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Abstract. Steady-state and time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy were employed in the discrimination of cervical cancer patients from healthy subjects using urine samples. Fluorescence emission at 390 and 440 nm was considered to monitor the fluorescence of indoxyl sulfate and neopterin. Significant spectral differences were observed between healthy and cancer subjects. Different ratio parameters were calculated from the spectral intensity at 280- and 350-nm excitation and were subjected to stepwise linear discriminant analysis. In total, 84.0% of samples were correctly classified at 280 nm and 96.4% were correctly classified at 350 nm. The fluorescence decay kinetics of urine samples at 390-nm emission was best described by bi-exponential fits, whereas the decay characteristics at 440 nm of urine samples was best explained by bi-exponential fits and, in some cases, by tri-exponential fits. However, the decay kinetics of both indoxyl sulfate and neopterin standards was well described by bi-exponential decays. Based on the fluorescence emission characteristics and statistical analysis, the fluorophores indoxyl sulfate, neopterin, and riboflavin may be considered as potential biomarkers for cervical cancer diagnosis. © 2014 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE)
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1 Introduction

Native fluorescence spectroscopy has been widely considered to characterize the metabolic and pathological conditions of cells and tissues.1 It has been reported that photophysical characteristics of many intrinsic fluorophores may be altered during the functional, morphological, and microenvironmental changes in cells and tissues. For example, the native fluorescence of collagen, elastin, and, more generally, proteins is related to the structural arrangement of cells and tissues. On the other hand, the native fluorescence from pyridoxine derivatives, reduced form of nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide, flavin, porphyrin, and pteridine and its derivatives are related to metabolic changes.2,3 Based on the report of Alfano and his group on the detection of dental carries and subsequently cancer using native fluorescence, the field of optical biopsy has been given importance in diagnostic oncology.22 In this context, many reported on the characterization and discrimination of various neoplastic conditions of cells and tissues from their healthy counterpart using steady-state native fluorescence spectroscopy.5–10 However, only limited studies are available on the characterization of biofluids using native fluorescence spectroscopy in diagnostic oncology.11–17 Among various biofluids, urine is considered to be one of the diagnostically important biological fluids, as it has many metabolites, where number of them are native fluorophores.18 Studies reported that there is a considerable variation in the concentration and conformation of some of the metabolites due to food intake and due to some altered metabolic and pathological conditions.19,20

Among various native fluorophores, pteridine and its derivatives, such as xanthopterin, isoxanthopterin, neopterin, biop- terin, pterin, and pterin-6-carboxylic acid, are considered as important cofactors during cellular metabolism.1 The distribution of pteridine and its derivatives may change when the monocytes and macrophages are activated under interferon-γ stimulus by cancer, viral infection, and renal diseases.4 On the other hand, it has also been reported that indoxyl sulfate, a metabolite of tryptophan, has also been released in the urine.20–22 As distribution of these fluorophores may be altered in urine under different pathological and metabolic alterations, determination of these metabolites were carried out using various tedious techniques, such as high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), capillary electrophoresis, and radioimmunoassay.23–25 For rapid characterization, native fluorescence spectroscopic techniques have been considered in the characterization of urine under different diseased conditions.5,26,27 However, no detailed report on the characterization of indoxyl sulfate and neopterin in urine in the discrimination of cervical cancer patients from healthy subjects using simple native fluorescence emission spectroscopy was available. In this context, the present work is aimed to study the steady-state and time-resolved native fluorescence spectroscopy in the characterization of indoxyl sulfate and pteridine derivatives present in the urine of healthy subjects and cervical cancer patients and to verify their diagnostic
potentiality in the discrimination of cervical cancer patients from that of healthy subjects.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Samples

First, voided morning urine samples were collected in a sterile container from cancerous patients of different etiologies and stages who are admitted for treatment in hospitals in Chennai and from healthy volunteers. Samples were collected from a total of 60 healthy subjects in the age group of 20 to 60 years and 50 pathologically confirmed cervical cancer patients in the age group of 20 to 65 years. Both the groups were free from other abnormalities, like diabetes, jaundice, and bacterial infections. The urine samples were stored in the refrigerator at 4°C and were examined as such within 48 h from the time of collection, after thawing it to room temperature.

2.2 Chemicals

Neopterin, indoxyl sulfate, and riboflavin were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co. (St. Louis, MO). Stock solutions were prepared using phosphate-buffered saline and were used as standards of fluorescence analysis.

2.3 Native Fluorescence Spectroscopic Measurements of Urine Samples

The raw urine samples without any preprocessing were taken in a four-sided polished quartz cuvette. The steady-state native fluorescence emission spectra of the urine samples were obtained using a commercially available spectrofluorometer (Fluoromax-2 SPEX, Edison, New Jersey) by exciting them at 280 and 350 nm and fixing the excitation and emission slit width as 5 nm. The excitation source (150 W ozone-free xenon arc lamp) coupled to the monochromator delivers light to the sample spot at a desired wavelength, and the fluorescence emission from the urine is collected by another monochromator connected to a photomultiplier tube (PMT) (R928P, Hamamatsu, Shizuoka-Ken, Japan). The gratings in the excitation and emission monochromators have a groove density of 1200 grooves/mm and are blazed at 330 and 500 nm, respectively. The collected signal is transferred to the PC through an RS232 interface. The data were processed by a Windows-based data acquisition program—DataMax software powered by GRAMS/386. The acquisition interval and the integration time were maintained as 1 nm and 0.1 s, respectively.

2.4 Time-Resolved Spectroscopic Measurements of Urine Samples

Lifetime measurements of the raw urine samples were measured using time-correlated single photon counting system (TCSPC, Horiba Jobin Yvon IBH, United Kingdom) by exciting the samples using 280 and 310 nm nano light-emitting diode source (Horiba Jobin Yvon IBH, United Kingdom, pulse width <1 ns) with a fast response red sensitive PMT (Hamamatsu Photonics, Japan) detector. The corresponding fluorescence decay was measured at 390 and 440 nm, respectively. The repetition rate was maintained as 1 MHz. The instrument response function (prompt) was obtained using a Rayleigh scatter of Ludox-40 (40 wt. % suspension in water; Sigma-Aldrich) in a quartz cuvette at 280- and 310-nm excitation. Decay analysis software (DAS6 v6.0, Horiba) was used to extract the lifetime components. The goodness of fit was judged by chi-square values.

2.5 Statistical Analysis

A detailed statistical analysis of the native fluorescence emission spectra at 280- and 350-nm excitation were carried out, which includes the following steps: (1) normalization of each fluorescence spectrum, (2) identification of characteristic spectral features of each experimental group and introduction of different ratio parameters followed by a student’s t test to find the level of significance to select the ratio parameters, and (3) classification by stepwise multiple linear discriminant analysis using SPSS/PC+ 17 software. The method of the statistical analysis was discussed in detail in our earlier works. Further, to check the statistical difference between healthy and diseased group based on lifetime components at 280- and 310-nm excitations, mean lifetime (Tavg), individual lifetime values (T1 and T2), amplitudes (A1 and A2), and ratio between amplitudes (A1/A2) and lifetime values (T1/T2) were subjected to student’s t test and the significant values were included in the analysis. As the present study is aimed to discriminate the cervical cancer subjects from the healthy subjects, the analysis was performed across 60 healthy subjects and 50 patients with cervical cancer.
3 Results

3.1 Steady-State Native Fluorescence Characteristics of Urine Samples at 280- and 350-nm Excitation

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) show the averaged and normalized fluorescence emission spectra of urine of both healthy and cancer subjects, respectively, at 280-nm excitation. From Fig. 1(a), it is observed that emission maximum centered at 428 nm for cancer subjects and at 401 nm for the healthy subjects. In addition to the primary peak, the urine samples of cancer patients exhibited a hump at 515 nm and the same was absent in the spectra of healthy subjects. Also, overall emission intensity of urine of cancer patients was thrice the value of healthy subjects. Further, from the fluorescence emission spectra of Fig. 1(b), a 27-nm shift was observed between the healthy subjects and the cancer subjects. From the difference spectrum of Fig. 1(b), it is observed that the difference is positive in wavelength region lesser than the isosbestic point (418 nm) and negative at wavelengths higher than the isosbestic point. The full width at half maximum (FWHM) value for cancer samples is 159 nm and that for healthy samples is 111 nm.

Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show the averaged and normalized fluorescence emission spectra of urine samples of both healthy and cancer subjects at 350-nm excitation. From Fig. 2(a), it is observed that the urine samples of both healthy and cancer subjects exhibit a prominent maximum at 430 nm; however, the fluorescence intensity was higher for healthy subjects when compared to that of cancer subjects. In addition to the prominent maxima, the cancer subjects show a shoulder around 515 nm, which is absent in the case of healthy subjects. From Fig. 2(b), it is observed that the difference between healthy subjects and cancer patients reads negative in the wavelength region greater than the isosbestic point (480 nm). Also, it is observed that the FWHM for the healthy subjects is 99 nm and that for cancer patients is 135 nm.

3.2 Fluorescence Emission Spectrum of Standard Fluorophores

In order to confirm the origin of the observed peaks from the urine samples of healthy subjects and cancer patients and assign them to various fluorophores, fluorescence emission spectrum was measured for the standard fluorophores indoxyl sulfate, neopterin, and riboflavin obtained from Sigma-Aldrich Co.

Figure 3 shows the normalized fluorescence emission spectrum of the standard fluorophores. From the spectrum of the standard fluorophores, it is observed that the fluorophores indoxyl sulfate, neopterin, and riboflavin have prominent maximum at 387, 445, and 533 nm, respectively. Also, an FWHM of 80 nm was observed for indoxyl sulfate, 108 nm for neopterin, and 110 nm for riboflavin.

3.3 Time-Resolved Fluorescence Characteristics of Urine Samples at 280- and 310-nm Excitation, Respectively

As there was a considerable spectral difference between the fluorescence emission intensity and spectral profile of healthy and cervical cancer subjects, the time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopic characterization of urine was also carried out. Figures 4(a) and 4(b) show the typical decay profile of standard fluorophore indoxyl sulfate and urine samples of healthy subjects and cancer patients, respectively. Figure 5(a) shows the...
typical decay profile of standard fluorophore neopterin, and Fig. 5(b) shows the decay profiles of urine of healthy and cancer subjects for emission at 440 nm. From Table 1, it is observed that bi-exponential fits well to characterize the decay profile of the urine samples and standard fluorophore at 390-nm emission. For decay at 440-nm emission, bi-exponential fits are probable, whereas few cases fitted well with tri-exponential. Also, it is observed that the standard fluorophore exhibits a bi-exponential decay at 440-nm emission. The goodness of fit was judged by chi-square value. Here the chi-square value ranges between 0.99 and 1.3.

The average lifetime for the decay at 390 nm for the urine samples of healthy subjects is 5.82 ns and that for cervical cancer samples is 5.77 ns, whereas in the case of standard fluorophore indoxyl sulfate, it is 2.63 ns, which is much lower than that of the urine samples under study. For the bi-exponential decay at 440 nm, the average lifetime of urine samples of healthy subjects was 5.96 ns and that of cancer samples was 4.91 ns, whereas for samples with tri-exponential decay at 440 nm, the average lifetime of urine of healthy subjects was 5.74 ns and that of cancer subjects was 4.12 ns. The standard fluorophore neopterin exhibited a bi-exponential decay, and the average lifetime was found to be 5.74 ns.

3.4 Results of Discriminant Analysis

To quantify the observed spectral differences between healthy and cancerous subjects, the fluorescence spectral data were subjected to stepwise linear discriminant analysis to analyze the statistical significance of the healthy subjects and cancer patients. Nine ratio parameters were calculated from the fluorescence intensity at 280-nm excitation and eight ratio parameters from the emission spectra at 350-nm excitation. The mean with standard deviation and the significance ($P$ values) of these ratio variables for healthy and diseased group of samples studied are given in Table 2. The $P$ values of all the ratio variables are $\leq 0.05$, indicating a very high statistical significance. The step-wise linear discriminant analysis resulted in two canonical discriminant functions—DF1 and DF2—for the emission spectra at 280- and 350-nm excitation, respectively. Figure 6 shows the scatter plot of the discrimination function for spectral data at 280- and 350-nm excitation.

$$\text{DF1} = (V_1 \cdot 5.792) + (V_8 \cdot 10.557) - 16.987,$$
$$\text{DF2} = (V_{10} \cdot 13.173) + (V_{15} \cdot 12.111) - (V_{17} \cdot 36.710) + 12.378.$$
The stepwise method compares the potential of each variable in the discrimination and those variables that gave better discrimination over other variables were taken for analysis. Hence, it is evident from the above expression for canonical discrimination over other variables were taken for analysis.

From Table 1, it is observed that three out of eight ratio variables are significant. Hence, it is evident from the above expression for canonical discriminant function DF1 at 280-nm excitation that out of nine ratio parameters, only two parameters are significant, and from DF2, it is observed that three out of eight ratio variables are significant and only these parameters were included in the linear discriminant analysis in discriminating the cancer subjects from the healthy subjects.

### Table 1  The average lifetime components and their relative amplitudes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decay at 390 nm</th>
<th>r1 [ns]</th>
<th>r2 [ns]</th>
<th>r3 [ns]</th>
<th>Amplitude A1</th>
<th>Amplitude A2</th>
<th>Amplitude A3</th>
<th>Average lifetime [ns]</th>
<th>Average $\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.24</td>
<td>6.45 ± 1.92</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>36.82 ± 10.26</td>
<td>63.18 ± 10.27</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.82</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>1.85 ± 0.23</td>
<td>7.03 ± 2.05</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>51.63 ± 20.26</td>
<td>48.37 ± 20.27</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>1.14 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decayed at 390 nm Indoxyl sulfate standard</td>
<td>2.16 ± 0.27</td>
<td>2.91 ± 0.46</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>44.84 ± 6.61</td>
<td>55.16 ± 4.66</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Decay at 440 nm (bi-exp)</th>
<th>r1 [ns]</th>
<th>r2 [ns]</th>
<th>r3 [ns]</th>
<th>Amplitude A1</th>
<th>Amplitude A2</th>
<th>Amplitude A3</th>
<th>Average lifetime [ns]</th>
<th>Average $\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>1.61 ± 0.19</td>
<td>6.42 ± 0.62</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>29.96 ± 6.05</td>
<td>70.04 ± 6.06</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.20</td>
<td>6.10 ± 1.03</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>55.68 ± 20.51</td>
<td>44.32 ± 20.51</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.91</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decayed at 440 nm (tri-exp)</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00</td>
<td>1.73 ± 0.35</td>
<td>6.06 ± 0.60</td>
<td>17.77 ± 1.32</td>
<td>18.01 ± 2.62</td>
<td>64.23 ± 2.62</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>0.01 ± 0.00</td>
<td>1.49 ± 0.21</td>
<td>5.76 ± 1.22</td>
<td>19.16 ± 3.11</td>
<td>58.19 ± 6.28</td>
<td>22.65 ± 5.80</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decayed at 440 nm Neopterin standard</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.50</td>
<td>7.59 ± 0.29</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.16 ± 2.92</td>
<td>96.84 ± 1.16</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2  Mean values (±SD) and level of significance ($p$) of the ratio parameters used for statistical analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength of excitation</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>Significance ($p$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>280 nm</td>
<td>I585/I390 (V1)</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I585/I513 (V2)</td>
<td>3.52 ± 2.00</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.20</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I585/I520 (V3)</td>
<td>3.81 ± 2.22</td>
<td>1.26 ± 1.23</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I585/I525 (V4)</td>
<td>4.21 ± 2.52</td>
<td>1.31 ± 1.31</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I590/I520 (V5)</td>
<td>3.92 ± 2.20</td>
<td>1.38 ± 1.25</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I590/I525 (V6)</td>
<td>4.33 ± 2.50</td>
<td>1.44 ± 1.33</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I510/I520 (V7)</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I515/I525 (V8)</td>
<td>1.17 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I520/I525 (V9)</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350 nm</td>
<td>I430/I425 (V10)</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I430/I513 (V11)</td>
<td>3.26 ± 0.69</td>
<td>2.25 ± 0.94</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I425/I515 (V12)</td>
<td>3.22 ± 0.73</td>
<td>2.19 ± 0.91</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I460/I500 (V13)</td>
<td>2.37 ± 0.36</td>
<td>2.01 ± 0.60</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I460/I513 (V14)</td>
<td>3.21 ± 0.60</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.94</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I480/I500 (V15)</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.21</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I480/I515 (V16)</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.02 ± 0.56</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>I505/I515 (V17)</td>
<td>1.22 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.05 ± 0.90</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 shows the mean with standard deviation and the $P$ values of the lifetime components, which are found to be significant under student’s $t$ test and were included in the analysis. The stepwise linear discriminant analysis resulted in two canonical discriminant functions DF3 and DF4 for the decay at 390 and 440 nm, respectively. Figure 7 shows the scatter plot of the canonical discriminant functions DF3 and DF4 at 280- and 310-nm excitation, respectively.

From DF3, it is evident that the ratio between the amplitude components turns to be significant, and from DF4, it is observed that the mean lifetime and amplitude $A_1$ turns to be significant and were used in the discrimination of cancer subjects from healthy subjects.

Table 3  Mean values ($\pm$SD) and level of significance ($P$) of the lifetime components used for statistical analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength of excitation</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Healthy</th>
<th>Cancer</th>
<th>Significance ($P$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>280 nm</td>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>36.82 $\pm$ 10.26</td>
<td>51.63 $\pm$ 20.26</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A_2$</td>
<td>63.18 $\pm$ 10.27</td>
<td>48.37 $\pm$ 20.27</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$A_1/A_2$</td>
<td>0.58 $\pm$ 0.32</td>
<td>0.17 $\pm$ 0.12</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>310 nm</td>
<td>$A_1$</td>
<td>29.96 $\pm$ 6.05</td>
<td>55.68 $\pm$ 20.51</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$T_{avg}$</td>
<td>05.96 $\pm$ 1.16</td>
<td>04.91 $\pm$ 0.70</td>
<td>0.024</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DF3 = ($A_1/A_2$ $\cdot$ 1.257) $−$ 1.091.
DF4 = $−(A_1$ $\cdot$ 0.057) + ($T_{avg}$ $\cdot$ 0.516) $−$ 0.955.

Fig. 6 Scatter plot showing the distribution of DF1 and DF2 for healthy [*] and cancer [○] subjects at 280-nm and 350-nm excitation, respectively.

Fig. 7 Scatter plot showing the distribution of DF3 and DF4 for healthy [*] and cancer [○] subjects at 280-nm and 310-nm excitation, respectively.
4 Discussion

Inspite of tremendous development in diagnosis and treatment modalities, cancer remains a menace for the public. Hence, early diagnosis of cancer in its primitive state may help in the reduction of the rate of morbidity and mortality. Based on these, many showed interest in using the native fluorescence spectroscopy as a potential tool in the characterization and diagnosis of cancer. Several studies had been reported on the native fluorescence spectroscopy of various tissues and body fluids in the diagnosis of cancer and other diseases.1-6,26-30 In this context, urine is considered as a diagnostically important biofluid, which has many metabolites.30 Many showed interest in characterizing human urine toward the diagnosis of diseases. For example, Rabinwitz had reported that the ratio of red fluorescence and blue fluorescence from urine may be considered for studying the tumor growth and its altered mechanism.30 Perinchery et al. reported the autofluorescence of urine in the diagnosis of urinary tract infection.20 Subsequently, Masilamani et al. reported the detection of cancer by native fluorescence of urine.25 Wan et al. reported the use of synchronous fluorescence spectroscopy of urine in the diagnosis of stomach cancer.3 However, the native fluorescence spectroscopic characterization of urine in diagnostic oncology is still in progress.

In this context, the potential use of native fluorescence spectroscopic technique in the diagnosis and characterization of indoxyl sulfate and neopterin levels in the urine samples of healthy subjects and cervical cancer patients were explored for the first of its kind and the spectral data were subjected to statistical analysis to validate the potentiality of the present technique in the diagnosis of cancer. Figures 1(a) and 1(b) compare the averaged and normalized fluorescence emission spectrum of urine of healthy subjects and cancer patients. From Fig. 1(a), it is observed that the healthy subjects exhibited a broad emission spectrum with maxima around 400 nm, and for cancer subjects, the maxima lies around 420 nm. The peak around 400 nm may be attributed to indoxyl sulfate. The fluorescence emission spectrum of the standard fluorophore indoxyl sulfate and results of previous reports confirms the origin of the peak around 400 nm.26 Furthermore, the cancer subjects exhibited a secondary peak around 520 nm, which may be attributed to riboflavin and the same is absent in the case of healthy subjects.27-29 It was reported that indoxyl sulfate, also known as metabolic indican, which is produced as a modification of the indole, a derivative of tryptophan, was recognized for its potential pathological roles in cells signaling, especially in the production of reactive oxygen species, stimulation of proliferation, or alteration in the extracellular matrix,21,22 which may be the reason for increased level of excretion of indoxyl sulfate in urine of cervical cancer subjects. Bryan has reported that the level of indoxyl sulfate in urine was involved in the genesis of neoplasms, which also supports our results.32-34 From Figs. 2(a) and 2(b), it can be seen that the observed peak around 440 nm may be due to neopterin and the same was confirmed from the fluorescence emission spectrum of standard fluorophore neopterin (Fig. 3) and from earlier literature.21-23 Among various pterin and its derivatives, reports suggest that neopterin could be detected in body fluids and plays an important role in the malignant disease diagnostics.34-38 It has been reported using HPLC method that increased levels of neopterin are associated with various diseases, including cancer.37 Recently, Gamagedara et al. reported using HPLC method that the level of neopterin in malignant urine was not significantly higher than the healthy subjects.25 However, in the present study, the healthy subjects show more fluorescence intensity of neopterin than that of cervical cancer. From Figs. 1 and 2, the observed peak around 520 nm in the case of cancer subjects may be attributed to riboflavin and the significance of riboflavin was discussed in our earlier publication.20 These compounds participate in various metabolic processes and in energy metabolism and are elevated in blood as metabolic products. From blood, it is excreted in urine via glomerular filtration and tubular secretion.21,34,38 The variation in the fluorescence intensity and change in FWHM indicates that the photophysical characteristics change under metabolic changes.

While discussing the lifetime of indoxyl sulfate and neopterin in urine samples at 390- and 440-nm emissions, not much difference was observed between the cancer patients and healthy subjects. However, significant difference was observed between the lifetime of standard fluorophores and urine samples. The variation in the lifetime may be due to the complex nature of urine and the influence of other fluorophores present in urine. Since urine is a multicomponent fluid, it is not possible to measure the lifetime of individual components as there exists the possibility of influence from other fluorophores. Hence, the average lifetime of the samples was calculated. From Table 1, it is observed that at 390-nm emission, the urine samples of healthy subjects and cancer patients exhibit bi-exponential decays and the average lifetime of healthy subjects is 5.82 ns and that of cancer patients is 5.77 ns. It shows that the lifetime of cancer subjects is slightly lesser than the healthy subjects. However, the bi-exponential decay of standard indoxyl sulfate has an average lifetime of 2.63 ns, which is small when compared to the urine samples. Also from Table 1, it is observed that at 440-nm emission, the urine samples exhibit both bi- and tri-exponential decay. For the bi-exponential decay at 440 nm, the average lifetime of urine samples of healthy subjects was 5.96 ns and that of cancer samples was 4.91 ns, whereas for samples with tri-exponential decay at 440 nm, the average lifetime of urine of healthy subjects was 5.74 ns and that of cancer subjects was 4.12 ns.

The standard fluorophore neopterin shows bi-exponential decay and its average lifetime is 5.74 ns, which are in good agreement with the lifetime of healthy urine samples, whereas the average lifetime of healthy subjects in both bi- and tri-exponential fits are larger than the urine samples of cancer patients. The reason for these variations may be due to their micro-environment and has to be probed further. Thomas et al. has extensively investigated the steady-state and time-resolved characteristics of commercially available pterins at different pH and the absorption and emission properties of pterins in aqueous solution.35,39,40 However, they did not report the variations of the pterins, especially neopterin, in a complex mixture like urine. In spite of the evident importance of indoxyl sulfate and neopterin in cancer diagnosis, relatively few reports are available on the use of fluorescence spectroscopy, and to the best of our knowledge, no reports are available on the decay kinetics of fluorophores in urine samples when compared to tedious and time-consuming methods like HPLC, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), etc.32-34 Though reports are available on the fluorescence characteristics of urine, more studies are required to understand the decay kinetics of these fluorophores in urine samples toward cancer diagnosis.

In this study, stepwise linear discriminant analysis was performed to find the sensitivity and specificity of the present
technique in the diagnosis of cancer. Different ratio parameters were calculated from the steady-state fluorescence emission intensity at 280- and 350-nm excitation and were used as input values in the discriminant analysis. Each emission wavelength used for the calculation of ratio parameters have specific fingerprint of fluorophores. For example, 385 and 390 nm represents the contribution of indoxyl sulfate, 425 and 430 nm represents the emission due to neopterin, and 500, 515, 520, and 525 nm represents the contribution of flavins.

At 280-nm excitation, ratio parameters $I_{385}/I_{390}$ and $I_{390}/I_{525}$, which are mainly due to the contribution of indoxyl sulfate and riboflavin, are significant in discriminating the cancer subjects from the healthy subjects. In the original and cross-validated grouped cases, out of 50 cervical cancer subjects, 43 cases are correctly classified as cancer with a sensitivity of 86% and 49 cases are correctly classified out of 60 healthy subjects with a specificity of 81.7%. On the other hand, at 350-nm excitation, ratio parameters $I_{530}/I_{345}$, $I_{510}/I_{500}$, and $I_{505}/I_{515}$, which are mainly due to the contribution of neopterin and riboflavin, are significant in the analysis. In the original grouped cases, out of 50 cervical cancer subjects, 48 cases are correctly classified as cervical cancer with a sensitivity of 96.0% and 58 cases are correctly classified out of 60 healthy subjects with a specificity of 96.7%. The cross-validated classification yields a sensitivity of 96.7% and 96.4% of samples were correctly classified out of 60 healthy subjects with a specificity of 95.0%.

Table 4 Classification results of discriminant analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cases</th>
<th>Actual group</th>
<th>280-nm excitation</th>
<th>% of predicted group</th>
<th>Total % of correctly classified cases</th>
<th>350-nm excitation</th>
<th>% of predicted group</th>
<th>Total % of correctly classified cases</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td></td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>96.7</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>96.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-validated</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>81.7</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>84.0</td>
<td>Healthy</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>86.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cancer</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>92.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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