58

2.5 Setup Temporal Resolution
The two devices that limit the temporal resolution of the setup are the PMT and the current-to-voltage converter circuit connected in series between the PMT and the DSO. The loss of temporal resolution due to the PMT can be approximated by the sum of the anode pulse rise time and the electron transit time spread $\approx 2.2$ ns $+ 1.2$ ns, for a total of $\approx 3.5$ ns, which is negligible with respect to the contribution made by the current-to-voltage circuit. The latter is characterized by an RC response time. A load resistance value of $R_{\text{load}} = 500$ $\Omega$ was chosen in order to have a comfortable signal intensity during in vivo measurements. With the help of a very short light pulse (the nearly delta excitation laser pulse: $\approx 300$ to 400 ps) and with two different load resistances, the parasitic capacitance of the circuit was measured to be 145 pF. The characteristic temporal response of the setup is therefore set to be $R_{\text{load}} \times C = 72$ ns, which is the setup’s temporal resolution. This response time of $\approx 72$ ns is negligible if the measured signals have typical lifetimes larger than $10 \mu$s, as is the case for the delayed-fluorescence lifetime of PpIX.

2.6 Background Optical Noise
To generate a well-defined maximum optical background noise, we placed a nonfluorescing white reflecting coated plate (SphereOptics, White Reflecting Coating, reflection >98% of incident light) perpendicular to and in contact with the end of the optical fiber, and recorded the signal generated by this diffusely reflected light. The aim was to evaluate if this maximum optical background noise could still be considered as negligible when compared to the weak DF signal expected from an in vivo measurement of the PpIX’s DF. The backscattered signal was recorded after a delay of 3 $\mu$s from the excitation and averaged over 30 sweeps.

An appreciable optical background signal, probably due to both the parasitic luminescence of the optical fiber itself and the SphereOptics plate, plus the luminescence of the bandpass filter located in front of the PMT, was observed. The intensity of this background noise was in the worst case 1 order of magnitude smaller than the expected experimental signal. The background noise created by the reflection of the laser excitation on the sample can therefore be neglected.

3 Results and Discussion
3.1 Examples of in vitro Lifetime Measurements
The luminescence lifetime of two porphyrins, PdTCPP and PpIX, in aqueous (PBS) and DMSO solutions, respectively, were carefully characterized in vitro to confirm that the sensitivity of the setup would allow to observe luminescence lifetime changes due to variations in $O_2$ quencher concentration. By bubbling during 30 min different, well-defined, oxygen–nitrogen gas mixtures, the $O_2$ dissolved in the porphyrin solutions was changed. All measurements were carried out at room temperature (22°) and the solutions were freshly prepared (within 1 h from the measurement) and stored in quartz cuvettes.

As a validation measurement, the lifetimes of a PdTCPP solution in PBS (83 $\mu$M, pH = 7.4) were measured, by the analysis of the averaged luminescence decay signal over 30 sweeps at five different $pO_2$ (See Fig. 6). An excellent linear Stern–Volmer relationship was verified and good agreement was found between our measurement at $pO_2 = 0$ and the values reported in the literature for oxygen-free PdTCPP solutions. No attempt was made to compare published lifetimes at other $O_2$ concentrations as the strong dependency of Henry’s coefficients on temperature and exact solvent nature cannot be easily corrected for (see Table 2).59–61
There are few in vitro PpIX’s delayed fluorescence lifetime measurements in the literature and they are not directly comparable, due to the sensitivity of PpIX’s DF lifetime to pH, temperature, concentration of the solution, and quencher concentration. Therefore, a direct comparative study could not be performed for this molecule. A PpIX solution in DMSO (1.6 μM, pH = 7.2) was prepared and stored in a quartz cuvette as described before. The measurements reported in Table 3 allow us to confirm the possibility (in vitro) of measuring changes in PpIX’s lifetime ascribable to changes in the O₂ concentration. Our measurement at pO₂ = 0 is compared here with the one published by Mik et al. and found to be in reasonable agreement with it.

The same PpIX solution was measured (after 3 h of pure N₂ bubbling) with a commercial (Perkin Elmer LS 50B) time-resolved spectrofluorometer to compare the spectrum of the measured luminescence with that of the prompt fluorescence. This allowed us to confirm that the luminescence measured was really the delayed fluorescence, as it exhibited the same spectroscopy as that of the prompt fluorescence, but displayed lifetimes characteristic of the triplet state (see Fig. 7).

### Table 2
PdTCCP phosphorescence quenching by oxygen: Lifetime measurements of an 83 μM solution of PdTCCP in PBS at room temperature, pH 7.4, at different pO₂ values. Standard atmospheric pressure is 760 mm Hg but in our lab, the standard is 740 mm Hg due to elevation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pO₂ [mm Hg]</th>
<th>[O₂] [%]</th>
<th>Measured lifetime [μs]</th>
<th>Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>358 ± 15</td>
<td>340 – 360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>61.2 ± 1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>74</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.11 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3
Influence of variations in pO₂ on PpIX’s DF lifetime: Luminescence lifetime measurements of a 1.6 μM solution of PpIX in DMSO at room temperature, pH = 7.2, at different pO₂ values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pO₂ [mm Hg]</th>
<th>[O₂] [%]</th>
<th>Measured lifetime [μs]</th>
<th>Literature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1630 ± 120</td>
<td>1200 ± 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>56 ± 4</td>
<td>270 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14 ± 0.4</td>
<td>90 ± 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2 Examples of in vivo Measurements

When using PpIX as a PS, the usual approach consists in administering to the patient a precursor of the drug. The most commonly used precursor molecules are ALA or certain of its esterified derivatives. The biosynthetic pathway involves the combination of two ALA molecules to form a pyrrole unit, and four of these are finally combined to form one PpIX moiety, which itself is a part of haemoglobin. Because of the enzymatic activity in diseased or cancerous tissues, the production of PpIX is locally and selectively strongly enhanced, as compared to the surrounding normal tissue.

Our setup must allow, in this case, to measure through the PpIX’s delayed fluorescence, the pO₂ at any location where the PS is being formed. We report three examples of in vivo delayed fluorescence measurements, performed on the chick CAM model.

Fifteen fertilized eggs underwent routine handling in order to obtain healthy developing CAMs until day 10. Four hours before the measurements and after removal of the upper part of the shell, as described by Lange et al., the chick embryos where topically administered a droplet of ALA solution (20 μg, 152 mM ALA–H₂O solution, adjusted to pH 6.0, corresponding to 40 mg/kg). As described in Sec. 1, the DF lifetime is related to the concentration of molecular O₂ which acts as a quencher in this case.

![Fig. 6](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/37005-6/fig6.png)

**Fig. 6** Verification of the Stern–Volmer equation (r₀ = 0.358 ms, kₛ = 2.04 (mm Hg ms⁻¹)) for a solution of PdTCCP in PBS (83 μM, pH = 7.4)

![Fig. 7](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/37005-6/fig7.png)

**Fig. 7** PpIX’s luminescence spectrum. Measurements performed with a Perkin-Elmer LS 50B spectrofluorometer. (a) prompt fluorescence; (b) delayed fluorescence measured 1.3 ms after the excitation. The gain difference is approximately a factor of 100.
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Fig. 8 PpIX’s delayed fluorescence reciprocal lifetime change, as measured in CAM, showing PDT-induced tissular oxygen consumption and its regeneration during the treatment breaks. Results from 4 sequential series of 400 laser shots each (3.4 mJ/cm² per pulse, spot diameter ≈500 μm), separated by 1 min breaks. To demonstrate oxygen consumption, the initial and final oxygen levels are deduced from the DF recovered from the first 20 and the last 20 laser shots of each series. Their respective, averaged, reciprocal DF lifetimes are shown. The four different symbols in the graph corresponds to the four consecutive laser shots series.

It should be noted that the oxygen concentration in the CAM is also influenced by molecular O₂ diffusing from the atmosphere. In order to significantly reduce this O₂ in-flow and make the CAM’s tissular [O₂] decrease measurable, just before start of irradiation, we placed an impermeable barrier on the CAM: the region to be measured was covered by a round microscope cover glass (Ø 20 mm, 0.15 to 0.19 mm thick, Schott D263M). The optical fiber probe was made to point toward the middle of the cover glass (≈100 μm from the surface, probed surface diameter ≈Ø500 μm).

The probing laser (405 nm) excites the PpIX molecules produced during the 4 h of incubation and the resulting luminescence was collected by the fiber tip and analyzed as described earlier. It was demonstrated that the probing laser pulses did not photodynamically or otherwise damage the CAM and did not significantly affect the tissular oxygen concentration if the total number of consecutive pulses was limited to 30. Therefore, for the following in vivo measurements, only 20 shots were averaged.

The first in vivo experiment presented demonstrates the phenomenon of tissular oxygen consumption during laser irradiation, as monitored by measuring the PpIX’s DF lifetime. Measurement of oxygen consumption was performed using the following experimental protocol: four series of 400 probing laser shots (at a pulse frequency of 10 Hz) were fired at the CAM sample, with a break of 1 min of darkness between each series. The DF measurements of the first 20 and the last 20 probing shots of each series were averaged, recorded, and compared. Using this experimental protocol, we observed an appreciable shift (toward longer values) in the PS’s DF lifetime, between

![Image of gas chamber and graph showing reciprocal lifetime vs. environmental pO2%]

Fig. 9 (a) Gas chamber to control the O₂ concentration on the atmosphere surrounding the egg. (b) Relation between PpIX’s DF reciprocal lifetime, measured on the CAM’s surface, as a function of oxygen concentration in the controlled atmosphere gas chamber.
the first and the last group of 20 laser shots of any given series (400 shots in 40 s). The 60 s break between two consecutive series allows for (predominantly vascular) oxygen to rediffuse to the region of the probed spot, thereby restoring — at least partially — the tissular oxygen concentration, as confirmed by a shortening of the observed DF lifetime (see Fig. 8).

The second in vivo example illustrates the relation between the PS’s DF lifetime and the concentration of oxygen in the atmosphere surrounding the CAM sample: A small gas chamber (see Fig. 9) was built for easily regulating the oxygen content in the atmosphere surrounding the sample. A small hole (A) in the top part of the chamber allowed for positioning the fiber tip at the CAM’s surface, while two lateral inlets–outlets (B and C) allowed to flush it continuously with known N2/O2 gas mixtures. We used it to measure the DF lifetime of PpIX (endogenously produced, small photosensitizer concentrations by bubbling the appropriate gas mixture in the measuring cuvette).

The linear relation obtained with 12 different samples (see Fig. 9) satisfies the Stern–Volmer equation [see Eq. (1)], confirming and validating these in vivo measurements. These results show noticeable dispersion, increasing with the applied oxygen concentration, leading to the increasing error bars at 5, 10, 15, and 21% O2 content, linked to intrasample and/or intersample variations, such as the actual vascular and micro-vascular density in the probed area.

The third in vivo experiment was designed to evaluate the scale/importance of any intra-sample dispersion, when locally measuring the pO2. This experiment was conducted in the same way as the previous one, but the oxygen concentration was measured in eight different points of the same egg’s CAM [see Fig. 10(b)]. The results showed sizable differences, increasing with the applied oxygen concentration, to be ascribed directly to local, intrasample, fluctuations (i.e., location-specific attributes which influence the measured pO2 value, such as the actual vascular density in the probed area), as shown by the large error bars at 15% and 21% O2 content [Fig. 10(b)]. As in the previous experiment, this dispersion increases in parallel to that of the pO2. It may originate in i. the increasing measurement errors when the lifetimes become shorter (at high pO2) and ii. in the fact that the amplitude of any pO2 variation, linked to the density of the vasculature, is directly proportional to the pO2 itself.

4 Conclusions

We have designed, developed, and characterized a time-resolved optical fiber-based spectrophotometer for the in vivo detection of the delayed fluorescence of photosensitizers with simultaneous spectral and temporal resolution. Our setup is designed in such a way that:

1. In vivo and in vitro lifetime measurements can be easily performed through the use of a 250 μm optical fiber, allowing for the measurement of poorly luminescent, endogenously produced, small photosensitizer concentrations (C = 1 x 10⁻⁵ M) with a lifetime ranging from a few hundreds of nanoseconds to a few milliseconds.
2. In vitro solutions can be easily measured at different temperatures (15° to 60°) and with different gas concentrations by bubbling the appropriate gas mixture in the measuring cuvette.
3. In vivo CAM samples can be easily measured under controlled conditions of temperature and moisture and with controlled gas concentrations in the surrounding atmosphere, by using a simple gas chamber.
4. In vivo and future clinical measurements on hollow organs can easily be performed, thanks to the simple fiber-based excitation/sensing design.
5. Liquid and solid state (powders, crystals, and deposited thin films) samples can be analyzed.
6. The excitation wavelength can be adjusted (400 to 850 nm) by simply changing the dye of the laser or through the use of a grating.
7. If an increased sensitivity is needed (1 order of magnitude) it is possible to place the sample directly inside the light-tight enclosure (see Fig. 2) and/or modifying the delay or the acquisition time.
The described setup offers the following advantages as compared to other commercial setups (e.g., Perkin-Elmer LS 50B):

- Its high sensitivity over a large spectral range (185 to 900 nm) coupled to the ability of measuring much shorter events (on the order of 100 ns) and a better dynamic range both in the time and intensity dimensions. Indeed, the temporal dynamic range can be easily adapted to measure nanoseconds up to steady-state events and the intensity dynamic range start at several Watts down to \( \approx 2.5 \times 10^{-10} \) W (i.e., approx. 1000 photoelectrons within 30 \( \mu s \)).
- The possibility to excite the sample with a short (\( \approx 300 \) ps) and spectrally defined laser excitation pulse, which allows to excite selectively the molecules of interest and, consequently, to obtain measurable signals from low concentration samples. The use of a very tightly focused laser beam (rather than the much larger image of a traditional lamp) results in the coupling of essentially all the available excitation energy into an optical fiber, enabling to probe small (\( \approx 100 \) \( \mu m \)) samples.
- The compactness of the instrumentation (\( \approx 25 \) liters) and the use of a fiber-based probe for both sample excitation and luminescence collection meet all the requirements needed for laboratory and clinical settings.

Measuring the oxygen partial pressure (\( p_{O_2} \)) can provide valuable information for the early diagnosis and characterization of numerous diseases related to changes of the tissues metabolism in hollow organs. Because delivery of \( O_2 \) takes place primarily in the microcirculation, it is of high relevance to develop \( p_{O_2} \) measuring techniques that have a sufficient spatial resolution to be applicable in the capillaries, arterioles, and venules in vivo. Therefore, an evolution of the system presented here toward an imaging delayed-fluorescence time-resolved spectrometer, that can be adapted to an eye fundus camera for example, would be particularly valuable in this context. Since Visudyne also presents a \( p_{O_2} \)-dependent delayed fluorescence lifetime (data not shown), such an approach would enable us to individualize the light dose for the treatment of AMD by PDT.

More generally, the technique presented in this report will help to understand the impact of oxygen on tissue sensitization. This is why, following this study, several well-accepted photosensitizers used in the clinic, exhibiting delayed fluorescence, are currently being investigated with our CAM model. Oxygen concentration measurements performed before, during, and after PDT are conducted on this model to demonstrate the feasibility of this approach and to optimize the treatment conditions.
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