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1 Introduction

Accurate measurements of the optical properties of a tissue enhance the ability to monitor tissue metabolic status or diagnose disease. Changes in the absorption coefficient, \( \mu_a \), and reduced scattering coefficient, \( \mu_s' = \mu_s(1 - g) \), where \( \mu_s \) is the scattering coefficient and \( g \) is the scattering anisotropy, have been related to critical anatomical markers of various diseases. These findings have led to studies that have shown efficient differentiations of malignant and benign tissues by measuring tissue optical properties.

The most common method of evaluating tissue properties is through integrating sphere measurements, though this setup is usually limited to thin ex vivo tissue samples. Through implementation of diffusion theory, which is valid for observation points far from the light source, optical fiber bundles and video reflectometers have been used to measure a tissue’s diffuse reflectance profile and compute optical properties. Single wavelength implementations utilized a normally incident light source and either measured only the effective attenuation coefficient, \( \mu_{\text{eff}} \), or assumed absorption in the tissue to be negligible while measuring only \( \mu_s' \).

In order to decompose the effective attenuation into its subcomponents, a second measurement needs to be taken. Introducing non-normal illumination, i.e., changing the incoming source angle, effectively shifts the center of the diffuse reflectance profile as per Snell’s law and the tissue’s optical properties. Measurement of this offset in addition to the falloff of the diffuse reflectance profile by oblique incidence reflectometry (OIR) allows for the extraction of not only effective attenuation, but also its separation into absorption and scattering components. This method has been demonstrated in optical fiber-based probes. Spectrally resolved OIR measurements, also called oblique incidence diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (OIDRS), can be made with the introduction of a broadband source and a spectrometer, providing the calculation of optical properties at multiple wavelengths. Recently, OIDRS has been used for minimally invasive tumor margin detection and epithelial cancer detection, and has been combined with confocal microscopy for increased capability as a diagnostic tool.

There exist other in vivo methods of extracting tissue optical properties. For example, optical coherence tomography (OCT) has been utilized for this purpose. OCT utilizes singly backscattered photons as opposed to diffuse scattering photons. Due to the confounding effects of speckle, averaging of multiple depth scans is necessary for accurate estimates, and only an effective backscattering coefficient can be measured. Elastic scattering spectroscopy (ESS), phase contrast microscopy, near-infrared diffuse optical tomography, and spatial-frequency domain imaging using modulated illumination have also been used to extract tissue optical properties, but their complex imaging geometries preclude their use in endoscopes small enough for use in minute luminal structures such as the mouse colon.

For this purpose, a side-viewing OIR endoscope is needed that is ~2 mm in diameter. Conventional OIR and OIDRS probes use a source fiber to obliquely illuminate the sample, and place a few sampling fibers on either side of the source fiber at interval distances. These probes require tissue surface contact and can be relatively large in size, the smallest on the order of 4 to 5 mm. OIR probes are also usually forward-looking, although recent systems have utilized waveguide and unfocused reflective optics to collect diffuse reflectance.
from the side. Other probes for use in optical tissue characterization have been presented but they, too, are usually larger than 2.5 mm in diameter or utilize spectroscopic proximal setups that require fewer distal fibers. We have constructed a 2.3-mm diameter gradient index (GRIN) lens and right-angle prism-based endoscope that obliquely illuminates the surface of the target tissue with a single wavelength of light and images the diffuse reflectance onto a 30,000 element fiber bundle, which relays light to a proximal setup for signal collection and processing. In this paper, we describe our models and methods for developing this OIR diagnostic tool.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 OIR Theory

A complete description of OIR theory can be found in Lin et al. and a summary of relevant equations are given below. Photons incident upon biological tissue or turbid media propagate a probabilistic distance before they are scattered or absorbed. This average distance, one mean free path (mfp), from the point of incidence along the direction of light propagation, is related to the diffusion constant, $D$, as determined by the absorption and scattering coefficients of the tissue:

$$1 \text{ mfp} \sim 3D = \frac{1}{C\mu_a + \mu_s^a}, \quad (1)$$

where the constant $C$ is estimated to be between 0.35 and 1. For a system with illumination incident into the tissue at an angle, $\theta_i$ (Fig. 1), photons travel to an average depth, $3D\cos\theta_i$, below the surface before an interaction event. Following the work by Farrell and Wang on the lumped positive- and negative-source interaction approximation of diffusion theory, a profile can be fit to diffuse reflected light escaping the tissue’s two-dimensional (2-D) surface and collected at distances $r > 1 \text{ mfp}$, away from the peak:

$$R(r,0) = 3D\cos\theta_i \left( \mu_{eff} + \frac{1}{\rho_1} \exp(-\rho_1\mu_{eff}) \right)$$
$$+ (3D\cos\theta_i + 4D) \times \left( \mu_{eff} + \frac{1}{\rho_2} \exp(-\rho_2\mu_{eff}) \right), \quad (2)$$

where $\rho_1$ and $\rho_2$ are the distances from the negative and positive sources, respectively, to a point $(r,0)$ on the tissue’s surface. Fitting a profile to the scaled diffuse reflectance profile, $kR(r,0)$, yields values for the shift in the profile peak, $\Delta r$, as a consequence of oblique illumination and $\mu_{eff}$, allowing for the extraction of absorption and scattering coefficients:

$$\mu_a = \frac{\mu_{eff}^2 \Delta r}{3C \sin \theta_i}, \quad (3)$$

$$\mu_s^a = \frac{\sin \theta_i}{\Delta r - C\mu_a}. \quad (4)$$

2.2 Optical System Design

The OIR system was inspired by a dual-modality surface magnifying chromoendoscopy (SMC)-OCT endoscopic system built in our laboratory, which was previously described in detail. This system simultaneously collected surface images and cross-sectional OCT images of the mouse colon. Due to the OCT channel being axially asymmetric, the OCT beam had non-normal incidence on the tissue. Analysis of the design suggested that with modifications, the system could be used for OIR. A description of the system is described in the following sections.

2.2.1 Proximal system design

A fiber-coupled laser diode with an illumination wavelength, $\lambda = 638 \text{ nm}$ (Fibertec II, Blue Sky Research, Milpitas, California), was coupled directly into a single-mode fiber which fed into the endoscope and illuminated the sample. Diffuse reflected light collected by distal endoscope optics from the sample’s surface was relayed with a 30,000 element, 0.72 mm clear aperture fiber bundle (IGN-08/30, Sumitomo Electric USA, Torrance, California). The proximal face of the fiber was imaged onto a charge-coupled device (CCD) array (PIXIS 1024, Princeton Instruments, Trenton, New Jersey) with a 20× microscope objective (PLN 20x, Olympus America, Center Valley, Pennsylvania) and a simple 60 mm focal length achromatic doublet. The proximal fiber bundle face was imaged to approximately 60% of the area of the 1 megapixel CCD, and a computer read out data. At a laser power of 2.5 mW on the sample, OIR images were acquired with integration times of 20 ms with a readout time of 420 ms.

2.2.2 Endoscope design

The fiber bundle was attached to a custom spacer and GRIN lens-based distal optics assembly to provide 1:1 magnification at an air-equivalent working distance of 1.58 mm. An aluminized right-angle prism was attached to the distal face of the GRIN lens to enable side-viewing, and the assembly was protected by insertion into a glass envelope with an inner diameter of 1.9 mm and outer diameter of 2.3 mm. The GRIN lens and spacer had diameters of 1.8 mm, compared to the 0.72 mm clear aperture of the fiber bundle, which prevented vignetting of the source or diffusely reflected light. A 0.7 mm clear aperture stop was added between the GRIN lens and right-angle prism to decrease the size of the GRIN lens entrance pupil and avoid possible total internal reflection of diffusely reflected light at the circumference of the GRIN lens, and reduce signal contamination from light collected by the prism from outside the desired tissue inspection area. The resulting system was capable of producing clear OIR images.
resolving 100 to 125 lp/mm features across a 0.70 mm field-of-view.

One 780-HP (Nufern, East Granby, Connecticut) single-mode fiber with a numerical aperture (NA) of 0.13 was placed at the 12:00 position with respect to the right-angle prism at an off-axis radial distance of 0.6 mm. This fiber placement resulted in an unvignetted source beam that refracted into the tissue, at an angle of 18 deg away from, and a distance of 600 μm outside the edge of, the fiber bundle imaging field-of-view. The beam focus was 30 μm inside the tissue with a weak NA of 0.13 (Fig. 2).

2.2.3 Mechanical design

A custom ferrule was designed with an outer diameter equal to that of the spacer and GRIN lens to ease alignment, with holes bored to hold the source fiber (d = 125 μm) and the imaging fiber bundle (d = 800 μm) in their correct relative orientation and spacings (Fig. 2). The ferrule also had holes bored to accommodate single-mode fibers (d = 125 μm) at 1:30, 4:30, 7:30, and 10:30 positions around the circumference of the fiber bundle for the added capability of other imaging modalities such as OCT if desired. For ease of construction, ferrules were fabricated with a proximal lead-in. Elements were secured together with a UV curing epoxy (Norland 63, Norland Products, Cranbury, New Jersey) which offered high transmission for λ = 638 nm. Proximal to the ferrule, fibers were protected with polyimide tubing with an inner diameter of 1.45 mm and a wall thickness of 57 μm (B0013HR0H4, SmallParts, Seattle, Washington). The full assembly was inserted into an outer sheath consisting of a 1.9-mm inner diameter, 2.3-mm outer diameter glass envelope fixed to polyimide tubing with an inner diameter of 2.23 mm and a similar wall thickness (B0013HR0H4) using a short polyimide tube collar with an inner diameter of about 2.3 mm.

2.3 Adaptation of OIR Theory to Existing Endoscope

The existing endoscope was unique compared to the conventional tools that measure diffuse reflectance. The model described above is valid for measurements made at the surface of a flat sample at a distance greater than 1 mfp’ from the source. Our system, however, imaged the asymmetric diffuse reflectance from a cylindrical sample that was illuminated with a nonzero NA beam. With a cylindrical sample, light had to travel further to escape the tissue toward the edge of the field-of-view in the azimuthal direction. Aberrations introduced by real optical components also changed the shape of the diffuse reflectance profile collected by the fiber bundle. Furthermore, previously published OIR systems measured the shift in the diffuse reflectance profile by analyzing the intensity of the light coming back on either side of the source fiber. Measurements were taken both in front (positive measurements) and behind (negative measurements) the angle of incidence at equal distances from the source, and Δr = 0 theoretically resulted in both measurements yielding an equal intensity. In our design, we were only sampling negative measurements. Therefore, if measurements were taken only along the plane of incidence it would be impossible to tell the position of the profile’s peak. However, collecting 2-D data with a fiber bundle allowed for alternative methods to be used for the calculations of Δr and μeff.

The location of the diffuse reflectance peak was extrapolated from the 2-D data using image gradients. The imgradient operator was used in MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts) resulting in gradients calculated for each pixel of some direction and magnitude. To exclude points most affected by the cylindrical curvature, points beyond one-half the radius of the imaged area in the azimuthal direction were excluded from the peak extrapolation (Fig. 3). Once the center of the reflectance profile was estimated, Δr could be calculated by subtracting the known incident illumination point.

A different mask was applied to the imaged area to select a region appropriate for fitting to kR(r, 0). Measurements inside of a circular mask of radius 0.6 mm with the origin located at the incident illumination point (half of the diameter and approximately 1/3 of the area of the fiber bundle) were excluded (Fig. 3). This radius was chosen based on expected colon tissue optical properties and the limitation of Eq. (3) above only being valid more than 1 mfp’ away from the illumination source. A 2-D surface profile was taken, and the robust fitting of kR(r, 0) to the smoothed data using a Levenberg–Marquardt least-squares fit enabled the extraction of μeff.

To assess the OIR capabilities of the endoscope and the suitability of this adapted theory, we performed four experiments. First, we modeled our endoscope using a nonsequential ray-tracing program, which could also simulate absorption and volume scatter in a sample. Second, tissue phantoms were fabricated and imaged with a bare fiber bundle spaced 0.8 mm away from a fiber that provided obliquely incident illumination at 30 deg away from the fiber bundle. This experiment enabled us to confirm that collecting 2-D data allowed for the calculation of Δr without both positive and negative measurements. It also allowed for the fitting of kR(r, 0) to a dataset without aberrations caused by the spacer-GRIN lens-prism-envelop optics assembly. Third, we imaged these same tissue phantoms with
a fully constructed OIR endoscope. Fourth, we demonstrated the endoscope’s capabilities for imaging in the in vivo mouse colon.

2.3.1 Modeling of OIR endoscope

The distal endoscope optics assembly was modeled in FRED (Photon Engineering, Tucson, Arizona) to assess the endoscope’s OIR capabilities. Light propagated through the system and illuminated a semi-infinite tissue model with refractive index, \( n = 1.4 \), and cylindrical surface in contact with the endoscope envelope. A range of tissue absorption and reduced scattering properties spanning those reported in the literature for colon were used: \( \mu_a \) between 0.125 and 1 mm\(^{-1} \) and \( \mu'_s \) between 0.25 and 2.5 mm\(^{-1} \), with \( g \) constant at 0.9. Diffuse light remitted from the tissue, imaged back through the distal optics, and collected within the NA of the fiber bundle was studied at an analysis surface whose square dimension was the same diameter as the clear aperture of the fiber bundle, \( \text{CA} = 0.72 \text{ mm} \), and whose bin size was equal to the core size of a single fiber element within the bundle (Fig. 3). The 2-D data were smoothed with a moving average filter with an 8 × 8 kernel size and masked to the circular fiber bundle imaging area. Simulation was performed in nonsequential ray trace mode with 10 million rays, the practical limit for a desktop machine. Due to the low probability of capturing a launched ray, simulated data were noisier than experimentally obtained images. The calculations described above were then used to determine \( \Delta r \), \( \mu_{\text{eff}} \), \( \mu_a \), and \( \mu'_s \) for each simulated tissue.

2.3.2 Tissue phantoms

Tissue phantom preparation. Methylene blue (M291, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts) and 0.76-μm diameter polystyrene beads (PS03N, Bangs Laboratories, Fishers, Indiana) were used to supply absorption and scattering in our tissue phantoms. Using the molar extinction coefficient and molecular weight of methylene blue, and a Mie scattering calculator, to determine needed concentrations, a matrix of 2-D serial dilutions was used to fabricate samples with the optical properties \( \mu_a = 0.125, 0.25, 0.5, 0.75, \) and 1 mm\(^{-1} \), \( \mu'_s = 0.25, 0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, \) and 2.5 mm\(^{-1} \), and \( g = 0.9 \), resulting in 30 different tissue phantoms.

Tissue phantom imaging and processing. Images of each tissue phantom were taken with both the bare fiber bundle/oblique incidence illumination fiber setup, and the full OIR-configured endoscope. For both systems, calibration images with the distal optics immersed in water with the source and room lights off were taken to calculate CCD dark noise. The source was then turned on and images were taken of background signal, including reflections at optical interfaces. Finally, a concave surface coated with Spectralon (Labsphere, North Sutton, New Hampshire) was imaged to simulate a uniform Lambertian reflector to estimate spatial transmission ambiguities across the fiber bundle face (e.g., dark pixels). Dark noise and background signal were subtracted from images, after which they were divided by the spatial system response. Images were subsequently median filtered with a 9 × 9 kernel to reduce impulsive noise, then filtered with a Gaussian low-pass filter with a kernel size approximately equal to two times the core-to-core spacing of the fiber bundle to remove fiber bundle pixilation, as previously described in detail. Filtered images were processed as described above, with image gradients used to triangulate the location of the diffuse reflectance peak and masked imaged profiles fit to Eq. (1). Fits of \( \Delta r \) and \( \mu_{\text{eff}} \) enabled the extraction of an experimental \( \mu_a \) and \( \mu'_s \) for each tissue phantom using Eqs. (1) and (2).

2.3.3 Animal imaging

All studies were performed in accordance with a University of Arizona Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approved...
protocol. One A/J mouse treated with the colon-specific carcino-
gen azoxymethane (10 mg/kg, weekly injections for five
weeks) was imaged to provide-proof-of-concept of the
operation and diagnostic use of the OIR endoscope. Twenty-
four hours prior to imaging, the mouse was fasted and
given Pedialyte in place of water to clear the colon.
Immediately prior to imaging, the mouse was anesthetized
with a mixture of Ketamine (0.33 mg/ml, 100 mg/kg) and
Xylazine (0.033 mg/ml, 10 mg/kg) administered IP. The
colon was gently flushed with 3 to 9 ml of warm saline to
clear excess mucous. The endoscope was coated with a thin
layer of biocompatible water-based lubricant and inserted
approximately 32 mm inside the colon. OIR measurements
were made of the ventral colon at 0.18 mm increments, half
of the longitudinal measurement range, resulting in 168 mea-
surements along the 30 mm of mouse colon. After OIR data
collection, an OCT image of the same rotation was taken to
correct registered extracted tissue optical properties with morphological
tissue information.

3 Results

3.1 Optical Simulations

Thirty tissues were simulated with the same optical properties
as the experimental phantoms. Using FRED analysis tools,
irradiance plots were obtained at the analysis surfaces in the
full OIR endoscope models, the offset and effective attenuation
coefficients extracted, and the absorption and reduced scattering
coefficients computed. When only tissue phantoms with a
μ_eff within the design limits of the OIR system were consid-
ered, the average error in the extracted effective attenuation
coefficient and location of the peak diffuse reflectance was
5% and 12% of the expected values with a standard deviation
of 8% and 5%, respectively. The maximum error was 32% and
20%, respectively (Fig. 6). Using these values, calculated
absorption coefficients were obtained with a more significant
error (75% average, 145% maximum). Calculated absorption
and reduced scattering coefficients showed only a weak corre-
lation with true values.

3.2 Tissue Phantom Imaging

Tissue phantoms were imaged with the bare fiber setup and OIR
endoscope. Five of the 30 phantoms suffered from rapid precipi-
tation and data could not be successfully collected. When the
bare fiber bundle/oblique incidence source fiber arrangement
was used (Fig. 7), the effective attenuation coefficients were measured to within an average of 4% of the expected values
(12% standard deviation, 31% maximum error). The location
of the peak diffuse reflectance was detected with an average of
<2% error (1.3% standard deviation, 4.9% maximum
error). μ_a and μ'_s were calculated with 14% average error
(14% standard deviation, 52% maximum error), and 11% aver-
age error (10% standard deviation, 36% maximum), respec-
tively. When using the OIR endoscope (Fig. 8), the results
were slightly poorer than those obtained with the simulations,
and considerably poorer than the bare fiber setup imaging
results. The average error of the effective attenuation coeffi-
cients and locations of the diffuse reflectance peaks were within
10% and 15% of their respective expected values (15% and 14%
standard deviation and 37% and 27% maximum error,
respectively). Computed μ_a and μ'_s showed a weak correlation
with true values.

3.3 Animal Imaging

Images were successfully obtained in the in vivo mouse colon
with the OIR endoscope. After coregistering OIR calculations
with OCT images (Fig. 9), healthy colon was measured to

have an average $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 1.35 \text{ mm}^{-1}$, while adenoma was measured to have an average $\mu_{\text{eff}} = 2.15$.

4 Discussion

A small diameter endoscopic OIR system was designed and tested on modeled and fabricated tissue phantoms, and demonstrated in the *in vivo* mouse colon. The most unique aspect of this endoscope is the concept of using a 2-D fiber bundle to collect diffuse reflectance from only one (the negative) side of the angled incident illumination, and using image gradients to estimate the location of the diffuse reflectance peak. By

![Fig. 7](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/figures/fig7.jpg)

*Fig. 7* Expected versus calculated effective attenuation coefficients ($\mu_{\text{eff}}$) for tissue optical phantoms experimentally measured with the bare fiber bundle and obliquely incident fiber setup. Measurements of phantoms with mean free paths ($mfp'$) < 0.8 mm are marked with diamonds, while measurements for those with an $mfp'$ < 0.8 mm are marked by squares.

![Fig. 8](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/figures/fig8.jpg)

*Fig. 8* Expected versus calculated offsets, effective attenuation coefficients ($\mu_{\text{eff}}$), and absorption ($\mu_a$) and reduced scattering coefficients ($\mu_s$) for tissue optical phantoms experimentally measured with the full OIR endoscope. Measurements of phantoms with mean free paths ($mfp'$) < 0.8 mm are marked with blue diamonds, while measurements for those with an $mfp'$ < 0.8 are marked by red squares.

![Fig. 9](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/figures/fig9.jpg)

*Fig. 9* Section of 30 mm *in vivo* mouse colon imaged with OCT and coregistered with OIR measurements. Image is colored with effective attenuation coefficients between 1.35 (blue) and 2.15 mm$^{-1}$ (red).
avoiding the need for data collection on both sides of the angled incident illumination, very small endoscopes using conventional distal GRIN optics can be fabricated. All that is needed to create angled illumination is to place the illumination fiber off the central optical axis. Such endoscopes can be forward looking or side-looking with the addition of a right-angle prism or other reflecting surface. An advantage of this technique is that it requires the use of only one wavelength of illumination. Techniques like ESS or DRS can be used to measure spectrally resolved $\mu_a$ and $\mu'_s$, and might be packaged into a small endoscope. However, they require the use of multiple wavelengths, thus requiring multiplexed light sources or a white light source and monochrometer. This OIR endoscope currently utilizes a high performance camera and large element fiber bundle, although the former is unnecessary and the latter might be replaced with a smaller element bundle to reduce costs. The incorporation of a fiber bundle in the endoscope could also enable multimodality usage, such as fluorescence imaging in addition to OIR.

The essential concept of this endoscope design was proven using a bare fiber probe. Good estimates of the effective attenuation coefficient were obtained, and the error in the measurement of the diffuse reflectance peak was very small ($\pm$2%), suggesting that the image gradient estimation method is appropriate and accurate. The bare fiber probe also showed the capability to decompose the absorption and reduced scattering coefficients when both the effective attenuation coefficient and the shift in the peak reflectance profile can be accurately measured. For both simulations and experiments using the full OIR endoscope, estimation of the effective attenuation coefficient was also good, though the accuracy of the diffuse reflectance peak location measurements was significantly degraded, which resulted in large errors in the calculated absorption and reduced scattering coefficients.

The reason for unsuccessful calculation of $\mu_a$ and $\mu'_s$ appears to be the high sensitivity of Eqs. (2) and (3) to errors in the estimation of the diffuse reflectance peak location. Although the average error in the measurement of the absolute peak location for the OIR endoscope experiments was a modest 15%, the error in $\Delta r$ (the shift of the peak from the normally incident location) was greater (70% average). As evident from Eqs. (2) and (3), this error is compounded in the extraction of $\mu_a$ and $\mu'_s$, and as noted in the literature, absorption coefficient calculations that rely on measuring $\Delta r$ are more sensitive to error in OIR measurements. The cylindrical image plane and imaging aberrations induced by the GRIN-based distal optics, both of which caused rotational asymmetry about the peak of the imaged diffuse reflectance profile, are the most significant challenge and preclude the accurate calculation of $\Delta r$ with the relatively simple method employed here. The cylindrical object plane causes light to be more highly attenuated at the azimuthal edges of the fiber bundle imaging area due to the added tissue thickness in those regions. For this reason, measurements at the azimuthal edges of the fiber bundle were excluded from the image gradient calculations. However, even the more central region utilized suffered from this error, and the exclusion of the edge measurement reduced the robustness of the gradient calculation. Additionally, field curvature and distortion in the GRIN-prism optical assembly, strongest along the longitudinal axis, caused reflected photons to be imaged to skewed positions on the fiber bundle. An image gradient method that incorporated the endoscope-specific optical aberrations and the curved sample surface would lead to better estimates. Since these sources of error are deterministic, they can be compensated for in the calculation of $\mu_a$ and $\mu'_s$.

An alternative approach would be to correlate experimentally obtained images to a library of simulated results from tissues with varying optical properties. This latter method has been used successfully by Garcia-Uribe et al. to deduce the absorption and scattering properties of a sample. The diffuse reflectance profile extracted from the optical model, unlike the diffusion-theory-based model proposed in Eq. (2), would be valid at all measurement points and would account for endoscope aberrations and sample geometries. Since no model is being fit, measurements inside 1 mfp may be utilized, and a wider range of tissue optical properties could be measured.

Regardless of the method utilized to extract tissue optical properties, careful endoscope design will maximize the accuracy of calculated results. This OIR endoscope was designed to optimize measurement capability while miniaturizing diameter. A challenge with a small diameter endoscope is the limited source–detector fiber separation and limited source illumination incidence angles that can be easily achieved. By moving the source fiber out radially in the endoscope, the source incidence position moves away from the fiber bundle detection area, maximizing the area that could be used to fit a profile to diffuse reflectance. In this endoscope, the source fiber was moved as far out as possible while avoiding vignetting in the distal optics (in this case, at the aluminized face of the right-angle prism). Incorporating a custom prism with a slightly larger edge width, or a rod prism with a diameter matching the GRIN lens, could enable about a 25% increase in center-to-center source separation. Further separation would result in loss of light or require a larger diameter endoscope. The clocking of the source fiber position not only changed the focal depth of the illumination spot, but also changed the angle of incident illumination angle between 10 deg toward and 18 deg away from the fiber bundle imaging area, with respect to the normal of the cylindrical envelope. For OIR, a larger angle of incidence leads to a larger $\Delta r$ shift, which can be more robustly detected. We also desired the focus of the source to be just outside the window, which would be closest to the model assumption of a collimated source. The 12:00 clocking used in this design maximized the angle at 18 deg while keeping the focus 30 $\mu\text{m}$ into the tissue. More complex optical geometries might also allow for a higher angle of oblique incidence, maximizing $\Delta r$, but will be challenging to package in a small diameter endoscope. Finally, the use of an even smaller NA fiber, more closely resembling a collimated beam as approximated by the diffusion theory would be helpful, although the 0.13 NA fiber utilized here is near the limit of commercially produced fibers.

Despite limitations of the current system, the small diameter OIR endoscope has proven to be useful, and experiments in the mouse colon were successful. The measured effective attenuation coefficient varied between visually normal and adenomatous tissue, and was increased in adenoma, in agreement with previously reported studies. Further improvements in endoscope design and the method of extracting tissue optical properties ($\mu_a$ and $\mu'_s$) will enable additional future functionality.
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