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Abstract. In spite of the impressive development achieved by organic photovoltaics throughout the last decades, especially in terms of reported power conversion efficiencies, there are still important technological and fundamental obstacles to circumvent before they can be implemented into reliable and long-lasting applications. Regarding device processing, the synthesis of highly soluble polymeric semiconductors first, and then fullerene derivatives, was initially considered as an important breakthrough that would definitely change the fabrication of photovoltaics once and for all. The potential and the expectation raised by this technology is such that it is very difficult to keep track of the most significant progresses being now published in different and even monographic journals. In this paper, we review the development of polymeric solar cells from its origin to the most efficient devices published to date. We separate these achievements into three different categories traditionally followed by the scientific community to push devices over 10% power conversion efficiency: active materials, strategies—fabrication/processing procedures—that can mainly modify the active film morphology, and all the different cell layout/architectures that have been used in order to extract as high a photocurrent as possible from the Sun. The synthesis of new donors, the use of additives and postprocessing techniques, buffer interlayers, inverted and tandem designs are some of the most important aspects that are reviewed in detail in this paper. All have equally contributed to develop this technology and bring it at the doors of commercialization. © 2015 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JPE.5.057214]
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1 Introduction

An abundance of raw materials, simplicity in device fabrication, and easy integration into different applications, thanks to their lightweight, semitransparency, flexibility, and color tunability, have made organic photovoltaics (OPV) an attractive source of green energy. Nowadays research on this technology is focused on understanding the physics behind the technology and on achieving an efficiency as high as possible.

Nelson calculated the limiting efficiency for an ideal single solar cell as a function of the semiconductor bandgap, obtaining a limiting efficiency of \( \sim 33\% \) at a bandgap of 1.4 eV (885 nm).\(^1\) In principle, all the assumptions made for this model are also perfectly valid for organic semiconductors. However, more accurate models explicitly developed for polymer:fullerene bulk heterojunction (BHJ) solar cells and that take into account their detailed working mechanisms predict maximum efficiencies of \( \sim 15\% \) (Ref. \(^2\)) and 21% (Ref. \(^3\)) for single and tandem cells, respectively. The main reasons why ideal performances are not achieved are incomplete absorption of the incident light, nonradiative recombination of photogenerated carriers, i.e., excited charges that are trapped at defect sites and recombine before being collected leading to transport losses, and voltage drop due to nonideal series and/or parallel resistance...
within the bulk and between the active film and the external circuit. All these aspects need to be
tackled in order to overcome the current reported record efficiencies and take them closer to the
theoretical limits. As we will show, this can be done by actuating on active materials,
fabrication/processing procedures (strategies), and device layout/architectures.

The efficiency of a solar cell is defined as the ratio between the voltage at open circuit conditions \( (V_{oc}) \), the output current at short circuit conditions \( (I_{sc}) \), the fill factor (FF) of the
device, and the incident light intensity \( (P_{in}) \) [see Eq. (1)].

\[
\eta = \frac{V_{oc} \cdot I_{sc} \cdot FF}{P_{in}}.
\] (1)

It is clear that maximizing the efficiency is, thus, a matter of increasing \( V_{oc} \), \( I_{sc} \), and FF as
much as possible.

\( V_{oc} \) is ideally limited by the energy difference between the LUMO level of the acceptor and
the HOMO level of the donor; therefore, it can be theoretically adjusted up to a certain extent by
modifying the energy levels of the materials\[1\] However, \( V_{oc} \) is not strictly an active material
issue. Suboptimal contacts can lead to either resistive losses—series resistances—and/or current
leakage—parallel resistance—that might cause a voltage drop. Therefore, device engineering
and cell layout are also important to guarantee a large \( V_{oc} \). This is usually achieved with
the use of interlayers, as we will describe in detail in Sec. 3.

A high photocurrent \( (I_{sc}) \) can also be achieved by selecting materials with absorption spectra
that overlap the photon flux density and, hence, the incident power spectrum from the Sun. The
available power from these cells represents the best compromise between absorption and power
delivery. As mentioned above, ideal bandgaps for photovoltaic conversion are in the red and
near-infrared part of the electromagnetic spectrum. The so-called low-bandgap (LBG) polymers
are synthesized for this purpose.\[9\] However, as in the previous case, obtaining a high \( I_{sc} \) is not
only a matter of the active material. The morphology of the film is crucial in order to ensure
efficient charge generation and transport processes. Different fabrication/processing proce-
dures can lead to substantially different film morphologies even when the same active materials
are used. These will be detailed in Sec. 3. Blends of solvents, the use of additives, manual
manipulation of the deposition temperature, and the creation of a solvent saturated atmosphere
are some of the strategies most commonly used in order to obtain better control of the film drying
process and manipulate the resulting bulk-in morphology.\[10,11\]

FF is the most meaningful and sensitive parameter in the characterization of solar cells since
it contains information of all the processes involved in charge recombination, transport, and
collection. The morphology of the film will, therefore, have a direct effect on the measured
FF. As commented above, deliberate manipulation of the film morphology also results in
enhanced FF and device performance. Despite alternative fabrication/processing procedures,
a spatial asymmetry that helps create a gradient in charge density can be beneficial to obtain
selective charge injection/extraction, reduce leakage current and charge recombination, and
improve charge transport. The use of buffer layers has been demonstrated to improve, in
this way, contact selectivity and device rectification.

Finally, materials with complementary absorption spectra can be stacked together in the same
tandem device. This is an alternative device layout/architecture approach in order to maximize the
light harvesting and adjust the overall absorption of the cell to the solar irradiance spectrum.\[12,13\]

2 Materials

Polythiophenes are one of the most widely used polymers in the fabrication of organic solar cells
due to the good optical and electrical properties and good thermal and chemical stability that they
present. Polythiophenes are based on repeating units of thiophenes [see Fig. 1(a)], where differ-
side chains can be added in order to modify the resulting properties. Poly(3-hexylthio-
phone) (P3HT) [Fig. 1(b)] has an optical bandgap of 1.9 eV. In the literature, typical efficiency
values of \(~3.5\) to \(4\%\) have been achieved by several groups when combined with the fullerene
derivative \([6,6\)-phenyl-C61-butyric acid methyl ester (PC60BM) as electron acceptor.\[14\] However,
higher efficiencies close to \(4.5\%\) have been reported when samples with higher regioregularity\[15\]
and/or \([6,6\)-phenyl C71-butyric acid methyl ester (PC70BM) instead of PC60BM are used.

P3HT has been, for many years, the standard absorber material used in OPV. In spite of its moderate power conversion efficiency, its acceptable hole mobility, long stability, processability, and scalability make it a potential candidate for the mass-fabrication of modules using roll-to-roll (R2R) compatible deposition techniques. However, their restricted absorption to <600 nm limits their efficiency below 5%. Some of the most promising candidates that are being synthesized nowadays to enhance the light harvesting include carbazole-benzothiadiazole copolymers, diketopyrrolopyrrole (DPP) based copolymers, benzodithiophene (BDT) derivatives, as well as indacenodithiophene (IDT) based copolymers. This new generation of semiconducting copolymers combine electron-rich segments with electron-deficient units, such as DPPs, along the polymer backbone. The selection of different electron-rich comonomers, such as thiophene, fluorine, or carbazole based units among others, determines the optical bandgap, the energy levels, and the carrier mobility of the resulting copolymer. These LBG donor-acceptor polymers present energy gaps in the range of 1.3 to 1.6 eV enabling light absorption in the near-infrared region, which combined with a fullerene derivative are able to extend the absorption of the organic solar cell to the UV/visible as well.

In the following, some promising copolymers based on previously mentioned units will be analyzed.

2.1 Polymeric Donors

2.1.1 Poly (2,7-carbazoles)

The electron-donating nitrogen unit of the central fused pyrrole ring makes carbazoles electron-rich compounds. The solubility of the polymer is ensured by functionalization of the central nitrogen with an alkyl chain (see molecular structure in Fig. 2). Since carbazole derivatives present good thermal and photochemical stability and high charge mobility, they are promising candidates to be incorporated in polymers for photovoltaic applications.

The conjugation of the carbazole unit to a benzothiadiazole moiety through a thiophene bridge gives rise to a material known as PCDTBT, see Fig. 3. In combination with PC_{70}BM as an acceptor, organic solar cells with power conversion efficiencies >6% have been achieved.

![Fig. 1 Molecular structure of (a) a polythiophene repeat unit and (b) poly(3-hexylthiophene) (P3HT).](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Photonics-for-Energy)

![Fig. 2 Molecular structure of 2,7-carbazole.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Photonics-for-Energy)
by several groups. These numbers can be improved to 7.2 to 7.5% by using advanced interface materials and antireflection coatings.

A slightly different approach has been attempted by conjugating the benzothiadiazole moiety to a dithiophene unit instead of a carbazole one. The result is a polymer known as PCDTBT (Fig. 3). Organic solar cells with efficiencies up to 5.5% are usually reported for this polymer when it is blended with PC_{71}BM. Moreover, by introducing a fluor atom into this molecule (Fig. 3), which lowers the increase of the polymer HOMO level and, thus, the $V_{oc}$, efficiencies of 6.16% have been also achieved.

A further modification of this copolymer with two fluor atoms at the benzothiadiazole unit gives rise to a difluorobenzothiadiazole (DFBT) moiety that is further conjugated to a dithienopyran (DTP) segment instead of a single dithiophene. The result is a copolymer known as GPDTP-DFBT (Fig. 3) with efficiencies in single devices of $>8$% (Ref. 42) and also an

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Record PCE</th>
<th>Molecular structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a) PCDTBT</td>
<td>7.5% [39]</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="PCDTBT" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b) PCPDTBT</td>
<td>5.5% [40]</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="PCPDTBT" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c) F-PCPDTBT</td>
<td>6.16% [41]</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="F-PCPDTBT" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d) PDTP-DFBT</td>
<td>8.1% [42]</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="PDTP-DFBT" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e) PPDT2FBT</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="PPDT2FBT" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f) PDPP-TT-T</td>
<td>7.17% [53]</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="PDPP-TT-T" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g) HD-PDPP3T</td>
<td>7.1% [52]</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="HD-PDPP3T" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 Highest reported efficiencies for different polymers in single devices.
| h) | PDPP-TPT | 7.4% [52] |
| i) | PDPP3TaltTPT | 8% [52] |
| j) | PTB7 | 9.2% [66] |
| k) | PBDTTT-C-T | 8.3% [58] |
| l) | PBDT-TS1 | 9.5% [59] |
| m) | PTB7-Th | 10.1% [56] |
| n) | PBDTTPD | 8.5% [60] |
| o) | PIDTDTQx | 7.5% [33] |
| p) | C$_2$C$_8$GeIDT-BT | 6.5% [65] |

**Fig. 3 Continued.**
outstanding performance in tandem configuration, as we will see in Sec. 4.3. A more developed semicrystalline version known as PPDT2FBT (Fig. 3) forms a well-distributed nanofibrillar networked morphology with the fullerene, which results in balanced hole and electron mobility, and tight interchain packing. Relatively thick films of ∼300 nm yield record efficiencies of 9.4%.

2.1.2 Diketopyrrolopyrroles

Some other promising absorbers for OPV applications are copolymers based on electron-deficient diketopyrrolopyrrole units. The copolymerization of this electron-deficient unit with different electron-rich segments has resulted in solar cells with efficiencies up to 8%.

The most promising candidates consist of the conjugation of the DPP moiety to a thiophene fragment with a varying number of interconnecting thiophene units. For the simplest case, Meager et al. reported PDPP-TT-T based devices with efficiencies >7% for some particular alkyl chain branching position manipulation (Fig. 3). Hendriks et al. also reported efficiencies >7% by conjugating the DPP segment to different oligothiophenes (nT). The best material from this series (DT-PDPP3T, Fig. 3) uses tertiophene as a comonomer and reaches 7.1%. Higher efficiencies of 7.4% have also been achieved by alternating the DPP unit with thiophene-phenylene-thiophene (TPT) segments—PDPP-TPT—due to the improvement in the polymerization reaction. Finally, the highest reported efficiency of 8% for a DPP based polymer has been obtained by combining these segments with a tertiophene unit in order to produce a terpolymer called PDPP3TaltTPT (Fig. 3).

2.1.3 Benzodithiophenes

Copolymers based on the alternation of BDT and thieno[3,4-b]thiophene units are also promising absorbers for organic solar cell applications. The thieno[3,4-b]thiophene units stabilize the quinoidal structure of the backbone, thus reducing the energy gap of the polymer to 1.6 eV estimated from the onset of the solid-state absorption (775 nm). The ester substituted thieno[3,4-b]thiophene, instead, makes the polymer soluble and oxidative stable while the rigid backbone ensures a good mobility of holes.

Liang et al. developed several copolymers, known as poly thieno-thiophene benzodithiophenes (PTBs), for which efficiencies up to 7.4% have been achieved by using PTB7 as the absorber (Fig. 3) together with PC70BM as the electron acceptor for conventional configuration devices. This material is also a good example to illustrate that improving the efficiency of an organic solar cell is not only a matter of the active material. Different processing procedures and cell layouts/architectures, as those being described in this review, have taken the efficiency from 6.22 to 9.2%. Standard PTB7 was later modified by incorporating the 2-(2-ethylhexyl)-thienyl group into the BDT unit of PTB7 to produce PTB7-Th (Fig. 3). Incorporating deterministic aperiodic nanostructures (DANs) based on nanoimprint technology on PTB7-Th:PC71BM has resulted in the most efficient single device reported to date with a power conversion efficiency (PCE) of 10.1%.

Huo et al. also designed and synthesized an interesting thiophene-substituted BDT copolymer with carboxyl-substituted thieno[3,4-b]thiophene, PBDTTT-C-T (Fig. 3), that exhibits good thermal stability and hole mobility. Devices based on PBDTTT-C-T:PCBM processed from orthodichlorobenzene (ODCB) and 3% 1,8-diiodooctane (DIO) resulted in efficiencies of 7.6%. This efficiency has been recently pushed up to 8.3% by Adhikary et al. when the cell was exposed to UV-ozone. Ye et al. introduced linear alkylthio chains in the BDT-T unit to produce another PBDTTT-based copolymer known as PBDT-TS1 (Table 1) from which record devices of up to 9.48% were obtained.

A parallel approach conjugated the BDT fragment to thieno[3,4-c]pyrrole-4,6-dione (TPD) units to result in a copolymer known as PBDTTPD (Fig. 3). Devices based on this polymer in combination with PC70BM reached PCE values up to 8.5% and Voc values as high as 0.97 V.

2.1.4 Indacenodithiophenes

IDT based copolymers have also resulted in high-efficiency organic solar cells, reporting values >7%. Two cyclopentadiene rings are fused to a benzene in order to form the indaceno unit.
This is later joined to a dithiophene fragment to make the IDT moiety, which can then be conjugated to different structures in order to obtain a new family of copolymers. These copolymers generally show high solar flux harvesting, high hole mobility, and deep HOMO energy levels, resulting in devices with $V_{oc}$ values up to 0.96. Guo et al. developed a copolymer by conjugation of the IDT unit to quinoxaline (Fig. 3), reporting efficiencies $>$7.5% for devices based on this copolymer (PIDTDTQx∶PC70BM). Similarly, Fei et al. copolymerized the IDT segment to a benzothiadiazole structure. By heteroatom substitution at the cyclopentadiene rings by either silicon or germanium, they also published efficiencies $>$6.5% for C2C6GeIDT-BT (Fig. 3) based devices.

### 2.2 New Fullerene Derivatives

Nowadays, almost all reported cells with remarkable efficiencies employ soluble fullerene derivatives as an electron acceptor. Among them, PC60BM and PC70BM are the most widely used. Both of them have the same LUMO values, which considerably limit the $V_{oc}$ of operating devices.

A new n-type fullerene derivative, indene-C60 bis-adduct (ICBA) (see Fig. 4 for the molecular structure) was demonstrated to be a good alternative acceptor. ICBA has a higher LUMO level (−3.74 eV) in comparison to either PC60BM or PC70BM (−4.2 eV), which leads to a higher $V_{oc}$. In this way, P3HT based devices with ICBA as an acceptor resulted in cells with $V_{oc}$ values of 0.84 V and enhanced PCE of 6.5%.

Cheng et al. also reported P3HT based inverted solar cells using ICBA as an acceptor with a $V_{oc}$ of 0.82 V and a PCE of 4.8%. Further improvement was carried out by the incorporation of a cross-linked fullerene derivative interlayer, C-PCBSD, resulting in the following configuration: ITO/ZnO/C-PCBSD/ICBA:P3HT/PEDOT:PSS/Ag. The incorporation of C-PCBSD increased the photocurrent from 10.6 to 12.4 mA cm$^{-2}$ and the FF from 55 to 60%, and, thus, pushed up the PCE to 6.2%.

Many other fullerene derivatives such as bisadducts, diphenylmethano fullerenes, dimesitylmethano fullerene bisadducts, and endohedral fullerenes are also being synthesized and tested in the search for large $V_{oc}$ devices based on internal bulk polymer: fullerene heterojunction systems. In spite of these advances in the synthesis of new fullerenes, and even though soluble C$_{60}$ derivatives, on average, yield a 10% increase in photocurrent in comparison to C$_{60}$ ones thanks to a slightly increased absorption in the visible, the lack of a stronger absorption in the visible and in the infrared still hinders further developments in achieving higher conversion efficiencies. Non fullerene containing heterojunction thin films can overcome these shortcomings, since the energy levels (and, hence, absorption) can be modified through the choice of co-couple units due to the well-distributed frontier molecular orbitals.

### Table 1 Device photovoltaic parameters of orthodichlorobenzene (ODCB) only, ODCB with 3% 1,8-diiodooctane (DIO), chlorobenzene (CB), and CB with 3% DIO as solvent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Fill factor (FF) (%)</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (calc.) (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>Error (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ODCB</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>13.95</td>
<td>60.25</td>
<td>6.22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ODCB + DIO</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>14.09</td>
<td>68.85</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>13.99</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>10.20</td>
<td>50.52</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB + DIO</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>68.97</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>14.16</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 4 Molecular structure of indene-C$_{60}$ bis-adduct.](image-url)


3 Strategies: Fabrication/Processing Procedures

The morphology of the film in BHJ solar cells is a critical parameter to control the exciton dissociation rate, optimize charge transport, minimize bulk recombination, maximize the photocurrent, and, hence, enhance the efficiency of the device. On one hand, a large number of donor/acceptor interfaces is required in order to dissociate a large number of photogenerated excitons. On the other hand, the domain size of each material phase and the interconnection between them is also important for an efficient charge transport to the corresponding electrodes. Therefore, an optimum balance among the interface area, domain size, and interconnection is required to result in efficient BHJ solar cells. This is schematically represented in Fig. 5.

Solvent annealing, slow drying, and thermal annealing are few examples of how to deliberately influence the nanomorphology of the polymeric film. Additionally, the use of additives and different solvent mixtures has also been recently demonstrated as an easy and efficient approach to modify and control the morphology of the photoactive layer.

3.1 Use of Additives

Additives are used for the generation of a more favorable nanomorphology for the transport of electrons and holes, thereby enhancing the final efficiency of the device. Additives do not react with the polymer or with the fullerene; the only aim is to favor the bicontinuous percolation pathways for exciton dissociation and charge transport. Two basic requirements for the correct choice of an additive are (1) the boiling point of the additive has to be much higher than the primary solvent and (2) only one component will be selectively dissolved in the additive. For example, considering polymer:fullerene blends, only the fullerene is selectively dissolved in the selected additive.

Some of the most studied additives are based on alkanedithiols. Due to the ability of alkanedithiols to selectively dissolve the fullerene component while the polymer is less soluble, an optimum nanomorphology is formed. Peet et al. compared the performance of P3HT and PCPDTB devices processed from pristine solvents and with blends of the same primary solvent and different alkanedithiols. They reported improvements in the PCE from 2.8 to 5.5% when 1,8-octanedithiol was added to chlorobenzene (CB).

1,8-di(R)octanes with different functional groups (R) are also often used as additives in order to favor the nanomorphology of the film, which improves device efficiency. Figure 6 represents the influence of DIO on the final morphology of the film. When no additives are used, there are big aggregates of fullerene and the penetration of the acceptor molecules in the polymer network is more difficult, resulting in large fullerene and polymer domains. On the contrary, DIO is a much better solvent for the fullerene than CB while the polymer has limited solubility in DIO and is very well dissolved in CB. With the addition of DIO in this case, the fullerene is selectively dissolved, facilitating the percolation of acceptor molecules in the polymer network, resulting in a more favorable nanomorphology of the film due to the optimization of the domain size and polymer:fullerene interface.

The work carried out by Liang et al. for devices based on PTB7 show the influence of DIO on device performance when using it as additive. Preliminary studies showed that PTB7:PC70BM (1:1.5) films prepared from ODCB and DIO (97%:3% in volume) increased the FF from 60.25

---

Fig. 5 Bulk heterojunction devices with different nanomorphologies: (a) Small domains with a large number of interfaces: large charge generation yield but inefficient charge transport due to recombination. (b) Excessively large domains with a lower interface area between the donor and acceptor: low charge generation yield but good charge transport. (c) Intermediate domain size with an optimized interface area: large charge generation yield and good charge transport.
to 68.9% and the PCE from 6.22 to 7.18%, in comparison to devices processed from pristine ODCB. In this case, the photocurrent remained constant. However, when CB was used as the primary solvent, a considerable increment was also observed in the photocurrent (from 10.2 to 14.5 mA cm\(^{-2}\)). Also, the FF rose from 50.52 to \(~69\%\). In this way, it was possible to improve the efficiency from 3.92% (when CB was used as solvent) up to 7.4% when DIO was used as an additive. All these data are collected in Table 1. They also studied the influence of the additive on the morphology of the active layer by transmission electron microscopy. Images revealed that DIO promotes the formation of smaller domains. The film also showed a higher uniformity due to the good miscibility between PTB7 and PC\(_{70}\)BM and the formation of an interpenetrating network. In this way, higher FF and photocurrent values were achieved, thus increasing the PCE.

Some other works have also shown the potential of DIO as an additive to improve the performance of devices made from different active materials. Lee et al. demonstrated an enhancement from 3.4 up to 5.1% by using DIO in BHJ organic solar cells based on PCPDTBT:PC\(_{70}\)BM systems. Zhang et al. reported a PCE increment from 1.4 to 4.8% when DIO was used as the additive to process devices from an LBG polymer consisting of thieno-thiophene substituted BDT (PTTBDT-C8). Finally, Bijleveld et al. also documented the use of DIO for processing devices with DPPs. They also observed an enhancement in the PCE from 2 to 5.6% and substantial changes in the film morphology when DIO was added to chloroform.

### 3.2 Solvent Mixtures

Similarly to additives, the combination of two or more solvents with different boiling points and limited solubility for one of the components can help control the nanomorphology of the polymeric blend. Janssen et al. reported the influence of adding ODCB to chloroform in a series of DPP based device performance. The analysis was based on a narrow bandgap polymer known as pBBDTPP2, in combination with PC\(_{60}\)BM or PC\(_{70}\)BM diluted in chloroform (CHCl\(_3\)), ODCB, or a mixture of both solvents. When the polymer:fullerene was dissolved in chloroform, just 1.1% of PCE was achieved. This low performance was attributed to the amorphous nature of the deposited layer. When using ODCB instead, due to the limited solubility of pBBDTPP2 in this solvent, after spin-casting the solution, films with larger degrees of crystallinity were obtained, which resulted in devices with higher photocurrent values and, thus, higher PCEs, 2.9%. The PCE of pBBDTPP2 based devices was significantly improved by combining chloroform and ODCB. With the combination of these two solvents in a ratio of 4:1, a large amount of semicrystalline polymer film was obtained. In this way, PCE values of 3.2 and 4% were achieved when using PC\(_{60}\)BM and PC\(_{70}\)BM as electron acceptors, respectively. Due to the large difference in vapor pressure, the final film morphology was essentially determined by the slow evaporation of ODCB, leaving the polymer sufficient time to partly crystallize before precipitation. Cells from chloroform:ODCB, apart from higher photocurrent values, also provided higher FFs with respect to the other two options (see Table 2).

Zhang et al. also observed a significant enhancement in the photocurrent density for a polyfluorene copolymer/fullerene blend. When introducing a small amount of CB into the
chloroform solvent, a uniform domain distribution was reached, resulting in more efficient devices.\textsuperscript{78} In further studies, 1-chloronaphthalene in ODCB or small amounts of nitrobenzene in CB have also been used as additives for P3HT:PCBM based devices in order to improve device performance with the aim of achieving a higher degree of crystallinity.\textsuperscript{79,80}

3.3 Postprocessing Treatments

The possibility to act on film morphology during and after the deposition process has also been thoughtfully studied. First, it is possible to quite accurately control the drying time of the films by using alternative depositing techniques to spin-coating, as, for example, Dr. Blading. Based on the work carried out by Li et al. about the slow drying for film nanomorphology control in conventional architecture devices,\textsuperscript{11} Ajuria also slowed down the drying process of the P3HT:PCBM photoactive film in inverted configuration devices [600-nm-thick photoactive layer, see Fig. 7(a)].\textsuperscript{81} Film drying times were delayed from 1 to 2 s to \(\approx 10\) and \(\approx 30\) s for films dried directly in air, protecting the film with a Petri dish as a lid in order to limit the contact of the film with air and create a solvent atmosphere below the Petri plate to minimize the presence of air, respectively.

Results revealed that the slow drying of the film assists the growth of a photoactive self-ordered nanostructure, improving the PCE from 1.44 to 3.57\% [see Fig. 7(a) and Table 3]. The self-organization of the polymer has been shown to improve field effect carrier mobilities by more than a factor of 100 in P3HT.\textsuperscript{82,83} On the contrary, the destruction of self-organized structures during fast drying (unordered growth) present unbalanced charge carriers and lower charge mobilities.\textsuperscript{11} Under these precisely controlled drying conditions, it is feasible to increase the thickness of the active film up to 600 nm, thus maximizing the light absorption without negatively affecting charge transport. Raising the Dr. Blade plate temperature from ambient conditions to 70°C had a similar effect.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2 Photovoltaic parameters of pBBTDP2:PCBM solar cells.\textsuperscript{\textregistered}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(J_{sc}^{\text{(SR)}})\textsuperscript{\text{a}} (mA cm(^{-2}))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBBTDP2:[60]PCBM from chloroform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBBTDP2:[60]PCBM from ODCB suspension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBBTDP2:[60]PCBM from chloroform:ODCB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pBBTDP2:[70]PCBM from chloroform:ODCB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Determined from convolution of the spectral response with the air mass (AM) 1.5G solar spectrum (1000 W/m\(^2\)).

\textsuperscript{b}Determined from \(J-V\) measurements under simulated AM 1.5G illumination (1000 W/m\(^2\)).

\[\text{Fig. 7} \quad (\text{a}) \] Field emission scanning electron microscopy cross-sectional view of an inverted ITO/ZnO/P3HT:PCBM/PEDOT:PSS/Au solar cell. Different layers and their thickness are depicted.

\[\text{(b)} \] \(J-V\) curves of different photoactive layer drying techniques.\textsuperscript{81}
Alternatively, some other approaches to later manipulate the bulk morphology once the film has been already deposited from solution have been attempted with successful results. Zhou et al. spin-coated methanol on top of an already dry active layer comprising PTB7:PC70BM. They reported simultaneous improvements on device series resistance, charge mobility, charge recombination, and charge extraction mainly by means of surface modification. All these improvements gave rise to enhanced $J_{sc}$ and FF, which lead to 7.9% PCE devices in comparison to 7.1% for nontreated films.

Finally, a novel approach explores the viability of vapor printing as a fast postprocessing technique. A carrier gas transporting the vapor solvent is delivered through a nozzle promoting local self-assembly of polymer chains. This enables finding an optimal nanostructure in promisingly short times. Changes in the degree of crystallinity led to a twofold increase in PCE with respect to as-cast samples.

### 4 Device Layout/Architectures

All the layers that form an organic solar cell have a direct influence on the performance of the device. Buffer interlayers are of crucial interest in order to increase the efficiency of devices. The working principles behind these—sometimes insulating materials—are not yet totally understood. Some of them are used to smooth out the rough profile of underlying films and avoid shunts. Others are used to modify the work function of the metal and align it to some extent with the HOMO and LUMO levels of the semiconductor, thus favoring an ohmic contact. Last but not least, ionic compounds are believed to form interfacial dipoles that help charge injection/extraction and, at the same time, generate optimal optical interferences that improve the light harvesting of devices.

The device architecture can also alter the efficiency of an organic cell. In some cases, inverting the polarity of the cell can also have a positive influence on device performance due to vertical segregation of the mixed compounds that generate a more favorable donor and acceptor material concentration gradient, leading to a more efficient charge-transport process through the film.

Moreover, the processing of more complicated architectures, such as tandem cells that comprise two connected cells made of polymers with complementary absorption spectra, can also result in high efficiency devices due to enhanced light absorption.

All these device layout/architecture aspects that have a direct influence on the PCE of devices, but are not strictly related to either absorbers’ intrinsic properties or the nanomorphology of the film, will be addressed in this section.

#### 4.1 Alternative Interlayers

An efficient charge extraction process following light absorption and charge generation requires the use of conducting electrodes wisely chosen in order to have energetic levels alignment and, hence, ideally ohmic contacts between the metal and the semiconductor, thus avoiding charge barriers and undesired losses. Depositing an extra buffer layer between the photoactive film and the metallic electrode has been demonstrated as an efficient way to improve the contact properties.

Indium tin oxide (ITO) is typically used as the bottom contact (anode) in conventional configuration organic solar cells. ITO has a high work function around 4.8 eV, which is very

### Table 3  $JV$ characteristics of different photoactive layer drying techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lid type</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>FF</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dry in air</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lid</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lid + CB</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>9.65</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>3.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
dependent on washing treatments. However, these variations and the roughness of the resulting films usually result in important contact losses. In order to improve the quality of this contact and favor the formation of an ohmic contact, a p-type PEDOT:PSS interlayer, which has a work function of 5 eV, has been traditionally used. Due to the acidic nature of PEDOT:PSS and its tendency of being easily degraded in contact with either air or moisture and negatively affecting the stability of the whole device, different transition metal oxides, such as V2O5, MoO3, WO3, and NiO, which are considered more stable, were introduced as alternative p-type interlayers in relatively highly efficient and stable devices.

In the cathode side, instead, calcium was initially inherited from the organic light emitting diode (OLED) development as the hole blocking layer due to its low work function. In spite of the good results obtained for photovoltaic devices in combination with silver, it is, however, very reactive to oxygen and moisture and, thus, device stability is further jeopardized. Therefore, alternative inorganic low work function interlayers were introduced. On one side, inorganic salts, such as LiF, CsF, and MgF2, have been vacuum evaporated in combination with aluminum in order to enhance the contact selectivity and, hence, the PCE. It is believed that due to their high internal dipole moment, thin layers of these materials are able to alter the electrode work function by inducing a shift of the vacuum energy level. Regardless of the increase in efficiency obtained with the use of these materials, they are principally vacuum deposited, which hinders the way toward lower-cost devices based on R2R processing. Solution processable alternatives make use of salts like ZnS, LiAc, or Cs2CO3. Furthermore, polymeric salt compounds, known as polyelectrolytes, have been used with promising results. Also, small molecules with opposing internal charges, zwitterions, have been demonstrated as interfacial layers in organic solar cells. Khan et al. demonstrated efficient conventional configuration P3HT:ICBA based solar cells substituting the Ca layer with a thin film of a hydrophilic polymer processed from a polyethylenimine, 80% ethoxylated solution, PEIE. As a result, devices with a Voc of 0.78 V, Jsc of 9.1 mA cm−2, FF of 65%, and PCE of 4.6% were achieved.

On the other side, as previously commented on for the anode, solution processable films of n-type inorganic semiconductors, such as TiO2 and ZnO, processed from nanoparticle dispersions are typically used as n-type interlayers. Nowadays, many research groups, mainly motivated by the improvement observed in the FF and the parallel resistance, have focused their investigation on alternative interlayers that can further enhance the device’s overall performance.

As it was shown in Sec. Liang et al. improved the PCE of ITO/PEDOT: PSS/PTB7:PC70BM/Ca/Al based organic solar cells from 3.92 to 7.40% by adding 3% of DIO to the primary solvent CB. However, more specifically related to the use of effective interlayers, further improvement was carried out by He et al. when a thin polymeric film of poly [(9,9-bis-(3′-(N,N-dimethylamino) propyl)-2,7-fluorene)-alt-2,7-(9,9-dioctyfluorenone)] (PFN) was incorporated as the cathode interlayer, increasing the PCE to 8.22%. Significant and simultaneous enhancements in Jsc, Voc, and FF were observed. PFN is an alcohol/water soluble conjugated polymer that creates an interfacial dipole between the PFN and the polymeric PTB7:PC70BM blend. Moreover, the incorporation of PFN is believed to exert a strong electric field at the active layer/cathode interface, which may strongly influence charge transport and extraction. Thus, the effects of the interlayer on the improvement of device performance were due to improved charge-transport properties, reduction of any possible space charge effect at the interface, and reduced surface recombination losses. Regarding dipole formation at this interface and related to morphology issues, which were previously addressed in Sec. it has been recently suggested that vertical segregation of the fullerene content toward the cathode can also result in interfacial dipoles of varying strength, which affect the selectivity of the contact in a similar way as buffer interlayers.

Alternatively, Martínez-Otero et al. incorporated bathocuproine (BCP) as the interlayer of the cathode to achieve an optimal optical interference for PBDTTT-C and PTB7 based devices. As a result, for devices processed with BCP as the interlayer instead of Ca, an increment in PCE from 6.3 to 7.5% was observed for PBDTTT-C based cells and from 7.4 to 8.1% for the PTB7 based ones. In the case of PTB7, the increment in PCE was related to the increase in photocurrent due to the enhanced reflectivity of the buffer layer/electrode back contact. For the PBDTTT-C instead, apart from the photocurrent improvement, the FF was also enhanced from 60.3 to 67.9%, which was related to the reduction of recombination at the interfaces.
4.2 Inverted Configuration

As previously commented on at the beginning of Sec. 4, inverting the polarity of the device and processing the electron collecting electrode onto the ITO and the hole collection electrode on top of the active layer has also been successfully used to increase the efficiency of devices made with the same active materials. Ajuria et al. documented this effect for P3HT:PCBM devices for which impressive FFs >70% were reported for inverted designs.120

In the case of PTB7 devices, further improvement in PCE was observed by He et al. when inverting the polarity of the device, reaching a very remarkable 9.2%. As it can be seen in Fig. 8 and Table 4, the efficiency improvement for inverted structure devices is mainly due to the larger $J_{sc}$ with respect to the conventional one, 17.2 mA cm$^{-2}$ in comparison to 15.4 mA cm$^{-2}$, respectively. The drastically enhanced $J_{sc}$ for inverted devices was assigned to an improved ohmic contact, which eases photogenerated charge carrier collection and an optimum photon harvesting of these devices.

The interlayers used for inverted configurations can also be exposed to different treatments in order to modify their intrinsic properties and try to improve device efficiency. Adhikary et al. improved PBDT-TT:PC$_{70}$BM based inverted configuration devices from 6.46 to 8.34% by exposing the cell to UV-ozone.58 This treatment modifies the wurtzite phase crystallinity of the ZnO films, leading to faster film mobilities and improved charge extraction properties. While exposure times around 5 min resulted in an ideal crystalline structure, longer exposure times induced the formation of p-type defects, pushing the ZnO Fermi-level further away from the vacuum level and decreasing the wurtzite crystallinity.

The work function of the materials can also be manipulated by surface modifiers. Zhou et al. demonstrated that compounds based on polymers containing simple aliphatic amine groups can substantially reduce the work function of conductors, including metals, transparent conductive metal oxides, conducting polymers, and graphene. Kyaw et al. incorporated PEIE on top of ZnO to enhance the efficiency of the cell by lowering the work function of ZnO (from 4.5 to 3.8 eV). With this surface modification, the PCE was enhanced from 6.29 to 7.88% thanks to simultaneous enhancements in $J_{sc}$, $V_{oc}$, and FF.

![Fig. 8 Performance for inverted configuration (red filled symbols) (ITO/PFN/PTB7: PC$_{70}$BM/MoO$_3$/Al) and conventional configuration (black open symbols) (ITO/PEDOT: PSS/PTB7:PC$_{70}$BM/PFN/Ca) devices. (a) Current density-voltage measurements and (b) external quantum efficiency (EQE) graph.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Photonics-for-Energy)

![Table 4 Best device performance/parameters for PTB7:PC$_{70}$BM based conventional and inverted solar cells with PFN as interlayer.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Photonics-for-Energy)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Device type</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>8.24</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverted</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>0.740</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverted, tested by CPVT</td>
<td>9.214</td>
<td>17.46</td>
<td>69.99</td>
<td>0.754</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CPVT, National Center of Supervision and Inspection on Solar Photovoltaic Products Quality of China.

---

Downloaded From: https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Photonics-for-Energy on 13 Jul 2019
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4.3 Tandem Cells

The main losses that occur in a solar cell are transmission and thermalization losses [see Fig. 9(a)]. Photons with lower energy than the energy bandgap will not be able to generate excited states, resulting in transmission losses. On the contrary, photons with energies larger than the energy bandgap will generate hot charge carriers that will relax down to the LUMO of the polymer, giving rise to thermalization losses. By stacking together in the same device two cells with polymers that absorb at different wavelengths, i.e., wide- and low-bandgap polymers, these losses can be reduced. The reduction in thermalization losses can be carried out by conversion in the subcell with a wide-bandgap polymer. On the other hand, transmission losses are lowered by absorption of the low energy photons in the subcell with a small-bandgap polymer. This results in polymer solar cells with ideally enhanced power conversion efficiencies.

Tandem solar cells basically consist of stacking two or more devices one on top of the other with an adequate interlayer between them [see Fig. 9(b)]. The first deposited subcell is usually referred to as the front cell, while the one that is deposited on top is referred as the back cell. The connection among the subcells can be performed either in series (two terminals) or in parallel (three terminals). The front layer should ideally absorb only most of the light corresponding to wavelengths of its maximum absorption coefficient. On the contrary, the light that is not absorbed by the front cell, belonging to wavelengths of maximum absorption of the second layer, will be more efficiently absorbed by the polymer of the back cell. Thus, the solar irradiance is absorbed in a more efficient manner without the need of thick layers that can induce charge transport losses.

Simple models have been developed for organic tandem devices in order to predict the efficiency increase when going from single to tandem cells. These models are based on the energy bandgaps of the absorbers used in each subcell and efficiencies up to 15% are estimated with the appropriate combination of materials.

One of the first reports about organic tandem solar cells with two identical small molecule subcells stacked together separated by a thin gold layer was published in 1990 by Hiramoto et al. However, due to the limited choice of small molecule materials with significantly different absorption spectra, they started exploring hybrid tandem cells combining polymer and small molecule subcells in 2005, Kawano et al. reported the first tandem cells composed of two identical polymer BHJ subcells stacked together with an interlayer comprising sputtered ITO and spin-coated PEDOT:PSS. One year later, Hadipour et al. showed a tandem cell consisting of subcells based on low- and wide-bandgap polymers with complementary absorptions. However, all these attempts resulted in efficiencies <5% and many times even below those efficiencies reported for single cells made with one of the same comprising materials. A major breakthrough in the area of polymeric tandem cells was the demonstration of all solution-processable polymer tandem cells with efficiency >6% by Kim et al. More recently, in 2010, Gilot et al. studied the effect of current matching on the tandem device performance, which provides

![Fig. 9](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Photonics-for-Energy/057214-14/Vol.5,2015)

Fig. 9 (a) Thermodynamic losses related to light absorption. (b) Layout of an organic tandem cell with two devices stacked one on top of another.
more insight in order to achieve high-performance tandem cells. It is worth saying that the performance of polymeric tandem cells during the last four years has been limited to $\sim 7\%$ mainly due to the lack of high-performing low-bandgap polymers. Nevertheless, in 2012, Dou et al. designed a new LBG polymer and achieved an inverted configuration tandem device with 8.6% PCE. Recently, Li et al. demonstrated tandem and triple-junction polymer solar cells with power conversion efficiencies of 8.9 and 9.6%, respectively, by combining an LBG polymer known as PMDPP3T (with absorption in the near-infrared region up to 960 nm) and the wide-bandgap polymer PCDTBT.

Single cells based on PMDPP3T∶PC$_{60}$BM and PCDTBT∶PC$_{70}$BM result in devices of 6 and 4.7% PCE, respectively. However, using the same layer thicknesses and stacking the subcells in a tandem cell [see Fig. 10(a)], the PCE was enhanced to 8.9%. Further improvement in the efficiency of the organic solar cell was carried out by adding an additional layer of the same small-bandgap material, creating a triple-junction device, as the one depicted in Fig. 10(d). In a tandem cell, the $J_{sc}$ is limited by the wide-bandgap front cell. However, this limitation is circumvented by splitting the small-bandgap subcell into two separate cells (middle and back cell) with different thicknesses to ensure that both absorb the same number of photons. The increase in $V_{oc}$ of the triple junction (2.09 V with respect to 1.49 V) compensates the loss in $J_{sc}$ [compare Figs. 10(b) and 10(c) with Figs. 10(e) and 10(f)]. In this way, the efficiency was enhanced up to 9.6%.

Efficiencies up to 10.2% have been published by You et al. by stacking together in a tandem device two identical subcells based on PDTP-DFBT∶PC$_{70}$BM. It is worth mentioning that single PDTP-DFBT∶PC$_{70}$BM based devices provide efficiencies of 8.1%. The absorption in the visible part of the tandem cell was significantly increased from 70 to 90% with respect to that of the single cell, suggesting that the performance of single cells for this material is a difficult compromise between light absorption and charge transport. Films of 80 nm are not able to absorb all the light; thicker films of 120 nm yield higher photocurrents (19 mA cm$^{-2}$) but smaller FF (58%) than those for thinner films of 80 nm (17 mA cm$^{-2}$ and 65%, respectively). When implemented in a tandem configuration, however, it is preferred to have efficient charge transport since the lack of absorption of thinner films can be compensated by the extra absorption of the second cell that additionally offers a gain in $V_{oc}$.

The best polymer-fullerene tandem solar cells have a reported certified efficiency of 10.6% [see Fig. 11(b)] and feature a response up to 900 nm. A wide-bandgap polymer, P3HT, was
blended with ICBA in the front cell. As commented previously, this combination allows for a larger $V_{oc}$ in comparison to more standard fullerene derivatives. The LBP PDTP-DBT was used with either PC$_{60}$BM or PC$_{70}$BM as the back cell [see Fig. 11(a)]. In Table 5, the detailed parameters of single and tandem cells are summarized. As can be seen, single cells provide efficiencies of 6.1 and 7.1% for P3HT:ICBA and PDTP-DFBT:PC$_{60}$BM, respectively. It is remarkable that although the use of PC$_{70}$BM with the LBG material shows higher efficiencies than PC$_{60}$BM in single cells, thanks to the extended absorption of the former in comparison to the latter, this is not the case when implemented in tandem configuration. Either the front active film already absorbs at those wavelengths at which PC$_{70}$BM can represent an improvement with respect to PC$_{60}$BM and/or the extra current of the back cell breaks the current matching between the front and the back cell. The photocurrent of the tandem is obviously limited by the subcell with the lowest value. This is clearly the case for the tandem that makes use of PC$_{60}$BM in the back cell, whose photocurrent is very similar to that measured for an equivalent single cell of the front cell. However, when PC$_{70}$BM is used, the currents of both cells are unbalanced and penalize the overall performance of the tandem with additional losses that result in a photocurrent even lower than that of the limiting subcell. The $V_{oc}$ is the perfect summation of each subcell, resulting in the most efficient reported tandem device to date.

Even though, as commented before, triple-junction devices can in some cases minimally improve the performance of tandem cells, they are very difficult and costly to implement. The enhancement obtained in terms of efficiency does not always compensate these two issues and one has to carefully analyze whether this approach is worth taking into account for a potential mass production. In any case, and considering only efficiency issues, an efficient triple-junction tandem organic solar cell with a record conversion efficiency of 11.5% has been recently

![Fig. 11](https://example.com/fig11.png)

**Fig. 11** (a) Device structure of the tandem solar cell. (b) $JV$ characteristics of the tandem cell as measured by NREL.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Devices</th>
<th>$V_{oc}$ (V)</th>
<th>$J_{sc}$ (mA cm$^{-2}$)</th>
<th>FF (%)</th>
<th>PCE (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P3HT:ICBA</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>71.1</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDTP-DBT:PC$_{60}$BM</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>66.2</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDTP-DBT:PC$_{70}$BM</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>65.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3HT:ICBA/PDTP-DBT:PC$_{60}$BM (Tandem 1)</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>68.5</td>
<td><strong>10.6</strong>$^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3HT:ICBA/PDTP-DBT:PC$_{71}$BM (Tandem 2)</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ICBA, indene-C$_{60}$ bis-adduct.

$^a$Values are measured and certified by National Renewable Energy Laboratory.
Three complementary absorbers with bandgap energies from 1.4 to 1.9 eV are used to obtain balanced absorption rates and matched photocurrents among the three subcells. In similar terms, Yusoff et al. made use of a combination of a wide-bandgap, a medium-bandgap, and low-bandgap materials to report double-junction devices of 10.4% and a record efficiency of 11.83% for a triple-junction cell. The wide-bandgap material was a copolymer based on the alternation of dithienolsilole, thiophene, and thiazolothiazole segments (PSEHTT), whose bandgap lies at 1.82 eV, while the medium- and low-bandgap materials were the already introduced PTB7 (1.6 eV) and PMDPP3T (1.3 eV), respectively. Apart from the active materials, this work also make use of all the other concepts mentioned in the other sections of this review, introducing, for example, new interlayers based on lithium zinc oxide and C60 self-assembled monolayers. The resulting devices show an impressive $J_{SC}$ of 10.30 and 7.83 mA cm$^{-2}$, and $V_{oc}$ of 1.54 and 2.24 V for the tandem (PSEHTT:ICBA//PTB7:PC$_{70}$BM) and triple device (PSEHTT:ICBA//PTB7:PC$_{70}$BM//PMDPP3T:PC$_{70}$BM), respectively.

5 Summary

In this paper, we have initially presented the fundamental limits of polymeric solar cells, the factors that limit their performance, and the different possible approaches to maximize this. We have then offered a comprehensive and detailed review of the most efficient attempts to overcome the long ambitioned 10% PCE in polymeric devices. We have divided the development of polymeric solar cells in three categories indicating the most efficient efficiencies achieved for every case:

- **Materials:**
  - 10% PCE devices have been reported for a BDT device (PTB7-Th) incorporating DANs for broad-angle light manipulation.
  - 9% PCE devices have been reported for BDT and dithienopyran- difluorobenzothiadiazole derivatives as PTB7, PBDT-TS1, and PPDT2FBT.
  - 8% PCE devices have been published for:
    - A fluorinated benzothiadiazole unit conjugated to a dithienopyran segment (PDTP-DFBT)
    - Complicated conjugations of the diketopyrrolopyrrole moiety to thiophene-phenylene-thiophene segments in combination to a terthiophene unit (PDPP3Talt/TPT)
    - A fluorinated benzothiadiazole unit conjugated to a benzodithiophene unit (PBDT2FBT)
  - 7% PCE devices are already routinely achieved with the use of multiple diketopyrrolopyrrole and IDT derivatives
  - Traditional fullerene derivatives, such as PC$_{60}$BM and PC$_{70}$BM, are still used in all the most efficient reported devices.

- **Strategies (fabrication/processing procedures):** With the use of additives, solvent mixtures, and postprocessing treatments, it is possible to obtain an accurate control of the bulk morphology that results in improved efficiencies for a large variety of different polymer families.

- **Device layout/architectures:**
  - Alternative interlayers of polymeric films and/or inorganic semiconducting oxides have considerably improved the PCE of devices, reaching 9% for the most efficient donors.
  - Inverted configuration has also been demonstrated as an efficiency improvement for different polymeric donors, in particular for benzothiophenes as PTB7 and PBDTTT with overall efficiencies >9% and 8%, respectively.
  - Tandem cells are the best approach to maximize light harvesting and device efficiency. The record reported polymeric device yields 10.6% and makes use of a single polythiophene, such as P3HT, as the wide-bandgap absorber and a fluorinated...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Junction</th>
<th>Active Materials</th>
<th>PV Performance</th>
<th>[Ref]</th>
<th>Cell structure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Triple Junction</td>
<td>PSEHTT:IC60BA, PTB7-PC71BM, PM6PP3T:PC70BM</td>
<td>PCE= 11.83%, FF= 0.67, Jsc=7.83mAcm-2, Voc= 2.24V</td>
<td>[147]</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Cell structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double Junction</td>
<td>P3HT:ICBA, PTB7-Th:PC71BM, PBDTP-DFBT:PC71BM</td>
<td>PCE= 10.6%, FF= 0.68, Jsc=10.1mAcm-2, Voc= 1.53V</td>
<td>[19]</td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Cell structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single Junction</td>
<td>PTB7-Th:PC70BM</td>
<td>PCE= 10.1%, FF= 0.70, Jsc=19.47mAcm-2, Voc= 0.77V</td>
<td>[56]</td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Cell structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PBDT-TS1:PC71BM</td>
<td>PCE= 9.5%, FF= 0.68, Jsc=17.46mAcm-2, Voc= 0.80V</td>
<td>[59]</td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Cell structure" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PPDT2FBT:PC70BM</td>
<td>PCE= 9.4%, FF= 0.73, Jsc=16.30mAcm-2, Voc= 0.79V</td>
<td>[43]</td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Cell structure" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 12 List of most efficient polymer:fullerene solar cells published to date.
benzothiadiazole derivative (PDTP-DFBT) as the LBG absorber. Three complementary absorbers implemented in a triple-junction solar cell have taken the record efficiency of organic solar cells to 11.83%.

All these concepts and highlights are summarized in Fig. 12.
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