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Abstract. The mode of operation and theoretical concept behind a type of near-infrared spectrometer is discussed, which is used to measure concentrations of glucose, ethanol, CaCl$_2$, and KCl solutions in water, respectively. The main features of the instrument are its potential for short time-to-measurement resolution on the order of tens of milliseconds, its broad spectral bandwidth from 1.0 to 2.4 μm, and its ruggedness. These features allow the device to operate remotely in field applications and to utilize a wide variety of optical interfaces based on state-of-the-art fiber optic technology. Also, they provide a straightforward path to miniaturization with the concomitant enhancement in time resolution and applicability of the instrument and the technique. © 2013 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE)
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1 Introduction

Current trends in spectroscopy are oriented toward the development of instrumentation that can be used outside the laboratory in applications such as in a surgery room, a primary-care clinic, or even a primary-care physician’s office. This framework imposes severe conditions on the performance of spectroscopic systems in terms of ruggedness, simplicity, and speed of operation. At the same time, device sensitivity and specificity is expected to be comparable with laboratory-grade instrumentation. Added to this is the need to assess a multiplicity of components for every sample, either because each of these components is important for a given clinical configuration or because some of these components may interfere in the correct assessment of the signal as spurious background and need to be subtracted out.

This article presents the concept and realization of an instrument that is designed to satisfy the above requirements. The development of the instrument comprises a computational algorithm and a hardware associated with it. The algorithm component of the instrument is a discretized version of multivariate linear regression (dMLR). The hardware component is based on a rotary optical switch developed and patented by Neptec Optical Solutions, Inc. (NOS, Fremont, California) and referred to as RadiaLight® switch. Both dMLR and RadiaLight® are intimately related, but the dMLR algorithm has a broader range of applicability, whereas the hardware may adopt different configurations in future developments.

2 Discrete Multivariate Linear Regression Analysis

In the most general mode, a set of $n$ measurements performed on $m$ different samples can be organized in the form of an $n \times m$ matrix, $P$. In MLR, the matrix, $P$, is factorized in the form:

$$P = R \cdot C. \quad (1)$$

In Eq. (1), $R$ is an $n \times r$ matrix, representing the calibration of the system with known values of the experimental setup, and $C$ is an $r \times m$ matrix, representing the unknown composition of the principal components in the sample being measured. The elements of matrix $C$ are the actual properties of the sample that need to be measured. For practical reasons, matrix $C$ will be referred to as the “concentrations” matrix. The $r$ columns of matrix $R$ are called “loading vectors” and correspond to the invariant properties of the measurement platform. Equation (1) establishes that a linear relationship exists between the “concentrations” in the experiment, $C$, and the measurement results, $P$. This is a fundamental hypothesis of MLR, and its validity needs to be verified in each case where it is applied. This will be hereafter referred to as the “linear hypothesis.” Once matrix $R$ is determined, then solving Eq. (1) for $C$ is straightforward, as in:

$$C = (R^T \cdot R)^{-1} \cdot R^T \cdot P. \quad (2)$$

In Eq. (2), it is assumed that the matrix, $ξ ≡ (R^T \cdot R)$, is nonsingular (otherwise, matrix $R$ is ill-defined, and the measurement strategy needs revision). Note that the procedure described by Eqs. (1) and (2) is quite general and involves a measurement that can be as detailed as desired, since the dimensions of matrices $P$ and $C$ are arbitrary. Matrix $R$ is formed by previous knowledge of the experimental conditions in which the measurement will be carried out. This procedure uses a given
number of sampling measurements or calibrations with known concentrations, \( C \). An example is the measurement of glucose concentrations in aqueous solutions using near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopy. A calibration procedure starts by measuring a control set of glucose solutions in water, e.g., 70 different samples with monotonically increasing, known glucose concentrations, and everything else remains the same in the experiment. Labeling the calibration concentrations and calibration measurements as \( C \) and \( P \), respectively, we can find the matrix \( R \) from Eq. (1), as

\[
R = P \cdot \tilde{C} \cdot \tilde{C}^T^{-1}.
\]

Figure 1 shows the NIR spectra of glucose and water solutions with varying glucose concentrations from 10 mg/dL up to 35 g/dL. The spectra were collected using a grating-based spectrometer having a 6-nm spectral resolution (Ocean Optics, Inc., Dunedin, Florida) with a 256-pixel InGaAs photodetector array. The resolving power of the instrument is thus approximately 250. The Ocean Optics spectrometer requires the collection of the spectrum in two patches to correct for second-order diffraction effects from the grating: the spectrum from 1750 to 2500 nm is collected using a long-pass filter with transmission edge at 1700 nm. The samples were placed in a glass cuvette with a 2-mm optical path, and the optical source is a broadband emission lamp emitting a total of 7 W of power (HL2000 Ocean Optics, Inc.) with a UV-visible filter to prevent the water from heating during the measurements.

The ordinate in Fig. 1 represents a normalized differential spectrometer signal using distilled water as a baseline. To obtain the baseline, a spectrum of distilled water was collected at a reference temperature. For every glucose solution measurement, the temperature of the solution was measured using a thermocouple. The reference water spectrum ("w") was "corrected" for temperature (see Appendix B), and then it was subtracted from the glucose solution spectrum ("g") to yield a differential spectrum ("g − w"). Temperature correction of the glucose solution spectrum consists of transforming the reference water spectrum from a reference temperature to the glucose measurement temperature. The result is normalized to the sum of the glucose and the water spectra ("g + w"). Thus, the ordinate "y" in Fig. 1 is \( y = (g - w)/(g + w) \).

Temperature is one of the factors that strongly affects NIR water spectra even for \( T \) fluctuations of about 0.1°C. Thus, temperature needs to be taken into account for any measurement involving aqueous solutions. One way of doing this is by collecting the calibration spectra using a thermal bath, ensuring a \( T \) fluctuation of less than 0.1°C (in the case of aqueous solutions of glucose). The procedure followed in the present work uses the previous recording of the spectra of pure water as a function of temperature to build a calibration chart that can be compared against each sample spectra. This requires measuring temperature for every spectrum collected. Details about the procedure will be discussed in Appendix B.

3 Discretization

Mathematically, the process of discretization can be viewed simply as a weighted summation of matrix components over a certain number, \( d \), of elements. This is described in Eq. (4) below:

\[
\tilde{R}_{ik} = \sum_{j}^{d-1} \beta_i \cdot R_{(i+k-j)k}.
\]

The factor \( \beta_i \) is a weighting parameter to be adjusted in the process of optimization, also defined herein as training or calibration of the instrument in question. This optimization procedure is based on a given measure of performance for the system, e.g., minimization of the measurement error for glucose concentrations, as described in detail in Appendix B. The dimensionality of the MLR problem is then reduced from \( n \) to \( n' = n/d \), reducing the computational time and the hardware requirements concomitantly. However, an operation such as the one described in Eq. (4) carries the cost of information loss due to reduced precision. On the other hand, discretization reduces data “graininess,” increasing information content of the reduced dataset. The balance between these competing effects can be quantified in different ways: one useful procedure is through the use of the relative entropy matrix (REM). In REM, a variable is defined that quantifies the information content of a given dataset. This is called the “entropy of the pooled dataset.” In the case of MLR analysis, the pooled dataset is matrix \( C \), which contains information of the instrument calibration. The entropy is defined as

\[
E_n(k) = -\frac{1}{\ln n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} R_{jk} \ln(R_{jk}).
\]

By maximizing the entropy, \( E_n \), the dataset is guaranteed to carry the maximum possible information content. Equation (5) actually refers to a “relative” entropy measure, assuming a maximum normalized value of \( E_n(k) = 1 \). The parameter \( k \) is a counting index given by \( 1 \leq k \leq m \), and \( m \) is the total number of different records of data available, which in the case of matrix \( R \) would be the total number of loading vectors to be used. A variance in the average relative entropy, or entropy variance, is then defined as
\[ \delta R(n)^2 = \frac{1}{M - 1} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \left( E_n(k) - \langle E_n(k) \rangle_n \right)^2. \] (6)

Since entropy becomes indeterminate for extreme values of the data size, \( n \), such as \( n = 1 \) or \( n \) approaching infinity, a more convenient criterion to characterize the information content of a given dataset is the minimization of the entropy variance, \( \delta R(n) \) [Eq. (6)].

Figure 2 shows the result of applying the concept in Eqs. (3) and (6) to the problem of using NIR spectroscopy for measuring glucose concentration in aqueous solutions. In this case, the variable \( M \) [Eq. (6)] expresses the aqueous solutions with different concentrations of glucose. The goal is to find the number of measurement channels, \( n \), that maximizes the information content, while keeping device cost and complexity at a minimum. The spectra used in the calculations are shown in Fig. 4. The data consists of arrays, \( R_k \), with 256 data points, each point representing the signal level on a specific pixel of the InGaAs photodetector array for a given wavelength dispersed by a diffraction grating. The data points can be “binned” together (averaged) in sets of different sizes, resulting in a varying number of channels or (bins) into which the data is distributed. As the binned array size is reduced (thus increasing the number of channels), according to Eq. (6), the variance in the entropy shows a pattern analogous to that of the well-known Allan variance plots. Based on this analysis, the conclusion is that to determine glucose concentration in an aqueous solution by using NIR spectra in the 850 to 2500-nm wavelength range, the ideal number of data binning (i.e., the number of measurement channels required in the instrument) should be somewhere between 10 and 20. Other authors have used the ideas of information entropy and MLR in order to optimize the sensitivity and specificity of a spectroscopic system, but not within the framework of the data discretization algorithm proposed here.

The calculations used to obtain an optimized set of filters involve the use of a stochastic scan of the 24-dimensional parameter space that spans all possible sets of eight interference filters, each with a given center wavelength, bandwidth, and weight factor (\( \lambda_c, \Delta \lambda_c \), and \( \kappa \), respectively). Note that the weight factor, \( \kappa \), can be any real number, positive or negative, and it is applied to the integrated signal coming from any given filter. This weight factor, \( \kappa \), is analogous to the factor, \( \beta_i \), in Eq. (3), except that the latter implies the validity of the linearization hypothesis. Using a statistically significant set of sample spectra with glucose concentrations ranging from 10 up to 500 mg/dL (a subset of the spectra shown in Fig. 1), the optimization routine selects the filter set that minimizes the relative variance in the data. The result of the optimization routine is shown in Figs. 3 and 4, where a linear correlation is found between a suitable variable, \( S \), and the glucose concentration of the solution, \( [g] \). The variable, \( S \), is a combination of operations performed on the “discretized,” eight-dimensional signal produced by the electromagnetic radiation detected through the eight interference filters using a single photodetector. This will be discussed in detail in the next section.

Figure 3 shows a histogram of the error between the calculated and the actual concentrations of glucose in the samples used. The calculated glucose concentrations are obtained using the eight interference filters illustrated in Fig. 1. The standard deviation from the straight line in Fig. 3 shows that more than 80% of the data points lay within an error of 50 mg/dL in concentration measurement. The average error in the measurement is 25 mg/dL for aqueous solutions of glucose with
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**Fig. 2** Entropy variance as a function of the array size for \( m \) sets of NIR spectra of glucose solutions (\( m = 71 \)). The optimal array size, \( n \), is between 10 and 20 channels or bins.

![Figure 3](https://example.com/f3.png)

**Fig. 3** Eight interference filters selected for glucose/water discrimination. The routine can be implemented by assuming different profiles for the filters; the case illustrated is a flattened Gaussian. The continuous, gray curve shows the features of the glucose/water difference spectra that the optimization routine uses to maximize the discrimination glucose concentration, \( [g] = 35 \text{ g/dL} \). The left vertical axis shows a weighting factor, \( \kappa \), and the right shows a spectral weight for the glucose/water mixture.

![Figure 4](https://example.com/f4.png)

**Fig. 4** Result of the discretized version of multivariate linear regression (dMLR) optimization procedure in terms of a linear correlation between the parameter \( S \) and the glucose concentration of the samples. The resulting linear fit is shown in the figure. The modeled instrument uses eight signal channels with their corresponding interference filters, as shown in Fig. 1.
concentration ranging from 10 to 500 mg∕dL. These results are within clinical validity for the diagnosis and treatment of diabetes and compare satisfactorily with state-of-the-art optical instrumentation for this purpose. More importantly, the significance of this result is that a dMLR-based instrument would be able to perform the measurement within a 10-s time resolution, and even less time if simple improvements in the hardware are introduced. Details of the hardware and instrumentation will be described in the next section. The stochastic parameter scan routine used to optimize the performance of the discretized channel set will be described in Appendix A.

4 Spectrometer

The architecture of the device is depicted in Fig. 6. The concept is analogous to a previous work by NOS, where the goal was to measure cholesterol, collagen, and elastin concentrations for cardiovascular angiography applications. The instrument

Fig. 5 Histogram of the error in the data samples shown in Fig. 4 (mg∕dL). The aggregated plot at the bottom shows that in the ideal case, this instrument would produce an error of less than 50 mg∕dL in more than 75% of the samples.

Fig. 6 Glucose sensor architecture. The lamp provides broadband electromagnetic radiation that is passed through a number of filters, \( f_i \), in each channel of the rotary switch (Radialight®). The output from the rotary switch is split between the glucose sample solution (detector A) and a pure water reference signal (detector B). The signal is processed by subtracting the measurements in detector B to that in detector A for each filter and normalizing the measurement to the sum of the two signals.
makes use of interference filters and homogeneous integration of the signal across the filter pass-band with a single photodetector. In the actual experiments, a total of nine interference filters were selected to maximize the measurement accuracy for an aqueous glucose solution.

To place the glucose measurement technique within the framework of dMLR, as detailed in Eqs. (1)-(4), it is useful to define a new variable, $S$, as in Eq. (7):

$$S = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - \kappa_i \cdot (A_i - B_i)/(A_i + B_i))^2}.$$  

In Eq. (7), $A_i$ is the signal in filter “$i$” (denoted $f_i$, cf. Fig. 3) related to the sample (e.g., a given concentration of glucose in pure water solution), and $B_i$ is the signal in $f_i$ related to a reference sample (e.g., pure water). The glucose concentration, $[g]$, is obtained from $S$ by a linear expression,

$$[g] = K_g \cdot S + b.$$  

In Eq. (8), $K_g$ and $b$ are regression coefficients. Equations (1) and (8) express $[g]$ as a nonlinear function of the signal measured from the sample, $\tilde{A} = (A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n)$. This clearly contradicts the basic assumption of MLR, as stated in Eq. (1). It is well known, however, that under low-glucose concentration conditions, $A \approx \tilde{B}$, Eq. (8) can be approximated as

$$S \approx \sqrt{n} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\tilde{B} \cdot \tilde{v}}{2} - \frac{\bar{A}^T \cdot \bar{v}}{2}\right).$$  

In Eq. (9), the vector, $\bar{v}$, is given by

$$\bar{v} = (\kappa_1/B_1, \kappa_2/B_2, \ldots, \kappa_n/B_n).$$  

Making the following associations,

$$b = -K_g \cdot \sqrt{n},$$  

$$\left(R^T \cdot R\right)^{-1} \cdot R^T = \frac{\bar{P}}{2}$$  

$$P = [\tilde{B} - \bar{A}]$$  

$$C = [g],$$  

it turns out that Eq. (8) can be written for $C = [g]$ as

$$C = \left(R^T \cdot R\right)^{-1} \cdot R^T \cdot P.$$  

Matrix $R$ in this case is a matrix having only one dimension corresponding to the principal component in the problem at hand (the glucose concentration). In other words, $R$ in the present problem is a vector that can be extracted from Eq. (13) as

$$R = \left(\frac{2}{K_g}\right) \cdot (\bar{v}^T \cdot \bar{v})^{-1} \cdot [\bar{v}].$$  

In essence, the dMLR procedure here consists of finding the values of $(f_i, \delta f_i, \kappa_i)$ such that the linearity in Eq. (8) is satisfied and such that the error (variance) in the measurement, $\sigma_{\text{max}}$, is minimized. This is equivalent to finding suitable values of $\beta_i$ in Eq. (3).

## 5 Experiment and Results

Figure 3 shows the spectral profiles of the nine interference filters used in the instrument described in Fig. 2. The filter set covers a wavelength range in the NIR domain from 0.9 to 2.3 $\mu$m. Note that the band-pass filters used in the instrument do not match exactly the band-pass filters specified by the stochastic method (shown in Fig. 3) and used in the theoretical calculations that generated Figs. 4 and 5. The reason for this is the stronger photodetector signal provided by broader pass-band filters, at the cost of reducing specificity in the measurement. The results of the experiments performed using an instrument with the architecture shown in Fig. 3 and the filters from Fig. 4 are illustrated in Figs. 6, 7, and 8. Figure 8 shows the time trace of the signal measured through each of the nine channels. The device operated at 300 RPM, and data were averaged 50 times, leading to a total time-to-measurement resolution of 7.5 s. The signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) obtained for the detector used is $\approx 10^3$. The SNR is not the same for all the filters used, as can be seen from Fig. 8. The highest SNR is obtained for the filter with broadest bandwidth, namely filter no. 2 centered at 1235 nm (cf. Figs. 3 and 8). Using the current instrument, the speed of the RadiLight® motor can be increased by a factor of 20 for a time-to-measurement resolution of 375 ms. Further noise-reduction techniques like Kalman filtering and auto-balance feedback circuitry can be used to completely eliminate the need for averaging the signal. This would bring the achievable time-to-measurement resolution down to less than 10 ms.

The traces in Fig. 8 correspond to five different aqueous solutions, including glucose (at 35 g/dL), all of them relevant for biomedical applications. Figure 9 shows the $S([g])$ correlation curves obtained for one set of aqueous glucose solutions measured on 4 different days. For each correlation curve, the sample set was measured in a random sequence relative to sample concentration. The linear nature of the data points shown in Fig. 9 for each of the sample set collections proves the validity of principal component analysis when used with the architecture.
depicted in Fig. 6 for the measurement of glucose in water. The reason for the difference between the correlations shown in Fig. 8 and that obtained theoretically (cf. Fig. 9) is the different filter set used. Notice that, as expected, the broader pass-band of the filters used in the experiment results in a reduced specificity of electromagnetic radiation absorption, considering the fact that the filter set was not determined using detailed spectroscopic analysis similar to what is described in Figs. 1–3. The addition of the reference sample calibration steps is not deleterious to the overall measurement protocol. The reference samples may be calibrated periodically: once a day, once a week, or less often, according to the conditions of storage and other environmental factors. In a further development, the use of blood samples would be interesting. In this case, a new filter set needs to be determined using detailed spectroscopic analysis similar to what is described in Figs. 1–3.

The use of a filter set that has been optimized for the measurement of glucose in water does not preclude the application of the same filter set for the measurement of other solutions of relevance in biomedical research. Figure 8 shows the performance of the instrument for the measurement of water solutions of CaCl₂, KCl, and ethanol. The differential solution measurements with a water baseline were temperature-corrected just like the glucose measurements [g] above (also, see Appendix B).

The differential measurement scheme using distilled water as a baseline is as depicted in Fig. 6. One day after the third measurement, the fourth collection set corresponds to the lowest slope (Kₙ = 0.017), one day after the second; and the fourth collection set corresponds to the lowest slope (Kₙ = 0.017), one day after the third. During the four data collection sequences, the sample set was stored in polystyrene cups under refrigeration at about 3°C. The data show sample degradation, which may be due to ambient water condensation in the sample container or glucose diffusion into the polystyrene container walls. The offset value “b” [cf. Eq. (8)] does not show a consistent pattern in terms of the time of measurement; thus, it is not possible with the present data to establish the origin of the change in offset values. In practical terms, the day-to-day use of an instrument as presented in Fig. 8 hinges on the linear response across the large dynamic range demonstrated. For routine measurements, two or three reference points may be needed as a calibration to find the linear regression curve. For example, two, three, or more reference samples with known glucose concentrations may be placed in photo-detector A (PD A) port (Fig. 6) for every measurement event. Given the speed of every single measurement (e.g., 10 ms per data point), the addition of the reference sample calibration steps is not deleterious to the overall measurement protocol. The reference samples may be calibrated periodically: once a day, once a week, or less often, according to the conditions of storage and other environmental factors. In a further development, the use of blood samples would be interesting. In this case, a new filter set needs to be determined using detailed spectroscopic analysis similar to what is described in Figs. 1–3.
ethanol correlation renders a precision of ~2% in concentration measurement. This is an upper bound for the measurement error, since it includes the sample preparation error which cannot be estimated due to the lack of a separate, more precise measurement technique in our lab when this testing was conducted. Other instruments have achieved a better sensitivity but with a highly reduced measurement speed of tens of seconds. In the case of CaCl₂ and KCl, the measurement error, including sample variability, is in the range of clinically relevant electrolyte concentrations in blood. For CaCl₂, for example, 80% of the measurements are within 0.5 g/dL of the sample preparation value, and for KCl, 80% of the measurements lie within 0.4 g/dL of the sample preparation value. While the present ion-concentration measurements are not taken simultaneously, nor correlated with a corresponding parallel calibration, it is noteworthy that the accuracy for each analyte is better than the other values reported in the literature (e.g., results in Ref. 22).

While it might be argued that the results in Ref. 22 were collected from real blood samples, it is also true that the FT-spectrometer used in Ref. 22 has a resolving power of 16,000 in the spectral region from 400 to 1162 nm and 8000 in the spectral region 833 to 2631 nm. Again, results are hard to compare on a one-to-one basis, but the overall comparison with our device is at least encouraging.

6 Discussion

The first issue to tackle is the validity of the linearity assumption implicit in the analysis used to interpret the data. Equations (7)–(16) demonstrate that the linear approach is valid, at least for situations in which the effect of the analyte in the optical signal is a perturbation relative to the reference signal. This means: $|1 - ||A||/||B||| \ll 1$, with A and B as used in Eq. (16). More generally, the use of a broadband signal and a suitably selected channel set guarantees that the linearity of Eq. (11) is kept well beyond the linearity requirement for a single channel in the instrument. Such requirements are set forth by physical models like the Beer–Lambert law of absorption, which are meaningful across narrow wavelength bands. In Appendix B it will be demonstrated that the ability to select different spectral regions of the signal, across a wide spectral range with suitable weight factors, $\beta_i$ [Eq. (3)], largely increases the linear range of the parameter, $S$ [cf. Eq. (7)]. Suffice to say that, as Fig. 8 demonstrates, the dynamic range for glucose concentration measurements extends for almost 3 orders of magnitude. This result, to the best of the authors’ knowledge, has no precedent in the literature of glucose sensors. While perhaps the detection range for glucose lies well beyond clinically sensitive values, the reader may realize that such a broad dynamic range is not dependent on the analyte. Figure 11 shows loading vectors for the aqueous solutions depicted in Fig. 10 (namely, CaCl₂, KCl, ethanol, and glucose).

**Fig. 10** Linear correlations, S, obtained with the instrument depicted in Fig. 9 for water solutions of (a) CaCl₂ (mean error 0.2 g/dL), (b) KCl (mean error 0.2 g/dL), and (c) ethanol (mean error 2%).

**Fig. 11** Measured values of the “loadings” vectors associated with the concentration of glucose (diamonds), calcium chloride (horizontal bars), potassium chloride (squares) and ethanol (triangles), all in water.
To discuss Fig. 11 in more detail, we will focus on the glucose case. The NIR spectrum of aqueous glucose solutions has been extensively studied in the past decade, concurrently with efforts to develop noninvasive optical methods for the treating and monitoring of diabetes.

The NIR absorption in the overtone band (approximately from 1100 to 1800 nm) consists mostly of water lines (cf. Fig. 1 including a very strong band at ∼1450 nm and a much weaker glucose band at ∼1680 nm. In the combination band region (1900 to 2500 nm), there is a very strong water band at ∼1990 nm and two or three weaker glucose absorption features (1900 to 2300 nm). Notably, the presence of glucose in solution produces a profound effect in the spectral signature of water absorption lines, both in the overtone and in the combination band regions. This effect is associated with line shifting and broadening of the main water lines, together with the “substitution” effect, whereby water absorption is reduced in certain ranges as water molecules are replaced by glucose in the solution. The contribution of refractive effects to the spectra shown is minimal, as demonstrated in Appendix A.

The use of glucose absorption lines in the NIR-combination band range (1.9 to 2.3 μm) for noninvasive diabetes monitoring has been implemented by several authors. Prior attempts faced the problem of weak absorption with varying degrees of success. Furthermore, the absorption lines in the NIR range may be overwritten by the strong water absorption band at 1990 nm. Some groups have attempted to overcome this problem by using stronger glucose absorption bands in the mid-IR region (9 to 10 μm). However, the instrumentation for this wavelength range becomes more complex, and scattering issues still permeate the data analysis process. Interestingly, Ref. [4] presents a spectroscopic system having a plurality of 25 discrete filters in the mid-IR spectral domain. The results reported in Ref. [4] are comparable with the model data fit for glucose described above (cf. Fig. 3), while it omits a discussion of how the filters were selected. The analysis presented herein suggests that the authors in Ref. [4] presumably could reduce their filter set by about half. Albeit the spectral ranges between Ref. [4] (mid-IR) and our results (NIR) are different, it is expected that the information content in the mid-IR be higher due to the presence of stronger glucose absorption peaks in this region. Thus, the “knee” of the Allan variance plot shown in Fig. 4 should occur at a lower value of “n” for the mid-IR data, relative to the NIR-data.

The instrument presented in this article achieves the optical signal across a broad spectral band and is able to include overtone and combination bands in the measurement. Therefore, the instrument takes advantage not only of the absorption bands specific to glucose, but also of the effect that glucose produces in the absorption bands of water. In this manner, a precise measurement of glucose concentration in solution is obtained.

The correlation curves shown in Fig. 5 and the loading vector for glucose in Fig. 6 represent a combination of absorption effects in both glucose and water. Three absorption bands are clearly defined: one for water (1440 nm, filter f_3) and two for glucose (1688 nm, filter f_5 and 2202 nm, filter f_9). The effects are shown in Fig. 5. In the case of water absorption, it is seen that the presence of glucose in solution increases the measured signal. The opposite effect occurs for lines that correspond to an absorption band of glucose.

A figure of merit, \( Q \), may be defined for a device that uses spectral information over bandwidth \( \Delta \lambda \) in a time \( \Delta t \) with a SNR, as

\[
Q = \log_{10} \left( \frac{1}{c} \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\Delta t} \cdot \text{SNR} \right).
\]

The apparatus described in this article achieves a quality value \( Q = -10.6 \), which compares well with state-of-the-art Fourier transform interferometer devices such as described by Palchetti and Lastrucci \( (Q = -11.4) \) and Bradley \( (Q = -10.0) \). A larger value of \( Q \) is indicative of a more desirable spectroscopy apparatus.

7 Conclusion

The instrument and techniques described above are particularly useful for measuring the concentration of glucose in blood, an important measurement in connection with the control and treatment of diabetes. The results presented here constitute spectroscopic measurements that comprise a bandwidth of about 1.3 μm (from 950 nm to 2.2 μm) scanned during a time interval...
of 160 ms. The bandwidth speed-form factor of such spectroscopic measurement is better than $1.12 \times 10^{15}$ Hz$^2$. The use of a discretized algorithm for principal component calculation reduces dramatically the computation time for a single measurement. Thus, the main limitation for time resolution is hardware driven, e.g., the direct current (DC) motor used.

To our knowledge, the current instrument features the highest bandwidth speed-form factor achieved by a spectrometer other than a Fourier transform-based instrument. The speed of the device could be increased by a factor of 20 using current components. Furthermore, using state-of-the-art technology for DC motor fabrication, the speed could be improved by 3 orders of magnitude, bringing the time resolution of the device to the microsecond domain without compromising spectral bandwidth. The broadband approach presented in the present article makes use of a judicious combination of refraction and absorption effects in order to establish a simple, linear correlation between the concentration of a given substance in a water solution and the signal from a single photodetector.

Appendix A Stochastic Genetic Algorithm

Figures 12-15 show schematically the mechanism of a “cloud-shell” genetic algorithm used to obtain an optimized set of filters for the problem of measuring glucose concentration in water solutions. Each channel set of size, $n$, is associated with a point in an $L$-dimensional set, $Q^L$, which is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^L$, the Euclidean space of dimension $L = f \otimes n$, where $f$ is the number of characteristics associated with a single channel. The optimization consists in scanning $Q^L$ to find the point such that the determinant of matrix, $\xi$, defined in Eq. (3), is maximized. The point thus selected will represent the characteristics of a channel set of size, $n$, that maximizes the performance of the measurement device. In the specific case of the measurement of glucose in water, $n = 8$ channels are used (cf. Fig. 12). The channels correspond to spectroscopic filters, each filter having three characteristics [i.e., $f = 3$ corresponding to center wavelength...]
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**Fig. 13** Flow diagram for the software routine implemented for the shell-cloud genetic discretization algorithm.

**Fig. 14** Principle of construction of a single shell in the genetic algorithm to find the point within parameter space $Q^l$ that optimizes the performance of the spectrometry device.

**Fig. 15** Hypothetical sequence of shells following an optimization trajectory in parameter space $Q^l$. 

---
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filter bandwidth ($\Delta \lambda_c$), and weight factor ($\kappa$)], the set $Q^L$ has dimension $L = 24$. Therefore, each point in $Q^L$ is given by a set of 24 numbers as follows: \( \{\lambda_c, \Delta \lambda_c, \kappa_1, \ldots, \lambda_c, \Delta \lambda_c, \kappa_8\} \). Notice that the geometry of the set $Q^L \subset \mathbb{R}^L$ is determined by the physical constraints for these 24 numbers, respectively, for all channels. 

### Appendix B: Water Temperature Correction

In this appendix, a model will be presented that closely resembles the broadband spectral features of water and aqueous solutions as a function of a single parameter. The model will be used to demonstrate the assertion that the linearity of an operation is preserved in Eqs. (\[\text{i}\]) and (\[\text{ii}\]), is preserved on account of the application sought. We start by presenting the linear frequency response equations for a system of $n$ forced harmonic oscillators:

\[
X = X_R + iX_I, \quad (17)
\]

\[
X_R = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( \frac{(\omega_i^2 - \omega^2)}{(\omega_i^2 - \omega^2)^2 + \omega^2 \Gamma_i^2} \right) \cdot A_i, \quad (18)
\]

\[
X_I = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\omega \cdot \Gamma_i}{(\omega_i^2 - \omega^2)^2 + \omega^2 \Gamma_i^2} \cdot A_i, \quad (19)
\]

where $R$ and $I$ stand for the real and imaginary parts of the function, respectively, and the sum takes place for all frequency, $n$, resonance frequencies of the system (e.g., absorption lines).

The wave vector of a propagating electromagnetic field through the medium, $k$, is obtained from the response function, $X$, according to Maxwell’s equations as:

\[
k = \frac{\omega}{c} \cdot \sqrt{1 + 4\pi \cdot X}, \quad (20)
\]

with the electromagnetic field assumed to be propagating along the $z$-axis and obeying an expression as follows:

\[
E(z, t) = E_0 \cdot e^{i(kz - \omega t)}. \quad (21)
\]

Interference effects produced by multiple reflections across a slab of material of thickness, $d$, and an interface reflectivity given by, $r$, can be modeled as:

\[
E(d, \omega) = E_0 \cdot e^{-i\omega t} \cdot \left( \frac{1}{1 - r \cdot e^{2ikd}} \right). \quad (22)
\]

To obtain a match for the water spectrum in the NIR domain from 850 to 2500 nm, a number of five absorption features were included in the model. The frequency, $\omega_0$, bandwidth, $\Gamma_0$, and $\kappa_0$ are such that:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Line</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$A_0 = a \cdot A_{00} + a \cdot A_1 \cdot T$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\omega_0 = \varphi \cdot \omega_0 + \varphi \cdot \omega_1 \cdot T$</td>
<td>1.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_0 = \varphi \cdot \Gamma_{00} + \varphi \cdot \Gamma_1 \cdot T$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $a = 2.6 \times 10^{14}$ st/g, $\varphi = 2 \times 10^{14}$ Hz; $A_1 = -0.002$; $\omega_0 = 0.005$; $\Gamma_1 = 0.002$. 

Table 1: Water absorption features.
strength, $A_i$, for each of these features are listed in Table 1. All the frequencies correspond to the observed absorption bands in liquid water. Linewidth and strength of the peaks have been adjusted to better match the measured spectra, as shown in Fig. 16.

In order to simulate the effect of temperature changes in the spectrum, a linear dependence is assumed with respect to temperature. In principle, each of the five absorption bands would have a different rate constant. Since the goal of this article is not to develop a model for water absorption, we have simply assumed that the rate constants are the same for all absorption bands. The values chosen are those that provide a better match to the spectrum and are listed in Table 1.

Defining vectors $B$ and $A$ with components corresponding to a particular pass-band filter as in Eq. (8) and a nonlinear variable, $y(T)$:

$$B_i = \int_{\lambda_i-\Delta_i/2}^{\lambda_i+\Delta_i/2} E(d, \omega) \cdot E^*(d, \omega) \left|_{T=T_0} \right. \cdot d\lambda$$

$$A_i = \int_{\lambda_i-\Delta_i/2}^{\lambda_i+\Delta_i/2} E(d, \omega) \cdot E^*(d, \omega) \left|_T \right. \cdot d\lambda$$

$$y(T) = 1 - e^{T/T_c}$$

where the index, $i$, represents a given pass-band of width $\Delta\lambda_i$, and $E(d, \omega)$ is defined in Eq. (6). The parameter $T$ is as appears in Table 1 and $T_r$ is a “critical” value of that parameter. When $T > T_r$, then the expressions for each component, $A_i$ and $B_i$, taken independently, become highly nonlinear.

Figure 16 shows a series of simulated spectra with $T = 0, 1, \ldots, 50$ and $r = 10$; the nonlinear nature of the spectral shifts is clear. Superimposed on Fig. 17 is the spectral profil of eight filters with their corresponding set of characteristics $\{\lambda_i, \Delta\lambda_i, \kappa_1, \ldots, \lambda_i, \Delta\lambda_i, \kappa_n\}$. This particular filter set is such that the expression $S(y)$ is very close to a straight line (see the inset in Fig. 17), where the value of “$S$” is obtained from the filter selection [cf. Eq. (9) in the text]. Figure 17 demonstrates the power of the shell-cloud stochastic routine to produce a linearized outcome, even though the physically meaningful linearity conditions are overwhelmed.

Finally, we will briefly review the procedure used to correct for the effect of $T$ fluctuations in the spectral signature of the samples. Figure 18 shows differential spectra of water for temperatures varying from 22 to 40°C. The reference is the water spectrum at 22°C. The inset in the figure shows that the area swept by the spectral features, as they are stretched due to the increase in temperature, follows a linear behavior in the temperature range considered. The ordinates in the inset in Fig. 18 are the integrated area under the absolute value of each spectrum in the figure, as a percentage of the entire area of the spectrum. Since the range of temperature fluctuations in any given data collection procedure never exceeded 2°C, we can safely assume that the impact of these fluctuations is linear. The implication of this is that the effect of temperature and glucose concentration in water can be treated independently.

The procedure starts by measuring the temperature, $T$, at which each glucose spectrum is collected. Then, a water spectrum at temperature, $T$, is fabricated, $W(\lambda, T)$, by using the water spectra at the extreme temperatures, $W(\lambda, T_0)$ and $W(\lambda, T_A)$ with $T_0 < T < T_A$, and a reference water spectrum, $W_{ref}(\lambda, T_{ref})$, collected at the beginning of every data sampling.
sequence. The construction of \( W(\lambda, T) \) is taken by assuming a linear stretch between points \( T_0, T_A, \) and \( T_{\text{ref}} \):

\[
W(\lambda, T) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
1 + 2 \cdot \xi \cdot \frac{W(\lambda, T_0) - W(\lambda, T_{\text{ref}})}{W(\lambda, T_{\text{ref}}) - W(\lambda, T_A)} & \text{for } T < T_0, \\
1 + 2 \cdot \xi_{\text{ref}} \cdot \frac{W(\lambda, T_A) - W(\lambda, T_{\text{ref}})}{W(\lambda, T_{\text{ref}}) - W(\lambda, T_0)} & \text{for } T > T_A \end{array} \right.
\]

\( W(\lambda, T) \cdot W(\lambda, T_{\text{ref}}). \) \qquad (26)

With the coefficients \( \xi \) and \( \xi_{\text{ref}} \) defined as

\[
\xi = \frac{T - T_0}{T_A - T_0},
\]

(27)

\[
\xi_{\text{ref}} = \frac{T_{\text{ref}} - T_0}{T_A - T_0},
\]

(28)

With \( W(\lambda, T) \), the differential spectrum of glucose and pure water at temperature \( T \) will only include the effect of glucose in the sample to a linear approximation. This procedure can be implemented with data collected using a grating-based spectrometer using a photodetector array or with an instrument like the one described in this article using architectures as the one shown in Fig. 3.
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