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Abstract. When using ultrafast laser ablation in some orthopedic applications where precise cutting/drilling is required with minimal damage to collateral tissue, it is challenging to produce large-sized and deep holes using a tightly focused laser beam. The feasibility of producing deep, millimeter-size structures under different ablation strategies is investigated. X-ray computed microtomography was employed to analyze the morphology of these structures. Our results demonstrated the feasibility of producing holes with sizes required in clinical applications using concentric and helical ablation protocols.
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1 Introduction

Compared with conventional mechanical cutting/drilling tools, the laser has great potential in surgical applications since it is noncontact, minimally invasive, and easy to integrate with real-time feedback mechanisms. Although most work on laser ablation mechanisms and its clinical applications are for soft tissue, a number of studies have been reported on hard-tissue ablation and machining, where they demonstrated different ablation characteristics and underlying mechanisms. Besides dental applications, ablation of regular bone has also been investigated for potential applications in cosmetic and orthopedic surgery. The ablation in these studies is mostly based on thermal effects that are associated with significant collateral damage. Benefiting from the plasma-induced ablation mechanism, ultrafast pulsed lasers emerge as a promising candidate for hard-tissue ablation because they offer high resolution with minimal thermal-related damage in the collateral tissue. On the other hand, tight focusing and small volume of tissue removal are typical in ultrafast laser ablation, which make it difficult to produce large structures needed in clinical applications.

We recently studied a number of parameters in ultrafast laser ablation using porcine vertebral bone, including ablation threshold and the incubation effect. In this communication, we conducted proof-of-principle studies to explore the potential of ultrafast lasers to produce millimeter-scale holes using different ablation protocols. Porcine vertebral bone was used since it has similar bone density, composition, and mechanical properties as human bone. In order to offer better reference for potential clinical applications, the porcine bone sample surface was kept unaltered (i.e., not polished).

2 Experimental Setup and Results

The ablation experiments were carried out in a customized ultrafast laser machining setup as shown in Fig. 1. A detailed description of this setup can be found in previous publications and is briefly depicted here. Femtosecond laser pulses from a Ti:Sapphire oscillator (Tsunami, Spectra Physics) were amplified to maximum pulse energy of ~400 to 500 μJ and pulse duration of 170 fs at a repetition rate of 1 kHz. For the results reported here, the wavelength of the laser was set at 800 nm. The collimated beam diameter was reduced to a final 1/e² diameter of 4.4 mm through a telescope. The combination of a polarizer and a half-wave plate used to adjust the pulse energy. The laser exposure time (i.e., number of pulses used in ablation) was adjusted by a computer-controlled mechanical shutter (VS25S2S1, Uniblitz, Rochester, New York). A plano-convex lens (f = 12.5 cm, BK7, Thorlabs, Newton, New Jersey) focused the collimated beam down to a 1/e² spot size diameter of 30.2 μm. The bone sample was placed in a sealed glass vial during ablation to prevent the biological residue from spreading outside the environment. A glass microscope coverslip was used to seal the vial while allowing the ablation beam to pass through. Horizontal scanning of the sample is achieved using an X–Y translational stage (UTM100PP1, Newport, Irvine, California), while vertical scanning is achieved by moving the focusing lens through a linear (Z) translation stage (MFN25PP, Newport).

The experimental protocol was approved by the Animal Research Ethics Board of McMaster University. Vertebral bone specimens were harvested from skeletally immature pigs obtained from a local butcher in Hamilton, Ontario. The soft tissue and periosteum were removed and a handsaw was used to cut bone samples into smaller sizes (10 × 10 mm², 5 to 8 mm in height). The samples included both the outer cortical layer (1- to 3-mm thick) as well as the underlying cancellous bone (~5 mm). The bone specimens were stored in ice immediately following harvest and the laser ablation experiments were carried out soon after. After the experiments, the ablated specimens were stored at ~20°C in a freezer for a few days and then examined by x-ray microcomputed tomography (μCT, GE Medical Systems, London, ON MicroCT eXplore RS80; isometric resolution of 27 μm).

In orthopedic applications, such as pedicle screw pilot-hole drilling, prosthetic implantation, and osteotomies, it is necessary to produce straight holes on the scale of millimeters to even
which means removal of relatively large volumes of bone tissue in comparison to the ablation ... of the helix circle ablation protocol.

A concentric circle scanning/milling protocol was first used to produce larger-diameter holes. The fluence was set to 19.3 J/cm², and the scanning speed was set at 500 μm/s. Figure 2(d) illustrates the concentric circle scanning protocol: a continuous scanning of 20 concentric circles was carried out with the laser focus aligned right on the sample surface for each layer. Each successive circle had a radius increase of 25 μm.

Compared to the actual ablation diameter of the laser beam (∼30 μm), this increment was chosen to ensure sufficient beam overlap between circular passes. After one layer was machined then the laser focus was moved downward by 200 μm to machine successive layers. Different hole depths could be machined by controlling the number of removed layers. A total of 32 holes were ablated under the same laser parameters. Figure 2(b) and 2(c) show reconstructed μCT images of two typical holes through the cortical layer with two different view angles. The first hole (#1) had 20 layers and the second hole (#2) had 10 layers, corresponding to hole depths of 4 and 2 mm, respectively. The machining time was 28 and 14 min, respectively. From analysis of μCT scan images, the hole depths were measured to be 3.81 and 1.51 mm, which are shallower than expected due to debris deposited on the bottom of the holes. The side walls of the holes on the cortical bone showed smooth surface and were free of any cracks or thermal damage. We were not able to image the crater side wall clearly on cancellous bones because of difficulties in differentiating the ablation hole from native pores in the less dense and porous trabecular bone using the μCT images.

In Fig. 2(b), the cross-sectional images of both holes are shown. One can see the shape of the holes became tapered, especially at the deep end of the deeper hole. This is because part of the focusing laser beam was blocked by the side wall when the focal plane was well below the surface at the deep end of the hole. Figure 2(c) shows the top view optical microscopy image of the shallower hole, which did not cut through the cortical layer. By focusing on the bone surface and bottom, one can see that the bone tissue was removed completely and all the holes showed smooth edges and were free of thermal damage to the tissue outside the perimeter of the hole.

In clinical applications, the time allowed for producing such holes should be limited to 1 min or less. In the concentric circle drilling, it took 28 min to produce the deeper holes in Fig. 2. Therefore, another ablation protocol using spiral helical scan pattern was investigated to reduce ablation time. In this helical scan protocol, as illustrated in Fig. 3(c), only the outside perimeter of the hole is ablated by a spiral pattern moving downward. In principle, the time required for helical scanning linearly depends on the size of the hole, which may save significant operating time.

Three coaxial helices diameters of 900, 950, and 1000 μm were investigated with the fluence of the laser set to 2.6 J/cm² and a scanning speed of 500 μm/s. Each helix included 40 full circles and a pitch Δz of 100 μm between successive circles. The total machining time was <13 min. Figure 3(a) shows a three-dimensional reconstructed image from μCT scans of the laser-machined trench and pillar on an incomplete sample. From the two-dimensional cross-sectional image in Fig. 3(b), we see the pillar bottom is not flat because of the spiral pattern movement. The scale bars are 1 mm in length, while the two images are of different magnification factors. (c) Illustration of the helix circle ablation protocol.
In ultrafast ablation, thermal damage is usually limited because the dominate ablation mechanism is not thermal based and the total deposited energy is low. In our results, including those reported earlier using similar parameters, very little thermal damage was observed on the side of the crater. Although the ablation time is quite long in the results presented here, it should be noted that a 1-kHz repetition rate laser was used in this feasibility study. It is reasonable to expect that ablation time can be significantly reduced when using emerging ultrafast fiber lasers with repetition rate into 100s kHz to MHz regime.
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