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Abstract. Near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) is a popular sensing technique to measure tissue oxygenation noninvasively. However, the region of interest (ROI) is often beneath a superficial layer, which affects its accuracy. By applying focused ultrasound in the ROI, acousto-optic (AO) techniques can potentially minimize the effect of physiological changes in the superficial layer. Using absorption perturbation experiments in both transmission and reflection modes, we investigated the spatial sensitivity distributions and mean penetration depths of an AO system based on a digital correlator and two popular NIRS systems based on intensity measurements using a single source and detector configuration, and ii. spatially resolved spectroscopy. Our results show that for both transmission and reflection modes, the peak relative sensitivities of the two NIRS systems are near to the superficial regions, whereas those of the AO technique are near to the ROIs. In the reflection mode, when the ROI is deeper than 14 mm, the AO technique has a higher absolute mean sensitivity than the two NIRS techniques. As the focused ultrasound is moved deeper into the turbid medium, the mean penetration depth increases accordingly. The focused ultrasound can shift the peak relative sensitivity of the AO measurement toward its focused region.
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1 Introduction

Biological tissues have relatively low optical absorption in the near-infrared (NIR) range and therefore NIR light can penetrate deeper than the visible light into the tissue. Also in the NIR range, oxy- and deoxy-haemoglobin have distinctive absorption spectra, which allow their concentrations to be estimated using spectroscopy techniques. These two factors form the basis of a tissue oxygenation measurement technique generally known as NIRS spectroscopy (NIRS), which has been widely used to perform noninvasive cerebral and muscle oxygenation measurements. The simplest form of NIRS involves only a single continuous-wave (cw) optical source and a detector placed several centimeters away in reflection mode. In this setup, NIR light travels ∼1 to 2 cm deep into the tissue and is reflected back to the detector. The photon path distribution between the source and detector resembles a widely known “banana” shape. This detection scheme only allows the measurement of changes in the concentration of oxy- and deoxy-haemoglobin but not the absolute concentration or tissue oxygen saturation (S$_{O_2}$).

In recent years, this single source and detector (SSD) scheme became very popular in functional NIRS studies in which multiple channels of SSDs have been used to provide an activation map of the brain during certain functional tasks. An example of such a system is the ETG-4000 (Ref. 3) from Hitachi Medical Systems.

To provide an absolute S$_{O_2}$ measurement, spatially resolved (SR) spectroscopy has been used. This technique measures reflected light in a number of detection points, which are used to calculate the attenuation slope. Using a solution of the diffusion equation, the attenuation slope can be converted into the absorption coefficient (scaled by a constant) which can then be used to calculate a S$_{O_2}$ measurement using a spectroscopy technique. Commercial NIRS monitors exploiting the SR approach include the NIRO series oxygenation monitor from Hamamatsu Photonics KK and the PortaMon NIRS system from Artinis Medical Systems.

The SSD and SR techniques are not without limitations. The oxygenation measurement site often has a layered structure and the region of interest (ROI) is beneath a superficial layer. In the case of functional NIRS or cerebral S$_{O_2}$ measurement, for instance, the ROI is the brain and yet there are layers of scalp, skull, and cerebrospinal fluid between the optical devices and the brain. This superficial layer has great influence on the oxygenation measurement. For the SSD case, computer modeling using Monte Carlo simulations and finite element method on an adult human head model have shown that the optical measurement is most sensitive to changes in the superficial layer instead of those in the ROI. This is in fact quite easy to understand: intuitively, an optical measurement is naturally more sensitive to a change near the source or the detector. The implication is that an intended NIRS measurement of the ROI is only valid when there is no significant physiological change (e.g., oxygenation and blood flow/volume changes) in the superficial layer.

One potential approach to increase the sensitivity in the ROI is to incorporate focused ultrasound with NIR light. This technique is known as acousto-optics (AO) or ultrasound modulated optical tomography and has been proposed as an imaging
technique for biological tissues. The principle is that focused ultrasound (US) alters the path length and refractive index locally in the US focus (ROI). When coherent NIR light travels through this ROI, the phase of the light will be systematically perturbed. On the surface of the measurement site, an optical speckle pattern is time-varying at the frequency of the focused US and its magnitude is indicative of the optical absorption and scattering coefficients in the US focus. By scanning the US focus, an image can be formed which shows optical absorption and scattering coefficients in the US focus. By scanning the US focus, an image can be formed which shows spatial sensitivity of AO measurements. The aim of this paper is to compare the sensitivity maps of three different detection techniques, namely the SSD, SR, and AO techniques. As mentioned above, the sensitivity maps were generated by scanning a local absorber in a homogeneous turbid medium and measuring the corresponding signal change. Experiments were performed in both transmission and reflection modes. The three techniques were compared in terms of the sensitivity in the ROI and the mean penetration depth in the reflection mode. The results shown here will be useful for developing tissue oxygenation monitors based on AO.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Experiments

2.1.1 Phantoms

The basic setup of the experiment is shown in Fig. 1(a). Two phantoms as shown in Fig. 1(b) and 1(c) were built, which contained Intralipid solution for transmission and reflection mode measurements. The Intralipid solution was diluted with deionized water to 1% volume ratio to achieve a transport scattering coefficient \( \mu_s' \) of 12 cm\(^{-1}\) (value typical of those found in tissues) and an absorption coefficient \( \mu_a \) of 0.0235 cm\(^{-1}\) at an optical wavelength of 633 nm. The two side walls of the phantoms were made of soft silicon rubber (ACC silicones MM228) with a Young’s modulus of 0.62 MPa to allow the propagation of the focused US waves into and out of the phantoms. The other walls were made of 3-mm thick clear glass. The local absorber had a dimension of \( 5 \times 5 \times 12 \) mm with \( \mu_a = 40.3 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) and \( \mu_s' = 12 \text{ cm}^{-1} \) at 780 nm. The high absorption of the local absorber was to ensure photon path deletion and not intended to reflect a different optical property.
physiological condition. It was made of 10% polyvinyl alcohol
(PVA) gel (Young’s modulus of ~20 kPa at 20% strain) mixed
with black polyurethane pigment (Tiranti, 410-520). The local
absorber was held by a thin metallic rod inside the phantoms.

To assess the effect of the different acoustic properties be-
tween the local absorber and the Intralipid on the AO mea-
surements, another local perturbation was made which we called
the acoustic perturbation. The acoustic perturbation was made
of the same materials and had the same dimension as the local
absorber but with $\mu _a$ and $\mu _s'$ similar to those of the Intralipid.
The acoustic perturbation and the Intralipid together formed
an optically homogeneous medium. In general, the PVA gel
local absorber and acoustic perturbation have similar acoustic
properties (in terms of speed of sound and density) as the
background Intralipid. Furthermore the PVA gel has a Poisson
ratio of 0.499, which implies that it is incompressible like the
background water-based Intralipid solution.

### 2.1.2 Acousto-optic detection system

There are many types of AO detection systems, e.g., the speckle
contrast system,22 the four-phase parallel detection system,22 and
the photorefractive crystal system.23 The one used here is the
digital autocorrelator system,23 which measures the auto-
correlation function of the AO signal.

The optical part of the AO system included an 808 nm
single longitudinal mode laser (CrystaLaser, IRCL-100-808-
S, coherence length > 10 m), a single photon counter (Perkin
Elmer, SPCM-AQR-14) and a digital correlator (Correlator.com,
Flex02-01D). The laser was fiber-coupled to a 62.5 µm multi-
mode optical fiber to deliver light to the phantoms. The single
photon counter was also fiber-coupled to a single mode 9 µm
optical fiber to detect light emerged from the phantoms. The
digital correlator was connected to a computer and controlled
by in-house LABVIEW software. For each position of the local
absorber, an integration time of 20 s was used for the digi-
tal correlator to measure a normalized intensity autocorrelation
function, $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ between the lag time of 20 ns and 15.36 µs
using the linear mode sampling with a sampling period of 20 ns.

The US part of the AO system included an immersion US
transducer with a center frequency of 0.66 MHz (Precision
Acoustics, PA304). It has a focal distance of 73 mm, a focal
zone width of 4.4 mm, and a focal zone length of 29.4 mm.
The US transducer was operated in the cw mode to achieve a
peak acoustic pressure of 181 kPa at its peak focal region in the
phantoms. At this rather low acoustic pressure, cavitation and
peak acoustic pressure of 181 kPa at its peak focal region in the
zone width of 4.4 mm, and a focal zone length of 29.4 mm.

### 2.1.3 Optical detection systems

The two optical detection systems were the SSD and SR systems.
The same 808 nm laser employed in the AO system was also
used here for both systems. For the SSD system, the photon
counter and digital correlator as mentioned in Sec. 2.1.2 could
also be used to collect intensity measurement in terms of photon
counts per second (Hz).

For the SR system, a 12-bit 1344 × 1024 (8.67 × 6.60 mm)
CCD camera (Hamamatsu Orca 03G02) was used to measure
the spatial distribution of the detected light from which the
attenuation slope (SR) measurements could be calculated. The
speckle size was adjusted by a lens system so that it matched the
pixel size of the CCD chip (6.45 µm). The exposure time was
set to 5 ms to ensure adequate collection of light. It was found
that outliers occasionally appeared on some of the CCD pixels
due to noise. In order to remove them, five CCD images were
taken for each position of the local absorber and one image free
of outliers was chosen out of the five CCD images. The chosen
image corresponded to the median of the five averaged values
of the CCD images. More details will be given in Sec. 2.2.3
for calculating the attenuation slope based on this chosen CCD
image. The configuration of the optical source and detector can
be found in Figs. 1b and 1c.

### 2.1.4 Scanning

A LABVIEW program was written to automatically control:
i. the position of the local absorber within the liquid phantom
via a 3-axis motorized translation stage (Zaber LSR150A),
ii. the acquisition of the optical and AO measurements, and iii.
the acquisition of the acoustic pressure measurements. The
local absorber was positioned in the axial plane of the focused
US and was scanned with a 1 mm resolution in the x and y
directions. Ideally, for a 1 mm resolution, the size of the local
absorber should be 1 × 1 mm as well. However, a larger size
(5 × 5 mm) was necessary in this experiment to ensure ade-
quate changes in the AO signal could be detected since the AO
signal was very weak. The texture of the PVA gel was designed
to be very soft in order to match the acoustic properties of the
background medium as much as possible. A thin dimension of
1 × 1 mm would have caused it to bend when submerged in the
background medium because of its tendency to float. The total
scanning area was 24 × 24 mm (including scans at 0 mm) for
the transmission mode resulting in a total of 625 measurements,
and 30 × 20 mm for the reflection mode resulting in a total of
651 measurements, as shown in Figs. 1b and 1c respectively.
In each set of scanning, a reference measurement was also taken
without the local absorber inside the liquid phantom in order to
calculate the sensitivity J at location (x, y) in the scanning area
using Eq. 1

\[
J(x, y) = \frac{M_{\text{ref}}(x, y) - M(x, y)}{M_{\text{ref}}(x, y)} \times 100\%,
\]

where $M(x, y)$ is the measurement when the local absorber is
at location (x, y) and $M_{\text{ref}}(x, y)$ is the reference measurement
when the local absorber is removed from (x, y) (out of the phantom)
for the calculation of $J(x, y)$.

The reference measurement $M_{\text{ref}}(x)$ is also to account for
the slow precipitation of the Intralipid solution over time besides
for the calculation of $J(x, y)$. However, a reference measurement
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for every location \((x,y)\) would significantly increase the total measurement time that might result in significant changes in the optical properties of the Intralipid solution. Therefore the reference measurement \(M^{ref}(x)\) was made only for each \(x\) location of \(J(x,y)\).

For each set of the experiment, a map of US pressure was also measured separately as a reference. It was obtained by scanning a needle hydrophone (1-mm diameter, Precision Acoustics HP1) with the same scanning area and resolution as above. The needle hydrophone was tilted at 15° so that it could be accommodated inside the liquid phantoms in all positions during the scanning. However, since the needle hydrophone was tilted, the US pressure measurements were scaled. To resolve this problem, the US pressure measurements were re-scaled so that the peak US pressure was 181 kPa in the maximum point in the US focus. This value of 181 kPa was obtained by properly positioning the needle hydrophone in the US focus.

2.1.5 Transmission and reflection modes
For the transmission mode measurement as shown in Fig. 1(b) the US focus was located in the middle of the scanning area (12 mm from the boundary of the scanning area). The distance between the optical source and detector was 35 mm. For the reflection mode measurement as shown in Fig. 1(c) four sets of measurements were taken for four different locations of the US focus (which were located around \(y = 10, 15, 20, \) and 25 mm) so that their sensitivity maps can be compared. The distance between the optical source and detector was 30 mm.

2.2 Post-Processing

2.2.1 AO system
One widely adopted way of analyzing an AO signal is by considering its electric field autocorrelation function, \(g_{x,y}^{(1)}(\tau)\). However, the digital correlator provided a slightly different measurement, i.e., the normalized intensity autocorrelation function, \(g_{x,y}^{(2)}(\tau)\). Using the Siegert relationship, \(g_{x,y}^{(1)}(\tau)\) can be derived from \(g_{x,y}^{(2)}(\tau)\), i.e., \(g_{x,y}^{(1)}(\tau) = \sqrt{\langle g_{x,y}^{(2)}(\tau) \rangle \beta}\), where \(\beta\) is the coherence factor of the collection optics.

It has been shown that \(g_{x,y}^{(1)}(\tau)\) carries information about the proportion of the US modulated intensity, and the modulation depth (MD) of \(g_{x,y}^{(1)}(\tau)\) defined in the following is one measure of the magnitude of the AO signal):

\[
MD(x,y) = FT_{\beta} [g_{x,y}^{(1)}(\tau)] / FT_{\beta} [g_{x,y}^{(1)}(\tau)],
\]

where \(\beta\) is the coherence factor of the collection optics. The term sensitivity refers to the percentage change of the measurement once the local absorber has been inserted into the phantom. For the AO system, the measurement is MD(x,y) and the sensitivity is defined based on Eq. 1:

\[
S_{AO}(x,y) = [MD^{ref}(x) - MD(x,y)]/MD^{ref}(x) \times 100%,
\]

where MD\(^{ref}\) is the MD measurement with the local absorber in the location (x,y), and MD\(^{ref}\) is the MD measurement without any local absorber in the turbid medium. In this study, one measurement of MD\(^{ref}\) was taken for each position of x for \(S_{AO}(x,y)\). These MD\(^{ref}\) measurements over all the x positions were generally quite consistent with each other.

2.2.2 SSD system
As mentioned in Sec. 2.1.3, the photon counter and digital correlator also provided the intensity measurement in terms of photon counts per second (Hz). Following a similar approach to Eq. 1 the sensitivity measure for the SSD system is defined as \(S_{SSD}(x,y) = [I_{SSD}^{ref}(x) - I_{SSD}(x,y)]/I_{SSD}^{ref}(x) \times 100%\), where \(I_{SSD}(x,y)\) is the intensity measurement with the local absorber in the location (x,y), and \(I_{SSD}^{ref}(x)\) is the reference measurement without any local absorber inside the medium and taken for each position of x for \(I_{SSD}(x,y)\).

2.2.3 SR system
The SR system is based on the attenuation slope measurement, which is only valid in the reflection mode. Commercial systems such as the Hamamatsu NIRO 300 employ a few closely spaced optical detectors to measure the attenuation slope, i.e., three detectors each with a dimension of \(8 \times 3\) mm separated by 1 mm. To calculate the attenuation slope from our CCD image, it was segmented into three detection areas as shown in Fig. 2 with the three detectors having a dimension of \(6.6 \times 2.17\) mm separated by 1.08 mm. The distances from the center of each segment to the optical source are \(r_1\) (26.8 mm), \(r_2\) (30 mm), and \(r_3\) (33.2 mm). Each detector provided an intensity measurement which was converted into attenuation \(A_n = -\log (I_n)\), where \(I_n\) was the intensity of detector \(n\), and \(n = 1, 2, 3\). A linear regression (least square) was then performed on \(A_n\) using \(\rho_n\) as the independent variable, where \(\rho_n\) is the distance between the source and detector \(n\). The slope of the regressed straight line was taken as the attenuation slope \(\beta\).

Similar to Secs. 2.2.1 and 2.2.2 the sensitivity measure for the SR system is defined as \(S_{SR}(x,y) = (\partial A^{ref}(x,y)/\partial \rho - \partial A(x,y)/\partial \rho)/|\partial A^{ref}(x,y)/\partial \rho| \times 100\%\), where \(\partial A(x,y)/\partial \rho\) is the attenuation slope measurement with the local absorber in the location (x,y), and \(\partial A^{ref}(x,y)/\partial \rho\) is the reference measurement without any local absorber inside the medium and taken for each position of x for \(S_{SR}(x,y)\).

2.2.4 Mean sensitivity and penetration depth
The spatial sensitivity map \(J(x,y)\) provides a set of two-dimensional data over the x (in parallel to the superficial layer) and y (perpendicular to the superficial layer or depth) directions. In this work, we also consider the mean sensitivity \(\langle J(y) \rangle\) which is calculated as the averaged sensitivity values over the x direction for each value of y.

Another useful way to compare the different sensing techniques in the reflection mode is to consider their mean penetration depths \(\langle y \rangle\) which can be calculated as follows:

\[
\langle y \rangle = \sum J(y) y_i / \sum J(y),
\]

where \(J(y)\) is the SSD system in the reflection mode, some have defined the maximum penetration depth which is obtained by considering only the sensitivity values \(J_{SSD}(y)\) midway between the source and detector, and identifying the corresponding penetration depth \(y\) when \(J_{SSD}(y)\) no longer stays above a predefined threshold. We prefer to use the mean penetration depth here because it is more representative of the whole layer at depth y.
3 Results

The sensitivity measures ($J_{AO}$, $J_{SSD}$, and $J_{SR}$) are quite easy to interpret. A 10% (positive) sensitivity means that when the local absorber is inserted in the phantom, the measurement value decreases by 10% in comparison to the value before the insertion. On the contrary, a -10% (negative) sensitivity means that the measurement value increases by 10% with the insertion of the local absorber. For $J_{SR}$, sensitivity above 100% has been observed when the local absorber is very close to the detectors. This sensitivity over 100% corresponds to the situation when the attenuation slope and the reference measurement having an opposite sign in their values. In order to make a comparison easier, the color scales of all the spatial sensitivity maps here have been fixed between 0% and 100%. However, it also means that negative values and values over 100% may not be obvious in the figures but these atypical values will be pointed out in the text.

As mentioned in Sec. 2.1.1 the ROI is often a region beneath the surface. As will be shown in Secs. 2.1.2–2.1.4 ROIs between 8.6 and 25 mm away from the optical detector have been defined in both the transmission and reflection modes. In the AO measurement, focused US was used to increase the ROI’s sensitivity by positioning the focused US within the ROI. The ROI coincided with the -3 dB point of the peak pressure of the focused US.

3.1 Local Acoustic Perturbation

It is known that AO measurements are affected by both optical and acoustic properties of the medium. An experiment was performed with the local acoustic perturbation as mentioned in Sec. 2.1.1 which had the same optical properties as the background Intralipid. The resulting spatial sensitivity map of the optical measurement $J_{SSD}(x,y)$ in Fig. 3(c) does not show a substantial change confirming that the medium with the local acoustic perturbation inside is optically homogeneous. As for the AO measurements, $J_{AO}(x,y)$ and its mean value as depicted in Figs. 3(b) and 3(d) show a small reduction in sensitivity values (<5%) in the vicinity of the US focus. [Referring to Eq. 1, a reduction in sensitivity value corresponds to an increase in MD when the local acoustic perturbation is inserted into the background Intralipid.] Although the values are small, it indicates that the AO measurements are slightly influenced by the acoustic properties of the local perturbation. In Secs. 2.1.2–2.1.4 the sensitivity values increase by more than 40% in the US focus (corresponding to a reduction in MD) when the local absorber is used, showing that the optical property (absorption coefficient) of the local absorber is the dominant factor of the sensitivity rather than its acoustic properties.

3.2 Transmission Mode

Figure 4 shows the results for the transmission mode including (a) the US peak pressure map, the spatial sensitivity maps for (b) the AO measurement $J_{AO}(x,y)$, (c) the SSD measurement $J_{SSD}(x,y)$, and (d) the mean sensitivity over different depths. The coordinates of the optical source are near to (0, 5.5) and those of the detector are near to (0, 29.5) in Figs. 4(b) and 4(d). The ROI is defined as the region between 16 and 20 mm away from the detector plane, approximately in the middle of the turbid medium. The focused US has been positioned to the ROI as shown in Fig. 4(a).

For the SSD measurement as shown in Fig. 4(c), the regions with the relative peak sensitivity are near the source and detector (superficial region), rather than in the vicinity of the ROI. On the contrary for the AO measurement as shown in Fig. 4(b), the region with the relative peak sensitivity coincides with the ROI.
The focused US successfully shifts the most sensitive point to the ROI.

Figure 4(a) shows the mean sensitivity $\langle J(y) \rangle$ at different depths along the $y$ direction (away from the detector plane). Once again, it is evident from this figure that $\langle J_{\text{AO}}(y) \rangle$ has the relative peak value in the ROI (shaded area) while $\langle J_{\text{SSD}}(y) \rangle$ has its relative peak value near to the detector. In terms of the absolute value of the sensitivity, however, $\langle J_{\text{SSD}}(y) \rangle$ in general has higher absolute values than $\langle J_{\text{AO}}(y) \rangle$ throughout the sensitivity map.

It is worth noting that when the local absorber is very near to the optical source around location $(0, 29)$ in Fig. 4(b), it is evident from this figure that $\langle J_{\text{AO}}(y) \rangle$ has the relative peak value near to the detector. In terms of the absolute value of the sensitivity, however, $\langle J_{\text{SSD}}(y) \rangle$ in general has higher absolute values than $\langle J_{\text{AO}}(y) \rangle$ throughout the sensitivity map.

3.3 Reflection Mode

Figure 5 shows the results for the reflection mode including two ROIs, i.e., ROI A and ROI B. The coordinates of the optical source were near to $(0, 5)$ and those of the detector are near to $(0, 30)$ in Figs. 5(a)–5(f). The ROI A is defined as the region between 8.6 and 12.7 mm away from the source-detector plane, whereas ROI B is the region between 19.4 and 23.0 mm. The focused US is positioned in such a way that it coincides with the two ROIs in two separate experiments as shown in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b). Figures 5(c) and 5(d) depict the sensitivity maps of the AO measurements when the focused US is within ROI A, i.e., $J_{\text{AO}}$ and within ROI B, i.e., $J_{\text{AO}}$ Figures 5(e) and 5(f) show the sensitivity maps for the SSD and SR measurements, i.e., $J_{\text{SSD}}$ and $J_{\text{SR}}$.

Figures 5 and 5 show some common features for all the sensitivity maps. First, the banana shaped distribution is evident. Second, the relative peak sensitivity can be found near to the optical source and detector.

Figure 5 shows the mean sensitivity $\langle J(y) \rangle$ at different depths along the $y$ direction (away from the source-detector plane). The two ROIs are shown as the two shaded areas. It can be seen that $\langle J_{\text{AO}}(y) \rangle$ has the relative peak value in ROI A while $\langle J_{\text{AO}}(y) \rangle$, $\langle J_{\text{SSD}}(y) \rangle$, and $\langle J_{\text{SR}}(y) \rangle$ have the relative peak values outside ROI A. This shows that the focused US in Fig. 5(a) successfully shifts the peak mean sensitivity point of the AO measurement toward ROI A.

However, when the focused US is moved to ROI B as shown in Fig. 5(b) the peak value of $\langle J_{\text{AO}}(y) \rangle$ is found at a depth of around $y = 15$ mm which is outside ROI B. This shows that although a focused US at a greater depth can lead to a higher sensitivity of an AO measurement toward a greater depth, the peak sensitivity point does not always coincide with the actual location of the focused US. Despite this fact, the highest mean sensitivity in ROI B is still the one from $\langle J_{\text{AO}}(y) \rangle$, $\langle J_{\text{SSD}}(y) \rangle$, and $\langle J_{\text{SR}}(y) \rangle$ in comparison to $\langle J_{\text{AO}}(y) \rangle$, $\langle J_{\text{SSD}}(y) \rangle$, and $\langle J_{\text{SR}}(y) \rangle$ in Fig. 5.
A summary of the mean sensitivities in the superficial region (at a depth of $y = 5$ mm) and the two ROIs is shown in Table 1. It shows that the two optical techniques ($J_{SSD}(y)$ and $J_{SR}(y)$) have higher values ("+" and "x" curves) in the superficial region than in the two ROIs. As for the AO technique, when the focused US coincides with ROI A, the ($J_{AOA}(y)$) ("o" curve) in ROI A is higher than that in the superficial region. With the focused US at this location, the ($J_{AOA}(y)$) in ROI B is lower than that in the superficial region. When the focused US coincided with ROI B, the ($J_{AOB}(y)$) ("o" curve) in both ROI A and ROI B are higher than that in the superficial region.

The mean penetration depths of different sensing techniques are shown in Table 1. The AO technique with the focused US in ROI B has the greatest penetration depth of 14.8 mm, whereas the SR technique has the lowest penetration depth of 10.2 mm. As in the transmission mode, it is noted that certain $J_{AOB}$ values in Figs. 5(d) are negative which occurs when the local absorber is located midway between the source and detector in the superficial region. As already discussed in Sec. 3.1 this is due to the fact that more unmodulated intensity is absorbed by the local absorber in this particular region, resulting in an increase in the MD.

### 3.4 Acousto-Optic Measurements With the Focused Ultrasound at Various Depths

Figure 7 shows the mean sensitivities of the AO measurements with the focused US at four different locations, corresponding to the four ROIs. Table 2 shows the comparison of the relative peak sensitivities, their locations, and the mean penetration depths. It can be seen that as the focused US is moved deeper, the relative peak value of ($J_{AOA}(y)$) becomes smaller and its location is also deeper. Except ROI A, the relative peak values of ($J_{AOA}(y)$) is not within the US focus at ROIs B, C, and D.

### 4 Discussions

#### 4.1 Relative Sensitivity Versus Absolute Sensitivity

In the transmission mode as shown in Fig. 3, the peak relative sensitivity of the optical SSD technique is in the superficial region, whereas that of the AO technique is inside the ROI. The AO technique successfully produces a measurement, which is most sensitive to the ROI. However, one can also see that the absolute sensitivity of the SSD technique is in fact always higher than that of the AO technique, e.g., the SSD mean sensitivity curve is above the AO mean sensitivity curve at all depths in Fig. 4(d). This means that in the absence of any spurious absorption changes outside the ROI, the SSD technique is in fact more sensitive to absorption changes within the ROI than the AO technique.

Similarly for the reflection mode, Figs. 5 and 6 show that the peak relative sensitivities of the optical SSD and SR techniques are in the superficial region whereas that of the AO technique (with US focus in ROI A) is inside the ROI. However, the SR technique has the highest absolute sensitivity in ROI A despite the fact it has even higher sensitivity in the superficial region.

By comparing all the mean sensitivity curves in Figs. 4, we conclude that for a ROI deeper than 14 mm [the crossover point between the ($J_{AOB}(y)$) and ($J_{SR}(y)$) curves], the AO technique has a higher absolute sensitivity over all other optical techniques (SSD and SR). In the case of ROI B which is located 21 mm

### Table 1 Reflection mode: mean penetration path (in the y-axis) and the comparison of the mean sensitivity between the superficial region and the two ROIs, which are located around $y = 10$ mm (ROI A) and $y = 21$ mm (ROI B). The sensitivities of the two ROIs shown here are the maximum values within the ROIs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensing technique</th>
<th>Mean penetration depth in the y-axis (mm)</th>
<th>Mean sensitivity in the superficial region ($y = 5$ mm)</th>
<th>In ROI A (higher mean sensitivity in ROI A?)</th>
<th>In ROI B (higher mean sensitivity in ROI B?)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single source and detector, ($J_{SSD}$)</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>43%</td>
<td>31% (NO)</td>
<td>8% (NO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatially resolved, ($J_{SR}$)</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>87%</td>
<td>55% (NO)</td>
<td>7% (NO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acousto-optics, ($J_{AOA}$), Focused US in ROI A</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>41% (YES)</td>
<td>9% (NO)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acousto-optics, ($J_{AOB}$), Focused US in ROI B</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>33% (YES)</td>
<td>27% (YES)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 7 Reflection mode with a local absorber with high $\mu_a$: The mean sensitivities of the AO measurements with the US focus within ROI A ($J_{AOA}(y)$), ROI B ($J_{AOB}(y)$), ROI C ($J_{AOC}(y)$), and ROI D ($J_{AOD}(y)$). The shaded areas correspond to the four ROIs. The y-axis can be regarded as the penetration depth. The aqua shaded arrow marks the approximate location of the optical source and detector plane ($y = 0$ mm).](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics on 2/17/2018 Terms of Use: https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/terms-of-use)
Table 2: Reflection mode: comparison of the mean relative peak sensitivities, their locations, and the mean penetration depths of the four AO measurements. The locations of the mean relative peak sensitivities were inside ROI A but outside ROIs B, C, and D.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROI (position in y-axis) [mm]</th>
<th>Relative peak sensitivity ($J_{AO}(y)$)</th>
<th>Location in the y-axis of the relative peak sensitivity (mm)</th>
<th>Mean penetration depth in the y-axis (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A (8.6 to 12.7)</td>
<td>44.1%</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B (13.1 to 16.6)</td>
<td>40.2%</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C (19.4 to 23.0)</td>
<td>37.9%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D (23.6 to 25)</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Deep from the superficial layer, the absolute mean sensitivity of the AO technique is more than twice those of other techniques.

4.2 Relative Peak Sensitivity, Penetration Depth, and the Location of the Ultrasound Focus

Figure 6(b) also shows that the relative peak sensitivity does not always coincide with the focused US. This finding is observed when the focused US is positioned inside ROI B and yet the peak sensitivity of the AO technique is not within it. On the other hand, Fig. 6(c) shows that the peak sensitivity occurs within the focused US when it is located in ROI A. Figure 6(e) shows that ROI A has a much higher optical spatial sensitivity ($J_{SSD}$) than ROI B. Therefore, the location of the peak sensitivity of the AO measurement depends on the optical spatial sensitivity in the focused US region.

One way to move the relative peak sensitivity of the AO technique inside ROI B would be to increase the optical source-detector spacing. Using the SSD system in the reflection mode, it has been shown both experimentally and by simulation that the penetration depth can be increased by increasing the optical source-detector spacing. (Although the optical spatial sensitivity $J_{SSD}$ may change with the optical source-detector spacing, the peak relative sensitivity is likely to stay in the superficial region.) Therefore, increased optical source-detector spacing will also lead to a higher optical spatial sensitivity in the focused US region and therefore shifting the relative peak sensitivity of the AO technique deeper into the ROI B.

In comparison to all other techniques, the AO technique still has the highest absolute sensitivity within ROI B as evident in Fig. 6. The results in Fig. 6 and Table 2 further confirm that the relative peak sensitivity is not always within the US focus region. These results have significant implications for both AO sensing and imaging applications.

4.3 Influence of the Acoustic Properties

The sensitivity maps shown in Figs. 4(b), 5(c) and 5(d) account for both optical and acoustic property changes in the background medium. We have shown in Fig. 3(b) that the sensitivity changes due to acoustic property change are small (<5%) and have negative values (increased MD), while in Figs. 4 and 5 the sensitivity changes due to both acoustic and optical property changes are much higher (>40%) and have positive values (decreased MD). One possible approach to minimize the effect of the acoustic property change in Fig. 4(b) would be to subtract it from Fig. 3(b). We, however, choose to present the results with less post-processing so that readers can see the original data for themselves. It is also important to realize that the AO measurement (amplitude of MD) is also dependent on the acoustic properties of the background medium (Intralipid in our case) which attenuate the US, and therefore limit its intensity in the focus and affect the amplitude of the MD.

4.4 Comparison With Computational Results

We have developed a Monte Carlo model for the calculation of the spatial sensitivity maps of the AO and optical detection schemes. Interested readers are referred to this paper for details. The main features of the computed spatial sensitivity maps are very similar to the experimental ones shown here. For example, in both the experimental and computational results, the relative peak sensitivities of the optical method (SSD) are near to the superficial region, while those of the AO method are near to the US focused region. These characteristics apply to both transmission and reflection modes. Also, in the reflection mode, the computed sensitive values of the AO method are negative in the region near to the surface and between the optical source and detector, which again agree with the experimental results here.

In the Monte Carlo simulations, a local absorber with a dimension of 1 x 1 mm has been used, rather than a dimension of 5 x 5 mm as in the experiments here. The similarity of results in both cases suggests that a local absorber with a larger size does not affect the experimental results considerably.

4.5 Other Acousto-Optical Techniques

Following the discussion of the low absolute sensitivity of the AO technique in certain situations, e.g., in transmission mode, it can in fact be improved by various means. For instance, with the existing AO system one straightforward way is to use a stronger focused US which will increase the particle displacement in the US focus and affect the amplitude of MD. Various AO imaging systems based on photorefractive crystals, spectral hole burning, and acoustic radiation force have also been developed to enhance the AO signals and can potentially produce higher absolute sensitivity.

4.6 Other NIRS Techniques

In this paper, we have only considered two NIRS techniques, i.e., the SSD and SR techniques, which are commonly used...
in commercial NIRS clinical monitors. There are in fact other commercial NIRS monitors, which exploit a multiple detector scheme such as the INVOS Cerebral Oximeter (Ref. 10) from Somanetics Co., and the FORE-SIGHT Cerebral Oximeter (Ref. 11) from CAS Medical Systems, Inc. In comparison with the SR system discussed here in which multiple detectors are placed very close to each other (millimeter spacing), these NIRS monitors have the multiple detectors more widely spaced (centimeter spacing). Other NIRS systems do not employ the cw laser source at all, including the phase resolved spectroscopy system, e.g., the OxiplexTS™ tissue oximeter from ISS Inc. and the time resolved spectroscopy system, e.g., the TRS system from Hamamatsu Photonics KK. In particular, the time resolved spectroscopy system has been shown to have a higher sensitivity toward the deeper layer in comparison to the SSD system.

4.7 Oxygenation Measurement

In this work, we only consider the basic measurements in terms of intensity (SSD), attenuation slope (SR), and the modulation depth (AO). It is necessary to convert these basic measurements into the absorption coefficients $\mu_a$ first before one can apply the Beer–Lambert law to convert $\mu_a$ (measured in multiple wavelengths) into concentrations of oxy- and deoxy-haemoglobin for clinical monitoring. For the SSD and SR systems, this can be done by using the modified Beer–Lambert law and a solution of the diffusion equation under specific boundary conditions, respectively. As for the AO system, a thorough theory that can convert the modulation depth into $\mu_a$ is still under development although early success has been reported based on approximations.

5 Conclusion

In tissue oxygenation monitoring, the ROI is often beneath a superficial layer. Therefore, a good tissue oxygenation monitor should have a higher sensitivity to the deeper region than to the superficial region. However, many existing NIRS systems have the highest sensitivities in the superficial layer, rather than in the ROI. Through absorption perturbation experiments, we have shown that generally the AO technique can increase the sensitivity in a deeper region by incorporating a focused US with NIRS. In the reflection mode with a source-detector spacing of 30 mm, the mean penetration depth of the AO technique was 14.8 mm, whereas that of the optical SSD technique was 11.4 mm in one realistic scenario. This shows the potential of the AO technique for providing a more regional measurement for tissue oxygenation monitoring.
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