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Abstract. Articular surface damage occurs to cartilage during normal aging, osteoarthritis, and in trauma. A noninvasive assessment of cartilage microstructural alterations is useful for studies involving cartilage explants. This study evaluates polarized reflectance microscopy as a tool to assess surface damage to cartilage explants caused by mechanical scraping and enzymatic degradation. Adult bovine articular cartilage explants were scraped, incubated in collagenase, or underwent scrape and collagenase treatments. In an additional experiment, cartilage explants were subject to scrapes at graduated levels of severity. Polarized reflectance parameters were compared with India ink surface staining, features of histological sections, changes in explant wet weight and thickness, and chondrocyte viability. The polarized reflectance signal was sensitive to surface scrape damage and revealed individual scrape features consistent with India ink marks. Following surface treatments, the reflectance contrast parameter was elevated and correlated with image area fraction of India ink. After extensive scraping, polarized reflectance contrast and chondrocyte viability were lower than that from untreated explants. As part of this work, a mathematical model was developed and confirmed the trend in the reflectance signal due to changes in surface scattering and subsurface birefringence. These results demonstrate the effectiveness of polarized reflectance microscopy to sensitively assess surface microstructural alterations in articular cartilage explants.
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1 Introduction

Articular cartilage surfaces play a vital role in load distribution and friction reduction of knee joints during movement. Mechanical load is distributed through a distinct zonal architecture in articular cartilage, consisting of type II collagen and glycosaminoglycans. In the superficial zone, collagen microstructure is tangential to the articular surface and accounts for 60% to 86% of dry weight of that layer. Minimal fibrillation is usually apparent in this layer in normal aging. Additionally, in osteoarthritis, alterations to the superficial zone become larger progressively with disease stage. Therefore, noninvasive optical detection of mild microstructural alterations to the superficial zone is of interest to orthopedic researchers seeking to identify causes and patterns of damage to the articular surface and superficial zone. Identification of microstructural alterations without staining the articular surface would be useful for in vitro studies of progressive cartilage damage and remodeling.

Bovine articular cartilage explants are useful in in vitro models to determine cartilage microstructural and chondrocyte responses to mechanical loading, growth conditions, and degeneration. The effects of injurious mechanical treatments include death of chondrocytes and suppression of glycosaminoglycan synthesis. Several in vitro treatments, including soluble factors, such as IGF-1, TGF-β1, BMP-7, and PDGF-AB, and enzymes such as chondroitinase ABC, influence the rate and quality of cartilage tissue growth by modulating the mass balance of collagen and glycosaminoglycans. Enzymatic degradation of cartilage explants with collagenase initially produces tissue swelling as the collagen network is compromised and leads to tissue loss with further collagenase activity. The effects of proinflammatory factors, such as TNF-α, IL-1α, and IL-1β, on explants include collagen degradation but also stimulation of factors related to collagen anabolism such as BMP-2. A nondamaging technique that assesses some aspects of cartilage microstructure could reveal responses to these treatments, in the same explant, over multiple time points during culture.

Several optical techniques assess microstructural alterations in bovine cartilage explants. Optical coherence tomography (OCT) detects clefts, cracks, and fibrillated articular surfaces, as well as the entire cartilage thickness by depth-resolved backscattering from tissue interfaces. Visible wavelength multispectral imaging and fluorescence spectroscopy are two additional tools to assess cartilage surfaces and can distinguish normal and mechanically or enzymatically degraded cartilage from bovine knee joints. In addition, healthy bovine articular cartilage examined by two-photon laser scanning microscopy (TPLSM) reveals two photon fluorescence and second harmonic generation signals deriving from endogenous fluorophores in chondrocytes and type II collagen, respectively. It is important to note that the extent of mild surface damage features, termed minimal fibrillation, is not readily apparent macroscopically or with conventional microscopes without staining. Marking the articular surface with India ink reveals contrast between minimally fibrillated and smooth articular surfaces but is not compatible with
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long-term explant culture or intravital staining. Furthermore, the long-term effects of repeated laser irradiation on cells and tissue are not clear. Hence, there is a need for a safe, noninvasive, label-free method to assess the articular surface, to better track microstructural alterations in cartilage explants during in vitro culture.

Depth-resolved optical tomographic and three-dimensional (3-D) imaging techniques are sensitive to microscale articular surface damage and as well as subsurface alterations. While OCT, with a resolution of ~5 μm, detects mild alterations to the articular surface,21 tomographic acquisition typically avoids large surface coverage.21,22 Polarization-sensitive OCT is sensitive to collagen birefringence in articular cartilage, relaying information about the collagen network microstructure throughout the tomographic section.21,23 In contrast, TPLSM produces en face images of cartilage to a depth of several hundreds of microns and can track altered collagen microstructure as well as chondrocyte location beneath the articular surface.24 Since cartilage is a highly scattering tissue, depth-resolved techniques, such as OCT and TPLSM, provide significant subsurface details.25–27 However, particularly in cartilage explant studies involving the articular surface, a rapid, noninvasive optical technique requiring lower light fluence in the tissue would ensure chondrocyte viability during repetitive imaging.

Polarized light transmittance and reflectance reveal characteristics of thin/transparent38,29 and thick/opaque tissues,30–32 respectively. In transmission through a transverse slide section, three distinct zones of collagen alignment are apparent: the superficial zone, with tangential alignment, the isotropic middle zone, and the deep zone with perpendicular alignment to the articular surface.33,34 In contrast to transmission techniques, polarized reflectance microscopy from articular cartilage has been less reported. Interactions of polarized light in biological tissues include multiple scattering, dichroism, optical rotation, and linear birefringence.35 In skin, which is also a collagen rich, multilayered tissue, the polarized reflectance signal distinguishes features of carcinomas, burn scars, and venous abnormalities.31,32

The primary purpose of this study is to assess the sensitivity of polarized reflectance microscopy to articular surface disruption of bovine cartilage explants. Because the polarized reflectance contrast parameter includes a reflectance signal derived from single scattering, multiple scattering, and birefringence,30 it should be sensitive to both disruptions of the articular surface and damage to collagen microstructure in the superficial zone. To test this hypothesis, a polarization difference contrast parameter was calculated, and dependence on surface reflectance versus subsurface birefringence was assessed before and after several surface treatments of mechanical scrape, collagenase treatment, or both collagenase and scrape. The effects of explant treatments were assessed independently by surface marking with India ink, live/dead staining for chondrocyte viability, explant physical measurements, polarized light microscopy, and haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of transverse histological sections. As part of this work, a Mueller matrix model of polarized reflectance from cartilage was developed to help explain trends in the experimental data. The findings demonstrate the feasibility of reflected polarized light microscopy in detecting microstructural alterations to the articular surface of cartilage explants. The technique is useful for biomechanical studies of cartilage explants requiring noninvasive surface and subsurface microstructural characterization without laser light irradiation of chondrocytes and cartilage extracellular matrix.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Explant Harvest

Adult bovine knees (N = 4) were obtained fresh from a local abattoir within 24 h postmortem and kept on ice prior to harvest. The femur was clamped with a vise and soft tissues were removed to reveal the patellofemoral ridge. A 3-mm diameter dermal biopsy punch created cylindrical cartilage plugs still attached to the subchondral bone. Then, a surgical scalpel blade was used to undercut the cartilage plugs, creating 3-mm diameter cartilage explants. Explants were placed in microcentrifuge tubes containing phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and kept on ice. Additional explants were taken from the weight-bearing region of the femoral condyles (FC). Experiments were performed immediately following the harvest.

2.2 Explant Treatment

The explant study was divided into two experiments (1 and 2). Experiment 1 compared the effects of differing surface treatments on polarized reflectance signals. Experiment 2 imaged explants following a progressive, controlled surface scrape, recording a polarized reflectance signal from explants treated with differing numbers of scrape passes. In experiment 1, explants were divided into three treatments groups: scrape, collagenase, and “both,” and one additional control “untreated” group (n = 10 explants/group). In experiment 2, each group contained five explants and they were subjected to 1, 3, 5, 10, and 20 scrapes passes using sandpaper (see below). A subset of six explants from the FC were used to assess chondrocyte viability in scraped (n = 3) versus untreated (n = 3) explants. Chondrocyte viability was determined from fluorescence microscopy images following exposure to live/dead assay reagents (live/dead viability/cytotoxicity kit for mammalian cells, Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., Massachusetts). Physical data of each explant, such as joint location, wet weight, and thickness, were collected before and after treatments. Explant height was measured by capturing a profile picture of an explant with a ruler to determine scale. The values were obtained by manual line-drawing in ImageJ (NIH Image, Bethesda, Maryland). The average of five equally spaced vertical lines from top to bottom of the explant was scaled by the ruler markings and defined as the explant height. Explant weight was measured by a mass balance (ML303T, Mettler-Toledo, Maryland). The details of the surface treatments are:

Scrape group: Scraping was performed by passing a piece of 600-grit ultrafine waterproof sandpaper with a 200-g weight on top across each explant traversing 5 cm (= 1 scrape). There were 10 scrapes performed on each explant in this group in experiment 1; in experiment 2, the numbers of scrapes varied from 1 to 20.

Collagenase group: Explants were incubated in enzyme solution contained 5 mg of collagenase type 1 (Sigma Aldrich, St. Louis, Missouri) dissolved in 20 ml solution of 0.36 mM CaCl2 and deionized water for 30 min at room temperature.

“Both” group: Explants were treated first with collagenase, and then with 10 scrape passes. Explants were rinsed with PBS in between treatments.
Following treatment, each explant was marked with India ink diluted to 25% in PBS by wiping a laboratory tissue dipped into India ink solution on the explant surface. The explants were rinsed with PBS to remove residual dye. India ink was used to reveal cracks and rough patches on the disrupted articular surface. One explant chosen from each group was kept ink-free for histology.

### 2.3 Reflectance Microscopy

Figure 1 shows the experimental setup, including explant harvest, treatments, and microscopy. An MT9300 polarized microscope (Meiji Techno Co., Ltd, Japan) with strain-free 4 × /N.A 0.10 and 10 × /N.A 0.25 objectives was used. An incident illuminator consisting of a halogen bulb with a transformer provided broad spectral bandwidth visible light that passed through a green transmission filter (546 nm wavelength) and polarizer to generate quasimonochromatic polarized light. The analyzer and polarizer were either in parallel (Par) or perpendicularly crossed (Per) positions, leading to bright or dark image backgrounds, respectively. Images were taken at those positions using a 5.0-megapixel CMOS camera (Lumenera Corp., Canada) mounted on the intermediate tube of the microscope and connected to the computer via a universal serial bus port. Explants were optically coupled to the glass coverslip by drops of PBS while the coverslip was placed at an angle to direct specular reflectance from the air–glass interface away from the light collection path. During the image acquisition, Par and Per images were collected serially and were coregistered. One field of view was recorded with microscope images from the central region of each explant and noted by aligning a razor nick in the cartilage with a corner of the image under a 4× objective. Then, the objective was switched to 10×. For repeated imaging, before versus after treatment, the alignment of the 4× image was checked to be the same. Other parameters, such as light intensity and exposure, were also kept the same.

### 2.4 Image Analysis

Images were acquired as 1296 × 968 pixels images in.tif format using camera software Infinity Capture (Lumenera Corp., Canada). Polarization contrast parameter maps were calculated from pairs of Par and Per images using the formula Pol = (Par − Per)/(Par + Per). The range of possible Pol image values was from 0 for equal signal in Par and Per pixels to a theoretical maximum of 1, corresponding to 0 signal in Per pixels. Negative Pol values were not found in this study, and typical Pol values ranged from 0.1 to 0.6. A value of 0 represents roughly equal contributions of signal from Par and Per configurations, and minimal surface scattering, returned to the optical sensor at that image pixel. A value of 0.5 represents equal signal from the surface and deeper layers. Image processing and analysis was done using a custom-made MATLAB script. Data were presented as mean ± SD (standard deviation). In Figs. 2–6 and 8, images were contrast-enhanced equally to bring out textural features.

### 2.5 Optical Model

To test the effects of alterations to tissue optical properties with surface treatments on polarized reflectance parameters, a simple model of polarized reflectance from tissue was adapted to articular cartilage by adding effects of superficial zone cartilage birefringence and depolarization at a roughened articular surface. The original model by Jacques et al. represents the polarized reflectance signal intensity from tissue collected in the Par and Per configurations, with incident light intensity I₀. The signal intensities in these configurations are Iₚₘ and Iₚₑ for the parallel (Par) and perpendicular (Per) configurations, respectively. The signal intensity depends upon superficially reflected (Rₛ) and deeply penetrating back-scattered light (Rₖ), to several scattering lengths, as

\[ I_{\text{PAR}} = I_0 (R_S + 0.5 R_D), \]

\[ I_{\text{PER}} = I_0 (0.5 R_D). \]

To apply Eqs. (1) and (2) to cartilage explants, the optical system is modeled using Mueller matrices, with each optical component represented as a 4 × 4 matrix. The Mueller matrices representing horizontal and vertical polarizers are given by Refs. 36 and 37

\[ M_{\text{LPH}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]

\[ M_{\text{LPV}} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \]

The effect of collagen birefringence at the superficial layer acts as a linear retarder oriented at 45 deg (θ = 45 deg) to the polarization axes, with optical retardance \( \gamma \) in radians. The Mueller matrix representing the roughened articular surface is that of a diagonal depolarizer \( M_D \) with main diagonal elements of \[ \begin{bmatrix} M_D(2,2) & M_D(3,3) & M_D(4,4) \end{bmatrix} \], where \( 0 \leq M_D(i,i) \leq 1 \) for \( i = 2, 3, \) and 4, and 0 elsewhere. In the absence of direct measurements of the depolarization matrix elements from cartilage, isotropic depolarization was chosen, and minimal surface scattering, returned to the optical sensor at that image pixel. A value of 0.5 represents equal signal from the surface and deeper layers. Image processing and analysis was done using a custom-made MATLAB script. Data were presented as mean ± SD (standard deviation). In Figs. 2–6 and 8, images were contrast-enhanced equally to bring out textural features.
matrix from articular cartilage is of significant interest for future study. In those cases, a comparison of model to experimental
matrix from articular cartilage is of significant interest for future study. In those cases, a comparison of model to experimental data would require a full Mueller matrix imaging polarimetry system. Several nonisotropic diagonal depolarization matrices were tested to confirm similarity of trends in the Pol parameter with the depolarization index, \( \Delta = 1 - |\text{tr}(M_D) - 1|/3 \).\(^3\) For horizontal linear polarized incident light, the Stokes vector with the depolarization index, \( \Delta \), was fixed, while the analyzer axis was fully rotatable to be parallel (Par) and perpendicular (Per) to the axis of the polarizer.

For horizontal linear polarized incident light, the Stokes vector is \( S = [1, 1, 0, 0]^T \). The fraction of total single scattering events from collagen \( x \) and a depolarization factor \( a \) both vary from 0 (low collagen scattering/high depolarization) to 1 (high collagen scattering/low depolarization). Equation (1) is split into three terms

\[
I_{\text{PAR}} = I_0 [S_{\text{SURF.NC}}(0) + S_{\text{SURF.COL}}(0) + 0.5R_D]. \tag{7}
\]

The first term \( S_{\text{SURF.NC}}(0) = M_{\text{LPV}}(1-a)(1-x)S \), the first Stokes vector element representing total intensity, is superficial scattering, not from collagen \( (1-x) \), modified by the Mueller matrix of the horizontal polarizer and the term \( (1-a) \) representing more scattering from a roughened surface. The element-wise matrix multiplication is scalar = \((1 \times 4)\)scalar(\( (1 \times 4) \)). The second term is the first Stokes vector element representing total intensity of scattering from superficial subsurface collagen, \( S_{\text{SURF.COL}}(0) = M_{\text{LPV}}(1-a)M_{\text{LR}}M_{\text{D}}X_{\text{S}} \) affected by surface roughening (on tissue entrance and exit), collagen birefringence, and the horizontal polarizer. The element-wise matrix multiplication is scalar = \((1 \times 4)\)scalar\( (1 \times 4) \). Equation (2) becomes

\[
I_{\text{PER}} = I_0 [0.5R_D + S_{\text{SURF.COL}}(0)]. \tag{8}
\]

where the second term \( S_{\text{SURF.COL}}(0) = M_{\text{LPV}}M_{\text{D}}M_{\text{LR}}M_{\text{D}} \times S \), is similarly derived from collagen birefringence modified by scattering losses at the cartilage surface. Finally, the Pol parameter is calculated as

\[
\text{Pol} = (I_{\text{PAR}} - I_{\text{PER}})/(I_{\text{PAR}} + I_{\text{PER}}). \tag{9}
\]

A surface plot of Pol intensity versus \( x \) and \( a \) was created using a custom-written MATLAB code.

2.6 Statistical Analysis

To determine the effect of explant treatments on explant physical characteristics, one-factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed for change in explant wet weight and thickness. The relationship between the change in explant wet weight and thickness before and after treatments was assessed by linear correlation. To determine the effect of surface scrape on superficial chondrocytes and the articular surface, two-sample t-tests were performed for chondrocyte viability and India ink area fraction from untreated and scraped cartilage explants from the same region of bovine FC. To determine the effect of progressive scrape on the polarized reflectance signal, one-factor ANOVA was performed for the mean Pol value. To determine the effect of progressive scrape on articular surface damage and explant tissue loss, one-factor Kruskal–Wallis tests were performed for the India ink area fraction and change in explant wet weight, respectively. The relationship between the mean Pol value and India ink area fraction from the progressive scrape experiment was assessed by linear correlation analysis.

Before comparing posttreatment explant groups, variation was assessed in the Pol values pretreatment. The Pol values before treatment did not vary with group (Kruskal–Wallis test, \( p > 0.05 \)). All ANOVAs were performed after failing to reject assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity by Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Levene’s tests, respectively. Otherwise, the Kruskal–Wallis test was performed. Pairwise comparisons were performed following ANOVA to test differences between scrape, collagenase, and “both” treatments, and between 0, 1, 3, 5, 10, and 20 successive scrapes. Significance was set at \( p < 0.05 \).

3 Results

3.1 Polarized Reflectance from Scraped and Collagenase-Treated Explants

Representative polarized reflectance microscopy images from experiment 1 (Fig. 2) reveal reflectance features that vary over the four conditions: untreated, scraped, collagenase-treated, and “both” collagenase followed by scrape treatment. In all cases, Par images were brighter than Per images. The Pol parameter map, calculated from Par and Per images, reveals collagen in negative contrast, with lower Pol values and surface scattering features as higher Pol values. For untreated and collagenase-degraded explants, Pol parameter maps revealed a lattice-like texture of surface scattering and subsurface collagen birefringence features that were oriented at oblique angles in the Pol maps. Following mechanical scrape, image contrast worsened and the lattice texture was not distinguishable.

Pixel histograms from Pol parameter maps reveal characteristic shifts in the distributions of values within each field of view. For a scraped explant [Figs. 3(a)–3(d)], the Pol mean became higher, with positive-shifted kurtosis and negative-shifted skew
compared to the Pol map from the same explant before treatment. Similarly, for a collagenase-treated explant [Figs. 3(e)–3(h)], the Pol mean became higher but with negative-shifted kurtosis and positive-shifted skew compared to the Pol map from the same explant before treatment.

Representative polarized reflectance microscopy Pol parameter images from experiment 2 reveal linear, striated reflectance features, similar to India-ink markings (Fig. 4). These striations appear in Pol images of explants treated with 1 to 5 scrape passes. Explants treated with 10 to 20 scrape passes had similar, low-contrast Pol images as scraped explants in experiment 1.

There were significant differences in mean Pol values, India ink area fraction, and change in explant wet weight between progressive scrape groups (Table 1). Specifically, Pol and India ink area fraction were higher with more scrape passes (1-factor ANOVA, \( p < 0.001 \) for Pol; and 1-factor Kruskal–Wallis, \( p < 0.05 \) for India ink area fraction). Explant wet weight was lower with more scrape passes (1-factor Kruskal–Wallis, \( p < 0.001 \)). Significant differences between scrape groups are indicated in Table 1. The mean Pol value following 5 to 20 scrapes was distinguishable from that of untreated and singly scraped explants. The India ink area fraction and change in explant wet weight were also different between all scrape groups and untreated explants. Significant pairwise comparisons are indicated in Table 1.

### 3.2 Transverse Section Histology and Birefringence Following Scrape and Enzymatic Treatments

Articular cartilage surface treatments altered the appearance of histology sections, both stained with H&E and unstained sections imaged with an orientation-independent birefringence signal (Fig. 5). The sections from untreated explants had a smooth surface and intact, flattened chondrocyte lacunae [Fig. 5(a)]. A birefringence signal from the superficial zone of these explants was higher than the middle zone [Fig. 5(e)]. In collagenase-degraded explants, there was significantly less subsurface tissue staining, with larger chondrocyte lacunae [Fig. 5(b)]. The subsurface birefringence signal was much lower than in sections from untreated explants [Fig. 5(d)]. The surfaces of scrape-treated and “both” explants were roughened [Figs. 5(c) and 5(d)]. The birefringence signal from sections in scraped and “both” groups indicated a loss of the superficial zone [Figs. 5(g) and 5(h)].

In experiment 2, the effects of a single scrape were visible as a roughened articular surface in H&E-stained sections [Figs. 6(c) and 6(d)] versus untreated, Figs. 6(a) and 6(b). The roughened articular surface was also apparent following 3 and 5 successive
scrapes [Figs. 6(e)–6(h)], but the articular surface became smoother after 10 and 20 scrapes [Figs. 6(i)–6(l)]. Orientation-independent birefringence also revealed a superficial zone of high birefringence that was visible in explants treated with 0 to 10 successive scrapes [Figs. 6(b), 6(d), 6(f), 6(h), 6(j)], but absent from explants treated with 20 successive scrapes [Fig. 6(l)].

### 3.3 Alteration of Explant Physical Properties by the Surface Treatments

Figure 7 shows the change in physical characteristics, wet weight, and thickness of each explant group before versus after the treatments. Explants in control and collagenase groups experienced no significant alterations in wet weight and thickness. The changes in wet weight were measured as $\Delta \text{ww} = 0.06 \pm 0.34$ mg for untreated and $0.29 \pm 0.95$ mg for collagenase ($n = 10$ explants per group). The changes in thickness were captured as $\Delta h = 0.02 \pm 0.10$ mm for control and $0.02 \pm 0.13$ mm for collagenase-treated explants. In contrast, mechanical scraping and "both" scrape + collagenase groups showed a significant decrease in weight and height ($\Delta \text{ww} = -1.41 \pm 0.67$ mg, $\Delta h = -0.29 \pm 0.13$ mm in scrape; $\Delta \text{ww} = -1.27 \pm 0.77$ mg, $\Delta h = -0.21 \pm 0.13$ mm in scrape + collagenase).

### Table 1 Progressive scrape group parameters. For untreated (U-0) and scrape-treated (S-1 to S-20) explants, mean Pol parameter value, area fraction of India ink, and change in wet weight from before to after treatment were tabulated. Numbers are group mean ± SD for $n = 5$ to 6 explants/group. Superscript letters indicate the significance of post hoc pairwise comparisons.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scr./Unscr.-# scr</th>
<th>Mean Pol</th>
<th>India ink area fraction (%)</th>
<th>$\Delta$ wet weight (mg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U-0</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1.1 ± 1.1</td>
<td>0.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-1</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.06</td>
<td>5.4 ± 6.4$^a$</td>
<td>-0.7 ± 0.3$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-3</td>
<td>0.25 ± 0.06</td>
<td>7.9 ± 5.8$^a$</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.3$^{ab}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-5</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.06$^{ab}$</td>
<td>4.4 ± 1.5$^a$</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.2$^{ab}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-10</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.04$^{ab}$</td>
<td>8.7 ± 5.8$^a$</td>
<td>-1.0 ± 0.2$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-20</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.05$^{ab}$</td>
<td>4.5 ± 1.3$^a$</td>
<td>-2.0 ± 0.6$^{ab,cd,de}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$U-0, $^b$S-1, $^c$S-3, $^d$S-5, and $^e$S-10.
Δh = −0.25 ± 0.12 mm in “both,” Figs. 5(a) and 5(b). The change in wet weight and thickness of individual explants was positively correlated [Fig. 7(c), $R^2 = 0.65$].

3.4 Superficial Chondrocyte Viability and India Ink Marking with Surface Scrape

Superficial chondrocyte viability in femoral condyle explants obtained from LIVE/DEAD® assay (Molecular Probes, Eugene, Oregon) fluorescent images [Figs. 8(a), 8(b), 8(e), 8(f)] showed a higher number of dead cells and fewer live cells in the scrape-treated group than in the untreated ones. Note that quantification of cell viability was 71% ± 1% prior to scrape and reduced to 40% ± 2% after scrape [Fig. 8(i), $p < 0.001$ by Student’s $t$-test]. Likewise, the Pol maps of intact explants displayed a lattice texture [Fig. 8(c)] with retained India ink in small and sparse stipple patterns [Fig. 8(d)]. The scraped explants revealed loss of texture from birefringence features [Fig. 8(g)] along with more ink in striated marks on the surface [Fig. 8(h)]. The India ink area fraction was higher following scrape (7.5% ± 2.3% in untreated versus 48% ± 2.3% in scrape-treated explants, Fig. 6(j), $p < 0.001$ by Student’s $t$-test).
3.5 Sensitivity of Polarized Reflectance Signal to Progressive Mild Surface Scrape

The sensitivity of the Pol parameter to surface scraping of adult bovine knee articular cartilage explants was assessed by correlation of Pol with India ink area fraction (Fig. 9). The mean Pol parameter correlated significantly and positively with India ink area fraction, stronger for explants with India ink covering <8% of the image area ($R^2 = 0.42$, Fig. 9, blue circle). The correlation was lower when explants with higher India ink area fractions were included ($R^2 = 0.20$, Fig. 9, red circle).

3.6 Optical Model of Polarized Reflectance Contrast Parameter

The optical model produced Pol values as a function of the fraction of total scattering from collagen $x$ and the depolarization
The polarized reflectance signal from bovine articular cartilage is sensitive to treatments that alter the microscale topography at the articular surface and subsurface collagen. Particularly, abrasive scrape resulted in a higher mean Pol parameter \[ \text{Fig. 10(a)} \]. More extensive scraping led to loss of surface and subsurface reflectance features, lower explant wet weight, lower explant thickness \[ \text{Table 1} \], and death of chondrocytes within the superficial zone \[ \text{Fig. 8} \]. Brief collagenase treatment lowered the birefringence signal under crossed polarizers \[ \text{Figs. 3(e)}-\text{3(g)} \] and altered the appearance of the superficial zone in histological sections \[ \text{Fig. 5(f)} \]. A model incorporating the effects of collagen birefringence and signal depolarization at the articular surface confirmed a trend of higher Pol values with less scattering from collagen and/or more depolarization at the articular surface \[ \text{Fig. 10(b)} \]. Depolarization caused by surface scattering and/or loss of superficial collagen may also explain the loss of sensitivity of Pol to India ink area fractions >8% \[ \text{Fig. 9} \]. Together, these data suggest that polarized reflectance microscopy is an effective tool to noninvasively assess alterations to cartilage explant surfaces and superficial subsurface tissue.

The polarization reflectance signal is influenced by light scattering in cartilage, as well as by birefringence from collagen fibrils. In the explants studies here, normal scattering is altered mainly by surface roughening with sandpaper. Birefringence depends on the thickness, collagen content, and organization of the collagen network in the superficial zone. In previous studies, the anisotropy and orientation of the collagen network from cervical tissue has also been mapped quantitatively using OCT and polarimetric colposcopy. Significantly, polarimetric imaging distinguishes between healthy cervical tissue, revealing subepithelial collagen birefringence, and dysplastic lesions, which have lower depolarization values, potentially due to remodeling or degradation of the collagen network. In the untreated explants, the birefringence signal in the Per channel is consistent with the orientation and anisotropy of the tangentially aligned collagen network in the superficial zone. \[ \text{En face} \] superficial collagen network orientation in bovine cartilage varied less over several mm than in chick articular cartilage, based on comparing the birefringence orientation-dependence from the bovine explants with measurements made from a second harmonic generation signal in chick cartilage. This allowed the accurate measurement of the Pol parameter by rotating the explant to capture the maximum birefringence, with collagen alignment at 45 deg to both the polarizer and analyzer directions. Superficial collagen alignment is also revealed by a “brushing direction” in bovine articular cartilage, observed with polarization-sensitive OCT using a conical scanning technique. Conical-scanning OCT specifies the superficial collagen fiber alignment over a full 360 deg, whereas linear birefringence detection techniques only specify alignment over 180 deg. However, the combination of information provided by birefringence and surface reflectance measurements in the Per and Par channels, respectively, using the current technique, provides

**Fig. 9** A correlation plot of the mean Pol parameter and India ink area fraction from cartilage explants following 1 to 20 scrape passes (6 groups, 5 samples/group). \( p < 0.05, \tau p < 0.01 \).

**Fig. 10** (a) A 3-D surface map and (b) two-dimensional parametric colormap of Pol values versus total scattering from collagen \( x \) and the depolarization parameter \( a \) based on the mathematical model. Trends of higher Pol values at fixed \( x \) and \( a \) are indicated by the black arrows.
useful and complementary information usually accessible only through histological sectioning.

The Pol parameter decouples surface roughening from the loss of superficial collagen and identifies several distinct alterations. Mild surface roughening without the loss of superficial collagen produces a Pol parameter map with scrape and collagen in positive and negative contrast, respectively (Fig. 4, 1–3 scrapes). Extensive surface roughening and/or erosion of the superficial zone lowers Pol map texture and contrast (Figs. 2 i, 3(b), 8(g)]. The alteration in polarimetric contrast with progressive scrape was most prominent after 10 and 20 scrapes (Fig. 4). The explants scraped 10 times had the highest India ink area fraction, 8.7%, while losing 1 mg wet weight. Explants scraped 20 times had the largest loss of wet weight, at 2 mg but less ink-retaining surface features, at 4.5% (Table 1). These data point to two possible reasons for the loss of polarimetric contrast: more depolarization from surface scattering with retention of superficial zone collagen birefringence after 10 scrapes [Fig. 6(1)], or removal of superficial zone tissue with the loss of collagen birefringence after 20 scrapes [Fig. 6(1)]. Depolarization caused by surface scattering also may explain the loss of sensitivity of Pol to India ink area fractions > 8% (Fig. 9). Preservation of the articular surface with enzymatic degradation of collagen leads to a higher mean Pol with reduced collagen contrast [Figs. 2(f), 3(f)]. The optical model clarifies reasons for the loss of polarized reflectance texture and alterations in the Pol average [Fig. 10(b)]. The birefringence signal is lowered when collagen is degraded or removed, encoded by the fraction of collagen scatterers and is obscured and depolarized by diffuse surface scattering, encoded by the depolarization factor. Comparison of experimental data to model trends suggested that the loss of polarimetric contrast occurred following scrape removal of aligned collagen, assessed by the loss of explant wet weight (Table 1) and superficial birefringence from transverse sections (Fig. 6), and depolarization of the birefringence signal by surface scattering, assessed by India ink features and image area fraction (Figs. 4, 9, Table 1). To further explain the absolute sensitivity of Pol to cartilage birefringence and depolarization would require measurements of $R_p$ and $M_D$ as inputs to the model of Fig. 10. Therefore, alterations to the cartilage articular surface and superficial zone are sensitively detected by polarized reflectance microscopy.

Pol parameter includes information from surface and superficial subsurface tissue probed by the illumination source. The axial resolution of polarized reflectance microscopy is not as great as conventional confocal and two-photon microscopy, which is ~0.5 μm at best. In contrast, nondepth resolved reflectance microscopy recovers information from ~300-μm depth in scattering tissue in a single frame. However, polarized reflectance effectively and rapidly probes the superficial zone of bovine articular cartilage, which is usually <300-μm thick. Separation of the polarized reflectance into Par and Per channels effectively selects for predominantly single, superficial scattering, and birefringent, subsurface scattering, respectively. Therefore, the reflectance signals that generate the Pol parameter map are poised to respond sensitively to alterations of the articular surface and subsurface, an area of active remodeling in biomechanical and mechanobiological studies of cartilage explants.

Optical assessment by polarized reflectance microscopy may benefit cartilage explant studies of traumatic injury, growth, maturation, and degeneration. Injurious mechanical loads applied to cartilage explants produce surface cracks and tissue deformation. It would be interesting to study alterations to surface birefringence following severe mechanical loading. Immature cartilage possesses lower levels of collagen than mature cartilage and lacks a mature zonal architecture. Explant studies of in vitro growth and maturation of immature cartilage could benefit from polarized reflectance microscopy, which could be performed nondestructively and repetitively on the same individual explants before, during, and after treatment with growth factors. Similarly, the superficial microstructure of explants undergoing degeneration in vitro following exposure to catabolic factors, such as IL-1β and TNF-α, could be tracked during cartilage degeneration and recovery. Other optical techniques such as confocal microscopy exist for noninvasive assessment of cartilage microstructure using laser-induced reflectance signals. The expense of confocal microscopy and difficulty in regulating phototoxicity resulting from laser irradiation would help to clarify the trends described for the loss of polarized reflectance from a multilayered tissue would help to clarify the trends described for the loss of polarized reflectance from a multilayered tissue would help to clarify the trends described for the loss of polarized reflectance from a multilayered tissue would help to clarify the trends described for the loss of polarized reflectance from a multilayered tissue would help to clarify the trends described
by the simple optical model and experimental data presented in this study.
In conclusion, polarized reflectance microscopy is a useful noninvasive optical technique to assess microstructural alterations to the articular surface of cartilage explants. Reflected polarized optical signals derived from cartilage depend on surface scattering, subsurface multiple scattering, and linear birefringence. Light penetration into articular cartilage effectively probes the superficial zone as well as the optical properties of the articular surface. The simple implementation and quantitative nature of polarized reflectance microscopy warrants further development for biomechanical and microstructural studies of articular cartilage. The utility of this optical technique for assessment of articular cartilage could be enhanced by incorporating multiwavelength acquisition, including in the infrared.
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