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Abstract. The infrared absorption of SF$_6$ gas is narrowband and peaks at 10.6 μm. This narrowband absorption posts a stringent requirement on the corresponding sensors as they need to collect enough signal from this limited spectral bandwidth to maintain a high sensitivity. Resonator-quantum well infrared photodetectors (R-QWIPs) are the next generation of QWIP detectors that use resonances to increase the quantum efficiency for more efficient signal collection. Since the resonant approach is applicable to narrowband as well as broadband, it is particularly suitable for this application. We designed and fabricated R-QWIPs for SF$_6$ gas detection. To achieve the expected performance, the detector geometry must be produced according to precise specifications. In particular, the height of the diffractive elements and the thickness of the active resonator must be uniform, and accurately realized to within 0.05 μm. Additionally, the substrates of the detectors must be completely removed to prevent the escape of unabsorbed light in the detectors. To achieve these specifications, two optimized inductively coupled plasma etching processes were developed. Due to submicron detector feature sizes and overlay tolerance, we used an advanced semiconductor material lithography stepper instead of a contact mask aligner to pattern wafers. Using these etching techniques and tool, we have fabricated focal plane arrays with 30-μm pixel pitch and 320 × 256 format. The initial test revealed promising results.
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1 Introduction

A quantum well infrared photodetector (QWIP) requires an optical coupling structure to detect normal incident light. Many optical designs, especially grating coupling, have been implemented but with a modest quantum efficiency (QE) 5% to 10%, they are generally only suitable for applications with a long integration time.

Current commercial SF$_6$ gas detectors use a grating coupling structure, therefore, the QE of the detectors is less than 10%. Since this very narrow band has a limited spectral range, a larger QE is needed to achieve a high sensitivity of the detectors.

Recently, we have established a highly reliable and accurate electromagnetic (EM) model to calculate the QE of an infrared detector. We applied it to design a new detector structure, which uses a resonator to increase the QE of the detector. We call the detector resonator-QWIP or R-QWIP. The R-QWIP structure uses the active pixel volume as a resonator to store the incident light until the light is absorbed. By designing a properly sized detector volume, the trapped light forms a constructive interference pattern, with which the internal optical intensity is greatly increased, thereby yielding a large QE even with a small active layer thickness of 1.0 μm. Thus, R-QWIP is very suitable for this 10.6 μm narrowband application.

Inductively coupled plasma (ICP) etching has distinct advantages over reactive ion etching in that the etching rates are considerably higher, the uniformity is much better, and the sidewalls of the etched material are highly anisotropic due to the higher plasma density and lower operating pressure. Therefore, ICP etching is a promising process for pattern transfer required during microelectronic and optoelectronic fabrication. To fabricate R-QWIP focal plane arrays (FPAs) and fan-out test devices, two optimized ICP etching processes were developed. The selective etching process yielded a very high selectivity of etching GaAs over Al0.4Ga0.6As (>5000:1) and a fast GaAs etching rate (2700 Å/min). This etched surface was perfectly smooth and mirror-like after processing. For the nonselective etching process, we optimized the gas ratio, RF and ICP powers, and operating pressure to yield a highly anisotropic etching profile (88 deg), high etching rate (5400 Å/min). The etching nonuniformity is less than 3% across a 4-in. wafer. In addition to these, both processes are also highly reproducible and show no plasma damage to the detector material. Due to micron detector feature size and submicron overlay tolerance, we employed an advanced semiconductor material lithography (ASML) stepper instead of a contact mask aligner to process the wafers, which offered much better resolution (0.5 versus 1 μm) and near perfect alignment between layers. Using these etching techniques and the stepper, we have fabricated numerous 320 × 256, 30-μm pixel pitch R-QWIP FPAs with the required dimensions with their substrates completely removed.

2 Detector Design

The designed resonant structure for light coupling is shown in Fig. In this design, the pixel pitch is 30 μm and the
linear pixel size is 28 μm. A set of rings is fabricated into the top contact layer and then covered with gold. The detector substrate is completely removed to yield a suitable resonant detector thickness. The light is incident from the backside of the detector and is diffracted by the diffractive elements (DEs) and reflected back to the active layer as shown in Fig. [1(b)] where it is trapped and circulated inside the pixel until it is absorbed eventually.

The present modeling is performed in the RF module of a commercial EM solver, COMSOL Multiphysics. The modeling procedures involve selecting the EM analysis mode, building a two-dimensional or three-dimensional detector geometry, defining constants, variables, functions, inputting subdomain properties, selecting appropriate boundary conditions, building mesh structures, setting solver parameters, performing computation, and using postprocessing to yield the required information.

To model the QE of a detector, we note that \( \eta \) can be expressed as

\[
\eta(\lambda) = \frac{1}{P_0} \int_I d(I(r)) = \frac{1}{P_0} \int_V \alpha(\lambda) I(\mathbf{r}, \lambda) d^3 r
\]

\[
= \frac{\alpha(\lambda)}{A E_0 \epsilon_0} \int_V \frac{n(\lambda) c_0}{2} |E_z(\mathbf{r}, \lambda)|^2 d^3 r
\]

\[
= \frac{n(\lambda) \alpha(\lambda)}{A E_0^2} \int_V |E_z(\mathbf{r}, \lambda)|^2 d^3 r,
\]

where \( P_0 \) is the incident power from the air, \( I(\mathbf{r}) \) is the optical intensity, \( A \) is the detector area, \( E_0 \) is the incident electric field, \( E_z \) is the electric component pointing in the \( z \)-direction, \( n(\lambda) \) is the material refractive index, \( \alpha(\lambda) \) is the absorption coefficient, and \( V \) is the detector active volume. Equation (1) is still applicable after \( E_z(\mathbf{r}) \) is replaced by the total \( E(\mathbf{r}). \)

For a given QWIP energy band structure, the detector thermal sensitivity (NE\( \Delta T \)) is strongly dependent on the electron doping density \( (N_D) \) in the quantum well. For the present application of 10.6 μm detection, we can analyze the NE\( \Delta T \) variation as a function of \( N_D \) through detector modeling. The QWIP material under consideration is made of 15 periods of 56 Å GaAs/600 Å Al\(_{0.2}\)Ga\(_{0.8}\)As sandwiched between a top and a bottom GaAs contact layer. The active layer thickness \( t_\text{qa} \) is thus 1.0 μm. The material is designed to detect at a peak wavelength \( \lambda_\text{peak} \) of 10.6 μm with a 1-μm bandwidth. The absorption coefficient \( (\alpha) \) of the material with different \( N_D \) is calculated from the standard transfer matrix method and is shown in Fig. [2(a)]. The peak \( \alpha \) varies from 0.05 to 0.25 μm\(^{-1}\) when \( N_D \) increases from 0.2 to 1.0 \( \times \) 10\(^{18} \) cm\(^{-3}\). Fabricating this material into a resonator-quantum well infrared photodetector (R-QWIP) structure, its theoretical absorption QE, which is obtained from EM modeling, is shown in Fig. [2(b)]. It ranges from 30% to 70% for a 28-μm square detector. Assuming a typical gain \( (g) \) of 0.6 at the saturation voltage for this material structure, the corresponding conversion efficiency \( [CE = \text{QE} \times g] \) ranges from 18% to 42%.

The background photocurrent generated by an R-QWIP can be calculated by integrating the modeled CE with the incident photon flux and the dark current can be estimated by adopting a semiempirical equation. With knowledge of both the photocurrent and dark current at different \( N_D \), the variation of NE\( \Delta T \) can be readily deduced. Figure [2] shows that, under a fixed integration time of 16 ms and F/2.3 optics, the NE\( \Delta T \) varies as a function of doping density at different operating temperatures. It can be seen from these doping density-dependent NE\( \Delta T \) calculations that \( N_D = 0.3 \) and \( N_D = 0.8 \) provide the lowest NE\( \Delta T \) at temperatures less than or greater than 55 K, respectively.

### 3 Detector Fabrication

Our R-QWIP FPA and test device fabrication required five mask layers. For this work, we used an ASML stepper instead of a contact mask aligner to pattern all layers because of our submicron detector feature sizes and overlay tolerance. For the ASML PAS 5500 stepper, the wafer alignment marks are diffraction gratings as shown in Fig. [3(a)]. There are marks for both the \( x \)- and \( y \)-directions. These marks are illuminated by a HeNe laser at a single wavelength near 632.8 nm. The reflected wave exhibits a diffraction pattern of bright and dark lines that are focused on a sensor. The stage is moved slightly to learn the best position to match the sensor and that stage position is used to calculate the stage position to place the die under the center of the optical column. The wafer is moved to the lens center (or shifted by a fixed amount from center) and the die is exposed. The stage positions for the remaining dies are calculated and those dies are also exposed. Initially, the marks were patterned and etched into the starting wafer. To reduce the number of
operations, we combined the mark creation (0 layer) and the first layer of our device. To give maximum contrast in the diffracted pattern, the etch depth is set at \( \frac{\lambda}{4n} \), which resulted in an optical path difference of \( \pi \), where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the laser light and \( n \) is the index of refraction of the material above the marks (usually photoresist or oxide). This etch depth calculation of the mark gave a value approximately equal to \( \frac{632.8}{4} \approx \frac{110}{1.45} \approx 110 \text{ nm} \) (1100 Å).

However, our first detector layer etching depth needed to be \( \sim 4500 \text{ Å} \). Therefore, a concern was to ensure that the system could recognize the 4500-Å depth marks and perform the overlay alignment correctly. In this regard, a dummy GaAs wafer was tested beforehand to minimize the loss of expensive detector wafers. During this testing, we verified that the system could identify the 4500-Å depth marks and perform precise alignments for all steps with various types and thicknesses of photoresist that would be used during the detector fabrication.

For the first layer of the FPAs, we created an array of rings as the DEs on wafers to scatter normal incident light into the detector. R-QWIP structure uses the active pixel volume as a resonator to store the incident light until the light is absorbed. We coated a 1.4-μm-thick AZ5214 photoresist on the wafer using an EVG 120 resist processing cluster. The resist was baked at 110°C for 1 min in the system. The DEs were formed by using our optimized selective ICP etching process to etch down to the 15-Å top etching stop layer. The etching depth is 4000 to 5000 Å. Since our selective etching process has a very high selectivity of etching GaAs over AlGaAs (greater than 5000:1 for Al0.4Ga0.6As), a 15-Å thick stop etching layer is sufficient to define the DE height. Figure 4(b) shows a microscope picture of the dies after first selective ICP etching. The etching is uniform, and the etching surface is clean and smooth. The second masking step defines the ground contact area located outside the pixel area. Our nonselective ICP etching recipe was used to reach the common ground contact layer. The optimized etching process uses a finite RF power, which is necessary to create a vertical sidewall, and a low-gas pressure to give a uniform etching across the wafer. We used the third mask to define the lift-off areas for the deposition of Pd (50 Å)/Ge (200 Å)/Au (300 Å)/Pd (50 Å)/Au (5000 Å) metal and...
it was followed by a furnace annealing at 350°C for 25 min. With the help of DEs, we can use a positive photoresist (PR) instead of a negative PR or an image reversal to pattern the wafer. DEs are used to convert the normal incident UV light to horizontal through diffraction, which can create a PR undercut for metal lift off. Figure 4 shows a picture taken after metal lift off.

We used the fourth mask to define the pixels. We opened the pixel areas while other areas were covered with photoresist. In the pixel areas, the metal squares were used as etching masks and nonselective ICP etching was utilized to create individual pixels. The fifth mask is an indium bump mask. We coated PR (AZ9245) and deposited 6-μm-thick indium bumps on the wafer using a thermal evaporator. Figure 5(a) shows a microscope picture taken after indium bump deposition. Figure 5(b) shows a detail process flowchart. After we finished the wafer process, the wafers were diced into FPAs and test devices. Each test structure contains 33 x 33 pixels connected in parallel. The candidate FPAs and test devices were hybridized to readout integrated circuits (ROIC) and fan-outs. Low viscosity epoxy under-fill was used to fill voids between the ROIC and FPA material for mechanical stability during the final substrate removal process. Previous studies have shown that thinned QWIP FPAs offer several advantages over unthinned FPAs. In regards to the R-QWIP structure, substrate removal is specifically required for intended operation. The thinned R-QWIP FPAs enhance the resonant effects, and the QE can increase by a factor of 3 to 4 according to EM modeling. To totally remove the FPA's substrate, we first mechanically lapped the substrate within 50 μm and then final removal was achieved with a Unaxis VLR 700 Etch System (~2-h plasma etch). After this last substrate etch, the surface of the die was uniform, smooth, and mirror-like.

4 Detector Characteristic

To obtain the lowest NEΔT within an allowed τint at the highest T, we chose small N_D of 0.2 x 10^{18} cm^{-3} (labeled as Det. A) and 0.3 x 10^{18} cm^{-3} (labeled as Det. B) according to the above calculation. Their QE was modeled and the result is 30% for Det. A and 40% for Det. B as shown in Fig. 10. In Figs. 6 and 7, we plot the experimental CE and QE measured at T = 10 K for Det. A and Det. B, respectively. Both detectors have a similar detection spectrum, which is peaked at 10.2 μm. Det. A has a maximum CE of 20.2% and a QE of 29.4% at V = 2.5 V. These measured values are in excellent agreement with the theoretical values of 18% and 30%, respectively. However, Det. B, which has a higher N_D, is measured with a CE of 15.0% and a QE of 26.3%, which is lower than their expected values of 24% and 40%, respectively.

To yield a better understanding of the discrepancy, we compared the spectral response of the R-QWIPs at 2.5 V with the α spectrum in Fig. 8. These material intrinsic absorption spectra were characterized at 0.6 V substrate voltage and 77 K operating temperature. In this plot, the detection spectrum of the R-QWIP is clearly displaced from the material absorption spectrum. This displacement is mainly caused by the resonant wavelength λ_res of the R-QWIPs being at 10.2 μm instead of the targeted λ_peak of 10.6 μm. The shorter λ_res indicates that the material refractive index n is slightly smaller than the assumed n = 3.0 in the modeling for this material composition in this wavelength range. The displacement is larger for Det. B because the material absorbs at a slightly longer wavelength and it is peaked at 10.7 μm as seen in Fig. 8.

To yield a more definitive conclusion, we fitted the detector QE using n as a fitting parameter and the modeling is based on the observed α spectrum and the fabricated ring pattern in Fig. 9. The result is shown in Fig. 9 for n = 2.85. With the new n, the modeled QEs are now peaked at 10.2 μm. It underestimated the experimental QE value by 18% for Det. A while it overestimated Det. B by 10%. The modeling also gives narrower lineshapes. We attribute these narrower lineshapes to the adopted α spectrum, which was measured at 0.6 V instead of 2.5 V. As seen in Figs. 9 and 10, there is substantial spectral broadening at the higher bias that may indicate the broadening of the intrinsic α. The overall agreement is thus satisfactory given the anticipated differences due to bias. From this analysis, it should be possible to shift λ_res to 10.6 μm by adjusting the ring pattern using n = 2.85 and to subsequently obtain a larger QE for both detectors.

In the present experiment, we adopted the Indigo ISC 9705 ROICs with a well capacity of 18 Me− and pixel pitch of 30 μm. Using the directly measured I_p and I_a, NEΔT at τ_{int} of 2 μs and F/2 optics is shown in Fig. 10 for Det. A at T = 55 K and Det B at T = 60 K. Setting N_{eff} at 9 Me− for the present ROIC, one is able to operate the FPAs at a small bias of 0.5 V for this τ_{int}, in which photoconductive (PC) g is 0.31 for Det. A and 0.35 for Det. B.

Fig. 4 Two microscope pictures taken after first combined layer ICP etching (a) steppe alignment mark and (b) fabricated detector DEs (320 x 256 format and 30-μm pixel pitch size). It contains four detector pixels and each pixel pitch is 30 μm.

Fig. 5 Two microscope pictures taken after (a) metallization and (b) indium bump deposition. Both pictures contain four detector pixels and each pixel pitch is 30 μm.
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Fig. 6 (a) The measured CE and (b) the deduced QE of Det. A.

Fig. 7 (a) The measured CE and (b) the deduced QE of Det. B.

Fig. 8 The comparison between the spectral response of R-QWIP and LAD for (a) Det. A and (b) Det. B.

Fig. 9 The experimental $\alpha$ lineshape at 0.6 V (dashed curve), the experimental QE at 2.5 V (solid curve with cycles), and the modeled QE (solid curve) for (a) Det. A and (b) Det. B.
With these smaller PC gains, both FPAs were able to offer a small NE\(\Delta T\) of 20 mK. Shorter \(\tau_{\text{int}}\) was possible at higher bias albeit with a higher NE\(\Delta T\) because of a larger \(g\). Therefore, the present low \(N_\text{tot}\) materials are the proper choices for this operating condition. We should also note that if the ROIC’s \(N_c\) is larger, the FPAs should be able to operate at a higher bias such as 1.7 V, in which NE\(\Delta T\) is 14 mK for \(\tau_{\text{int}} = 2\) ms and \(N_{\text{tot}} = 35\) to 40 Me\(^-\).

On the other hand, if the FPAs are operated under a more challenging condition where the detection wavelength range is reduced, a longer \(\tau_{\text{int}}\) must be employed. For example, for SF\(_6\) gas detection, it requires the detection of a filtered photon flux between 10.3 and 10.7 \(\mu\)m. Assuming the optical transmission of the filter is 0.88, \(I_p\) will be reduced by 3.34 times even with a faster optics of \(F/1.5\). Figure 10 shows the calculated \(I_p\) of Det. B according to the CE spectrum within this wavelength range. With the fitted FPA \(I_d\) at \(T = 56\) K, one will need to increase the bias to 1.15 V shown in Fig. 11(b) to achieve the same NE\(\Delta T\) of 20 mK at \(\tau_{\text{int}} = 4\) ms and \(N_{\text{tot}} = 14\) Me\(^-\). Therefore, under this more severe operating condition, a higher \(N_p\) may be beneficial in reducing either \(\tau_{\text{int}}\) or \(N_{\text{tot}}\) or vice versa. Nevertheless, in case the theoretical QE of 40% of Det. B can be realized using a more suitable resonant design, the shorter \(\tau_{\text{int}}\) of 2 ms could be recovered. It is then an open question on whether a higher doping is more suitable for this application.

5 Conclusion

In this work, we have applied R-QWIPs to narrowband 10.6 \(\mu\)m detection for SF\(_6\) gas sensor application. To fabricate R-QWIP FPAs and test devices, two optimized ICP etching processes were developed and an ASML stepper was used to fabricate R-QWIP FPAs with the design dimensions and required etching depths. The substrates of the FPAs and test devices were completely removed to enhance the resonant effects. With only a 1-\(\mu\)m-thick active layer and low doping densities of 0.2 and 0.3 \(\times 10^{18}\) \(\text{cm}^{-3}\), a QE of 30% and 26% has been achieved, respectively. These detectors cutoff at 11 \(\mu\)m and reach high sensitivities approaching 20 mK with a half-wall capacity of 9 Me\(^-\) when they are operated with \(F/2\) optics at 60 K and using a 2-ms integration time. Higher sensitivity is expected at higher bias and larger well capacity. For the more demanding SF\(_6\) gas sensing, the present FPA should reach the same sensitivity with \(F/1.5\) optics at 56 K and using a 4-ms integration time. It is anticipated that further optimized resonant structures with higher sensitivity at the wavelengths of interest could further reduce the integration time.
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