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Abstract. Spatiotemporal patterns of intracellular transport are very difficult to quantify and, consequently, continue to be insufficiently understood. While it is well documented that mass trafficking inside living cells consists of both random and deterministic motions, quantitative data over broad spatiotemporal scales are lacking. We studied the intracellular transport in live cells using spatial light interference microscopy, a high spatiotemporal resolution quantitative phase imaging tool. The results indicate that in the cytoplasm, the intracellular transport is mainly active (directed, deterministic), while inside the nucleus it is both active and passive (diffusive, random). Furthermore, we studied the behavior of the two-dimensional mass density over 30 h in HeLa cells and focused on the active component. We determined the standard deviation of the velocity distribution at the point of cell division for each cell and compared the standard deviation velocity inside the cytoplasm and the nucleus. We found that the velocity distribution in the cytoplasm is consistently broader than in the nucleus, suggesting mechanisms for faster transport in the cytosol versus the nucleus. Future studies will focus on improving phase measurements by applying a fluorescent tag to understand how particular proteins are transported inside the cell. © 2015 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.20.11.111209]
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1 Introduction

1.1 Intracellular Transport

Throughout its cycle, a living cell is subject to highly dynamic processes, coordinated in both time and space.1,2 Despite great progress on the subject, intracellular transport remains insufficiently understood.1,3,5 Trafficking at intracellular level results from both thermal diffusion and activity of molecular motors.6 This process has been examined through techniques that generally rely on fluorescence imaging,7 e.g., fluorescent speckle microscopy,8 fluorescence correlation spectroscopy,9 fluorescence resonance energy transfer,10 fluorescence recovery after photobleaching,11 and fluorescence lifetime imaging microscopy.12 Recently, there has been significant progress in superlocalization microscopy, such as stochastic optical reconstruction microscopy,13 photoactivated localization microscopy,14,15 and their precursor, fluorescence imaging with one nanometer accuracy,16 which are closing the gap between cellular and molecular scale biology. In combination with fluorescence microscopy, particle tracking is a widely used approach for studying the transport of discrete objects inside the cell, such as embedded particles, organelles, and vesicles.17–20 Analyzing the trajectories of these particles in terms of their mean squared displacements provides valuable information about the nature of transport and even the rheology of the surrounding medium.21 However, this approach has limited applicability when the system of interest is continuous, such as actin and microtubule cytoskeleton at spatial scales larger than the mesh size. Furthermore, particle tracking does not contain explicit spatial information.

In this paper, we study the spatiotemporal dynamics of mass transport in unlabeled cells, quantifying differences between nuclear and cytoplasmic mass traffic. We are able to access numeric values associated with both active and passive transport simultaneously and faster than particle tracking-based approaches.

We performed “dispersion-relation” analysis to quantify spatiotemporal transport, which can be used for both continuous and discrete mass distributions.22,23 Due to the label-free method employed, our measurements are not limited by photobleaching or phototoxicity, and thus can monitor cell dynamics over broad time scales and multiple cell cycles. We used spatial light interference microscopy (SLIM), which is a highly sensitive form of quantitative phase imaging (QPI), implemented as an add-on to an existing phase contrast microscope.

1.2 Quantitative Phase Imaging

QPI has received tremendous scientific interest, especially in the past decade.24,25 Since the 1950s, scientists have recognized the potential of optical phase to provide “quantitative” information about biological specimens, including cell dry mass.26,27 QPI, in which the optical path length map across a specimen is measured, has become a rapidly emerging field with important...
applications in biomedicine. QPI utilizes the fact that the phase of a field is much more sensitive to the specimen structure than its amplitude. As fields from the source interact with the specimen, phase shifts are induced in the scattered field with respect to the incident light. This phase shift contains the desired structural information about the sample under investigation. However, cameras and detectors can only measure intensity. To obtain the phase information, interference methods must be employed. Recently, a number of such methods have been developed from various groups around the world.

2 Imaging

2.1 Spatial Light Interference Microscopy

We use SLIM to quantitatively obtain the path length differences from the phase images without staining the samples. SLIM is based on the principles of phase contrast microscopy and holography and introduces additional phase delays between the scattered and incident light. As a result, SLIM enables retrieving quantitative phase information of unstained samples, including live cells, with high sensitivity due to the low level of spatial noise (0.3 nm) and temporal stability (0.03 nm).
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\( (\tilde{g}(q, \tau))_x = \exp(-Dq^2 \tau) \int P(v - v_0) \exp(\text{i}q \cdot v) d^2v. \) (5)

Note that the integral in Eq. (5) amounts to a Fourier transform with respect to velocity  \( v \). The conjugated variable is  \( \text{i}q \). Thus, Eq. (5) can be rewritten as

\( (\tilde{g}(q, \tau))_x = \exp(-Dq^2 \tau) \exp(\text{i}q \cdot v_0) \hat{P}(\tau q), \) (6)

where  \( \hat{P} \) is the Fourier transform of  \( P \) shifted at  \( v_0 \), i.e., the Fourier transform of the zero-average velocity distribution. Again, we note a sinusoidal modulation term,  \( \exp(\text{i}q \cdot v_0, \tau) \), in which this time is due to the dominant (mean) velocity,  \( v_0 \).
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\( \tilde{P}(\tau q) \approx \tilde{P}(0) + d\tilde{P}(\tau q) \bigg|_{\tau q = 0} \frac{1}{2!} \frac{d^2\tilde{P}(\tau q)}{d(\tau q)^2} \bigg|_{\tau q = 0} (\tau q)^2. \) (7)

Next, we use the “central ordinate theorem” (see, e.g., Chapter 2 in Ref. 24) to identify each term in the expansion of  \( \tilde{P} \) with the moments of  \( P \), namely

\( \tilde{P}(0) = \int P(v) d^2v = 1, \) (8)

\( \frac{d\tilde{P}(\tau q)}{d(\tau q)} \bigg|_{\tau q = 0} = \int \text{i}vP(v) d^2v = 0, \) (9)

\( \frac{d^2\tilde{P}(\tau q)}{d(\tau q)^2} \bigg|_{\tau q = 0} = -\int v^2P(v) d^2v = -\Delta v^2. \) (10)

In deriving Eq. (8), we used the fact that  \( P(v) \) is a probability density, such that it is normalized to unit area. For Eq. (9), in addition to the central ordinate theorem, we also used the
differentiation theorem, \( d/d(\tau q) \leftrightarrow iv \), where \( \leftrightarrow \) indicates Fourier transformation. Deriving Eq. (10) requires the use of the differentiation theorem twice, \( d^2/d(\tau q)^2 \leftrightarrow -v^2 \). Note that Eq. (9) amounts to the first-order moment of \( P \), which is zero (already shifted the origin of the velocity distribution at \( v_0 \)). Finally, Eq. (10) gives the variance of the velocity distribution, \( \Delta v^2 \).

Combining Eqs. (7) and (8), we obtain

\[
\tilde{P}(\tau q) \approx 1 - \frac{1}{2} (\Delta v \tau q)^2 \approx \exp(-\Delta v \tau q).
\]  

(11)

Therefore, the velocity averaged autocorrelation function, which is the main quantity of interest, is computed from our data as

\[
\langle g(q, \tau) \rangle_c = \exp(i q v_0 \tau) \exp[-(D q^2 + \Delta v q)\tau].
\]  

(12)

In all the measurements presented here, we did not observe a dominant velocity, \( v_0 \). This can be readily understood by an equal probability for the mass to be transported in opposite directions. Thus, with \( v_0 \approx 0 \), Eq. (12) can be expressed in the frequency domain as

\[
\tilde{g}(q, \omega) = \frac{1}{1 + \omega^2 / \Gamma(q)^2},
\]  

(13)

where \( \Gamma \) is the bandwidth and we used the knowledge that the Fourier transform of an exponential, \( g \), is a Lorentzian, \( \tilde{g} \). Importantly, the expression for the bandwidth (or decay rate) \( \Gamma \) amounts to a (ensemble averaged) “dispersion relation”:

\[
\Gamma(q) = D q^2 + \Delta v q.
\]  

(14)

Equation (14) relates the spatial frequency (mode) \( q \), with a temporal frequency quantity, \( \Gamma \), the diffusion coefficient, \( D \), and the standard deviation of the velocity distribution, \( \Delta v \). The expression shown in Eq. (14) combines the spatiotemporal frequencies associated with mass transport, where the active transport is expressed by the linear term and the passive transport with the quadratic term in \( q \). Hence, through QPI and dispersion-relation analysis, it is possible to retrieve information about and distinguish between both active and passive intracellular transport.

2.3 Cell Culture Preparation

The sample under study consists of living HeLa cells from human cervix. The cells were grown in adherent growth mode in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium containing 10% fetal calf serum and antibiotics. The cells were imaged under controlled physiological conditions, 37°C and 5% CO₂, using a petri dish. HeLa cells were imaged with the SLIM setup (see Sec. 2.1), using a 40 × /0.75 NA objective, over a 30 h time period with an acquisition rate of 0.1 frames/s, across eight clusters of cells.

3 Results

In order to study intracellular transport, randomly selected regions in both the cytoplasm and nucleus of each cell were examined. The white boxes in Fig. 1 show examples of such regions. Once the regions of interest are selected, we maintain the same region on the cell to perform the analysis over time. Following the dispersion-relation phase spectroscopy (DPS) analysis described in Sec. 2.2, we calculate the temporal autocorrelation function of 2-D mass density for each spatial frequency, within each region. This temporal autocorrelation function decays exponentially according to Eq. (3), which denotes the dispersion-relation associated with the intracellular transport. Figure 2 shows that by fitting the experimental data of \( \Gamma(q) \), that is, the decay rate as a function of wave number, \( q \), it is possible to obtain information about the standard deviation of velocity in the case of active transport, as well as the diffusion coefficient for passive transport. Note that the flattening of the data at high \( q \) is due to the resolution of the microscope, which is diffraction limited.

Fig. 1 Spatial light interference microscopy image of four live HeLa cells. Color bar indicates cell thickness computed from phase measurements. White boxes illustrate regions of interest where the dispersion-relation phase spectroscopy analysis is performed (Video 1, MOV, 1.9 MB) [URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1117/1.JBO.20.11.111209.1].

Fig. 2 Azimuthal average of \( \Gamma(q, qx, qy) \) to obtain \( \Gamma(q) \), plotted in log-log scale. By fitting this experimental curve with a \( q^2 \) function, it is possible to measure the standard deviation of the velocity distribution in active transport. Fitting with a \( q^2 \) function gives information about the diffusion coefficient in passive transport. This analysis was made on A549 lung cancer cells with an acquisition rate of 8 frames/s, 40 × /0.75 NA.
In order to compare the dynamics of active transport, we retrieved the standard deviation velocities inside the nucleus and the cytoplasm for nine cells, over the 30 h time period. In doing so, it was possible to determine when cellular division occurs for each cell, as depicted in Fig. 3. In the cytoplasm, it was observed that immediately prior to the division process, the standard deviation of velocities decreases and then suddenly increases after cell division. However, in the nucleus, these changes do not seem to be significant.

The standard deviation of velocities in both the nucleus and the cytoplasm do not exhibit an explicit trend over time, therefore, to determine if the velocity in the cytoplasm is statistically different from the velocity in the nucleus, we use the $t$-test with a 95% confidence interval. For this analysis, the null hypothesis ($H_0$) is that both cellular components (i.e., nucleus and cytoplasm) are equal. Therefore, if the average $p$-values for standard deviation of velocities are less than 5%, we can reject $H_0$, i.e., both sample regions are statistically different. The mean values of the standard deviation of velocity, in both the cytoplasm and nucleus, for nine cells are provided in Fig. 4. In all cases, these were statistically different according to $t$-test conditions outlined above, since the average of $p$-values (0.0042) is much smaller than 0.05 (5%).

We note that DPS works equally well for regions that are larger than a single cell. The information in this case is averaged over that particular spatial scale. In order to compare such large-scale measurements with the results above of intracellular domains, we studied the active transport in a cell cluster over 30 h. The domain analyzed is shown in the inset of Fig. 5. The standard deviation for this cell cluster was measured every 20 min. As shown in Fig. 5, the standard deviation of the velocity distribution falls in the range measured earlier for subcellular regions. Interestingly, we found a small but consistent increase in the standard deviation over the measurement window. This trend may suggest that as the cell cluster forms intercellular connections, the probability for faster transport velocity increases, broadening the velocity distribution. This type of measurement may reveal new knowledge about, for example, proliferation and migration of tumor cells.

4 Summary
Here we studied, for the first time to our knowledge, intracellular transport over periods longer than a cell cycle. This type of study is possible due to the label-free imaging method used, SLIM, and dispersion-relation analysis, which allows us to decouple active from passive mass transport. Interestingly, we found that the active component of transport is characterized by a significantly narrower velocity distribution in the nucleus than in the cytoplasm. These findings suggest that the active transport in the cytosol contains higher velocities than in the nucleus. Finally, we observed that cell clusters are characterized by a velocity distribution that broadens in time. This result may be related to cell–cell communication processes, which favor faster transport across cell populations.

The approach presented here may open the door for other studies in cell biology. Due to the absence of fluorescent
markers, the investigation can be applied, in principle, over arbitrarily long periods of time. However, in the future, combining SLIM and fluorescence imaging may enable interesting studies in which the quantitative information from SLIM can be understood simultaneously with specificity from fluorescence markers.
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