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Abstract. We present an electrically addressable optrode array capable of delivering light to 181 sites in the brain, each providing sufficient light to optogenetically excite thousands of neurons in vivo, developed with the aim to allow behavioral studies in large mammals. The device is a glass microneedle array directly integrated with a custom fabricated microLED device, which delivers light to 100 needle tips and 81 interstitial surface sites, giving two-level optogenetic excitation of neurons in vivo. Light delivery and thermal properties are evaluated, with the device capable of peak irradiances >80 mW/mm² per needle site. The device consists of an array of 181 80 μm × 80 μm² microLEDs, fabricated on a 150-μm-thick GaN-on-sapphire wafer, coupled to a glass needle array on a 150-μm thick backplane. A pinhole layer is patterned on the sapphire side of the microLED array to reduce stray light. Future designs are explored through optical and thermal modeling and benchmarked against the current device.© The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.NPh.6.3.035010]
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1 Introduction

In a little over ten years, optogenetics has established itself as a key technique for modulating neuronal activity.1 It has been used in groundbreaking experiments in the mouse brain, allowing neuroscientists to decode neuronal circuits2,3 and examine the behavioral consequences of activity in certain neuronal populations.4 More recently, optogenetic techniques have also been applied in the nonhuman primate,5,6 the system closest to the human brain, which plays an important role in our understanding of neural computation, cognition, and behavior. Validation of optogenetic techniques in the nonhuman primate may also allow for a better understanding of neural disorders, which could lead to new clinical applications. The rapid growth of the field has been driven by significant developments in both genetically encoded light-sensitive ion channels and methods for transmitting light into neuronal tissue with the required intensity, spatial resolution, and temporal characteristics. In particular, the use of high spatial resolution stimulation has applications for combined behavioral and physiological studies, which seek to correlate neural activity with specific perceptual and cognitive functions.7 To date, most light delivery methods have focused on small rodent experiments, and there is now a recognized need for devices specifically designed for nonhuman primate studies.8 The device detailed here, shown in Fig. 1, can provide 181 individually addressable illumination sites (81 for surface illumination and 100 for illumination in intracortical layers). Each site can provide a range of intensities allowing either high-resolution stimulation or larger volume stimulation (0.046 mm³) by controlling the drive current. Several sites can also be operated simultaneously to extend the illumination volume further (~0.55 mm³) or allow complex illumination patterns. This ability to produce spatiotemporal patterns of light at two layers within the cortex promises to help studies aimed at linking the neural activity of small groups of neurons to specific cognitive functions.

The main difficulty in coupling light into the brain is scattering in brain tissue. This problem is exacerbated as most opsins require blue or blue-green light to function, which experiences high tissue scattering. There are two solutions to this problem: an implantable device that delivers light directly to the region of interest, or a variety of methods allowing for excitation of opsins with infrared (IR) light at which scattering is reduced.9–11 Although using IR light is an attractive proposition, the lack of available opsins at this wavelength or the need for complex optical systems, if using two-photon methods, limits applicability in freely behaving mammals.9

To deliver light deep into brain tissue, in localized volumes, several different devices for optogenetics have been designed. The simplest and most common approach is using a fiber optic cannula,12 which allows for a single large area optogenetic excitation site. Light is normally coupled to the cannula using a fiber optic, where the resultant tethering can restrict animal movement, affecting behavior. However, devices are becoming available that couple an LED directly to the cannula and allow for more natural animal movement.13 This type of device is suitable for nonhuman primate studies where only a single excitation source is required. Coupling of a single LED into multiple fibers has also been tested, giving multisite illumination, but without individual control of illumination sites.14

Another device used for optogenetics consists of a probe structure with integrated microLEDs.15–20 These devices allow for tens to hundreds of individually controllable excitation sites, with each exciting a small volume of tissue (<0.01 mm³). They are electrically addressed, meaning there is potential for making a scalable, wireless device.19,20 Integrated microLED probes of
this type provide excellent spatial and temporal control of light patterns, but require advanced thin film coatings to protect the implanted active devices—an ongoing research area.

Waveguide devices provide an alternative solution that allow for some of the advantages of both the simple fiber optical cannula approach and the microLED probe approach. The light source is external to the brain and is coupled via a waveguide probe to the target brain region. This allows for excellent spatial and temporal control of light patterns and each excitation point can illuminate a relatively large volume of tissue (∼0.1 mm³, suitable for primate behavioral studies). However, a significant drawback is the complicated and bulky optics required to couple light into the device. The complicated optics, with a large fiber optic bundle, restrict in vivo experiments to either head fixed or tethered arrangements.

In 2014, Pisanello et al. developed tapered optical fibers that allow some spatial control over the light delivered to the brain. This approach exploits the modal demultiplexing properties of tapered optical fibers, which also allowed illumination of very large volumes. Their elegant solution still requires significant external optics and light sources that may limit behavioral studies.

As optogenetic studies progress, there is a clear need to develop new light delivery devices, specifically designed for nonhuman primate experiments. Lee et al. have developed a penetrating multifunctional needle device, specifically designed for primate studies, which can deliver light into intracortical brain structures with the required intensity to illuminate volumes of ∼0.1 mm³ while simultaneously recording from neurons. However, the device requires the animal to be head fixed to maintain optical alignment. Similarly, a device based on the form factor of the Utah electrode array (the Utah optrode array—UA) has also been developed with primate studies in mind. This device allowed the coupling of light into intracortical brain regions with excellent spatial control, but still relied on sophisticated optical delivery systems that required tethered approaches, such as a spatial light modulator, a digital light projector, or a Holobundle system.

In this paper, we propose a significant improvement to the UA device by coupling the glass needle array to a custom made microLED array. This produces a compact, lightweight device that is ideally suited to primate behavioral studies. Direct integration of the light sources on to the UA implant removes the need for complex external optics. Furthermore, since the light sources are electrically driven, they can be powered by small battery packs of the type that have been used with the Utah electrode array (the electrical equivalent of the optical device presented here). Similar devices have been developed by Kwon et al. and Schwaerzle et al., which also aim to replace external optics by directly integrating LEDs on to the penetrating needles. Their use of LEDs with low optical power densities and large standoff distances between the LED and

Fig. 1 (a) Schematic of the integrated device with the glass Utah optrode array (UA) bonded to a microLED array. Illumination is from the microLED, through the sapphire (which is bonded to the glass UA) and delivered to tissue either by the glass needles or through interstitial sites. A pinhole layer (not shown) is patterned onto the sapphire substrate of the microLED array before bonding to reduce optical crosstalk. (b) Experimental device showing illumination of a single microLED delivering high-intensity light to the needle tip. (c) Example of a fully-integrated device (not used here) prepared for in vivo experiments where polymer coated wire bonds permit independent control of the microLEDs. (d) All 100 optrode sites simultaneously illuminated.
wave guiding structures resulted in low optical power densities (<2 mW/mm²) at each illumination site and hence small illumination volumes. This limits both the number of neurons that can be addressed and the optogenetic constructs used, which in many cases require higher irradiance values. These are issues, we address in this work as well as extending the number of excitation points to 181.

Coupling LED light into a multimode waveguide such as the UOA is challenging and, without complex lens systems, low coupling efficiencies (~5%) are to be expected. We comprehensively model the system to maximize the efficiency of coupling and develop a prototype for testing of the optical throughput and beam profiles. Thermal measurements are also made to ensure the device adheres to the strict thermal limits of an in vivo implant. These thermal limits place restrictions on the devices operating depending on the current used and number of microLEDs illuminated.

2 Methods

2.1 Device Fabrication

The fabricated device is a 10 × 10 array of glass needles, each measuring 1.5 mm in length and spaced at 400-μm pitch (Fig. 1). The needles each have a square base of side 75 μm that tapers to a submicron tip. The fabrication process flow of the UOA device is detailed fully in Scharf et al. and summarized in Fig. S1 in the Supplementary Material. Briefly, the tips were formed using a Disco DAD3220 dicing saw with a bevel blade. The angle of the bevel defines the taper angle. The needle shanks were then defined by column dicing; deep kerfs were made in between the pyramid tips to create rectangular pillars. A wet etch step (using 49% HF: 37% HCl, 9:1 solution) is used to thin the needles. The wafer was then annealed at 725°C for 2 h to reduce the surface roughness of the shanks, which greatly reduces the scattering along the needle length.

A custom-designed and microfabricated microLED chip is bonded directly to the backplane of the glass needle array. The microLED array is fabricated from 3-in. GaN-on-sapphire wafers (Xiamen Powerway Advanced Material) with a 400-μm-thick sapphire substrate and a 5-μm epitaxial GaN layer stack. Full fabrication details are included in Fig. S2 in the Supplementary Material and associated text. The microLED geometry can be considered as two interleaved arrays – a 10 × 10 array aligned to the glass needle shanks, and a 9 × 9 array positioned at interstitial sites between needles. The individual microLEDs are electrically connected using a matrix-addressing scheme (see Fig. S3 in the Supplementary Material). The glass needles guide light to intracortical brain regions, while the interstitial sites couple light to surface volumes of the brain.

2.2 Optical Properties

In order to understand the optical coupling to the needle, the resultant light spread in tissue, and to optimize device design, we modeled the system using the ray-tracing software, Zemax 12, in nonsequential mode. A model was developed that represented the fabricated device [Figs. 2(a)–2(e)]. It consisted of a GaN layer patterned into individual pixels, each with a quantum well (QW) structure where light generation occurs. To model the complete device, the microLED structure, with sapphire backplane, was coupled to the needle array. The model used the peak emission wavelength of our GaN microLEDs, 450 nm. Refractive indices and absorption constants were taken from the standard Zemax libraries and the glass was modeled as BOROFLOAT® 33. In each simulation run, a number of photons were launched from the LED active region such that >10,000 photons crossed a modeled detector surface (thus ensuring an error of <1% from counting statistics). They were launched from a random location in the QW structure in a random direction. When they reach an interface, they are reflected/refracted [Fig. 2(c)]. In subsequent analysis, an additional absorbing layer (100% absorption), with an aperture above each microLED, was also included to help localize light delivery to the tissue [Figs. 2(e)–2(g)]. We refer to this as a pinhole layer throughout. Brain tissue was modeled using a Henyey–Greenstein scattering model with a scattering length of 10 mm⁻¹, absorption length of 0.07 mm⁻¹, and anisotropy of 0.88.

The optical power of the microLEDs was measured using an optical power meter with a known collection angular aperture. Given that our devices have an electrical to light power conversion efficiency of 10% to 15%, typical for LEDs of this wavelength, we can calculate the total light generated by the QW structure for input to our models. For example, a square microLED of 80 μm side has an internal structure typically producing 80-mW optical power. The efficiency figures quoted in this paper are light output as a percentage of total light generated by the microLED. This should not be confused with a coupling efficiency, quoted as a percentage of LED forward light output.

2.3 Thermal Properties

Brain temperature is a carefully regulated physiological variable. Small increases in temperature (>1°C) can have profound physiological effects. Since the microLED device is in physical contact with the implanted needles, thermal properties need to be understood, quantified, and optimized. We measured the thermal characteristics of the complete system through thermal imaging (FLIR SC7000 series thermal camera). A calibration curve was first obtained as the temperature dependent emissivity of BOROFLOAT® 33 glass is required. This was obtained by attaching a thermocouple to the device and heating to 80°C. Thermal images were correlated with the thermocouple temperature as it cooled from 80°C. The microLEDs were then driven at various currents, pulse widths, and frequencies, and temperatures recorded from the thermal imager. This method of measuring the thermal performance of our devices gave accurate readings of the temperature rise from 0.2°C to 60°C. Below this range, the measurement was dominated by detector noise and above this range the IR detector saturates. This limited our thermal measurements to device currents from 20 to 100 mA. Temperatures were recorded at the tip of our needle device directly above the active microLED.

Modeling (COMSOL Multiphysics) was completed to help understand the measured thermal properties and to give an indication as to how the device will behave in brain tissue. We verified the model by comparing outputs with the experimental results in air and extended this to make predictions of temperature rises in brain tissue by altering the specific heat and thermal conductivity, taken as 3650 J kg⁻¹ K⁻¹ and 0.5 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹ for brain tissue, respectively.

3 Results

In order to integrate the UOA with the microLED device, the sapphire substrate is coupled to the glass directly. While this
is mechanically preferable, it compromises the efficiency of the light coupling into the needles, which is dominated by the microLED to needle-base distance. Figure 2(d) shows the modeled optical power output at needle tip as a function of substrate thickness. The minimum combined substrate thickness, for mechanically reliable devices, was 300 μm (150 μm sapphire, 150 μm glass). (e) Modeled emission profile of an LED (20-mA drive current)—outcoupled stray light means selective excitation is not possible. (f) Incorporating an absorbing layer, with a pinhole, minimizes stray light delivery to tissue and allows selective excitation at the needle tip. In both (e) and (f), the green line indicates the 1-mW/mm² contour, taken here as the irradiance threshold for ChR2. (g) Optimization of pinhole size and light delivery. Here, we consider the case for maximum light output (100-mA drive current) to understand the highest irradiance possible and the level of stray light. Insets show images of a fabricated device with a single needle-aligned microLED illuminated for two cases: with a 40-μm pinhole structure and without. (h) MicroLED size also plays a role in total light output at needle tips.
EZ1950-p LED, square of 1.95 mm side). This device obviously does not allow patterns of light, and its use with the optrode array would result in illuminating multiple needles simultaneously. Modeling this configuration shows that the peak irradiance at a current of 700 mA is 2.4 mW/mm² at central needle sites (1.7 mW/mm² at central interstitial sites). This falls away toward the edge of the device, with needles at the edge of the device having a maximum irradiance of 1.9 mW/mm². This demonstrates that although these commercial LEDs have very high power outputs, their large area means that the power density is small, when compared to the microLED approach. The microLEDs offer a high power density, advantageous when coupling light into the small apertures of the needle arrays, as well as offering patterned activation—crucial for this application.

Due to the Lambertian emission profile from LEDs, there will be significant stray light, even with microLEDs. Figure 2(e) shows this by showing the irradiance cross-section as light from a microLED is coupled out of the device into brain tissue. This unwanted light needs to be blocked so that it does not excite optogenetic proteins away from the intended activation region. We have implemented a method to block this stray light using an absorbing layer with pinholes (aligned to needle shanks or interstitial sites) patterned on the interface between the microLED device and needle array. Figure 2(f) shows the cross section of the emission from a needle with a 40-μm pinhole—the effect of the pinhole is clearly visible. To remove the remaining stray light (at the base of the needles), it may be necessary to further coat that area with an absorbing layer. In Fig. 2(g), square optical pinholes of various dimensions ranging from 1 to 200 μm were modeled. Photons were detected on two modeled detector surfaces, one at the base of the needle and one at the tip of the needle. For pinhole sizes less than 10 μm, light was only coupled into the needle. However, this size limits the peak irradiance at the needle tip to less than 10 mW/mm². As the pinhole size was increased, more stray light coupled out around the base of the needle. To allow for sufficient light at the tip of the needle, a pinhole size of 40 μm was deemed optimal. The measured and modeled optical properties of our prototype device are summarized in Table 1, where close agreement can be seen. The optical performance of the interstitial sites is more straightforward, similar in nature and discussed fully in Fig. S4 in the Supplementary Material. MicroLED size also plays a role in optical coupling efficiency, where typical geometries are square microLEDs with sides of 5 to 200 μm or circular equivalents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pinhole Size</th>
<th>Light Output @ Tip (%)</th>
<th>Stray Light Model (%)</th>
<th>Trapped/Adsorbed Light Model (%)</th>
<th>Light Output @ 100 mA (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No pinhole</td>
<td>0.4 (330 μW)</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>79.3</td>
<td>0.45 (360 μW)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40 μm pinhole</td>
<td>0.2 (170 μW)</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>95.3</td>
<td>0.22 (179 μW)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Guided by the modeling results, we fabricated microLED arrays with square pixels of 80 μm side and a Ti:Al absorber layer (20 nm:30 nm) with 40-μm pinholes for needle-aligned microLEDs and 60-μμ pinholes for the interstitial sites. A fabrication yield of >99% per array was achieved, with a typical optical power—current—voltage (I-L-V) relationship shown in Fig. 3(a). The device was inserted into a fluorescent agarose solution to map the light distribution by imaging the fluorescent photons with a CMOS camera [Fig. 3(b)]. The measured beam width and depth dependence, for a microLED current of 20 mA, matches well with the modeling giving us the confidence to extend our optical modeling to brain tissue. Figure 3(c) shows how the peak irradiance in brain tissue varies with device current. Since the needle tips produce a near-field focus for the delivered light, it is important to consider the tissue volume above a certain optical stimulation threshold (taken as 1 mW/mm² here). This is shown in Fig. 3(d) as a function of microLED current. When operating at a current of 100 mA, the device is capable of illuminating a brain tissue volume of 0.046 mm³ with >1 mW/mm² irradiance (taking the measured optical output of the needle and using our optical model to determine the volume of tissue illuminated). If we define a minimum threshold volume for optogenetic stimulation as 0.001 mm³ (a volume of neural tissue containing ~10 neurons), then to illuminate this volume at >1 mW/mm² irradiance requires a microLED current of just 3 mA.

In Fig. 3(e), we show the modeled emission profile from our prototype device at various microLED currents and examine how the optical fields interact. Above a current of 50 mA, the optical excitation volumes from neighboring needles begin to overlap.

The device was thermally tested to assess operating limits. Figures 4(a)–4(c) show the measured thermal performance versus duty cycle of the device at 20, 50, and 100 mA in air. The duty cycle is defined as the ratio of pulse width to interpulse period. Due to the significant thermal load between the microLEDs and the inserted needles, we find that the average temperature rise, for a fixed duty cycle, is constant, i.e., 10 ms pulses at 10 Hz give the same temperature rise as 100 ms pulses at 1 Hz. To understand how these measurements, taken in air, correlate with thermal performance in brain tissue, we modeled both cases. Figures 4(a)–4(c) also show a clear match between thermal modeling and measurements for air and the resultant thermal behavior in brain tissue. All 181 LEDs can be operated simultaneously; however, thermal limits constrain the current and duty cycle parameters. Figure 4(d) shows this duty cycle limit for various microLED currents as a function of simultaneously illuminated sites.

4 Discussion

There is a clear need for an optogenetic device that can deliver both structured light and large volume illumination to nonsurface brain structures in larger mammals. The microLED-UOA neurophotonics technology introduced here is capable of providing sufficient light to excite thousands of neurons per needle site in nonhuman primates or other large mammals. The integrated nature of this electrically addressable device has the potential to enable wireless operation and so open the technology to wider neuroscience applications. In parallel with this, the fabrication
process is highly scalable and should now allow for the rapid production and distribution of the devices. The device is based on the standard format of the Utah electrode array, so that it can be handled and inserted in vivo using the pneumatic method commonly employed, which ensures optrode implantation while minimizing injury to delicate neural tissue.

Comprehensive electrical, optical, and thermal testing of the microLED-UOA device was performed, alongside extensive modeling. Optical cross-talk between the glass needles can reach significant levels if strategies to block stray light are not adopted. The simplest of such was a metallic absorbing layer with small apertures, aligned with the microLEDs, and

---

**Fig. 3** (a) Current–voltage and current–light output characteristics of a typical microLED in our arrays. The microLEDs have sub-nA leakage currents and a turn-on voltage of ~3 V, indicating high quality diodes. A typical microLED produces 80 mW of optical power at 100 mA current. (b) Experimental and modeled characterization of light output from a UOA needle in fluorescein solution. Red lines (dashed, experimental; solid, modeled) show the depth dependence of the irradiance for 20 mA current. Blue lines (dashed, experimental; solid, modeled) the cross-section dependence 100 μm from the needle tip. Inset: CMOS camera image of fluorescein excitation from the UOA. Blue and red lines indicate the position of the cross sections detailed in the main plot. (c) Peak irradiance at the tip of the needle as a function of microLED current. (d) Volume above a threshold irradiance of 1 mW/mm² as a function of microLED drive current for a device with a 40-μm pinhole. (e) Cross section of the modeled optical output in brain tissue from nine (3 × 3 array) simultaneously illuminated optrodes at various microLED currents. The green contour highlights the 1-mW/mm² threshold (modeled device includes the pinhole structure, 40 μm²).
deposited on the sapphire side of the microLED chip. The final prototype device could emit 170 μW of light at 450 nm wavelength from the tip of each needle, when driven at 100 mA. This gave a peak irradiance of 80 mW/mm² at the tip of the needle. Modeling indicates that this is sufficient to optogenetically excite a volume of tissue of 0.046 mm³, containing ∼5500 neurons (approximating neuronal density to 1.2 × 10⁵ neurons/mm³). It should be noted that not all of these neurons will express the optogenetic constructs and be lightsensitive, since the efficiency of opsin expression can vary and depends on a number of factors. Thermal measurements and modeling allow us to place a duty cycle limit on the operation of the device to ensure that neural tissue does not exceed a 1°C temperature rise. This limit is 75% for a microLED operating at 20 mA (30 mW/mm²), 22% at 50 mA (56 mW/mm²), and 6% at 100 mA (80 mW/mm²). The matrix addressing scheme allows for spatiotemporal patterns of LEDs to be illuminated. This is restricted by thermal constraints, which can be considered in terms of the current supplied to the microLED, the duty cycle of the optical stimulation, and the number of LEDs active at that time. For example, illuminating five LEDs at 20 mA places a 15% duty cycle limit if the temperature in brain tissue at the tip is to be kept below 1°C. Illuminating 50 LEDs simultaneously, at this current, places a duty cycle limit of 1% on the device operation. For ease of reference, the thermal limits are detailed in Table S1 in the Supplementary Material for various pulse widths, repetition rates, and numbers of simultaneously active microLEDs and span typical optogenetic operating ranges.

Modeling also allowed the optical design to be optimized. The pinhole structure used to block stray light in our prototype device is not ideal. It cannot block all stray light and still allow for enough light at the needle tip. An alternative option would be to replace the glass backplane of the needle array with a silicon backplane. Through-silicon-vias can be opened in this Si backplane, allowing light to be efficiently coupled into needle and interstitial sites and forming an optical interposer. This silicon-interposer design has the added advantage that it can be thinned to tens of microns (using advanced silicon processing) while still allowing for a mechanically stable device with high fabrication yield. This thinning of the integrated device brings the microLED and needle aperture closer and yields the biggest gain in efficiency. By switching to a top emitting LED, we can minimize the microLED-needle stand-off distance and design a device with an effective backplane of only 90 μm (optical adhesive and Si interposer thickness). These alternative device configurations are modeled in Fig. S5 in the Supplementary Material, with Table S2 in the Supplementary Material.
Material detailing the optical efficiency gains. These approaches have the potential to increase the optical efficiency of our device threefold (from 0.2% to 0.6%), corresponding to a peak irradiance of 184 mW/mm² and increasing the maximum illumination volume to >0.1 mm³ (per needle site), while also ensuring there is no optical cross talk. Furthermore, by increasing the optical efficiency, there is an associated power reduction for a given irradiance, which in turn reduces the operating temperature. An increase in optical efficiency from 0.2% to 0.6% would reduce the power required for each microLED from 0.5 to 0.113 W for a peak irradiance of 80 mW/mm². This would mean that 50 ms pulses, at a maximum repetition rate of 10 Hz (up from 1 Hz), would be safely within thermal limits.
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