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Abstract. Animal models are widely used to investigate the pathological mechanisms of spinal cord injury (SCI), most commonly in rats. It is well known that compromised blood flow caused by mechanical disruption of the vasculature can produce irreversible damage and cell death in hypoperfused tissue regions and spinal cord tissue is particularly susceptible to such damage. A fiberoptic photoplethysmography (PPG) probe and instrumentation system were used to investigate the practical considerations of making measurements from rat spinal cord and to assess its suitability for use in SCI models. Experiments to assess the regional perfusion of exposed spinal cord in anesthetized adult rats using both PPG and laser Doppler flowmetry (LDF) were performed. It was found that signals could be obtained reliably from all subjects, although considerable intersite and intersubject variability was seen in the PPG signal amplitude compared to LDF. We present results from 30 measurements in five subjects, the two methods are compared, and practical application to SCI animal models is discussed. © 2013 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE)
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1 Introduction

The mechanisms of tissue damage during and after spinal cord injury (SCI) are complex and incompletely understood. Trauma causing SCI is classed as primary injury and can produce laceration, stretching, or compression of the delicate nervous tissue of the spinal cord, including avulsion of the spinal roots. Primary injury can give rise to hemorrhage, edema, and other physiologic responses, which can lead to local ischemia-related injury (known as secondary injury) in the minutes, hours, and days after the initial impact via disruption of the blood spinal cord barrier. Such secondary injury can cause serious permanent loss of function; however, appropriate and rapid hospital treatment can potentially minimize these effects.

Several animal models have been developed to investigate various SCI mechanisms, most commonly in adult rats. Such models apply mechanical force to the spinal cord of anesthetized animals, including compression, spinal root avulsion, laceration, etc. These models allow the effects of mechanical injury on neuronal damage and subsequent recovery to be studied under controlled conditions. Secondary injury resulting from disruption of the blood supply, either by laceration, occlusion, or other deformation of blood vessels, can lead to prolonged ischemia, even after very mild trauma. The tissue of the spinal cord, like all central nervous system tissue, is extremely sensitive to hypoxia or anoxia resulting from hypoperfusion, and irreversible ischemic injury can result within a very short time. Quantification of ischemia resulting from SCI is traditionally performed by postmortem examination of the distribution and extent of cell death in histological specimens. This process is time-consuming and often requires large numbers of specimens to obtain reliable results.

Several techniques have been used to quantify perfusion in the spinal cord before and after injury. Laser Doppler flowmetry (LDF) is the most widely used methodology, is used in several animal and human studies to evaluate infarction and compromised blood flow in the spinal cord so that the contribution of ischemia to the total amount of secondary injury may be understood better. More specifically, the technique has been used to study the effects of hypothermia and ischemic preconditioning in limiting secondary injury in rat spinal cord tissue. Although laser Doppler is undoubtedly a useful technique, especially for comparative studies, its limitations should be considered. Specifically, LDF does not measure flow directly; rather it measures “flux”; i.e., the product of velocity and erythrocyte concentration in the proximity of the probe. The flux is nonlinear and cannot be calibrated with absolute flow. Instead, arbitrary units are used. Another disadvantage is sensitivity to movement artifact. Despite these limitations, LDF was used in the present study as a reference, as its use in similar areas of research is well established.

Several methods of direct measurement of cord blood flow have been demonstrated, including injection of radioactive microspheres and hydrogen clearance; however, neither technique is able to provide continuous measurement.
Computed tomography (CT) has also been used to measure spinal cord blood flow, but the cost of CT scanners is prohibitive to most centers.

Photoplethysmography (PPG) is the recording of blood volume changes by measurement of changes in light absorption. PPG is utilized in pulse oximetry, whereby a probe attached to the finger records a PPG signal from the arterioles in the subcutaneous tissue. The PPG signal recorded by the probe shows periodic changes in intensity, with each cardiac cycle appearing as a peak. Systolic distension of the arterioles causes increased absorption and a subsequent reduction in the detected intensity of the light reaching the photodetector in the pulse oximeter probe. Conversely, relaxation of the vessels during diastole causes an increase in detected intensity. The amplitude of the PPG signal indicates the availability of blood supply to the tissue. Many commercial pulse oximeters describe this variable as the “perfusion index” of the tissue.

PPG has been applied in a limited context to nervous tissue monitoring but the use of the technique for monitoring perfusion in SCI models has not been widely investigated. This is probably due to the lack of availability of a commercial monitor; however, a small pilot study has been completed which demonstrated that acute SCI produced reduced PPG amplitude signals that recovered after the compression was removed. It should be noted that, like LDF, PPG does not provide direct measurement of blood flow. PPG measurements could be applied to comparative studies, with or without LDF measurement, to provide a more comprehensive assessment of local perfusion status before trauma, after trauma, or both.

As a prelude to using this methodology to investigate the consequences of trauma on the spinal cord vascular response, an experimental protocol was established to assess regional perfusion of exposed spinal cord in anesthetized, naïve adult rats using both PPG and LDF. Here, we present results from 30 measurements in naïve subjects; sample signals are shown, the two modalities are statistically compared, and a discussion of the practical application of PPG to SCI animal models is included.

2 Materials and Methods

The optical fiber oximetry system comprises a probe and instrumentation connected to a data acquisition system, to which a commercial laser Doppler flowmeter is also connected. A block diagram of the system is shown in Fig. 1.

2.1 PPG Probe

The PPG probe (Fig. 2) is fabricated from two silica glass optical fibers (SpecTran Specialty Optics, Avon, Connecticut), of 200-μm core diameter, surrounded by cladding and primary buffer; the overall diameter for each fiber of approximately 0.9 mm. The fibers are ordinarily coated in a protective polyvinyl chloride (PVC) sleeve; however, this was stripped off at the distal end, exposing a 7.5-cm length of fiber. The distal tips of each fiber were polished flat, and the proximal ends were terminated with small microwave adapter (SMA) connectors. The fibers are arranged in a parallel configuration with the distal ends separated by a distance of 1.4 mm (measured between the axes of the fibers) and held in position by an epoxy molding. One fiber transmits light to the tissue, while the second fiber transmits a portion of the backscattered light away from the tissue, enabling measurement of the backscattered intensity.

The transmitting fiber is connected to the two light sources via a bifurcated optical fiber assembly (Ocean Optics Inc., Dunedin, Florida). The detecting fiber is coupled directly to the photodetector. The footprint of the probe (i.e., the area of contact with the tissue) measures approximately 3.2 by 1.3 mm.

2.2 Instrumentation

The instrumentation is housed in a screened metal case containing several light sources [SMA mounted red (660-nm) and infrared (850-nm) LEDs (the Optoelectronic Manufacturing Corporation Ltd., Redruth, United Kingdom)]; a photodetector [an SMA mounted PIN photodiode (The Optoelectronic
Manufacturing Corporation Ltd.); a power supply (2 × 12 V
lead-acid batteries); and a circuit comprising two switchable
regulated current sources connected to the LEDs and a
110-dB differential transimpedance amplifier, a demultiplexing
circuit, and filters (to attenuate noise and to separate the AC
and DC components of the signal) connected to the photodiode.
The ac signal was band-pass-filtered with a pass-band ranging
from 1 Hz to 19.4 Hz to remove the zero-frequency component
and high-frequency interference. The DC signal was low-
pass-filtered with a cutoff frequency of 19.4 Hz, to remove
high-frequency interference while preserving any physiological
artifacts. The pass-bands differ from those typically used in
pulse oximeters designed for human use due to the higher
heart rates recorded from small animals compared to humans.
The AC signal was also passed through a final 40-dB amplifier
stage.

2.3 Data Acquisition System

The LEDs are controlled by the digital multiplexing signal
from a 16-bit PCMCIA data acquisition card (DAQCard-AI-
16XE-50, National Instruments Inc., Austin, Texas), which
performs the function of an analog-digital converter (ADC). The
data acquisition card installed in a Sony VAIO PCG-Z600HEK
notebook computer running a LabVIEW (National Instruments)
virtual instrument (VI). The VI allows the user to control the
multiplexing frequency of the light sources and the sampling
frequency. The VI reads each of the four PPG signals (red AC, red DC, infrared AC, and infrared DC) at a
rate of 200 samples per second. Digital filtering is applied to
the DC signals (low pass filter, cutoff frequency 2.4 Hz). The
VI also displays the PPG waveform and records the signals in
a spreadsheet file.

2.4 Laser VMS Doppler System

A moor VMS-LDF laser Doppler monitor (Moor Instruments
Ltd., Axminster, United Kingdom) was used for the flux mea-
surements. A VP3 cylindrical needle probe (Moor Instruments
Ltd.) with a length of 40 mm, external diameter of 1.5 mm, and
interfiber separation of 0.5 mm was used with the monitor. The
fiber core diameter is approximately 125 μm. Simultaneous PPG
and LDF measurements could not be made for two reasons: the
footprints of the probes were not sufficiently small to allow both
probes to be placed on the short length of cord under inves-
tigation, and earlier attempts showed that light from the laser
was found to be picked up by the PPG probe saturation of the
photodiode amplifier. Instead, PPG measurements were fol-
lowed immediately by the LDF measurements.

3 Measurement Methods

A total of 30 measurements were taken from five adult male
Wistar rats (Charles River Laboratories International,
Wilmington, Massachusetts), from eight to nine weeks old
and weighing approximately 12.35 ounces (350 g) at the
time of surgery. All experiments were performed according

3.1 Surgical Procedures and Measurements

Each animal was deeply anesthetized in a fume box with a
mixture of 4% Isofluorane in oxygen at a flow rate of 1.5 L per min.
Throughout the procedure, anesthesia was maintained using
1.5% to 2% Isofluorane with oxygen at the same rate and deliv-
ered through a nosepiece. After incision of the skin and muscle
overlying the lumbar spinal column under a surgical microscope
(Carl, Germany), a bilateral laminectomy was performed asep-
tically at three successive vertebral levels (Thoracic T12; Lumbar L1 and L2) in order to expose the dorsal aspect of the
spinal cord. This procedure allowed easy laser Doppler or
PPG probe access to the three corresponding lumbar spinal levels
(Lumbar L4, L5, and L6), while leaving the dura and arach-
noid mater undisturbed.

Anesthetized animals were not given neuromuscular
blocking drugs or artificial ventilation. Electrical artifacts
related to breathing and heartbeat (i.e., ECG) were filtered dur-
ing postrecording analysis. Rectal temperature of the animal was
kept around 36°C with a controlled homeothermic blanket. The
animal was placed in a frame and held prone with clamps placed
on the T11 and L3 spinal vertebrae. The probe (PPG or Doppler)
was subsequently placed just above the cord dorsum surface at
the exposed spinal segments using a micromanipulator.

Measurements were recorded just medial to the root entry
zone (REZ) at three different levels along the rostro-caudal axis (L4, 5, and 6) and on both the left and right sides of the
cord (referred to as positions A, B, C, A’, B’, C’ in the results;
see Fig. 3). PPG signals were recorded at each position for 2 min
(12 min total measurement time), followed immediately by LDF
signals recorded at each position in the same sequence (A, B, C,
everything). Thus, a 12-min delay separated PPG and LDF
measurements made at each position. The spinal cord surface was kept
humidified throughout the experiment with 0.9% saline heated
to 36°C. At the end of the experiment, animals were euthanized
with pentobarbital (200 mg/ml solution, Nembutal, injected
intraperitoneally at 1 g/kg).

4 Signal Processing

The raw signals (PPG and Doppler) recorded during each 2-min
sample period were divided into four epochs of 30 s duration.

![Fig. 3 Schematic diagram showing the position of the six monitoring sites on the surface of the L4–6 spinal cord used in the experiments.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics)
The first and last epochs were discarded and the second and third epochs combined to produce a one-minute sample of each measurement. This ensured that artifacts caused by the movement of the probe relative to the tissue or by settling of the measurement system were minimized.

An amplitude spectrum was obtained from a discrete Fourier transform (DFT) using a Hamming window. The amplitude spectrum was normalized by dividing the raw signal by the dc (0 Hz) signal. Normalization compensates for various effects, such as variations in light source intensity and the spectral sensitivity of the photodiode. Also, the PPG AC: DC ratio is used in the algorithms to estimate arterial oxygen saturation in pulse oximeters. The amplitude spectrum of each Doppler signal was also produced, from which the amplitude of the Doppler signal was calculated over different frequency ranges.

To allow quantitative comparison of the PPG and LDF signals, several variables were derived from the amplitude spectra of the raw signals: the normalized amplitudes of the PPG signals, several variables were derived from the amplitude spectrum was normalized by dividing the raw signal over the spectral range $0.0005 \text{ to } 0.0008$; i.e., $0.05\% \text{ to } 0.08\%$ of the total detected radiation intensity. This optical pulse is approximately one-tenth the magnitude of typical finger PPG signals recorded by a pulse oximeter probe in a human subject with good peripheral perfusion.

The repeatability of the measurements may be assessed by considering the CV for measurements within each subject (at different measurement sites) and between subjects. Both the Doppler and PPG amplitudes were seen to vary considerably between measurement sites in most subjects. In fact, the Doppler flux measurements at the two frequency ranges described in methods together with the mean amplitude, standard deviation (SD) from the mean amplitude, and coefficient of variability (CV) for all sites and for all subjects. The correlation between the PPG and the two Doppler flux measurements was evaluated by calculating the coefficient of determination between PPG and each of the two LDF values. It can be seen that the amplitudes of the PPG signals are small: $0.0005 \text{ to } 0.0008$; i.e., $0.05\% \text{ to } 0.08\%$ of the total detected radiation intensity. This optical pulse is approximately one-tenth the magnitude of typical finger PPG signals recorded by a pulse oximeter probe in a human subject with good peripheral perfusion.

The repeatability of the measurements may be assessed by considering the CV for measurements within each subject (at different measurement sites) and between subjects. Both the Doppler and PPG amplitudes were seen to vary considerably between measurement sites in most subjects. In fact, the Doppler “steady flow” flux is more repeatable than that of PPG, while the Doppler “pulsatile” flux is more variable than that of PPG.

6 Comparison between PPG and LDF
Tables 1-3 show the PPG amplitude and magnitude of the Doppler flux measurements at the two frequency ranges considered in methods together with the mean amplitude, standard deviation (SD) from the mean amplitude, and coefficient of variability (CV) for all sites and for all subjects. The correlation between the PPG and the two Doppler flux measurements was evaluated by calculating the coefficient of determination between PPG and each of the two LDF values. It can be seen that the amplitudes of the PPG signals are small: $0.0005 \text{ to } 0.0008$; i.e., $0.05\% \text{ to } 0.08\%$ of the total detected radiation intensity. This optical pulse is approximately one-tenth the magnitude of typical finger PPG signals recorded by a pulse oximeter probe in a human subject with good peripheral perfusion.

Fig. 4 shows a 10-s sample of the low-pass-filtered (DC) infrared PPG signal recorded at one measurement site (A’, Subject #1) plotted against time. Respiratory and cardiac effects can be observed in the recorded trace. There is a large periodic low frequency (<1 Hz) component caused by spontaneous respiration. In addition, the cardiac pulse component may also be clearly seen.

Figure 5 shows the amplitude spectrum of the low-pass-filtered (DC) PPG signal from the same site, subject, and sample period obtained from a DFT. The dominant peak at around 0.7 Hz is caused by respiratory movement, while the peak at 5.3 Hz results from the cardiac pulse. The numerous peaks between these peaks are harmonics of the respiratory peak.

Figure 5 shows the time-domain laser Doppler signal acquired from the same measurement site and subject as Figs. 4 and 6. The signal shows some variation with time; however, the periodicity seen in the PPG signal is not present.

Figure 6 shows the amplitude spectrum of the LDF signal from the same site, subject, and sample period. Small respiratory and cardiac peaks are visible at 0.7 and 4.66 Hz, respectively; however, they are much less prominent than those in Fig. 4.

7 Discussion
The amount of intersite variability in both PPG amplitude and Doppler flux is surprising, considering that there is no tangible difference between measurement sites, except possibly the distribution and/or density of blood vessels. Comparing different subjects (at the same measurement site) also shows that “steady flow” Doppler is more repeatable, while “pulsatile” Doppler is more variable.

Between-subject differences could be explained by global hemodynamic variables, such as blood pressure, cardiac output, and/or depth of anesthesia, although we are forced to speculate...
regarding this point because these variables were not measured. Some variability may undoubtedly be caused by simply removing and repositioning the probe over a measurement site. Microscopic differences in position and/or application pressure almost certainly would cause differences in reported values. During the measurements, every care was taken to place the probe so the tip was in light contact with the tissue, exerting no excess pressure. However, without a sensitive pressure sensor at the probe tip, absolute consistency is impossible to attain.

Note that although simultaneous PPG signals were recorded using infrared (850-nm) and red (660-nm) multiplexed light sources, only the infrared signals were analyzed. This was because the red signals, although of good quality, were smaller in amplitude than the infrared signals and give no additional information regarding tissue perfusion.

The correlation between PPG amplitude and both laser Doppler flux (LDF) values for all measurements (regardless of site or subject) was also investigated. The coefficient of
Table 1. Mean normalized PPG amplitudes calculated at cardiac frequency $f_c$, showing inter-site CV (bottom row), and inter-subject CV (far right column).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site\subject</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>CV  [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>0.000526</td>
<td>0.000440</td>
<td>0.000171</td>
<td>0.000722</td>
<td>0.000515</td>
<td>0.000475</td>
<td>41.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.000422</td>
<td>0.000324</td>
<td>0.000453</td>
<td>0.000722</td>
<td>0.000424</td>
<td>0.000469</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>0.000756</td>
<td>0.000864</td>
<td>0.000704</td>
<td>0.000848</td>
<td>0.000331</td>
<td>0.000701</td>
<td>30.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A'</td>
<td>0.000952</td>
<td>0.000467</td>
<td>0.000627</td>
<td>0.001010</td>
<td>0.000230</td>
<td>0.000658</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B'</td>
<td>0.000573</td>
<td>0.000780</td>
<td>0.000333</td>
<td>0.001190</td>
<td>0.000482</td>
<td>0.000673</td>
<td>49.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C'</td>
<td>0.000938</td>
<td>0.000773</td>
<td>0.000670</td>
<td>0.001330</td>
<td>0.000308</td>
<td>0.000803</td>
<td>46.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>0.000695</td>
<td>0.000608</td>
<td>0.000493</td>
<td>0.000971</td>
<td>0.000382</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Mean Doppler flux (low-pass filtered), showing inter-site CV (bottom row), and inter-subject CV (far right column).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site\subject</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>CV  [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>233</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>237</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>24.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A'</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>296</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>23.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B'</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>341</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C'</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>211</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>267</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>231</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Mean normalized PPG amplitudes calculated at cardiac frequency $f_c$, showing inter-site CV (bottom row), and inter-subject CV (far right column).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site\subject</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>CV  [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>7.76</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>6.39</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>6.53</td>
<td>52.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>15.10</td>
<td>3.54</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>5.21</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>73.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>5.48</td>
<td>32.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A'</td>
<td>4.33</td>
<td>5.29</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>5.24</td>
<td>42.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B'</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>5.53</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>2.77</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>72.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C'</td>
<td>9.44</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>5.31</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>5.36</td>
<td>5.59</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>8.97</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>7.28</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>4.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>57.6</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>29.0</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
determination \((r^2)\) between PPG and “steady flow” Doppler was small \((r^2 = 0.043)\). PPG and pulsatile flux showed a slightly stronger correlation \((r^2 = 0.102)\). At first, this may seem surprising, as both PPG and LDF are considered surrogate measurements of the same quantity: blood flow. However, each technique is not only based on a different measurement principle but also measures two different physical effects. While LDF is related to blood cell velocity, PPG is related to arteriolar volume. Indeed, in the case of complete venous occlusion of a limb, it is possible for a pulse to be discerned and a pulse oximeter pleth wave to be seen on a monitor, despite the complete absence of blood flow. Despite these fundamental differences, PPG and LDF may be considered as two different aspects of perfusion that can give information about flow and oxygenation levels.

8 Conclusions

The authors consider that PPG and LDF are both valuable tools for assessment of cord perfusion in controlled SCI models. Acquiring high-quality, repeatable signals from such a small volume of tissue as the rat spinal cord is challenging. In particular, careful positioning of the probe is necessary; some experimentation is probably required to investigate the effect on the acquired signals of pressure applied by the probe to the tissue. An obvious technical improvement would be the fabrication of a single probe allowing simultaneous LDF and PPG measurements; e.g., by coupling a laser source (for Doppler) and LEDs (for PPG) to a single transmitting fiber, with a second fiber coupled to a photodetector suitable for both PPG and LDF modes. Multiplex/multiplex circuitry would avoid optical interference between the two measurements. Oxygen saturation could also be calculated following the addition of a second wavelength to the PPG system.

The results presented here are promising and suggest that both PPG and LDF has the potential to yield real-time and continuous quantification of blood supply to the spinal cord tissue that may be tracked to injury events. Although LDF used individually has proved to be a useful tool for blood-flow assessment in SCI models, the addition of PPG would provide extra information regarding the integrity of the arterial supply to the region of spinal cord under investigation. Further refinements in signal processing could provide a standardized “perfusion index” that allows comparison of results from separate SCI model experiments. In addition, such a system would be useful for monitoring changes from baseline observations in the supply of blood to the tissue (e.g., during recovery from acute trauma, or following the administration of neuroprotective drugs). Optical monitoring as described in this paper will enable the continuous monitoring of perfusion in living specimens, yielding much clearer insight into the mechanisms underlying secondary ischemic injury than would be possible from postmortem examination alone. These techniques could provide powerful new tools, allowing neuroscientists to understand better the development of SCI and leading to more advanced preventative treatment strategies.
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