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Abstract. Emerging all-optical methods provide unique possibilities for noninvasive studies of physiological processes at the cellular and subcellular scale. On the one hand, superresolution microscopy enables observation of living samples with nanometer resolution. On the other hand, light can be used to stimulate cells due to the advent of optogenetics and photolyzable neurotransmitters. To exploit the full potential of optical stimulation, light must be delivered to specific cells or even parts of cells such as dendritic spines. This can be achieved with computer generated holography (CGH), which shapes light to arbitrary patterns by phase-only modulation. We demonstrate here in detail how CGH can be incorporated into a stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscope for photostimulation of neurons and monitoring of nanoscale morphological changes. We implement an original optical system to allow simultaneous holographic photostimulation and superresolution STED imaging. We present how synapses can be clearly visualized in live cells using membrane stains either with lipophilic organic dyes or with fluorescent proteins. We demonstrate the capabilities of this microscope to precisely monitor morphological changes of dendritic spines after stimulation. These all-optical methods for cell stimulation and monitoring are expected to spread to various fields of biological research in neuroscience and beyond. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction in any form requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.NPh.3.4.041806]

Keywords: STED; STED microscopy; holography; computer generated holography; spine morphology; plasticity; photostimulation; uncaging.

1 Introduction

Optical developments of the last years provide unique possibilities for the observation and active control of physiological processes at the cellular and subcellular scale.1

Recordings with high spatial resolution at physiological conditions can be provided by optical superresolution methods,2,3 stimulated emission depletion (STED) microscopy,4 achieves spatial resolution in the nanometer range5,6 and temporal resolution up to the millisecond range.7–10 Due to this high time resolution and compatibility with living thick tissues, it is a valuable tool for functional imaging in neuroscience.

The ability to observe neurons at the subcellular scale of dendrites, axons, and synapses is critical for understanding neuronal function. Neurons form connections for intercellular signal transmission at synapses. The postsynaptic site of most excitatory synapses is formed by spines, which are protrusions from dendrites with dimensions varying from below 100 nm for their neck diameter up to a few microns for total length. The morphology of these spines plays an essential role for the synaptic function,11,12 for synaptic plasticity,13,14 and, more generally, for brain development.15 It was found that the size of spines correlates with synaptic strength,16–18 and special influence was attributed to the nanoscaled diameter of their neck.14 In particular, the spine morphology is likely to be important for long-term potentiation (LTP), involved in learning and memory processes,19,20 and it is therefore important to observe the neurons with a method that is capable of resolving the details of spine shape.12 STED microscopy has been successfully used to visualize and analyze dendritic-spine morphology in cell cultures,13,14,21,22,23 brain slices,11,14,22,23 and even in vivo.24,25 Changes in spine morphology after chemical LTP (Refs. 12 and 22) and glutamate uncaging26 could be observed, and it could be shown that spine neck plasticity regulates compartmentalization of synapses.14 Changes in the actin cytoskeleton of spines were revealed in vivo27 and potential correlations between the amplitude of uncaging-evoked excitatory postsynaptic potentials with spine morphology have been investigated.27

In addition to noninvasive observation with light microscopy, noninvasive and spatially refined stimulation of biological systems can also be achieved optically due to the development of caged photolyzable components,26,28 such as neurotransmitters and more recently of optogenetics.29–31 Both for optogenetics and uncaging, the light should ideally be delivered to the specimen in a way that allows targeting single excitable units, which can be specific parts of a tissue, single cells, or even subcellular compartments, such as neuronal dendrites or spines.32–34 In the case of dendritic spine observation, this is all the more important since it has been shown that dendritic spines exhibit collective...
behaviors even when separated by several microns. Also, nonlinear synaptic integration along dendrites has been found. Therefore, methods to observe the evolution of morphological changes of spines or groups of spines under spatially flexible stimulation have to be devised.

Computer generated holography (CGH) is efficient both for two-dimensional and three-dimensional light patterning with submicron resolution. Light patterning is achieved by modulating the wavefront of the incident light in the back focal plane of the objective. Phase-only modulation conserves all the incoming laser power and efficiently redistributes light energy in the sample so that no light is wasted. Consequently, CGH enables simultaneous excitation with arbitrary patterns, overcoming the sequential targeting imposed by the use of galvano-metric mirrors. CGH was used to address dendritic integration on apical dendrites in acute brain slices and to control the kinetics of glutamate-evoked currents.

However, holographic stimulation and superresolution imaging have never been combined. Such an optical system would open the possibility for detailed all-optical control and observation of brain circuits, enabling fundamental discoveries about synapse functioning.

Here, we implement an original optical system for simultaneous holographic photostimulation and superresolution STED imaging. We demonstrate the capabilities of this microscope to precisely monitor morphological changes of dendritic spines after holographic uncaging of glutamate at individually targeted spines.

2 Results

2.1 Optical Setup

A STED microscope is combined here with CGH for photostimulation of neurons (Fig. 1) and subsequent monitoring of nanoscale morphological changes.

The STED microscope reaches a resolution below 50 nm and was described in detail earlier. The excitation beam is derived from a supercontinuum source realized as a photonic crystal fiber (PCF), which generates a broad white-light spectrum from the infrared pulses of the Ti:Sa laser. The excitation wavelength of choice is selected with a band-pass filter. One-photon excitation (532 nm, \( \sim 1 \mu \text{W} \)) is used. A wavelength-tunable depletion beam with a narrow spectrum is generated by wavelength-converting the infrared pulses with an optical parametric oscillator (OPO) [Fig. 1(a)]. Multicolor excitation is then possible in principle. Both stage- and beam-scanning were implemented. Beam-scanning allows keeping the sample stationary relative to the CGH stimulation pattern. For beam-scanning, a two-axis piezo scanner is used, which tilts a single mirror in two orthogonal axes. Therefore, only a single telescope (not shown in Fig. 1) is required to perfectly conjugate the scanning mirror to the aperture of the objective. A mirror under the objective flips the optical axis from the horizontal to a vertical position before entering the objective as in a usual inverted microscope. Implementing this mirror [DM3 in Fig. 1(a)] as a dichroic mirror allows merging the STED-imaging and the holographic stimulation part with a minimum of optical elements. A 6-mm-thick substrate instead of the common 1-mm-thick dichroics is used to ensure that the mirror is not distorted and delivers aberration-free reflections. All light for STED imaging (excitation beam, STED beam, and fluorescence) is reflected by this short-pass dichroic mirror, while the light

![Fig. 1 Optical recording combined with optical control.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Neurophotonics)
for photostimulation (403 nm) is transmitted. This reflecting configuration for the STED part of the microscope is chosen in order to avoid possible aberrations introduced by transmission through the dichroic mirror. Aberrations introduced in the CGH beam path are less critical as they can be corrected by adding compensating phase profiles to the holograms on the phase modulator (as detailed below). Before reflection on the dichroic mirror, the polarization of the STED beam is vertical, thus maintaining linear polarization after reflection. An achromatic quarter-wave-plate is placed between the dichroic beam splitter and the objective lens so that the STED beam is circularly polarized in the sample plane. Circular polarization is critical to have zero intensity at the center of the depleting donut.

For CGH, a spatial light modulator (SLM) is placed in a plane that is conjugated to the back focal plane of the microscope objective. Usually, the SLM is implemented as a nematic liquid crystal device that allows shifting the phase of the incident light pixel-wise. Full control of the wavefront is thus achieved. An iterative Gerchberg-Saxton algorithm is commonly used to generate the desired target light distribution in the front focal plane of the objective (the sample plane) by phase modulation.

The SLM is typically illuminated with a collimated laser beam and imaged onto the back focal plane of the objective (BFP0) with a telescope in 4f configuration. In this case, the SLM is in the object focal plane of the first telescope lens (L1 in Fig. 1(a)); the distance between the telescope lenses is the sum of their focal lengths \( f_1 + f_2 \), and the BFP0 is in the focal plane of the second telescope lens (L2). The total distance between the SLM and the BFP0 is four focal lengths of the telescope lenses, and hence the name. Then the two main requirements of CGH are fulfilled: on the one hand, projecting the light pattern in the sample plane of the objective and on the other hand, imaging the SLM into the BFP0 (to relay the phase modulation into the BFP0).

For combination with STED microscopy, the following constraints have to be considered: (1) The objective is a 100x objective whose back aperture is smaller than the SLM. In addition, a large field of view (100 μm) is desired. As a consequence, a demagnification by more than a factor of 5 from the SLM to the BFP0 was necessary \( f_1/f_2 = 5.36 \). (2) For space constraints, especially the presence of the dichroic mirror for combining the STED beam path with the holography beam path, a minimal distance from the last telescope lens L2 to the objective O has to be met. (3) The total beam path should not be unreasonably long for mechanical stability. Especially problematic can be the distance of the SLM to the first telescope lens L1, because it can become very long in a 4f system due to the constraints of points 1 and 2. (4) The STED beam path should not be deteriorated by the holography for optimal superresolution imaging. Optical elements that are unnecessary for imaging should therefore be avoided in the STED beam path. In contrast, aberrations in the holography beam path can be corrected with SLM. (5) Light that has not been diffracted by SLM (zero-order) has to be blocked in an intermediate image plane. (6) A mirror in a plane conjugated to the sample plane is desired for alignment purposes.

Therefore, the setup is conceived as a non-4f system. This allows increasing the distance between the microscope objective and the lens L2, while decreasing the distance from the SLM to L1. Nevertheless, the SLM is still imaged into the BFP0. Furthermore, the distance between the two lenses of the telescope, L1 and L2, is chosen larger than the sum of their focal lengths. The zero-order is thus focused before the (intermediate) image plane in the telescope, where it can be easily blocked without introducing a central obstruction in the field of excitation. In order to shift the illumination profiles back into the sample plane, a divergent Fresnel lens is added to the phase profiles of SLM. Since no beam block is needed in the intermediate image plane, a mirror can be placed there for ease of alignment. This allows centering the holographic stimulation beam in the aperture of the objective without shifting the stimulation pattern in the sample plane. By demagnifying the image of the SLM in the back focal plane of the objective \( f_2 > f_1 \), diffraction angles are increased by the same factor. Therefore, holographic patterns can be projected into a large field of view in the sample plane. We trade a large field of view for some resolution of the holographic patterns (imaging resolution is unaffected), by underfilling the back aperture of the objective. Diffraction-limited holographic spots have a size of 450 nm. This underfilling does not effectively degrade our resolution for uncaging, because diffusion is anyways fast at this spatial scale, giving a stimulation specificity at the micrometer scale.

### 2.2 Relative Alignment of Stimulation and STED Imaging

Photostimulation and imaging must be superposed to enable choosing targets for photostimulation in the fluorescence images. Alignment is performed by bleaching diffraction-limited spots [Fig. 1(b)] with CGH in a fluorescent spin-coated layer that is subsequently imaged [Fig. 1(c)]. Overlaying the observed bleached spots with the intended spot positions confirms the alignment [Fig. 1(d)]. An illustration of the computer-generated hologram displayed on the SLM is shown in Fig. 1(e). It is dominated by the Fresnel lens that is used to axially shift the desired diffracted light pattern from the nondiffracted zero-order light. Rotating the hologram allows correcting by software for a rotation of the field of view of the imaging with respect to SLM. When the hologram is calculated without the Fresnel lens, a superposition of blazed gratings is visible [Fig. 1(f)], as expected for the projection of several points into one plane.

### 2.3 Aberration Correction

The projection of the hologram from the SLM to the BFP0 traverses the dichroic mirror that combines the STED imaging part and holography part of the setup. Because the holographic beam is, in general, not collimated and the dichroic mirror is thick (to ensure its flatness, see above) and mounted at an angle of 45 deg relative to the holographic beam, aberrations are introduced and have to be corrected by precompensation on the SLM. According phase profiles are therefore added to the holograms. Individual aberrations are represented as Zernike polynomials. The compensation is adjusted manually under visual control on a camera by projecting diffraction-limited spots on a homogeneous fluorescent layer.

### 2.4 Imaging of Dendritic Spines

The study of induced dynamic changes in spine morphology requires a staining protocol with the following properties: (1) the staining has to label spine morphology in sufficient detail; (2) it has to be live-cell compatible; and (3) there should be a minimal spectral cross-talk between the wavelengths used for stimulation of the neurons and imaging.
In a first set of experiments, we therefore investigate staining options for primary cultured hippocampal neurons. In both staining examples, STED microscopy reveals a multitude of protrusions from the dendrites. In cell cultures, many dendrites show the classical form of spines, i.e., with a globular head connected to the dendritic shaft via a constricted region (the neck) [Fig. 3(a)], whereas others show a large variety of forms [Fig. 3(b)], which can be mistaken for classical spines if the optical resolution is insufficient. A striking example is shown in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d): a protrusion is resolved by STED microscopy into an unusual form of a fork-like structure with three sub-branches [Fig. 3(c)], but it looks like a spine of the mushroom type at confocal resolution [Fig. 3(d)]. These neurons are cultured cells whose morphology may be different in more physiological environments. However, these images demonstrate the requirement for superresolution imaging when imaging spines and studying synaptic plasticity.

### 2.5 Targeted Photostimulation and Superresolution Monitoring

To evaluate the possibility to stimulate and observe neurons noninvasively, we target selected spines optically with CGH for stimulation with glutamate uncaging. We observe the morphological changes of single spines with STED microscopy in response to stimulation. To this end, 4-Methoxy-7-nitroindolinyl-caged-L-glutamate (MNI-glutamate) is added to the extracellular solution. MNI-glutamate is an inactive, caged form of the neurotransmitter glutamate, which releases active glutamate when photolysed with 403 nm light, but not at our imaging wavelengths of 532 and 630 nm.

First, a synapse is identified [Fig. 4(a), arrow]. The shape of the dendritic spine before glutamate uncaging is recorded [Fig. 4(b), magenta]. Using CGH, glutamate is then released specifically onto this spine to induce synaptic plasticity [Fig. 4(a), inset]. To this end, a train of 40 light pulses of 403 nm wavelength is delivered at 1 Hz. Each pulse has a length of 2 ms; laser power is 5 mW. Compaction of this spine is seen 25 min post-stimulation [Fig. 4(b), green], suggesting that long-term depression occurred. This spine shrinkage continues and becomes more prominent after 50 min [Fig. 4(c)]. A nonstimulated spine does not change its morphology after 25 min [Fig. 4(d)].

### 3 Summary and Discussion

We have presented an optical system for noninvasive control and observation of neuronal processes like synaptic plasticity.
occurring at a submicron scale. The arrangement is based on STED microscopy for superresolution imaging and CGH for precise optical stimulation. These techniques are combined with a membrane stain via lipophilic dyes for sharp visualization of neurites and with caged neurotransmitters for enabling the light-induced stimulation of neurons via natural pathways. The system is tested using cell cultures, but both STED microscopy and CGH perform well in more physiological contexts like brain slices and even in vivo. In slices, STED imaging can reach a depth of at least 120 μm. We have shown here that STED imaging of spines marked with a lipophilic membrane dye is possible over 50 min (typically about one image every 10 min). The possibility of repeated STED imaging of neurons has also been confirmed in other studies, for spines and axons. Both STED microscopy and CGH can use two-photon excitation. The use of temporal focusing for CGH ensures the confinement of uncaging also for laterally extended light patterns.

CGH is integrated in a home-built STED microscope according to an original design for relaying the SLM into the back focal plane of the objective (Fig. 1). Staining neurons with membrane-targeted fluorescent proteins or lipophilic membrane dyes is tested and allows the visualization of details of dendritic spines and synapses. Pre- and postsynaptic membranes are stained (Fig. 2), which enables the visualization of complete synapses. Noticeably, not all cells are stained by the lipophilic dye DiI with the same density, which is in contrast with what is commonly reported in the literature.

Comparison between STED and confocal images confirms that spines may easily be assigned to a wrong class, when the optical resolution is insufficient (Fig. 3). Membrane staining better highlights the difference in resolution than cell-filling stains as it delineates sharper morphological details. Membrane staining is thus expected to be more precise about the dendrite structure.

It is finally shown that the combination STED-CGH can be used for optical stimulation of neurons and simultaneous non-invasive detailed optical observation (Fig. 4).

Alternatively to CGH, light for uncaging of neurotransmitters can be controlled with galvanometric mirrors and other point-scanning methods. In contrast to scanning techniques, CGH has the advantage to allow simultaneous excitation with arbitrary shapes. Since light can be applied with CGH to several neurons, dendrites, axons, or spines, studying the interplay of simultaneous multisite stimulation becomes possible. This will open the route for examining how synapses change their morphology in response to neuronal-network activity, particularly in the case of nonlinear dendritic integration. The approach of optically stimulating cells and observing reactions at a subcellular scale is not limited to the release of neurotransmitters. The combination with optogenetics for control of light-gated ion channels or other light-sensitive effector molecules such as G-proteins is equally possible. Therefore, these all-optical methods for cell stimulation and monitoring are expected to spread to various fields of biological research in neuroscience and beyond.

4 Methods

4.1 Sample Preparation

Primary neuronal cultures were prepared as described in Ref. from mouse embryos at embryonic day 16 and cultured in glia-conditioned medium. Neurons were plated at 40,000 cells per coverslip (#1, BK-7, diameter 18 mm, Marienfeld Superior, Menzel-Gläser GmbH, Braunschweig, Germany) in glia-conditioned medium and used at day in vitro (DIV) 18 to 20.

Mice were treated in accordance with European directives (86/609/EEC and 2010-63-EU) and the French legislation. Experiments were approved by the ethics committee of the University Paris Descartes (Permit Number: CEEA34.FD.047.11).
Samples were stained by incubation in Vybrant DiI (V-22885, Life Technologies, Saint Aubin, France) in a 1:400 (2.5 μl/ml) dilution (2.5 μg/ml final DiI concentration) in neurobasal medium for 15 to 20 min, at 37°C. 5% CO₂, followed by 15 to 20 min washing in neurobasal medium at 37°C, 5% CO₂. Microscopy was then performed at room temperature in Heps-buffered artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF, in mM: 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 25 Heps, 2 CaCl₂, 1.3 MgCl₂, 33 Glucose; pH 7.4). For glutamate-uncaging experiments, MNI-glutamate (0.2 mM) and Forskolin (50 μM) were added and MgCl₂ was omitted.²⁶ For the Channelrhodopsin-YFP staining, neurons were transfected with AAV2/5.hSynapsin.hChR2(H134R).EYFP. WPREhGH (2.1 × 10¹⁰ GC/mL, UPenn vector core, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania) at DIV 12 and imaged 10 days later at room temperature in ACSF.

4.2 STED Microscopy

A home-built STED microscope was used that derives excitation and STED beams from a single laser pump (Fig. 1), reaching a resolution below 50 nm; details have been described elsewhere.⁴¹ The resolution was determined by imaging small structures and measuring the apparent width (full width at half-maximum). The STED wavelength was 635 nm (590 nm for YFP images). Fluorescence excitation was derived from a PCF (femtoWHITE-800, NKT Photonics A/S, Birkerød, Denmark); a dielectric filter (532/10, Chroma, Bellows Falls, Vermont) was used for selecting the excitation wavelength. Fluorescence was detected with an avalanche photodiode (SPCM-AQRH13, Perkin Elmer, Fremont, California) behind a 585/65 filter (Chroma) (525/50 for YFP images). A helical phase mask of charge one (VPP-A1, RPC Photonics, New York) generated the toroidal STED focus. For STED imaging, a pixel size of 20 nm was used with a pixel dwell time of 50 μs. Excitation powers were ~1 μW; STED power was ~10 mW (1.7 μW and 11 mW for the YFP images). For stage-scanning, a piezo stage P734.2CL and digital controller E710 (Physik Instrumente, Karlsruhe, Germany) were used. A two-axes piezoelectric scanner (S-330.8SL with controller E505, Physik Instrumente) allowed alternatively beam-scanning.

Images were linearly deconvolved (Wiener filtered) for display.

4.3 Digital Holography

Phase holograms that were displayed on the SLM were calculated using an iterative Fourier transform algorithm²⁴ with Wavefront Designer IV, an in-house software written in C++. They were displayed on a PAL-SLM (PS00830 with PPM driver, M. Matsuzaki et al., “Transient expansion of synaptically connected dendritic structures at 200 frames per second,” Langmuir 30(5873), 246–249 (2008).
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