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1 Introduction
Rapid advances in scanner technology have established computed tomography (CT) as an indispensable tool for the assessment of cardiac anatomy, coronary circulation, and the great vessels. Expanding applications coupled with increasing use have raised concerns over potential stochastic effects of radiation dose associated with CT scanning, in particular, the risk of radiation-induced carcinogenesis. Indeed, the use of radiation-based medical imaging has exploded over the past few decades and CT has emerged as the dominant contributor to medical radiation dose to the United States population.

Initial implementation of electrocardiographically (ECG) gated coronary CT angiography relied heavily on retrospectively gated acquisition mode, which was associated with relatively high radiation dose. Subsequent technologic evolution in both hardware and software CT technologies has helped lead a decreasing trend in mean radiation dose from cardiac CT. Several studies have demonstrated that the radiation dose from cardiac CT angiography (CCTA) can be reduced to lower than invasive catheter angiography with the use of techniques, such as prospective triggering, low tube potential technique, automatic exposure control (AEC), iterative reconstructive techniques, and so on. Conversely, despite the abundance of radiation dose-reduction strategies, several studies have reported a high variability in the radiation doses from cardiac CT.

In an international, multicenter study, Hausleiter et al. reported that median doses from CCTA varied substantially among 21 participating institutions’ different CT systems, and that radiation reduction strategies were not adequately utilized.

From the point of view of justification or appropriateness of CCTA, there is now a large body of evidence supporting its use in coronary artery disease including acute coronary syndromes. There is a need for raising awareness for its appropriate use and deployment of dose-reduction strategies available across different scan vendor platforms. We review various radiation dose-reduction strategies in the field of cardiac CT along with the scientific evidence in support of their value in the current clinical practice.

2 Scanner and Patient-Related Factors in Cardiac Computed Tomography Angiography
ECG-gated cardiac CT images are acquired/reconstructed at time points in the cardiac cycle when the heart is relatively motion free (end systole or middiastole). To detect and predict these critical time points, CT data are acquired simultaneously with recording of the ECG data. ECG tagging of CT data enables image reconstruction from data acquired during these critical phases of the cardiac cycle. Phase of a reconstructed dataset refers to the position of the image reconstruction window within the cardiac cycle. Radiation dose may be switched on only during these phases (prospectively ECG triggered) or continuously with selective data filtering from acquired data (retroactive ECG gated).

Prospective gating results in much lower radiation dose but cannot be applied with rapid heart rate with most of the scanners and can also result in stair-step artifacts and nonuniform contrast within the vessels. The stair-step artifact can also occur with ECG-gated cardiac CT due to gaps in data used for reconstruction. The prospectively triggered scanning does not provide full functional information; however, when cardiac functional information is obligatory, a prospectively ECG-triggered acquisition with a widened acquisition window (also referred to as “padding”) can provide limited functional information.

The retrospective ECG gating provides multiple time points for image reconstruction, which allows functional assessment and may help mitigate the effects of motion artifacts, although at a cost of increased radiation dose. Prospective gating is applied in combination with sequential axial step and shoot scanning, whereas retrospective gating is applied in combination with a helical scanning mode. For helical scan mode, the pitch is defined as the ratio of table movement along the z-axis during...
single tube rotation and the beam width in the z-axis.\(^8\) Temporal resolution is a fundamental requirement of CCTA and requires fast-rotating gantries and multisegmented reconstructions (slice data acquired over more than one cardiac cycle). Faster gantry rotation and multisegmented reconstructions require a slower pitch in cardiac mode to avoid discontinuities in the anatomic coverage of the heart between images reconstructed from consecutive cardiac cycles. Multisegmented reconstruction is one of the most important factors responsible for high radiation dose. Due to radiation concerns and the limited clinical performance of multisegment reconstruction with variable heart rate, most scanners have these days switched to single-segment reconstruction. The helical pitch has to be still low in single-segment reconstruction, as the table should not move more than beam width during the time of one heart cycle to ensure gapless volume coverage. The pitch also has to be adapted to the patient’s heart rate and needs to be lower at lower heart rates. This leads to a relatively higher radiation dose with retrospectively gated scans in patients with lower heart rates. At our institution, a prospective gating technique is typically used in patients with heart rates lower than 75 per minute on a 128-slice dual-source scanner.

2.1 Other Scanner-Related Factors

The radiation dose is primarily dependent on radiation output of the scanner, which is approximately proportional to the square of tube potential (kVp) and the product of tube current and gantry rotation time (mAs).\(^9\)\(^,\)\(^10\) Several scan factors have a major impact on radiation dose by affecting pitch during CCTA. These include number of tubes (single versus dual source), gantry rotation time and \(z\)-axis coverage in single tube rotation (number of detector rows).\(^9\) Lower-pitch values are typically necessary for dual-source CT and it could be increased to almost double at high heart rates allowing radiation dose savings.\(^9\) Recent scanners equipped with a large number of detector rows (256 to 320) may allow up to 16 cm \(z\)-axis coverage, which is sufficient to cover the entire heart in single rotation eliminating the need of overlapping acquisitions. In combination with high gantry rotation speed/temporal resolution, these scanners allow single beat axial acquisition with a static table.\(^38\)\(^–\)\(^41\)

The image reconstruction algorithms used for CT image reconstruction can further influence the choice of scan factors and thus the associated radiation dose. Most modern CT scanners are increasingly employing sophisticated iterative reconstruction (IR) techniques as compared with the previously used filtered back projection (FBP) algorithms, which are less computationally demanding. Advances in computation hardware and speed have enabled IR techniques into the mainstream to mitigate adverse effects of reduced radiation dose in FBP images while retaining diagnostic information.\(^42\)\(^–\)\(^45\)

2.2 Patient-Related Factors

Radiation dose also depends on the body size as penetration of a larger body size demands a higher photon number and energy.\(^43\) Heart rate and rhythm often dictate the acquisition mode (prospective versus retrospective) and profoundly affect associated radiation dose with CCTA. Rapid heart rates (tachycardia) shorten the time window of relatively motion-free phases of the cardiac cycle (diastole), which can force the users to employ a retrospectively gated CCTA technique. Irregular rhythm with high heart rates may require application of the arrhythmia rejection technique (Fig. 1) with prospective triggering at end systole, which can result in increased radiation exposure (although still lower than a retrospectively gated scan).\(^44\)\(^–\)\(^48\)

3 General Radiation Dose-Reduction Strategies in Cardiac Computed Tomography

3.1 Clinical Indication-Driven Protocol

Indications such as assessment of coronary calcification, pulmonary veins, and myocardium, can be scanned with lower spatial and temporal resolutions and with much lower radiation doses. When CCTA is being performed primarily for anomalous origin and course of coronary arteries, aggressive dose reduction can be applied without sacrificing diagnostic information.\(^49\)

3.2 Anatomic Scan Coverage (\(z\)-Axis)

This is an important factor determining the radiation dose, which is directly proportional to \(z\)-axis coverage.\(^35\) Achieving optimal anatomic coverage for different indications requires careful planning. According to prior publications, each additional 1 cm of coverage at CCTA may increase the radiation dose by 40 to 100 mGy cm, although the additional dose per cm might not be this high with modern state-of-the-art scanners.\(^11\)\(^,\)\(^49\) Appropriate scan coverage for CCTA may be achieved either on the basis of the initial calcium score scan or with the use of anatomic landmarks on the planning radiograph (tracheal bifurcation on the frontal view to the bottom of the cardiac silhouette on the lateral view).\(^50\)\(^–\)\(^52\) Leschka et al.\(^50\) reported that scan length adjustments on the basis of a calcium scoring scan may allow a 16% radiation dose reduction. Scan range for CCTA for evaluation of postcoronary bypass grafts may vary often extending from the origin of the left internal mammary artery (at the root of the neck) to the base of the heart. The coverage for pulmonary veins extends from 2 cm above the aortic arch to the dome of the diaphragm.\(^49\)

3.3 Automatic Exposure Control

These techniques help adapt tube current according to the anatomic factors and specified image quality metric (angular, longitudinal, and combined types) or according to specified phases of ECG where reduced tube current is acceptable for image creation (ECG-based modulation).\(^53\)\(^–\)\(^55\) The angular AEC cannot be applied to ECG-gated scans but longitudinal AEC along the \(z\)-axis based on the planning radiographs can be applied in cardiac imaging and helps adapt tube current to changing body habitus.\(^55\)

ECG-triggered prospective axial scanning is being increasingly applied instead of retrospective ECG-gated helical scanning for radiation dose reduction in CCTA examinations.\(^56\)\(^,\)\(^57\) For retrospective ECG-gated CCTA examinations, the evaluation of ventricular function does not require high quality and the tube current can be modulated according to the ECG signal, with the full tube current applied during the end-systolic or mid-diastolic phases and the tube current kept at lower levels during the rest of the cardiac cycle (retrospective gating with ECG-based modulation).\(^55\)\(^–\)\(^58\) Based on the CT vendor, tube current can be reduced to a user-specified minimum level or 4% to 20% of the tube current applied in the phases with higher image quality requirement. A variable amount of dose reduction is noted with application of this type of modulation based on the length...
of the phase over which higher image quality is desired, and heart rate. A rapid heart rate leaves a smaller duration of cardiac cycle over which reduced tube current can be applied and vice versa. Compared to the use of fixed tube current-based retrospectively gated CCTA, up to 40% reduction in radiation dose from CCTA can be achieved with ECG-based tube current modulation.55

3.4 Tailoring Acquisition Modes to Heart Rate

Heart rate and its rhythm can affect both the ability to obtain motion-free coronary artery evaluation and reduce radiation dose with appropriate acquisition mode (prospectively ECG triggered versus retrospectively ECG gated). A target heart rate of up to 75 beats per minute is desirable with most vendors to minimize cardiac-motion artifacts and also reduce radiation dose associated with CCTA. Such a regular low heart rate enables use of prospectively ECG-triggered axial or helical high-pitched scan mode, which is associated with lower radiation dose (up to 90% lower) compared with retrospectively ECG-gated helical scan mode. Heart rate control medications, such as beta blockers, are frequently administered to reduce heart rate to apply prospective triggering and maximize the number of evaluable coronary segments. Although the need for rate control strongly depends on the CT system used and its temporal resolution, the modern CT systems may provide ECG-triggered prospective scans for patients with heart rates up to 70 bpm or even higher.

3.5 Parameter Selection Based on Body Habitus

AEC and automatic tube potential selection techniques for CCTA examinations should be used when available to apply appropriate tube potential and current based on the patient’s body habitus. Most nonobese patients (body mass index (BMI) of up to 30 kg/m²) should be scanned 120 kV instead of the traditional 120 kV.25,56,57 In morbidly obese patients (BMI > 35 kg/m²), a combination of high potential (≥120 kV), high tube current, higher strength of IR, and retrospective ECG gating may be needed to obtain acceptable image quality.49

4 Recent Advances in Radiation Dose Reduction with Clinical Evidence

Several notable developments have transformed CCTA and enhanced its role in cardiac imaging while enabling substantial radiation dose reduction. These include wide-area detector arrays, dual-source CT, automatic tube potential selection, adaptive prospective ECG triggering, and IR. CT scanners with wide-area detector arrays (e.g., Toshiba 320 to 640-slice, GE 256-slice) enable 16 cm scan coverage of the entire heart in single gantry rotation and single heart beat, and eliminate banding or slab artifacts. The dual-source CT (64-, 128-, 192-slice, Siemens) has helped enhance the temporal resolution and enable high-pitch prospectively ECG-triggered helical mode of acquiring data in a single heart beat as well. In this section, we discuss some of these recent advances from the perspective of cardiac CT and radiation dose optimization.
4.1 Low Tube Potential

When other scan factors are held constant, low tube potential results in radiation dose reduction (dose \( \propto V^2 \)) and decreased requirement of iodinated contrast, but with an increase in image noise. This noise can be tolerated for two reasons: first, due to close proximity with the \( k \) edge of iodinated contrast, low tube potential results in high contrast and secondly effective reconstruction algorithms, such as IR techniques. The application of lower tube potential requires careful adjustment of the tube output (mAs) to maintain the desired contrast to noise ratio, leading to high mAs demands in particular for bigger patients. Hence, the use of low kV scanning has to be limited to patients with smaller body size. To decrease the impact of higher image noise on image quality a large window width can be used on the monitor display for image interpretation. Combined low-voltage tube and IR techniques can also help in maintaining good signal-to-noise ratio comparable to using standard voltage tube and FBP techniques (Fig. 2).

Low tube potential (80 or 100 kV) imaging for cardiac imaging was initially described for nonobese patients (BMI < 20 kg/m², 80 kV; BMI 20 to 30 kg/m², 100 kV) (Table 1). Experience from several clinical trials, such as PROTECTION I, PROTECTION II, and REALISE, demonstrated 53%, 31%, and 35% reductions in radiation dose, respectively, without substantial impairment of diagnostic image quality when the tube potential was lowered from 120 to 100 kV. These studies were done with the use of 64-slice dual-source scanners and IR techniques. Based on these observations, current guidelines recommend the use of low tube potential (80 to 100 kV) for CCTA in nonobese patients.

Two recent studies have reported further dose reductions and diagnostic image quality with further lowering of tube potential. Zhang et al. reported diagnostic image quality for CCTA in overweight and obese patients at 120 kV, which were previously scanned with >120 kV. Evaluating 102 overweight and obese patients with BMI ranging from 25 to 40 kg/m², authors demonstrated diagnostic quality CCTA and triple rule out evaluation with 120 kV. On the same scanner, Mangold et al. have reported the use of 70 kV with a 64-slice dual-source scanner, but these results must be interpreted cautiously as more than three quarters of the included patients had BMI ≤ 25 kg/m² and there was a reduction in diagnostic accuracy on a per-patient, per-vessel, and per-segment basis. Lower tube potentials are also associated with increased attenuation of iodine on contrast CT. Therefore, low tube potential protocols might potentially reduce the contrast dose and are also more suitable for cardiovascular applications, such as myocardial CT, perfusion to better detect the regional differences in myocardial contrast attenuation.

4.2 High-Pitch Helical Acquisitions

Single-source multidetector-row helical CT scanners are restricted to a pitch of up to 1.5:1 (range 0.2 to 1.5:1). The two simultaneous overlapping helices on dual-source CT with ECG-triggered prospectively gating enable image reconstruction at a high pitch of over 3:1 at significantly lower than the radiation dose. High-pitch helical (HPH) acquisition mode with fast table speed and prospective ECG triggering enables coverage of the entire heart within one cardiac cycle. Several studies on HPH mode for CCTA in pediatric and adult populations have reported good diagnostic quality and consistently low mean dose.

![Fig. 2 Low kVp technique: Images (a) to (d) have been acquired with 120, 100, 80, and 70 kVp, respectively, and demonstrate diagnostic image quality at all kVp levels. Images a to c were acquired on a 128 (x2) slice dual-source scanner and with image reconstruction using IR-level 3. The image “d” has been acquired with 70 kVp on a 192 (x2) slice third-generation dual-source scanner on a patient with BMI comparable to 100 kVp image (IR-level 3 reconstruction). The third-generation dual-source scanner is capable of generating very high mA (2 x 1300 mA) allowing for acceptable image quality and low radiation dose at kVp as low as 70. The DLP for 100 (current standard for CCTA) and 70 kVp acquisitions were 404 and 192 mGy cm, respectively.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Medical-Imaging)
radiation dose (0.8 to 1.3 mSv) (Table 2). The HPH is particularly advantageous in children or young adults for assessment of coronary artery anomalies and anatomic assessment in patients with congenital heart disease (when information regarding cardiac function is not needed). In particular, HPH can help avoid need for sedation or anesthesia in young children due to its rapidity.

Important limitations of HPH mode include inconsistent diagnostic quality in patients with increased heart rates, motion artifacts and nonapplicability of retrospective reconstruction, and functional assessment of the heart. To mitigate the effects of motion on evaluation of coronary artery evaluation, a prior study has recommended use of subsequent acquisitions in two different cardiac cycles. This technique is typically avoided in morbidly obese patients with suspected or known coronary atherosclerotic disease due to higher likelihood of artifacts and excessive image noise.

4.3 Iterative Reconstructions

The iterative reconstructions (IR) techniques have had a major impact on reducing radiation dose and have found applications in all domains of CT imaging. Today, major CT vendors offer multiple IR techniques on different generations of their scanners. Indeed, IR techniques are replacing conventional FBP techniques since they are less prone to artifacts and increased image noise at lower radiation doses as compared with FBP, which may have compromised diagnostic quality at low radiation doses. IR techniques remove image noise through a process of modeling of the imaging acquisition processes including photon statistics fluctuations, the optics system, and other aspects of x-ray interactions that are ignored by the conventional FBP techniques. IR techniques use and include a simple algebraic reconstruction technique, statistical IR, and more recent model-based IR (MBIR). Current IR algorithms typically combine statistical modeling with MBIR. Multiple studies have evaluated the impact of IR techniques on image quality and radiation dose for different clinical indications in both adults and pediatric patients including CCTA (Table 3). A recent meta-analysis reported that the IR techniques can lower radiation dose by up to 48% in comparison with the traditional FBP method for CCTA. Availability and application of IR in CCTA have helped in applying lower tube potential and tube current for reducing radiation dose while improving image contrast.

### Table 1 Cardiac CT at low tube potential imaging: recent evidence (key: R, retrospective; P, prospective; DSCT, dual-source CT; MC, multicenter).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and year</th>
<th>Study</th>
<th># patients</th>
<th>Scanner platform</th>
<th>kV</th>
<th>Radiation dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bischoff et al. (PROTECTION I) (2009)</td>
<td>P-MC 321 (nonobese)</td>
<td>16 or 64 slice CT (multiple platforms)</td>
<td>100 and 120 kV</td>
<td>CTDvol @ 120 kV = 52 mGy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hausleiter et al. (PROTECTION II) (2010)</td>
<td>P-MC 400 (nonobese)</td>
<td>8 sites 64-slice CT (GE, Siemens, Toshiba)</td>
<td>100 and 120 kV</td>
<td>CTDvol @ 120 kV = 63 ± 26 mGy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meyer et al. (2014)</td>
<td>P 45 (nonobese)</td>
<td>192-slice DSCT and 128-slice DSCT</td>
<td>192-CT: 70 kV 128-CT: 80 and 100 kV</td>
<td>CTDvol @ 100 kV = 1.6 ± 0.4 mGy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yin et al. (REALISE) (2015)</td>
<td>P-MC 231</td>
<td>128-slice DSCT</td>
<td>FBP: 120-kV and IRT: 100kV</td>
<td>SSDE @ 120 kV = 27 ± 8 mGy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andreini et al. (2016)</td>
<td>P 105 (&lt;80 Kg)</td>
<td>64 slice (discovery CT 750 HD)</td>
<td>80 kV</td>
<td>Mean DLP = 79 ± 33 mGy cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangold et al. (2016)</td>
<td>R 110 (obese and nonobese)</td>
<td>128-slice DSCT</td>
<td>70, 80, 90, 100, 110, 120 kV</td>
<td>CTDvol &lt; 120 kV: 13 ± 12 mGy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 HPH acquisition mode in cardiac CT, recent evidence (key: R, retrospective; P, prospective; DSCT, dual-source CT; proECG, prospectively ECG-triggered axial mode; retroECC, retrospectively ECG-gated mode).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study and year</th>
<th># patients</th>
<th>Scanner platform</th>
<th>Pitch</th>
<th>Dose and comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Achenbach et al. (2010)</td>
<td>P and 2010</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>128-slice DSCT</td>
<td>3.2 to 3.4:1</td>
<td>DLP 3.2 pitch: 67 ± 3 mGy cm 3.4 pitch: 57 ± 2 mGy cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghoshhajra et al. (2014)</td>
<td>R and 2014</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>64-slice SSCT 64-slice DSCT 128-slice DSCT</td>
<td>3.2 to 3.4:1</td>
<td>DLP (median doses) HPH = 49 mGy cm proECG = 136 mGy cm retroECC = 212 mGy cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selcuk et al. (2016)</td>
<td>R and 2016</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>128-slice DSCT</td>
<td>3.2:1</td>
<td>DLP 74 ± 7 mGy cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Linsen et al. (2016)</td>
<td>R and 2016</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>128-slice DSCT: 100/120 kV 192-slice DSCT: 70/120 kV</td>
<td>3.2 to 3.4:1</td>
<td>DLP 128-slice DSCT: 84 ± 33 mGy cm 192-slice DSCT: 41 ± 21 mGy cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
There are several types of IR techniques available for commercial use but the most commonly used one involves blending of IR and FBP techniques (hybrid). Hybrid IR techniques involve prefixed blending of FBP and IR data and contrast to noise ratio has been shown to significantly improve with increasing strength or level of IR contribution (Fig. 3). A common concern or limitation at higher strength or level of IR algorithms pertains to the fact that radiologists might not feel comfortable with rather “glossy” images with increasing IR levels, which may be mitigated with increasing familiarity with the IR. The “glossy” appearance is more pronounced with pure model-based IR techniques, which also take substantially longer reconstruction time compared with hybrid IR techniques.

### 4.4 Single Heart Beat Scan with Wide Detector Array

Other technical evolution in recent times has been the development of wide detector array scanners (up to 16 cm detector width along the patient length) with full cardiac coverage in single gantry rotation and single heart beat. These scanners eliminate banding artifacts (previously known as slab or misalignment artifacts) and provide uniform contrast enhancement in the coronary arteries. They also allow measurement of contrast attenuation gradients across atherosclerotic lesions (information somewhat similar to functional flow reserve) and are better suited for myocardial perfusion assessment. Common concerns pertaining to these scanners include the need for full 360-deg rotation, relatively higher radiation dose and cone beam geometry-related artifacts. Various studies have reported radiation doses associated with the use of wide detector array MDCT scanners for CCTA ranging from 4.4 to 15 mSv. In a single study with second-generation wide-volume 320-detector row MDCT, Chen et al. reported a substantially low radiation dose for CCTA examinations (0.9 mSv; interquartile range 0.6 to 1.7 mSv).

### 5 Pediatric Cardiac Computed Tomography

Due to higher radiation risk and the need for serial follow-up imaging, pediatric cardiac CT warrants special attention. Echocardiography has a limited role in the characterization of complex congenital heart disease and coronary evaluation beyond proximal segments. MRI is an excellent modality for functional assessment; however, its use can also be limited by the presence of noncompatible devices, need for anesthesia, and long image acquisition time. Armed with technologic...
advances, cardiac CT has emerged as a useful alternative in pediatric heart diseases.

As discussed above, most radiation dose-reduction techniques employed in adults are also applicable in children (Table 4). Most children can be and should be scanned at lower tube potential (70 to 80 kV) and reduced tube current. When information pertaining to cardiac function is not required or can be obtained with other imaging modalities, prospectively ECG-triggered axial or helical mode (such as high pitch on dual-source CT) should be used along with lower tube potential and IR techniques in order to reduce radiation dose. Most CCTA can be routinely obtained at sub-mSv radiation doses in children using prospective ECG-triggered acquisition mode with conservative ECG-based tube current modulation. In fact, adaptive ECG-based tube current modulation, which can improve CCTA image quality and diagnostic capability in patients with high HR and HR variability at low radiation dose prospective scans, can be used even in patients with variable heart rates such as atrial fibrillation. Without the arrhythmia rejection algorithms for prospectively ECG-triggered mode, patients with variable heart rates would require much higher radiation doses from retrospectively ECG-gated acquisition mode. Additional of “adaptive” or arrhythmia rejection feature in prospectively ECG-triggered acquisition mode enables the scanners to reject scanning in beats with irregular rhythm so that obtain diagnostic quality exams at reduced radiation dose even in patients with variable heart rates, such as atrial fibrillation. Without the arrhythmia rejection algorithms for prospectively ECG-triggered mode, patients with variable heart rates would require much higher radiation doses from retrospectively ECG-gated acquisition mode with conservative ECG-based tube current modulation. In fact, use of adaptive prospectively ECG-triggered acquisition mode in these patients can decrease radiation dose by up to 50% compared with retrospectively ECG-gated helical DSCST. When cardiac functional information is obligatory, either prospectively ECG-triggered acquisition with a widened acquisition window (also referred to as “padding”) or retrospectively ECG-gated acquisition with an aggressive ECG-based tube current modulation should be used along with lower tube potential and optimal scan coverage.

### 6 Miscellaneous Dose-Reduction Strategies

In this section, we discuss several other measures and techniques that can aid in optimizing radiation dose for CT (Table 5). Scanners with faster rotation times (better temporal resolution) or an increased number of x-ray sources permit higher heart rate cutoffs for prospectively ECG-triggered acquisition mode, which is associated with substantially lower radiation dose as compared with retrospectively ECG-gated acquisition mode. Addition of “adaptive” or arrhythmia rejection feature in prospectively ECG-triggered acquisition mode enables the scanners to reject scanning in beats with irregular rhythm so that obtain diagnostic quality exams at reduced radiation dose even in patients with variable heart rates, such as atrial fibrillation. Without the arrhythmia rejection algorithms for prospectively ECG-triggered mode, patients with variable heart rates would require much higher radiation doses from retrospectively ECG-gated acquisition mode with conservative ECG-based tube current modulation. In fact, use of adaptive prospectively ECG-triggered acquisition mode in these patients can decrease radiation dose by up to 50% compared with retrospectively ECG-gated helical DSCST. Motion-correction algorithms can improve CCTA image quality and diagnostic capability in patients with high HR and HR variability at low radiation dose prospective scans.

In female patients, radiation dose for cardiac CT can be substantially reduced by displacing the mobile portion of breasts using straps attached to the scanner table—a routinely performed exercise at our institution prior to acquisition of planning radiographs. In addition to dose reduction, this can help decrease image noise and/or artifacts in female patients with

---

**Table 4** Pediatric cardiac CT: prospective studies on radiation dose reduction while maintaining diagnostic information. (Key: R, retrospective; P, prospective; M, meta-analysis; DSCT, dual-source CT; proECG, prospectively ECG-triggered axial mode; retroECG, retrospectively ECG-gated mode).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and year</th>
<th># patients</th>
<th>Scanner platform</th>
<th>Techniques employed</th>
<th>Radiation dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hou et al. (2017)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>64-slice MDCT (GE discovery 750 HD)</td>
<td>80 kVp 70% IR 120 mA (n = 45) 160 mA (n = 65)</td>
<td>DLP 120 mA: 15 ± 1.9 mGy cm 160 mA: 20 ± 2.1 mGy cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Koplay et al. (2016)</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>128-slice DSCT (definition flash, Siemens)</td>
<td>3.4:1 pitch 70 kVp AEC (80 to 140 mAs) Safire (IR)</td>
<td>CTDIvol 0.3 ± 0.1 mGy DLP: 16 ± 10 mGy cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gao et al. (2016)</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>64-slice MDCT (discovery 750 HD, GE)</td>
<td>80 kVp AEC 35–42 mAs 80% ASIR</td>
<td>DLP proECG: 14 ± 4 mGy cm retroECG: 51 ± 9 mGy cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yang et al. (2017)</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>256-slice MDCT (Philips Brilliance iCT)</td>
<td>Half mAs iDose4 (IR) compared to full dose FBP</td>
<td>CTDIvol IR: 3.6 ± 1 mGy FBP: 1.7 ± 0.5 mGy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 5** Additional literature on reducing radiation dose for CCTA (key: R, retrospective; P, prospective; DSCT, dual-source CT; NA, not applicable; proECG, prospectively ECG-triggered axial mode; retroECG, retrospectively ECG-gated mode; HPH, helical high pitch).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Study design and year</th>
<th># Patients</th>
<th>Scanner platform</th>
<th>Novel technique employed</th>
<th>Radiation dose</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lee et al.</td>
<td>R (2012)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>128-slice DSCT (definition flash)</td>
<td>Adaptive proECG</td>
<td>DLP (median) proECG: 307 mGy cm retroECG: 650 mGy cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andreini et al.</td>
<td>P (2015)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>64-slice MDCT (discovery 750 HD)</td>
<td>Motion-correction (MC) algorithm</td>
<td>DLP 80 ms padding: 170 ± 66 mGy cm 200 ms padding: 310 ± 102 mGy cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vadvala et al.</td>
<td>R (2014)</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>128-slice DSCT (definition flash)</td>
<td>Breast displacement in female patients</td>
<td>CTDI vol (median) females: 8.6 mGy males: 12.7 mGy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bischoff et al.</td>
<td>P (2016)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>128-slice DSCT (definition flash)</td>
<td>Combining CCTA and CT perfusion in dense coronary calcification or stent</td>
<td>DLP stress CT perfusion (HPH): 65 ± 35 mGy cm rest CT perfusion (proECG): 361 ± 200 mGy cm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
large breasts. Application of a bismuth-based breast displacement shield can effectively reduce breast exposure at the expense of increased noise and artifacts. Moreover, comparable breast radiation reductions can be achieved without shielding by globally reducing tube current with similar increase in image noise. At our institution, we neither use nor recommend the use of a bismuth shield for patients undergoing cardiac CT due to artifacts from edges of the bismuth shield. Bismuth shields can also affect the measured CT numbers, which is especially important in calcium scoring scans. To avoid these errors, a thick padding material needs to be placed between the patient’s skin and the shield, a time-consuming and cumbersome method.

The more advanced methods of reducing exposure, including dose modulation and iterative reconstruction techniques, are superior to breast shielding and should be preferably used if available.86,87

Well-known limitations of CCTA pertain to evaluation of luminal stenosis in patients with dense coronary calcifications and stents. Recent studies have reported that combining CCTA and CT perfusion can provide better diagnostic accuracy for detecting hemodynamically significant coronary artery stenosis compared with CCTA alone in patients with dense coronary calcifications or stents.88,89 Dose reduction for such combined studies was achieved with a combination of low tube potential and prospectively ECG-triggered axial (for rest perfusion) and high-pitch (for stress perfusion) acquisition mode. Dual energy CT has also been evaluated for myocardial perfusion.90 Comparable radiation doses between single- and dual-energy CT have been reported although further research is necessary to compare doses with low tube potential single energy CT.90 Ability to provide additional information from generation of perfusion data might help in decreasing the need of additional radiation-based investigations and reduction in overall radiation dose.

Finally, the dose tracking initiative of the American College of Radiology Dose Index Registry warrants special attention. The registry provides an opportunity for CT centers and institutions to compare their radiation doses with other practices and then tailor cardiac CT protocols according to median or average radiation doses across the United States.91

7 Conclusion

Limiting radiation dose from CT is an important goal for the imaging community. Aforementioned techniques and strategies have helped in substantial reduction of radiation dose for cardiac CT examinations and make it an attractive and meaningful option for imaging suitable patients with suspected or known cardiac and coronary abnormalities.
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