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Abstract. Voltage-sensitive fluorescence indicators enable tracking neuronal electrical signals simultaneously in multiple neurons or neuronal subcompartments difficult to access with patch electrodes. However, efficient widefield epifluorescence detection of rapid voltage fluorescence transients necessitates that imaged cells and structures lie sufficiently far from other labeled structures to avoid contamination from out of focal plane and scattered light. We overcame this limitation by exciting dye fluorescence with one-photon computer-generated holography shapes contoured to axons or dendrites of interest, enabling widefield detection of voltage fluorescence with high spatial specificity. By shaping light onto neighboring axons and dendrites, we observed that dendritic back-propagating action potentials were broader and slowly rising compared with axonal action potentials, differences not measured in the same structures illuminated with a large “pseudowidefield” (pWF) spot of the same excitation density. Shaped illumination trials showed reduced baseline fluorescence, higher baseline noise, and fractional fluorescence transient amplitudes two times greater than trials acquired with pWF illumination of the same regions. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.NPh.2.2.021007]
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1 Introduction

Understanding neuronal input–output transformations requires experimental characterization of how electrical signals generate and propagate in axonal and dendritic arbors. Although whole cell patch clamp techniques have enabled high temporal resolution and high signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) electrical recordings in somata and large dendrites, smaller structures remain difficult to access with patch electrodes. Voltage-sensitive dyes (VSDs) provide an alternative method to track membrane potential and have been effectively imaged with one-photon epifluorescence microscopy to characterize action potential propagation in small diameter axons and dendrites.1–4 Due to the close spatial mingling of neuronal substructures, improving lateral and axial confinement with confocal microscopy could enable discrimination of signals arising from adjacent or overlapping structures; however, the relatively low fractional sensitivity of voltage sensors (∆F/F ∼5% to 20% per 100 mV in brain slices) necessitates excitation densities and collection efficiencies sufficient to overcome high fractional shot noise.5–7 Loss of photon flux through confocal pinhole and lens arrays stipulates extensive signal averaging10 or long integration times11 to increase the S/N. Among efforts to measure voltage transients with two-photon fluorescence,2,13 Acker et al.14 achieved high spatial specificity by implementing two-photon excitation in “single voxel” (nonscanning) mode to record voltage transients in single dendritic spines. However, low fractional voltage dye sensitivity, combined with fast sampling rates necessitated by rapid voltage transients, limits the number of points from which high S/N voltage-sensitive fluorescence changes can be collected in serial.

Here we propose an alternative method to obtain voltage signals from specific structures of interest while preserving the ability to record from several spatial locations in parallel. To this end, we implemented one-photon computer-generated holography (CGH)15 to target VSD excitation over laterally extended regions, imaging epifluorescence signals in widefield mode with a high frame-rate camera. Cells filled with voltage dye were imaged and based on structure shape and location, a phase profile was calculated and addressed to a spatial light modulator (SLM) such that illumination at the sample plane was confined to the dendrite or axon of interest. In contrast with full-field illumination, patterned excitation minimized signal degradation arising from nonspecific autofluorescence, spilled dye, or nearby labeled structures. Importantly, with CGH-shaped illumination we could discriminate kinetic differences between action potential–evoked fluorescence transients recorded from neighboring axons and dendrites, differences that could not be appreciated with widefield illumination. These results suggest that CGH can provide a scanless means to increase the spatial specificity of functional fluorescence measurements.

2 Methods

2.1 Slice Preparation, Cell Loading, and Recording

We prepared slices of somatosensory cortex from wild-type mice (C57BL/6J) in accordance with the guidelines of European Union and institutional guidelines of the care and use of...
laboratory animals (Council directive 86/609 European Economic Community). Male or female mice (3 to 4 weeks of age) were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane (5%) and killed through decapitation. The brain was rapidly removed and placed in ice-cold (<5°C) or room temperature cutting solution containing the following (in mM): 110 choline chloride, 2.5 KCl, 7.0 MgCl₂, 0.5 CaCl₂, 25 NaHCO₃, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 20 glucose, and aerated with 95% O₂, 5% CO₂ to a final pH of 7.4. We dissected the cerebral cortex, blocking it to take coronal slices of somatosensory cortex. The cortical block was glued to an ice-cold stage on a Leica microslicer, and 300 μm slices were cut in ice-cold cutting solution. Cut sections were placed in an incubator at 35°C for 0.5 h in the cutting solution in a chamber containing ACSF (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.0 MgCl₂, 2.0 CaCl₂, 25 NaHCO₃, 1.25 NaH₂PO₄, 20 glucose, and 0.4 L-ascorbic acid. Slices were kept at room temperature for at least 0.5 h before dye loading and recording in a submersion chamber.

2.2 Dye Loading

We visualized recovered cortical slices with Olympus BX51WI's native infrared differential-interference contrast (IR-DIC) path, further magnifying the image onto a scientific complementary metal oxide semiconductor (sCMOS, ORCA Flash 4, Hamamatsu) camera with a 2x/4x magnification changer (Fig. 1 “MC”; Luigs and Neumann, Ratingen, Germany) mounted above the tube lens. We loaded neurons with VSD with a glass patch electrode by filling the tip with dye-free internal solution (in mM: 130 K-gluconate, 7 KCl, 4 ATP-Mg, 0.3 GTP-Na, 10 phosphocreatine-Na, 10 HEPES; adjusted to pH 7.4 and 284 mol/kg), then back-filling with the dye-containing internal solution (JPW3028, 400 to 800 μM), and performed whole-cell somatic recordings from the selected neuron under DIC optics. The dye JPW3028 is a μChanger (Fig. 1[2]). Dye-free solution in the tip several control measurements showing that the waveform of series of lipophilic VSDs available from Invitrogen as D-6923. The dye JPW3028 is a μChanger (Fig. 1[2]).

2.3 Computer-Generated Holography

Dye-loaded cells were illuminated with a 450 mW frequency-doubled diode-pumped Nd:YVO₄ low-noise laser emitting at 532 nm (Fig. 1 “LS”); MLL-FN-532-450-5-LAB-TTL, Changchun New Industries Optoelectronics Tech. Co. Ltd., Changchun, China) with CGH to achieve desired spatial patterns of light at the objective focal plane. Specifically, the laser beam (Fig. 1, LS) is attenuated with a neutral density (ND) filter, a half-wave plate (λ/2) and polarizing beam splitter, reading and adjusting the power with a removable mirror (M2), and a power meter (PM, Newport 818-ST2). Lenses L₁ (f = 19 mm) and L₂ (f = 150 mm), and pinhole (PH, d = 15 μm) clean and expand the beam to fill the active area of the liquid crystal on silicon spatial light modulator (LCOS-SLM, Hamamatsu X10468-01). Lenses L₁ (f = 400 mm) converts the modulated wavefront into a spatial light pattern, which is demagnified into the sample plane by a telescope formed by L₁, L₂ (f = 200 mm) and the microscope objective (Fig. 1 “OBJ,” Olympus LUMFLN 60XW, NA = 1.1, f = 5 mm). The 532-nm fluorescence excitation light is reflected through the objective by a 560-nm long-pass dichroic (FP560-FDI01-25x36, Semrock, Rochester, New York). Fluorescence transmitted through the dichroic is long-pass filtered (F₅₉₃₋₃, FF01-593/LP-25, Semrock) and imaged onto a high speed scientific-CMOS camera (sCMOS, Hamamatsu ORCA Flash 4.0) or electron multiplying charge-coupled device (EMCCD, Andor 860 iXon3) by the native Olympus tube lens (L₁B) and MC used to target patch clamp electrodes. In order to block the zero-order non phase-modulated component reflected from the SLM, we introduced a defocus in the beam by adjusting the distance between L₁BE₁ and L₂BE₂ in order to displace the zero-order focus by 30 to 40 mm after the Fourier plane of the first lens. The diffracted first order, the defocus was compensated with a spherical Fresnel lens at the SLM. Thus, with the zero-order displaced 30 to 40 mm from the effective Fourier plane of L₁, we could block the unwanted zero-order component with a point block [Fig. 1(a), “BL” tape on cover slip] without perturbing the propagation of the hologram (first-order beam). Phase holograms were calculated with an iterative Fourier transform algorithm (IFTA). The IFTA-generated phase profiles were computed and addressed to the SLM using “Wavefront Designer IV,” in house software written in C++ with Qt 4.4.0 and fttw 3.1.2.

After the dye diffusion period, we imaged the neuron’s dendrites and axon at depths of 10 to 50 μm, weakly illuminating (excitation density ~1nw/μm²) with a CGH-generated square shape (100 μm) leaving a light-free slot in the center ~30 μm wide [see Fig. 1(b)]. Positioning the soma in this light free slot enabled examination of axonal and dendritic structures, while sparing the dye-filled soma from unnecessary photodamage. Low-power density illumination was paired with long (500 ms) camera integration time and binning (2x2 or 4x4) to maximize collection and minimize light exposure and photodamage to stained axons and dendrites during preimage acquisition. We then used fluorescence images of the axon and dendrites to define the spatial patterns of illumination for VSD signal acquisition trials, sculpted to the structure’s contours [Fig. 1(c)]. For VSD signal acquisition, we decreased the frame integration time to 156 μs (frame rate = 0.61 kHz) and modulated the laser power to achieve an excitation density in the range of 0.6 to 10 μW/μm² across the axon- or dendrite-shaped region. Laser light was gated onto the cell with a high-speed shutter [Fig. 1(a)] “Sh”; Uniblitz LS6, driver D880C; Rochester, New York] for 10 to 30 ms trials, with 1 to 2 min intertrial intervals. During each trial, we stimulated action potentials with brief current pulses (5 to 10 ms, 400 to 800 pA) applied through a dye-free pipette patched in whole-cell configuration. Electrical and optical waveforms were monitored.
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for significant changes in width and fall-time indicative of photodamage, at which point the experiment was discontinued. CGH-sculpted light illumination trials were interleaved with “pseudowidefield” (pWF) trials illuminated with a large diameter (25 to 40 μm) spot with poor axial confinement [Fig. 1d]), increasing laser power to maintain an excitation density equal to that of the sculpted light trials.

Current clamp signals were recorded at 20kHz with a MultiClamp 700B amplifier and 1440A digitizer (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, California), which also triggered camera acquisition and shutter opening. Micromanager24 piloted the sCMOS and Andor Solis drove the EMCCD. We analyzed fluorescence signals during experiments with the ImageJ25 time series plug-in and custom MATLAB scripts. Posthoc image visualization, processing, analysis, and statistics were performed in ImageJ and “VKAT: Voltage-imaging Kinetics Analysis Tool,” a wxpython-based GUI leveraging modules Numpy and Matplotlib.

**Fig. 1** Computer-generated holography (CGH) schematic and axial propagation. (a) The beam emitting from the solid-state 532 nm, 450 mW laser (LS) is attenuated with a neutral density filter (ND), a half-wave plate (λ/2) and polarizing beam splitter (BS), reading and adjusting the power with removable mirror (M2), and a power meter (PM). Lenses $L_{BE1}$ ($f = 19$ mm) and $L_{BE2}$ ($f = 150$ mm), and pinhole (PH, $d = 15$ μm) clean and expand the beam to fill the active area of the spatial light modulator. Lens $L_1$ ($f = 400$ mm) converts the modulated wavefront into a spatial light pattern, which is demagnified into the sample plane by a telescope formed by $L_2$ ($f = 200$ mm) and the objective (NA = 1.1, $M = 60\times, f = 5$ mm). The zero-order component is blocked by $B_{L0}$ before the beam enters the Olympus BX51WI microscope. The 532-nm fluorescence excitation light is reflected through the objective by a 560LP dichroic. Fluorescence transmitted by the dichroic is long-pass filtered ($F_{EM}, 593LP$) and imaged onto a high-speed scientific-CMOS camera (sCMOS) by the native Olympus tube lens ($L_{TB}$) and magnification changer (MC). (b) Scheme of large shaped “square with slot” illumination used for imaging dendrites and axons before voltage imaging measurement. The squared spot (100 μm) has a light-free region in the center (of around 30 μm width) to spare the dye filled soma from unnecessary illumination and photodamage. The lateral shape masks (green) are specified based on widefield epifluorescence images of voltage-sensitive dye (VSD) filled structures. (c) Simulated axial propagation profile of light shaped to the contours of the axons or dendrites of interest. Full width at half maximum (FWHM) z-confinement is 7.1 μm. (d) Simulated axial propagation profile of large-spot “pseudowidefield” (pWF) illumination. FWHM z-confinement is 50.1 μm.
2.4 Axial Propagation Simulations

In order to estimate and compare the $z$-confinement of CGH shaped and “pWF” configurations, we simulated the distribution of the holographic beam propagating along the optical axis around the objective focal plane as described in Ref. 26. Briefly, we calculated the beam irradiance around the objective focal plane after the input phase hologram propagates through the telescope formed by $L_1$, $L_2$, and the objective using the angular spectrum approach of plane waves with a thin element approximation. Lutz et al.26 demonstrated that the simulations faithfully predict the experimentally measured propagation of shaped, CGH-generated spots. Although these simulations do not factor in depth-dependent brain tissue scattering, Zahid et al.18 have shown that holographic spots maintain axial confinement at depths of 30 $\mu$m in hippocampal slices. The axial confinement of the simulated beam propagation was quantified as full width at half maximum (FWHM) of intensity averaged over the “dendrite shaped” region of interest (ROI) in each axial plane [Fig. 1(c)].

\[
\frac{dF}{F} = \frac{F - F_{\text{BL}}}{F_{\text{BL}} - F_{\text{dark}}},
\]

where $F_{\text{dark}}$ is the average trace intensity 3 ms before the shutter opens and $F_{\text{BL}}$ is the average “baseline” trace intensity during 3 ms after shutter opening but before spiking. For each trace, we also quantified the S/N:

\[
S/N = \frac{\mu}{\sigma},
\]

where

\[
\mu = \frac{dF}{F_{\text{MAX}}}
\]

and $\sigma =$ root-mean-square-deviation of samples acquired during “baseline” (BL, $t=1:n$):

\[
\sigma = \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \left( \frac{dF}{F_{t}} - \left( \frac{dF}{F_{\text{BL}}} \right) \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}.
\]

We quantified differences between the kinetics (spike width, rise time) and S/N characteristics between axonal- and dendritic-generated waveforms, as well as CGH and “pWF” (large spot) configurations.

3 Results

We utilized CGH to confine VSD fluorescence excitation light to an axon or dendrite of interest [Figs. 1(e), 2(b), and 2(c)], comparing signals obtained in this fashion to those illuminated in “pWF” that is with a large spot of light with poor axial confinement [Figs. 1(d) and 2(a)]. CGH-sculpted illumination generated an excitation spot that precisely covered the structure of interest and corresponded to approximately 1/20 of the area of the 25 to 40 $\mu$m-diameter circles used for pWF trials [Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)]. Axial propagation simulations of 532 nm CGH-generated shapes predict axial confinement between 7.0 and 9.6 $\mu$m for shaped illumination [Fig. 1(c)], and between 41 and 66 $\mu$m for pWF spots [Fig. 1(d)]. Both CGH and “pWF” excitation of dye in axons and dendrites generated fluorescence signals from which spike waveforms could be resolved in single trials [Figs. 2(a)–2(c) and 2(e)].

3.1 Targeted Fluorophore Excitation Enables Signal Discrimination in Neighboring Structures

We found that CGH-shaped voltage dye fluorescence excitation in neighboring axons and dendrites enabled discrimination of differing action potential kinetics not possible with large-field illumination. Specifically, we compared 10% to 90% rise time and FWHM of action potential-evoked fluorescence transients recorded in axons and dendrites illuminated with CGH-sculpted shapes in alternate trials (Fig. 2). Corresponding to previously reported electrical27,28 and optical measurements,2,4,5 action potential rise time and FWHM were shorter ($p$-values $\leq 0.05$ Student’s $t$-test; Figs. 2(b), 2(c), 2(e), and 2(g)) in axons (FWHM: 1.62 ms $\pm$ 0.24 standard error of the mean, S.E.M.; rise time: 522.1 $\mu$s $\pm$ 95.6 S.E.M., $n =$ 3 trials from two cells) than in dendrites (FWHM: 2.37 ms $\pm$ 0.30 S.E.M.; rise time: 1154.6 $\mu$s $\pm$ 194.0 S.E.M.; $n =$ 4 trials from two cells). Displayed action potential-evoked fluorescence transients...
emanating for the dendrite [Fig. 2(b)] and axon [Fig. 2(c)] were collected in separate trials since these structures occupied two different planes of focus. Figure 2(e) redisplays these two traces, amplitude normalized and peak aligned to show the difference in spike kinetics undetectable with pWF illumination [Fig. 2(a)]. Trials interleaved in which neighboring axons and dendrites were simultaneously illuminated with large spots did not show differences [Fig. 2(a), 2(f), and 2(g), p-values > 0.3 Student’s t-test] in spike kinetics in the same axonal (FWHM: 1.87 ms ± 0.09 S.E.M.; rise time: 618.5 μs ± 68.2 S.E.M.; n = 4 trials in two cells) and dendritic ROIs (FWHM: 1.80 ms ± 0.15 S.E.M.; rise time: 600.2 μs ± 170.2 S.E.M.; n = 3 trials in two cells), even though these structures occupied different planes of focus.

3.2 Targeted Excitation Increases Fractional Spike-Evoked Transient Amplitude but not Signal-To-Noise

Paired comparisons of CGH shaped (n = 4) or “pWF” (n = 4) trials revealed significantly decreased background fluorescence (F_{Bkg} = F_{BL} – F_{dark}, averaged over time postshutter opening and prespike), increased RMS noise and increased peak action potential evoked dF/F [dF/F_{MAX} summarized by Fig. 3(table)]. Although inhomogeneous partitioning of the lipophilic voltage dye precludes comparison of the signal amplitude between axonal and dendritic compartments, here we compare dF/F_{MAX} emanating from the same ROIs under sculpted and pWF illumination. Asterisks (*) indicate Student’s t-test p-values ≤ 0.05.
Table 1 Comparison of baseline fluorescence, signal noise, spike-waveform amplitude, and signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). The table shows mean and standard error of the mean for trials taken with CGH-shaped VSD excitation and large-spot “pWF” excitation: (1) background fluorescence ($F_{bg} = F_{BL} - F_{dark}$, postshutter opening and prespike) fluorescence in arbitrary units (A.U.) after dark (preshutter opening) value subtraction; (2) root-mean-square (RMS) noise of the prespike signal (%$dF/F$); (3) maximum $dF/F$ (%) of the action potential-evoked fluorescence transient, and; (4) the S/N ratios. Paired Student’s $t$-tests indicate significant increases in RMS noise and peak $dF/F$, and reduction baseline fluorescence between CGH shaped and pWF trials, illustrated as a percent change in the bar graph (lower). There was no significant difference in S/N between CGH shaped and pWF trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>$F_{bg}$ (A.U.)</th>
<th>RMS (d$F/F$)</th>
<th>$dF/F_{max}$ (%)</th>
<th>S/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CGH shaped</td>
<td>576 ± 237</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.48</td>
<td>16.7 ± 3.45</td>
<td>6.43 ± 1.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pseudowidefield</td>
<td>1859 ± 871</td>
<td>1.31 ± 0.19</td>
<td>8.81 ± 0.81</td>
<td>6.07 ± 1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.42 (N.S.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 Comparison of baseline fluorescence, signal noise, spike-waveform amplitude, and signal-to-noise ratio (S/N). The table shows mean and standard error of the mean for trials taken with CGH-shaped VSD excitation and large-spot “pWF” excitation: (1) background fluorescence ($F_{bg} = F_{BL} - F_{dark}$, postshutter opening and prespike) fluorescence in arbitrary units (A.U.) after dark (preshutter opening) value subtraction; (2) root-mean-square (RMS) noise of the prespike signal (%$dF/F$); (3) maximum $dF/F$ (%) of the action potential-evoked fluorescence transient, and; (4) the S/N ratios. Paired Student’s $t$-tests indicate significant increases in RMS noise and peak $dF/F$, and reduction baseline fluorescence between CGH shaped and pWF trials, illustrated as a percent change in the bar graph (lower). There was no significant difference in S/N between CGH shaped and pWF trials.

4 Discussion

We demonstrate that the CGH light targeting to neuronal structures enables functional fluorescence transient spatial specificity unachievable with widefield epifluorescence. Importantly, differences in action potential kinetics between adjacent axons and dendrites could be discerned with targeted CGH illumination, but not with pWF illumination. This gain in spatial specificity, despite reducing baseline fluorescence and total photon flux, did not decrease signal-to-noise ratios (Fig. 3, S/N). Specifically, the increased baseline noise (RMS$_{BL}$) was compensated by increased transient $dF/F$, presumably due to the higher fraction of photons emanating from external membrane-embedded fluorophores undergoing synchronous electrochromatic shifts during action potential propagation. In the pWF case, increased baseline fluorescence drives an expected decrease in RMS noise, as shot-noise decreases in proportion to the square root of photon flux. These additional photons could originate from autofluorescence, spilled dye, or labeled structures outside of the focal plane and contribute asynchronously or nonspecifically to the spike-evoked fluorescence transient. Hence, although targeted illumination recruited lower photon fluxes, a higher fraction of light evolved from fluorophores subject to near-simultaneous transmembrane potential changes, as evidenced by increased peak spike-evoked $dF/F$, on average 16% compared to 9% for pWF illumination of the same ROIs (Fig. 3). In certain trials, spike-evoked peak $dF/F$ approached values closer to theoretically estimated limits for electrochromic probes [28] [for example, 26% in Fig. 2(b)] than the values typically (~10% per 100 mV) achieved in axons and dendrites in scattering tissue.

In addition to arbitrary lateral patterning capabilities, CGH light targeting confined fluorescence excitation to ~10 μm in the axial direction for light patterns shaped to cover 10 to 20 μm segments of axons and dendrites [Fig. 1(c) and 1(d)]. Amplitude-based one-photon methods for lateral light shaping, including light-emitting diode arrays [30] and digital micromirror devices, [31,32] do not achieve optical sectioning. Moreover, in contrast with confocal methods for excitation focal volume confinement, CGH enables simultaneous parallel illumination of the entire ROI, removing constraints on the sample rate imposed by serial scanning travel and dwell time. Moreover, CGH provides gains in collection efficiency since fluorescence can be imaged in widefield without losses through pinholes or lens arrays. Increasing spatial specificity without sacrificing information-bearing photons in the collection path is especially important for shot-noise–limited functional fluorescence measurements, in which the S/N scales in proportion to the square root of the photon flux. Although not quantified here, the lateral and axial confinement of CGH-shaped excitation may also prevent photodamage in neighboring dye-containing structures. In the future, the axial sectioning capability of CGH can be further exploited in two-photon with temporal focusing, [33–35] which confines two-photon fluorescence by geometrically dispersing laser pulses, thus reducing peak power outside of the focal plane. Although for the one-photon CGH case axial confinement degrades linearly in proportion to lateral area, [20] with temporal focusing the lateral area and axial confinement are decoupled for laterally extended regions. [36] In addition, temporally focused shapes show high robustness to scattering, further recommending this approach for extended shape fluorescence excitation in depth. [36,37]

One undisputable disadvantage of CGH is the inhomogeneous light distribution within generated light patterns. These intensity “speckles” vary by 15% to 20% for one-photon excitation, and up to ~50% for two-photon, due to the quadratic dependence of the signal on the excitation density. Speckles arise primarily from the approximation in the IFTA and cross talk between adjacent pixels of the LCOS-SLM. Techniques such as rotating diffusers [37] and phase mask shift-averaging [28] can average over speckles and smooth the spatial distribution, but at the cost of light efficiency, axial or temporal resolution. Speckle-free illumination can also be accomplished with generalized phase contrast [35,39] and interferometric methods for light shaping. Overcoming the problem of speckle, especially in the two-photon case, will be important since large intensity fluctuations can exceed photodamage thresholds.

In conjunction with the rapidly expanding toolbox of organic [28,29,41–43] and protein-based, genetically targetable voltage-sensitive calcium and neurotransmitter reporters, holographic light shaping can enable high S/N, parallel detection of neural-evoked fluorescence transients from neighboring cells or subcellular compartments. Here, we have demonstrated that light targeting increases spatial specificity even for cases in which the dye itself is confined to the membrane of a single cell. Targeted light spatial specificity gains could prove more critical with dye bulk loading or with dense expression of genetically targeted voltage-sensitive fluorescent proteins.
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