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Abstract. Ambulatory diffuse optical tomography (aDOT) is based on near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) and enables three-dimensional imaging of regional hemodynamics and oxygen consumption during a person’s normal activities. Although NIRS has been previously used for muscle assessment, it has been notably limited in terms of the number of channels measured, the extent to which subjects can be ambulatory, and/or the ability to simultaneously acquire synchronized auxiliary data such as electromyography (EMG) or electrocardiography (ECG). We describe the development of a prototype aDOT system, called NINscan-M, capable of ambulatory tomographic imaging as well as simultaneous auxiliary multimodal physiological monitoring. Powered by four AA size batteries and weighing 577 g, the NINscan-M prototype can synchronously record 64-channel NIRS imaging data, eight channels of EMG, ECG, or other analog signals, plus force, acceleration, rotation, and temperature for 24+ h at up to 250 Hz. We describe the system’s design, characterization, and performance characteristics. We also describe examples of isometric, cycle ergometer, and free-running ambulatory exercise to demonstrate tomographic imaging at 25 Hz. NINscan-M represents a multise tool for muscle physiology studies as well as clinical muscle assessment.

1 Introduction

Ambulatory near-infrared spectroscopy (aNIRS) and ambulatory diffuse optical tomography (aDOT) enable a user to wear a near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) device for noninvasive, continuous monitoring, or imaging of tissue oxygenation and hemodynamics with minimal restrictions on their activities. Wearable devices are rapidly expanding from the traditional electrocardiography (ECG) monitoring to pulse oximetry, blood pressure, and various metrics of activity and exercise. NIRS is distinct from these other modalities in that it can monitor tissue blood oxygenation and oxygen utilization. These are particularly useful capabilities for muscle assessment during and following exercise to evaluate muscle oxygenation and reserve muscle training and to evaluate certain disease states or recovery processes.

A number of portable NIRS instruments have previously been developed, enabling studies on progressively more mobile subjects. Runman and Micro Runman were pioneering developments in the laboratory of Chance et al. which first demonstrated NIRS portability. These were followed by wearable, battery powered systems hematoma detection systems and more recently the wireless system of Wolf et al., plus our own NINscan2a system. These devices all support only a small number of channels (<10 measurements each). Atsumori et al. further described a wearable optical topography system with eight source locations and eight detectors that can generate two-dimensional (2-D) images of the entire forehead at frame rates up to 5 Hz.

Despite such advancements in portable NIRS technology, significant gaps remain, particularly for muscle applications. Although wireless monitoring has considerable appeal, it also has drawbacks in terms of communication reliability (packet dropping), security/privacy, and restrictions on the distance the subject can travel from the receiving base station (typically about 10 m). Also important for muscle applications, exercise does not simply generate physiological changes localized to the monitored muscle. Exercise also leads to multiple systemic physiological changes, including heart rate, respiration rate, skin perfusion, blood pressure, and various other alterations known to affect NIRS signals. Unfortunately, most aNIRS devices are not designed to collect such systemic physiological measurements, which can be important for appropriate interpretation of aNIRS recordings.

Exercise also affects multiple muscle groups—at varying tissue depths—and aNIRS systems are rarely designed for imaging in depth or imaging at all. Imaging is important because oxygen use has been shown to vary significantly in different parts of the same muscle in various exercise settings. Such heterogeneous oxygen utilization is not restricted to exercise but has been observed during passive stretch and even at rest. Thus, the hemodynamic status of a muscle is not well represented by a single-point measurement within that muscle. This highlights
the importance of being able to obtain spatially resolved measurements, both in-plane and in-depth. Understanding the spatial heterogeneity of muscle hemodynamics not only informs basic muscle physiology and potentially help improve muscle training paradigms but also could support clinical decision making. For example, a recent study demonstrated significant and clinically important spatial variations in foot perfusion using optical tomography that could help guide treatment toward the affected vascular beds. Although ambulatory NIRS is not required for that particular clinical application, an ambulatory system can facilitate research or clinical assessments in a wider variety of settings than traditional systems, including during exercise.

We have focused in particular on developing wearable tissue imaging capabilities designed for astronauts during spaceflight. Such devices are required to be ultra-portable, easy to use, as well as multiuse, i.e., any given device requires multiple capabilities suitable for various applications in remote and low-resource settings. For NIRS, this means any deployed device must be suitable for imaging more than just one body part, compatible with more than one probe geometry, and provide more than NIRS-only measurements. Such design requirements inherently lead toward a highly portable, general-purpose device suitable for many Earth-based applications as well.

A particularly important application in spaceflight is muscle assessment. Without countermeasures, microgravity quickly leads to reduced muscle (and bone) mass[40], reduced strength[41], and reduced endurance[41]. To address this problem, each astronaut is typically scheduled to perform exercise countermeasures for 1.5 to 2 h every day, including treadmill running, cycle ergometry, and heavy-resistance exercise. Although the current countermeasure approach has proven helpful[41] it is also a major time commitment. Consequently, methods for more accurately tracking muscle status and optimizing training regimens are of interest. Given the wealth of prior NIRS work in exercise science, e.g.,[42] a flexible and multimodal, ambulatory tomography device has strong potential to fill this gap.

We previously developed and demonstrated 4-channel aNIRS technologies in extreme/low-resource environments including parabolic flight and high-altitude hiking[23]. Here, we present the development of a 64-channel ambulatory tomography (aDOT) prototype designed for 25- to 250-Hz frame rate use and as a fully wearable, lightweight, and multipurpose device supporting up to 14 auxiliary sensors/inputs. This multimodality capability in fact achieves many of the requirements of the "ideal imager" for NIRS-based muscle assessment as described by Ferrari et al.[43]. This paper focuses on the hardware development plus example applications related to muscle and physiological monitoring.

2 Multimodal Ambulatory Instrumentation Design

2.1 General Description and Features

NINscan-M (M = multiuse) is a continuous-wave NIRS prototype designed for the spaceflight demands of high mobility, automation, and flexibility. It consists of a recording box plus sensors, which can be powered by wall power or support continuous recordings for up to 26 h on four rechargeable AA batteries, sampling at up to 250 Hz. NINscan-M includes eight laser diode sources and eight photodiode detectors for aDOT, deployable in arbitrary geometries for limb, head/brain, or even abdominal applications. The NIRS data collection process utilizes a time-division multiplexing (TDM) scheme combined with autobaselining. In this scheme, one source is turned on at a time during which "active" measurements are collected from all eight detectors. Then all sources are turned off and "baseline" measurements are collected from all detectors. This process is then repeated for each of the remaining sources. The final NIRS measurement is computed as the difference between active minus baseline measures, thereby nulling ambient lighting conditions as well as accounting for dark current.

In addition to the 64 NIRS channels, NINscan-M also supports up to eight gain-controllable 16-bit analog inputs compatible with electromyography (EMG), ECG, electrooculography (EOG), EEG, or other analog signal sources, one 12-bit analog input, and plus four digital sensor inputs. Two additional digital-in/-out lines are available for synchronizing with other devices, and four user-input buttons provide a method for marking external events directly in the data stream. Bluetooth wireless broadcast of acquired data can be turned on/off, and other device configuration parameters can be set via a human-readable text file. Device deployment was designed to be as simple as possible: position the sensors and flip the single on/off power switch. When recording, the CPU continuously stores data on an secure digital (SD) flash memory card, where a 32-Gb card can store data for ~215 h of continuous recording. The device can be turned off at any time (which automatically closes the data file) and restarted as needed without first offloading existing data (data are saved to a newly timestamped file). Data transfer is achieved via the SD card. The prototype is enclosed in a custom acrylonitrile butadiene styrene three-dimensional (3-D)-printed box with a volume of 555 cm$^3$ and mass of 577 g (including batteries). The functional structure of the prototype appears in Fig. 1 and a photo appears in Fig. 1(a).

2.2 Near-Infrared Spectroscopy Subcomponent

For light sources, NINscan-M currently uses dual-wavelength laser diodes (Acxel Photonics Inc., Marlborough, Massachusetts). Detectors are monolithic silicon photodiodes with a on-chip transimpedance amplifier (OPT101; Texas Instruments Inc, Dallas, Texas). Despite the substantial number of sources and detectors, the NIRS sensor pads are designed for low-profile and motion-robust use, as in our previous designs[44]. In particular, we used a lightweight Velcro-based form to hold the laser diodes and photodiodes. A medical tape layer is used for easier cleaning, and light shaping diffusers are attached to the surface of the laser diodes to reduce the effective laser class to class 1 (eye-safe). In certain applications, we affix small (3 × 5 mm) coated lenses (Edmunds Optics, Inc.) to the optical components to improve coupling, particularly for applications through thick hair on the head. The portions of the sensor that contact the subject are either glass, polycarbonate, medical tape, or nylon, for broad biocompatibility.

Gain control within the NIRS system is largely automated and includes three separate stages. First, laser source power is controlled digitally, currently via configuration file settings. This provides 256-step control of output power for each source from 0- to 10-mW optical power, thereby enabling system deployment with either small or large targets (e.g., animals versus adult humans) with fewer concerns about signal ceiling or floor effects on recorded signals. Second, we embedded digitally controlled detector gain control within the sensor itself. This in-probe gain stage currently provides two sensitivity levels (low and high, or 1x and 75x) to accommodate detectors that are positioned close to a laser source (e.g., ∼1 cm, for measuring
fat or skin layer interference or farther from a source (for deeper measurements), respectively. These in-sensor gains can be determined automatically when the instrument is first turned on and then held fixed for the remainder of that recording or changed dynamically depending on which source is currently illuminated (configurable).

The third gain stage is located inside the control box and is always dynamic in nature. A total of 64 gains are encoded, one for each source–detector pair, with 20 unique gain settings for each (up to a maximum of 1024x amplification). When the device is first switched on, the system illuminates the first source and determines optimal gain settings for each detector paired with that source. The device then switches on the second source, determining a new set of eight optimal detector gain settings, and so on for the remaining sources. These 64 gain values are then stored and dynamically deployed during recording, depending on which source is currently active. This design enables the system to optimize signal levels for each source–detector pair in tomographic imaging geometries that include multiple source–detector distances (and hence dramatically different light input levels) seen by a given detector. Overall, this unique three-tier system provides a potential dynamic range increase of over 300,000-fold.

2.3 Near-Infrared Spectroscopy/Diffuse Optical Tomography Sensors

Given the gain design aforementioned, the system can be utilized with nearly arbitrary probe configurations. For example, our previous NINscan devices included detectors positioned at 15- and 40-mm distant from the dual-color source. A single NINscan-M sensor was developed to simultaneously support four such pads [see Fig. 2(c)]. This geometry enables simultaneous monitoring of multiple regions of the body (e.g., multiple muscles), and also affords multidistance measurements to help correct NIRS measurements for superficial layer interference. Given the long-distance detector position (40 mm) in this sensor, we configure the device to use a higher source power. To avoid saturation of the close detector, a low on-sensor gain setting is automatically selected by the system. The automatically selected control box gain fine-tunes the overall gain to further optimize use of the 16-bit dynamic range of the NIRS analog-to-digital (A/D) converter.

Alternatively, the optical components can be reconfigured into arbitrary tomographic imaging geometries. Figure 2(c) shows an example diffuse optical tomography (DOT) sensor using a “strip imaging” geometry. This geometry includes a...
line or sources and two lines of detectors: one 25 mm and another 33 mm from the sources. We have additionally added a nearby detector (D4) to provide the ability to measure signals in overlying tissue layers (skin and fat). Source–detector separations in this imaging sensor range from 12 mm (for measuring systemic hemodynamics), to over 55 mm for third-nearest neighbors. Using this sensor or the quad sensor only requires plugging it in. The system automatically adjusts gain settings according to the signal-to-noise characteristics for each source–detector pair and dynamically deploys those during recording.

### 2.4 Auxiliary Sensors

A key aspect of NINscan-M is to support a wide range of auxiliary sensors. Such sensors, coupled via an on-device plug-panel, can help provide a more comprehensive assessment of an individual’s physiological state and responses to tasks, stimuli, or the environment. The auxiliary sensors can be divided into three categories: (1) high-resolution EMG/ECG/EEG analog inputs (collectively referred to as biopotential inputs), (2) digital sensors, and (3) standard analog sensors. Up to eight different biopotential sensors are supported via a low-noise high-performance integrated A/D chip (ADS1299, Texas Instruments), which provides 24-bit resolution, 250-Hz sample rates and gain settings for each channel. The ADS1299 has a built-in programmable-gain amplifier (PGA), internal reference, and an onboard oscillator. It also comes with a flexible input multiplexer that can be independently connected to the internally generated signals for test, temperature, and lead-off detection. ADS1299 incorporates all commonly required features for portable electrophysiological data collection applications.

In NINscan-M, these biopotential inputs can be configured for different types of signals depending on the application, including EMG for sports physiology applications, ECG for chronic heart failure patients, and so on.

NINscan-M’s digital auxiliary sensors (based on the F/C digital bus and protocol) include accelerometers, gyroscope sensors, and temperature sensors. Accelerometers (ADXL345 and ADXL375, Analog Devices, Inc.) and gyroscope sensors (L3GD20H, STMicroelectronics, Inc.) provide important positional and quantitative measurements of motion and activity levels. Movements indicated by accelerometers can also help with identification and removal of motion artifacts and help substantiate a log of activities. Since ambient and skin temperature play important roles for skin perfusion—a major interference factor that affects noninvasive NIRS measurements—we also added temperature sensor (AD7415, Analog Devices, Inc.). NINscan-M can support up to a practical maximum of ~6 digital sensors on the F/C bus, depending on the sampling-rate needs of each device.

Finally, the microcontroller itself has a built-in A/D capability, supporting up to eight data inputs, a 12-bit resolution, and a 250-Hz sampling rate. To date, we have integrated a force sensor (with gain and bias control) and an analog respiration-induced plethysmography sensor through these analog lines. Altogether, NINscan-M has the potential to sample 64 NIRS signals, plus 8 biopotential, ~6 digital inputs, and 1 to 8 lower-resolution analog channels, all synchronized at 250 Hz. In addition, two separate digital-input and -output lines (0 to 3.3 V) are provided for interdevice synchronization.

### 2.5 Controller and Configuration

NINscan-M is driven by an STM32 F4 microcontroller and clocked by the interrupt signal generated from biopotential chip ADS1299. The microcontroller performs the initial gain-setting procedure, conducts all data acquisition, and drives the organic light-emitting diode display along with the digital-input/output synchronization. The device also supports four user buttons (expandable to eight), which enable users to insert up to eight distinct event-markers during operation, with the same 4-ms resolution as all other data channels. For configuration, when the power switch is turned on, the system first loads a default configuration file. This human-editable text file configures system operation including source powers, biopotential gain settings, force-channel amplification setting, enabling/disabling of the digital input/output capabilities, the on-sensor gain switching mode, and Bluetooth transmit. If Bluetooth is enabled, the microcontroller broadcasts the NIRS data in real time for viewing via a graphical interface on a separate computer.

### 2.6 Characterization Testing

Electronic and phantom tests were performed to characterize the performance of the NINscan-M system similar to previous work. Optical probes were secured on four homogeneous phantoms with wide-ranging optical properties (from light to dark) to provide the signal span and help estimate parameters such as noise equivalent power (NEP) and dynamic range. A 24-h continuous data collection on the phantoms was used to estimate the system drift.

### 2.7 Isometric, Dynamic, and Ambulatory Muscle Applications

To demonstrate the capabilities of NINscan-M and its suitability for muscle applications, we conducted the tests described as follows. All procedures were approved by the Massachusetts General Hospital, Institutional Review Board (IRB) that oversees human subject testing.

Isometric muscle oxygenation time series imaging: One healthy subject (female, age 22) was recruited for this test. EMG sensors were positioned on the upper right arm, over distal and proximal aspects of biceps brachii, triceps brachii, and one over the body of flexor carpal radii (FCR). A single ECG lead was attached at position V4, with the ground lead for all biopotential sensors attached to the right pelvis, and the reference lead on the right collarbone. All biopotential sensors used standard clinical adhesive Ag/AgCl snap-on electrodes (3M, Inc.). We then positioned the strip-imaging sensor (Fig. right) on the upper arm wrapping around from the lateral head of the triceps brachii around anteriorly to the biceps brachii. The accelerometer was positioned on the back of the subject’s hand, with the force sensor positioned on her fingertips and temperature sensor under her arm. The subject performed isometric flexions alternately lifting up or pressing down on a table at arm’s-length for 15 s. Force production was alternated with 30 s of rest, with three full cycles. The goal was to demonstrate high frame rate (25-Hz) tomographic imaging and video of muscle hemodynamics during the task.

Multimuscle monitoring during stationary cycling: One healthy subject (female, age 33) was recruited for this test. The ground lead (for all biopotential sensors) was attached at the right pelvis and the reference lead at the right clavicle.
Three ECG leads were attached at positions V2, V4, and V6. Four EMG leads were attached at the inferior aspects of rectus femoris and vastus lateralis in the upper right leg and the tibialis anterior and gastrocnemius lateralis (GL) of the lower right leg. Four NIRS sensor pads [Fig. 2(b)] were placed over central aspects of the same four muscles. An accelerometer sensor was attached on the lateral aspect of the right knee, a force sensor under the ball of the right foot, and a temperature sensor on the right torso, under the arm. The subject was seated on a stationary electromagnetically braked cycle ergometer and performed intermittent 20-s bursts of vigorous pedaling at (120 rpm at 100 W), which were alternated with 20 s of rest. The subject completed four full cycles of the activity.

Muscle monitoring while running: Figure 3 illustrates how NiNscan-M can be deployed during running. For this test, three ECG leads were attached at positions V2, V4, and V6, and four EMG leads were attached at the inferior aspects of vastus intermedius, vastus intermedius inferior, vastus lateralis, and biceps femoris of the right leg. The ground lead for all biopotential sensors was again attached at the right pelvis and the reference lead at the right clavicle. Our aDOT-strip-imaging sensor was wrapped from the head of biceps femoris, anteriorly over vastus lateralis and to the iliotibial (iliotibial) tract. Accelerometer and temperature sensors were attached on the inferior aspect of the vastus intermedius under the NIRS probe. The force sensor was attached under the ball of the right foot. All sensors were secured to the subject’s torso and leg by athletic tape. The data were collected outside on a sidewalk. The subject performed intermittent 20-s sprints alternated with 20 s of walking at a comfortable pace, and the subject completed eight full cycles of the activity.

Biopotential measurement capabilities: We also conducted two tests designed to illustrate the auxiliary capabilities of the system. First, we connected seven leads (plus reference and ground) per standard multilead clinical ECG monitoring protocols. Recording was conducted for 30 s to demonstrate the signal characteristics of NiNscan-M using different biopotential gain settings. To test the sensitivity of signals much smaller than EMG or ECG, we then positioned a biopotential sensor on the subject’s forehead (location F3 per the international 10/20 system) and recorded EEG while the subject performed seven cycles of the following protocol: 5 s of quiet rest, 10 s of circular eye-rolling, 10 s of rapid blinking, 20 s of rest with eyes open, and 20 s of rest with eyes closed. These tasks were selected to generate low-frequency EEG artifacts (eye movements), a broad-spectrum low-amplitude EEG (eyes-open rest), and enhanced activity in the alpha band (7 to 15 Hz) during eyes closed.

### 2.8 Data Analysis

Being a continuous-wave instrument, NiNscan-M can only measure relative changes in oxy-/deoxy-Hb concentrations. The raw 250-Hz optical “difference” data (raw-background) were first digitally low-pass filtered at 5 Hz followed by application of the modified Beer–Lambert Law and our previous approach or the DOT imaging process described as follows. For the 250-Hz ECG data, we plot the raw data after notch-filtering at 60 and 120 Hz (second-order Butterworth bandstop filters) to reject any power line noise. For the 250-Hz EEG data, we similarly notch-filtered at 60 and 120 Hz, and then computed the spectrogram using a Hanning filter of 1 s and window-overlaps of 0.5 s.

### 2.9 Diffuse Optical Tomography Imaging Procedure

With data collected from the 64 NIRS channels, 3-D dynamic diffuse optical imaging was performed using a linear image reconstruction algorithm, due to its low calculation load and fast imaging speed. The algorithm has been thoroughly tested on phantoms and previously validated for breast imaging. In brief, the diffusion approximation to the radiative transport equation and analytical solution based on Rytov approximation and semi-infinite boundary condition were used for forward modeling. Since the inversion of the linear system derived from the forward modeling is both ill-posed and underdetermined, regularization or stabilization techniques are required to obtain physically meaningful results. Here, we used truncated singular value decomposition, a subspace technique. In our imaging procedure, only reduced absorption coefficients were reconstructed; scattering was assumed to be unchanged during the test. The tissue beneath the DOT probe was divided into 5-mm-thick layers with 10×10 mm in-plane resolution, and image reconstruction was performed in MATLAB using the photon migration imaging toolbox. To enhance the contrast and reduce image artifacts, the reconstructed images were smoothed using a 3×3×3 voxel median filter.

### 3 Performance Tests

#### 3.1 Instrument Characterization

The detailed specifications of NiNscan-M are shown in Tables 1 and 2. NiNscan-M supports high-density SD memory cards (e.g., 32 GB). Continuous data collection of all NIRS, analog and digital channels generates a data flow of up to 147 MB/h, enabling NiNscan-M to support long-term continuous data collection for more than a week when run off wall power. With four regular AA sized Ni-MH rechargeable batteries (2500 mAh), NiNscan-M can perform continuous data collection for up to 26 h. Since NiNscan-M (i) supports a large memory, (ii) can collect data without a computer, and (iii) functions without distance restrictions (as those from wireless ambulatory NIRS devices), it is a fully independent and stand-alone
device. This system is thus suitable for the widest range of application environments, including extreme conditions such as spaceflight, mountaineering, and long-distance running.

The bandwidth of each channel is designed according to the characteristics of each parameter. For example, analog auxiliary inputs have a 70-Hz bandwidth, commonly used in ambulatory ECG, whereas the NIRS channels have a 5-Hz bandwidth. Further signal conditioning can be performed via analysis after data collection.

Table 1 summarizes the performance characteristics of the optical channels, the core of aDOT monitoring. For battery powered long-term ambulatory monitoring, signal drift is a critical parameter, since the voltage of the battery decreases as its power is consumed and it is important that the signal channels are resistant to the battery voltage change. Our test results found the drift over a 24-h period is <1%, excellent for long-term monitoring applications. The NEP of NINscan-M was found to be 15 pW/√Hz, including both the dark noise from the OPT101 detector (~5 pW/√Hz) and the noise from the circuitry that follows. The 180-dB dynamic range includes the instantaneous 70-dB dynamic range of the detector itself, plus an extra 110 dB from the variable gains. These characteristics compare favorably with many existing nonportable units.

### 3.2 Isometric Muscle Oxygenation Time Series Imaging

A full set of synchronized NINscan-M sensor data from the isometric contraction experiment is shown in Fig. 3. Time series data for deoxy-Hb concentrations from the 23 NIRS source–detector pairs with signal-to-noise ratios (SNR) >3 during the isometric biceps/triceps activation task appear in Fig. 4A. (Arbitrary offsets on the y-axis are added for individual trace visibility.) These include source–detector separations ranging from 12 to 56 mm [see labels in Fig. 4C]. Biceps deoxygenation was largest during the biceps flexion periods (and tended to reach a plateau), although it was still detectable during the triceps activation periods (coactivation). Triceps deoxygenation was most pronounced during triceps flexion, did not reach a plateau, and changes were also observed during biceps flexion periods. The accelerometer (hand motion), force sensor data, and temperature data for the same recording appear in Fig. 4B. From these, we can verify limited motion of the hand during the (isometric) task and quantify the forces generated on the sensor. The deployed digital temperature sensor is relatively of low resolution (0.25°C) but demonstrates stable body temperature during the task. The synchronously recorded EMG and ECG recordings appear in Fig. 4C, showing clear bursts of muscle activation during the contraction periods and quiescent signals during rest. Importantly, coactivation of biceps and triceps was observed, which guides interpretation of the oxygenation data above. Additional noise is noted in the ECG traces during the muscle activation periods.

We then combined the probe geometry from Fig. 2C and the data from Fig. 4 with our tomographic imaging algorithm as described in Sec. 2.3. Given the probe dimensions, 3-D images were reconstructed over a space of X = 0 to 11 cm, Y = 0 to 4 cm, and Z = 0 to 2 cm in depth, with voxel sizes of 1 x 1 x 0.5 cm, or a total of 220 voxels.

Using this geometry, we generated separate images of oxy-, deoxy-, and total-hemoglobin [Fig. 5A], as well as images (and videos at 25-Hz frame rates) of each parameter in depth [Fig. 5B]. The video illustrates spatial details regarding the deoxygenation and associated postrelease hyperemia phases of the task, including an apparent involvement of the region of the brachialis and biceps (in this example subject) during the recovery hyperemia for triceps activation.

### 3.3 Muscle Activation Monitoring During Stationary Cycling

In our second test, we simultaneously examined muscle oxygenation in four separate muscles in the upper and lower leg using our quad probe [Fig. 2B] during repeated 20-s bursts of high-rpm pedaling on an electromagnetically braked cycle ergometer (Corival 912900, Lode BV, Netherlands). Data from two such bursts appear in Fig. 4 showing the higher initial force required to start cycling, the lower maintenance forces, and the rate of motion/acceleration of the knee (which is out of phase.
with the force data). Increases in deoxy-Hb were associated with cycling bursts in the GL. The tibialis anterior exhibited minimal change in deoxy-Hb (or even a modest decrease during cycling bouts) for this muscle opposing the GL. The altered deoxy-Hb concentrations returned toward baseline following each cycling bout.

3.4 Ambulatory Muscle Oxygenation Imaging

Our third test involved aDOT in a freely running subject. Figure 7 illustrates the setup and the positioning of the sensor relative to the underlying musculature. Figures 7(a) and 7(c) show oxy- and deoxy-Hb concentration changes collected...
from the lateral thigh during the 20-s active-running period compared to the initial walking period. The auxiliary measures further allowed us to quantify and synchronize individual footfalls (accelerometer), muscle electrical activation (EMG), and cardiac information (ECG), and skin temperature along with the aDOT data (not shown).

3.5 Electrophysiological Biopotential Recordings

To claim multimodality capabilities suitable for muscle and exercise monitoring requires high-quality electrophysiological inputs. In Fig. 8(a), we show data using our NINscan-M hardware platform for ECG-array recording, as would be deployed with cardiac or cardiovascular patients undergoing exercise or muscle testing. All signals were very low noise (<2 μV), and data with reasonable (if suboptimal) SNRs were obtained even at the lowest gain setting available.

ECG and EMG are generally high-amplitude signals, and hence more straightforward to detect. EEG recording provides a much more challenging test, while at the same time being important to the investigation of muscle fatigue. From our frontal EEG test, Fig. 8(b) shows high-amplitude low-frequency components (dark red), which were largely confined to the eye movement periods of the protocol, representing eye-movement artifacts in the EEG signal. In addition, lighter red bands consistently appear in the 11- to 13-Hz range during the final 20 s of each cycle (arrows). These bands correspond to the common increase in alpha-wave power during eyes-closed rest periods, typically most prominent in the occipital lobe, but sometimes observable frontally as well. While limited, this test provides evidence that, in addition to sensitivity to ECG in the order of 500 μV, the NINscan-M hardware platform is also sensitive to the much smaller signals from EEG, in the order of 1 to 10 μV.

4 Discussion

We have described here NINscan-M, a system capable of 64-channel mobile NIRS tomographic imaging of muscle or other tissue hemodynamics along with simultaneous, multimodal physiological measurements including ECG, EMG, EEG, accelerometry, force, and temperature. Versions of this device have been further enhanced to incorporate gyroscope and respirometry sensors. The system design provides a NIRS dynamic range of up to 180 dB, and we could reliably acquire NIRS imaging data with source–detector separations ranging from 12 to 56 mm. Based on prior studies on forearm and head, measurements with such source–detector spacings are expected to be sensitive to deep tissues such as muscle and brain. Given the automatic and dynamic gain control, NINscan-M can also support multisite tissue monitoring by simply reconfiguring sources and detectors into separate pads (e.g., Fig. 2(b)). This design provides a significant advantage over many NIRS approaches, including various nonportable ones, as it enables 3-D tomographic imaging (as opposed to 2-D topographic imaging), as well as nearly arbitrary source–detector geometries for different coverage and shallow/deep layer measurement needs. The system portability enables imaging/monitoring of muscle oxygenation changes in static, dynamic, and freely-moving exercise activities. And the multimodal physiological recording capabilities can help provide a more comprehensive understanding of muscle physiology and in a wide variety of testing environments.
4.1 Technology Considerations

Despite NINscan-M’s fourfold increase in supported sources and detectors, our NIRS probe design was substantially similar to prior work. In particular, we used a lightweight, Velcro-based sandwich form to hold the laser diodes and photodiodes. A medical tape layer is used for biocompatibility, and light shaping diffusers are attached to the surface of the laser diodes to reduce the effective laser class to class 1 (eye-safe). All other sensor-patient interfaces deployed standard clinical electrodes. This provides a lightweight, low-profile, and biocompatible interface with human subjects.

Several new design challenges arose relative to our previous device development efforts. First, a mobile tomography system proved challenging in terms of power consumption, since more lasers draw more current. This problem was solved via TDM

![Graphs and diagrams showing force, acceleration, and NIRS recordings from various muscle groups during cycling.]
and real-time nulling of ambient light levels. This solution also enabled incorporation of real-time gain control, which significantly expanded the prototype’s dynamic range. However, TDM slows the device frame rate, and slower speeds can be inadequate for capturing important physiology or fail to reject sudden changes in ambient lighting. The slowest link proved to be the dynamic gain switching within the probe itself, especially notable at low signal levels. For this reason, two system modes were included: (i) a 250-Hz frame rate when setting the on-probe gains at power-up only, keeping them fixed during recording or (ii) a 25-Hz frame rate when enabling dynamic on-probe gain changes. Since slow gain-switching only affects the NIRS sub-system, biopotential and other sensors are sampled at 250 Hz.

As with any system, NINscan-M has limitations. One important limitation is that the device can currently output a maximum of 10 mW of peak optical power. With coupled optics and the TDM design, the net optical power can be considerably below the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) limit for skin exposure to near-infrared light. Higher light levels are particularly important for photodiodes such as OPT101, which are less sensitive to low light levels than avalanche photodiodes or photomultipliers. In addition, our low-power device requirement means we supply only 2.5 V to the detector, thus underutilizing the capabilities of OPT101.

![Photo of NINscan-M during free running, along with illustration of the location of the NIRS imaging sensor (rectangle).](image1)

![DOT images of deoxy-Hb and oxy-Hb concentration changes in depth (0 to 20 mm) during active running relative to walking.](image2)

![Recording from a 9-lead ECG array (including reference and ground leads) at multiple gain settings](image3)

![EEG at F3 during seven cycles of: 5-s rest, 10-s eye rolling, 10-s eye blinking, 20-s quiet eyes-open rest, and 20-s eyes-closed rest.](image4)

![NINscan-M recording with source–detector separations >55 mm](image5)
the SNR and, consequently, the depth sensitivity of the system. A higher-output power board could mitigate this limitation but remains to be developed.

We also observed baseline fluctuations and cross talk between biopotential channels. Baseline fluctuations are a limitation of the ASD1299 chip and can be partially managed by incorporating software or hardware filters as needed. Despite our varied experimental conditions, we have not encountered signal saturation due to baseline fluctuations, even when using a $24\times$ gain on the biopotential channels, and hence this has been of limited concern. Cross talk is more challenging and has three sources: (i) cross talk within ADS1299 (a known issue), as well as (ii) physiological, and (iii) referencing cross talk. Within-chip cross talk is particularly likely, and difficult to avoid, if one must simultaneously record large signals such as ECG with small signals such as EEG. There is also physiological coupling between sensor sites on the body during muscle activity, leading to inherently more noise during movement than when remaining still, and this generally inherent to the subject. Regarding the third potential source of cross talk, our tests used a single reference channel for all EMG, ECG, and EEG measurements and plotted raw data. Rereferencing (e.g., standard ECG or EEG montages) or deploying separate reference leads to the different types of measurements (EEG versus ECG versus EMG, and so on), which are both expected to help reduce cross talk in the electrophysiological signals, and may reduce the effect of physiological cross talk as well. Postprocessing filters may also be required to help eliminate some types of cross talk, however, depending on the particular application.

Another important consideration is line-noise. Although it is generally run on batteries, NINscan-M is also capable of plug-in operation and will regardless be susceptible to 50- or 60-Hz line noise coupling. Because the NIRS component of NINscan-M often runs at 25 Hz, any such line noise would be aliased. When running at 250 Hz, we have not observed significant 60-Hz interference in the NIRS channels, whereas we did see such noise in the 250-Hz biopotential subsystem when not suitably grounded or shielded. Nevertheless, line noise in the NIRS signals remains a potential concern. One possible solution is to run the system at 125 Hz. This should be slow enough to minimize problems regarding the on-probe gain switching speed, yet fast enough to avoid line noise aliasing.

As with any ambulatory instrument, NINscan-M will be sensitive to motion artifacts. Numerous solutions to this problem have been proposed, including development of lightweight, motion-resistant sensor as well as various filtering approaches. We have approached this in a similar manner to our previous work minimizing the NIRS probe profile and mass via use of soft, lightweight materials, elimination of weighty and torque-inducing optical fibers and, when needed, attachment of 3-mm diameter lenses directly to the face of the detectors (using optically transparent glue) to improve performance in hairy regions.

4.2 Imaging Considerations

Measurement noise is always a concern, but particularly so for NIRS data that must support tomographic imaging. We found acceptable signals (SNR > 3) could be reliably achieved up to at least 56-mm source–detector separations and meaningful aDOT images could be obtained up to 20 mm in depth. Imaging was based on algorithms previously used and thoroughly validated for breast imaging applications. While we reconstructed images with $10 \times 10$ mm in-plane resolution and smoothed the result, the theoretical and practical spatial resolution remains to be fully characterized. We expect imaging from NINscan-M to be equivalent to that of other systems with similar sensitivity, although more comprehensive imaging validation remains to be completed for muscle applications, including imaging based on cylindrical boundary conditions.

4.3 Spaceflight Muscle Applications

For spaceflight, two critical features for all biomedical devices are multiuse and ease-of-use. The multiuse requirement led to the multimodal recording capabilities in NINscan-M. For example, in a spaceflight analog study (supine cycling), DiMenna et al. combined EMG and force data with oxygenation data collected during muscle activation paradigms to assess muscle strength and muscle endurance. Their 15-min endurance assessment could replace a 24-h time trial, which is an important time savings benefit in spaceflight settings. However, DiMenna’s study required multiple laboratory devices, whereas similar studies could be conducted exclusively with NINscan-M. Other works have further demonstrated the possibility of optimizing both endurance and strength training protocols when combining NIRS with various auxiliary measures. NINscan-M thus has the potential to provide a single, small device suitable for monitoring changes in muscle strength and endurance as well as optimizing exercise protocols during long-duration spaceflight. The spaceflight ease-of-use requirement led to the current design whereby the user only needs to position the sensors on the body as desired and switch the device on/off as needed. Gain settings, data recording, and file storage are all handled automatically, which can save valuable time in high-tempo operational settings.

4.4 Earth Muscle Applications

The data collected in this study was designed to highlight the system’s range of applications and multimodal capabilities, as opposed to testing specific physiological hypotheses, and our results were broadly consistent with previously published work. In particular, the isometric studies replicated prior demonstrations of a fast initial deoxygenation followed by a slow phase during biceps brachii flexion, with similar amplitudes of [HHb] change. Simultaneous EMG recordings help explain the bilateral NIRS deoxygenations by demonstrating co-contraction of opposing muscle groups during the task. The rapid ($>1$ Hz) fluctuations during cycling and running (Fig. 3) are consistent with prior NIRS studies of active motion, e.g., and are most likely related to sensor coupling and/or tissue deformation beneath the sensor. The underlying, more prolonged deoxygenation observed during blocks of muscle activation are also consistent with these prior studies in terms of amplitude and timescale and represent underlying changes in muscle utilization and oxygen extraction. Baseline muscle Hb concentrations are typically $\sim 80 \mu$M. Thus, our observed oxy-/deoxy-Hb concentration changes in all of the tasks (ranging up to $\sim 4 \mu$M) represent $\sim 5\%$ a change from baseline. While these magnitudes are similar to prior reports, amplitude discrepancies between studies can reach up to 10-fold. Likely contributors to such differences include variations in human performance or experimental controls, spatial variations within the muscle, different approaches for handling the skin.
and fat layers, and perhaps simple interindividual variability as previously reported. Further studies are needed to better understand the source of such variations.

Our findings nevertheless suggest that NINscan-M can provide tissue oxygenation imaging data during active exercise, and hence is applicable to studies of muscle strength and endurance, fatigue, and, potentially, training optimization studies. In addition to the muscle strength and endurance assessments described above, a wearable system can support detailed exercise physiology studies in more realistic settings, enabling quantification of tissue oxygen use, force production, muscle activation, cardiac and respiratory variables while running, cycling, skiing, or other participation in activities on various training or competition courses. As an example, studies have incorporated EEG in conjunction with force and muscle oxygenation data to investigate the nature of fatigue. NIRS has also been combined with electrophysiological measures for investigating or managing various clinical conditions that would not typically be encountered in spaceflight including peripheral vessel diseases, congestive heart failure, compartment syndrome, and diabetes. This system could help support similar investigations in fully ambulatory settings.

The range of potential sensors in NINscan-M means the system could be deployed for various nonmuscle applications on Earth as well. For example, when using the respiration sensor, the system could be used for basic polysomnography in low-resource settings. Alternatively, skin conductance sensors could be incorporated to record multimodal physiological responses to stress, or blood pressure sensors could help perform symptom-capture investigations of syncope. The key feature of NINscan-M is that it is a fully ambulatory physiological monitoring platform, which can help provide a more comprehensive picture of physiological state during vigorous, remote, or ambulatory activities.

While we have focused on muscle applications here, the NIRS subsystem of NINscan-M was also designed to enable aDOT of brain activity. The same device is thus expected to have applications for remote, long-duration and/or ambulatory cerebral monitoring. Applications in spaceflight would include cerebrovascular pulsatility cerebral autoregulation, changes in functional brain activation under stress, heavy workload, or following specific events. Earth-based applications, where NINscan-M provides a unique capability, include detection and diagnosis of various disorders characterized by intermittent or unexpected cardiac symptoms (e.g., arrhythmias, transient ischemic episodes, and silent myocardial ischemia), as well as syncope, epilepsy, poststroke monitoring, or sleep disorders.

### 4.5 Summary

Our overall goal has been to develop a highly portable and multiuse system for tomographic imaging in muscle and brain plus systemic physiological monitoring suitable for use by astronauts during spaceflight. We have also sought to enable a range of clinical and research applications outside the confines of a hospital or laboratory. NINscan-M represents a significant step along this path, coupling a highly portable and flexible aDOT capability with low-power consumption and motion resistance to multimodal recording capabilities and ease-of-use. It can address important gaps in multimodal monitoring and enables arbitrary close-detector measurements to support skin and fat layer interference correction, all in a self-contained device. For muscle and exercise applications, NINscan-M’s capabilities could support muscle strength, endurance, recovery, and fatigue assessment, guidance on training paradigms, or clinical conditions, such as compartment syndrome. Sensitivity to source-detector separations exceeding ∼25 mm expands its potential applications to cerebral applications potentially enabling the detection and assessment of hemorrhage, long-duration postsurgical monitoring in the ICU, traumatic brain injury applications, and even stroke, epilepsy, or syncope monitoring. This general hardware platform thus enables research and clinical applications involving muscle, as well as applications in brain and more systemic physiological monitoring and imaging.
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