Effect of optical tissue clearing on spatial resolution and sensitivity of bioluminescence imaging
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Abstract. In vivo bioluminescence imaging (BLI) is a powerful method of in vivo molecular imaging based on the use of optically active luciferase reporter genes. Although this method provides superior sensitivity relative to other in vivo imaging methods, spatial resolution is poor due to light scattering. The objective of this study was to use hyperosmotic agents to reduce the scattering coefficient and hence improve spatial resolution of the BLI method. A diffusing fiber tip was used to simulate an isotropic point source of bioluminescence emission (550 to 650 nm). Mouse skin was treated in vitro and in vivo with glycerol (50%, 30 min) and measurements of optical properties, and imaging photon counts were made before, during, and after application of glycerol to the skin sample. Glycerol application to mouse skin had little effect on the absorption coefficient but reduced the reduced scattering coefficient by more than one order of magnitude. This effect was reversible. Consequently, the spot size (i.e., spatial resolution) of the bioluminescence point source was increased through the skin decreased by a factor of 2 (550-nm light) to 3 (650-nm light) after 30 min. Simultaneously, an almost twofold decrease in the amount of light detected by the BLI system was observed, despite the fact that total transmission increased 1.7 times. We have shown here that multiply scattered light is responsible for both observations. We have shown that applying a hyperosmotic clearing agent to the skin of small rodents has the potential to improve spatial resolution of BLI owing to a reduction in the reduced scattering coefficient in the skin by one order of magnitude. However, reducing the scattering coefficient reduces the amount of light reaching the camera due to a reduction in the amount of multiply scattered light that reaches the camera aperture and thus reducing the sensitivity of the method. © 2006 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.2337651]
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1 Introduction

Photoactive reporter genes allow optical imaging of in vivo biological activity, such as the spatial and temporal distribution of gene expression, host-pathogen interactions, tumor growth and metastasis, and transplant studies. While over 30 bioluminescence systems exist in nature, all have in common a luciferase-catalyzed oxidation of a luciferin substrate to produce light. Unlike fluorescence systems [i.e., green fluorescence protein (GFP)], bioluminescence imaging (BLI) requires no excitation source, which simplifies the optics involved in the imaging process, allows for detection of light emission from deeper tissues, and facilitates quantitative analysis. In addition, light emission from the chemiluminescent oxidation of the luciferin substrate emits broadband light that peaks at 563 nm (590 nm at body temperature of 37°C) but spans wavelengths from 500 to 700 nm, thus allowing deep tissue penetration (on the order of several centimeters), in particular of the red parts of the emission spectrum. The main drawbacks to using bioluminescence for in vivo imaging are the extremely low intensity of light produced and the poor resolution due to tissue scattering.

Hyperosmotic agents such as glycerol, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), Trazograph, polyethylene glycol, polypropylene glycol (PPG) and polyethylene glycol (PEG) based prepolymer mixture, and glucose have been shown to transiently clear tissue within 30 min of application in hamsters and rats even in humans both in vitro and in vivo. Increases in transmission of up to 200% and decreases of the reduced scattering coefficient of a full order of magnitude were reported. Clearing is believed to be due to index matching of the extracellular fluid with the tissue solid components (mainly col-
lager) and dehydration due to osmotic pressure.

The objective of this study is to investigate if treating skin with a hyperosmotic agent (glycerol) in order to reduce scattering will improve the sensitivity and spatial resolution of bioluminescence imaging. This study consisted of four parts: in vitro measurement of tissue (i.e., mouse skin) optical properties, in vitro simulated BLI, in vivo macroscopic imaging, and in vivo simulated BLI.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 In Vitro Optical Properties Measurement

A Perkin-Elmer Lambda-900 spectrophotometer with an integrating sphere setup was used to measure tissue optical properties. Transmission (\(T\)), diffuse reflectance (\(R\)), and thickness (\(d\)) for in vitro studies were measured for samples of excised albino Friend virus B-type (FVB) mouse skin (2 cm \(\times\) 2 cm), within 12 h of sacrifice. Prior to the optical measurements, the samples were sandwiched between two microscope slides. Care was taken that no air bubbles remained between the tissue and the slides. Thickness of skin samples was determined by measuring the thickness of the sandwich and subtracting the thickness of the microscope slides. All thickness measurements were made using a digital caliper and the average of five independent thickness measurements was used for each sample. Skin samples were then treated by soaking in glycerol baths with concentrations of 25%, 50%, and 100% for 30 min. After measuring transmission values, reflectance values and thickness, the skin samples were rehydrated by soaking in saline for 30 min. Samples were measured for transmission and reflectance again after rehydration. Values for absorption coefficient \(\mu_a\) and reduced scattering coefficient \(\mu_s'\) were calculated from the spectrophotometer data using an inverse adding doubling program. All optical property measurements were performed in triplicate \((n=3)\) using samples from different mice.

2.2 In Vitro Simulated Bioluminescence Imaging

After determining the tissue optical properties, an in vitro simulated bioluminescence study was performed. The goal was to match the conditions encountered in BLI, specifically, intensity and wavelength. A tungsten white light source was band pass filtered at 550, 600, and 650 nm [full width at half maximum (FWHM)=10 nm], then coupled into a 200-\(\mu\)m diameter optical fiber with a 500-\(\mu\)m diameter spherical diffusing fiber tip at the distal end, which provided an isotropic light source. The three wavelengths were chosen because luciferase-catalyzed bioluminescence has its highest intensities in the wavelength range of 500 to 700 nm. The shorter wavelength components of this spectrum are attenuated by hemoglobin absorption, so the chosen wavelengths of light provided a realistic simulation of actual luciferase bioluminescence. The diffusing fiber was taped to the bottom of a petri dish (100 mm diameter). A piece (2 cm \(\times\) 2 cm) of excised mouse skin was placed over the fiber tip on the bottom of the petri dish with the epidermal side facing the fiber and the subdermal side facing up. The sample was held in place by a metal retaining ring with an aperture large enough (1.5 cm diameter) such that it did not block any light. For all experiments, a constant volume of 25 ml of glycerol solution of 25%, 50%, or 100% concentration (v/v) was added to the petri dish such that a layer of glycerol, roughly 3-mm high was overlaying the skin sample. The entire assembly of petri dish, fiber, skin sample, and glycerol was placed in the light-tight imaging chamber. The fiber was guided into the chamber via a double baffled black rubber seal to avoid stray light from entering the imaging chamber. Images were then taken with a liquid nitrogen-cooled, back-thinned, back-illuminated, deep depletion charge-coupled device (CCD) camera (Roper EEV 1300\(\times\)1340). Background subtraction was performed on all images as a means of noise reduction. Image integration times of 5 s were used and no on-chip binning was used. The setup is summarized in Fig. 1. Intensity is reported as the integrated pixel intensity for a defined area of a CCD image at a specific time point relative to the first time point for each wavelength. Spot size is defined as the width of the section of the cross-sectional intensity profile where values are greater than a predefined threshold (100 photon counts).

Images were taken of the fiber alone, the fiber with overlaying skin, and the fiber with overlying skin submerged in glycerol (time sequence). To minimize the effect of biological variation among skin samples, the experiment was designed to perform all three wavelengths in a single skin sample. This was accomplished by letting the camera controller take an image every 40 s for a duration of 5 s while rotating the three bandpass filters in front of the white light source every 40 s to provide time points for each wavelength in 2-min intervals.

2.3 In Vivo Macroscopic Imaging

Live mice were treated with transdermal glycerol injections and imaged over time. Eight-week old, female albino FVB mice were anesthetized with 0.015 ml/g body weight of a mixture of ketamine (6 mg/ml) and xylazine (0.6 mg/ml) given intraperitoneally. Administration of glycerol was accomplished by inserting a 21-gauge needle subdermally in the animal’s dorsal side, then lifting up and entering the dermis from the subcutaneous side. Approximately 0.2 ml of either 50% or 100% glycerol were injected in 0.05- or 0.1-ml increments. Images of the skin in the live mouse were taken with a digital camera coupled to a 10× operating microscope before, immediately after, 30 min, 60 min and 24 h post–glycerol application.

2.4 In Vivo Simulated Bioluminescence Imaging

The in vivo simulated bioluminescence experiment used a similar setup to the in vitro simulated bioluminescence experiment (Fig. 1). The diffusing fiber was placed subdermally in the mouse after glycerol was injected transdermally. The injection procedure described for the in vivo macroscopic imaging study was used. Based on the findings of the in vitro study, the 600-nm wavelength light and 50% glycerol concentration were used. Photon counting images were taken at \(t=0\) (pretreatment), 15, and 30 min.

3 Results

Figures 2 show the increase in transmission [Fig. 2(a)] and decrease in diffuse reflectance [Fig. 2(b)], respectively, after treating native skin with 50% glycerol for 30 min (each line represents the average of three independent measurements). These figures also show that transmission and reflectance re-
turn to approximately their pretreatment values after rehydration with saline for 30 min. The reduced scattering coefficient \(\mu'_s\) for skin [Fig. 3(a)] decreases after 30 min of 50% glycerol exposure and returns to very near its untreated value after rehydration. The absorption coefficient \(\mu_a\) after 30 min of glycerol exposure is nearly the same as in the untreated state [Fig. 3(b)]. The limited thickness of the mouse skin samples (\(\sim 220 \mu m\)) causes the inverse-adding doubling (IAD) program to return values that are not meaningful for weakly attenuated wavelengths in the cleared skin samples. Nevertheless, it can still be seen that \(\mu'_s\) is reduced by approximately one order of magnitude for the wavelengths of interest.

Plots of intensity and spatial resolution for three wavelengths for the simulated bioluminescence experiment are shown in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b). Both values decrease with increasing glycerol (50%) exposure. The maximum intensity decrease after 1800 s was 38.8% for 550-nm light, 48.4% for 600-nm light, and 42.6% for 650-nm light. Spot size decreased by 51.9% for 550-nm light, 60.0% for 600-nm light, and 68.0% for 650-nm light after 1800 s. The measured spot size was smaller for the shorter wavelength light at all time points.

Figure 5 summarizes the spatial resolution data for the simulated bioluminescence study. Two trends are apparent: increasing spot size with decreasing glycerol concentration and increasing spot size with longer wavelength. Notably, 50% and 100% glycerol concentrations result in significantly decreased spot sizes (i.e., better spatial resolution) compared to native tissue \((p<0.001)\) while there is no statistically significant difference between native skin and 25% glycerol-treated skin.

The results of the \textit{in vivo} macroscopic imaging study are shown as Fig. 6. Figure 6(a) shows a section of mouse skin 30 min after injection of 0.2 ml of 100% glycerol. The same...
A section of skin is shown 24 h later [Fig. 6(b)] when the clearing effects have reversed. Integrated intensity and spot diameter for the in vivo simulated BLI experiment are shown in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), respectively. Both plots show a decrease of intensity and spot diameter, respectively, as the tissue clears with time.

4 Discussion

In this study, we have investigated the use of glycerol as a tissue clearing agent in order to improve the sensitivity and spatial resolution of in vivo BLI. To address the feasibility of this approach, we conducted a set of experiments determining the optical properties of skin in vitro and designed a set of experiments to study the effects of skin clearing in experiments in which a diffusing fiber tip was used as a simulated constant point source of bioluminescence emission.

The optical properties of mouse skin measured for the skin before treatment, after 30 min of glycerol (50%) exposure, and after rehydration with saline for 30 min (Figs. 2 and 3) were consistent with previous studies on rat skin and hamster skin. Optical tissue clearing is believed to be due to a decrease in the reduced scattering coefficient by index matching of extracellular fluid and tissue solid components. Within the spectral range of interest (550 to 650 nm), the absorption coefficient is unaffected by the glycerol treatment, while the reduced scattering coefficient drops by nearly one order of magnitude.

Fig. 3 Reduced scattering coefficient (a) and absorption coefficient (b) of in vitro normal skin, glycerol treated skin (50%, 30 min), and skin treated with glycerol for 30 min then placed in saline for 30 min. Each line represents the average of three independent measurements (n=3). Optical properties were calculated from transmission and reflectance measurements using an IAD program. In the spectral range of interest (550 to 650 nm), the absorption coefficient is unaffected by the glycerol treatment, while the reduced scattering coefficient drops by nearly one order of magnitude.

Fig. 4 Integrated photon intensity (photons) and spot diameter measured by the imaging system through skin as a function of time of exposure to glycerol. Both parameters decrease with time (and hence with decreasing the reduced scattering coefficient).

Fig. 5 Effect of glycerol concentration on spot size reduction of skin in vitro for different wavelengths. Note that 25% glycerol is ineffective in clearing skin after 30 min and shows values that are not different from native skin. In all cases, the spot size for 650-nm light is larger than that for 550-nm light as expected. However, the change in spot size due to glycerol is most significant at the 650-nm wavelength.

Fig. 6 Effect...
the model did converge are in general agreement with data published on rat and hamster skin.\textsuperscript{25–27}

The decrease in light detected by the camera, seen after glycerol application (Fig. 4) in the \textit{in vitro} simulated bioluminescence experiment was unexpected and in apparent conflict with the integrating sphere measurements, which showed a 1.7-fold increase in transmission. However, we speculate that this apparent discrepancy can be explained by the fact that fundamentally the two measurements are different. Traditionally, a double integrating sphere setup is used to measure total transmission and diffuse reflectance. In this setup, all of the light incident on the tissue is accounted for by one sphere or the other. More specifically, with regards to the transmission measurements, all transmitted light, i.e., total transmittance, irrespective of the angle with the tissue normal, is captured in this measurement. Hence, if the reduced scattering coefficient decreases as is the case in skin treated with glycerol, the total attenuation coefficient decreases, and hence, the overall transmission of light through the tissue increases. In contrast, the imaging geometry where the camera is positioned approximately 50 cm above the tissue sample and has an effective aperture of roughly 2.5 cm detects only light that is emitted within the acceptance cone angle of the camera ($\sim 7$ deg). The decrease in light reaching the camera in cleared skin compared to native skin is believed to be due to the reduction of multiple scattering events. In the native skin, light emitted in a direction that would put it outside the camera’s acceptance angle can be multiply scattered and redirected to emit from the tissue in a direction within the camera’s acceptance angle. The net result of this is a decrease in light detected by the camera (causing a decrease of approximately 40\%) when the reduced scattering coefficient of the sample decreases (by a factor of 10), even though the total transmission of light through the sample is increased. We hypothesize that this geometric effect is also responsible for the apparent conflict between our imaging data and data published by Vargas et al.\textsuperscript{27}

![Image of in vivo mouse skin 30 min after injection of 0.2 ml 100\% glycerol (a) and 24 h after (b) glycerol application when clearing is reversed. The left top part of the image shows the area where glycerol was applied, the right bottom shows an area of normal skin. The luminescent spot (for 650 nm) thresholded at photon counts >100, generated by the 500-\mu m diameter diffusing fiber is shown in (a) in both the cleared and untreated portion of the skin. Note that the spot size reduced by a factor of approximately 2 in cleared skin.](image)

![Graph showing integrated intensity and spot diameter measured by the imaging system through skin of a live mouse before, at 15 min, and at 30 min of glycerol application. Note that both parameters decrease with time (and hence with decreasing the reduced scattering coefficient) similar to what was seen \textit{in vitro}.](graph)

*Fig. 6* Image of \textit{in vivo} mouse skin 30 min after injection of 0.2 ml 100\% glycerol (a) and 24 h after (b) glycerol application when clearing is reversed. The left top part of the image shows the area where glycerol was applied, the right bottom shows an area of normal skin. The luminescent spot (for 650 nm) thresholded at photon counts >100, generated by the 500-\mu m diameter diffusing fiber is shown in (a) in both the cleared and untreated portion of the skin. Note that the spot size reduced by a factor of approximately 2 in cleared skin.  

*Fig. 7* Effect of glycerol application \textit{in vivo} on integrated photon intensity (a) and spot diameter (b) measured by the imaging system through skin of a live mouse before, at 15 min, and at 30 min of glycerol application. Note that both parameters decrease with time (and hence with decreasing the reduced scattering coefficient) similar to what was seen \textit{in vitro}. 

The decrease in light detected by the camera, seen after glycerol application (Fig. 4) in the \textit{in vitro} simulated bioluminescence experiment was unexpected and in apparent conflict with the integrating sphere measurements, which showed a 1.7-fold increase in transmission. However, we speculate that this apparent discrepancy can be explained by the fact that fundamentally the two measurements are different. Traditionally, a double integrating sphere setup is used to measure total transmission and diffuse reflectance. In this setup, all of the light incident on the tissue is accounted for by one sphere or the other. More specifically, with regards to the transmission measurements, all transmitted light, i.e., total transmittance, irrespective of the angle with the tissue normal, is captured in this measurement. Hence, if the reduced scattering coefficient decreases as is the case in skin treated with glycerol, the total attenuation coefficient decreases, and hence, the overall transmission of light through the tissue increases. In contrast, the imaging geometry where the camera is positioned approximately 50 cm above the tissue sample and has an effective aperture of roughly 2.5 cm detects only light that is emitted within the acceptance cone angle of the camera ($\sim 7$ deg). The decrease in light reaching the camera in cleared skin compared to native skin is believed to be due to the reduction of multiple scattering events. In the native skin, light emitted in a direction that would put it outside the camera’s acceptance angle can be multiply scattered and redirected to emit from the tissue in a direction within the camera’s acceptance angle. The net result of this is a decrease in light detected by the camera (causing a decrease of approximately 40\%) when the reduced scattering coefficient of the sample decreases (by a factor of 10), even though the total transmission of light through the sample is increased. We hypothesize that this geometric effect is also responsible for the apparent conflict between our imaging data and data published by Vargas et al.\textsuperscript{27}
who showed increased fluorescence detected when skin was cleared and He et al.\textsuperscript{33} who showed increased signal detection when skin was cleared by scattering liquid. In this scenario the plane of the camera as function of radius. In this experiment the slope of the curve changes and light levels reaching the camera increases. When \( \mu'_s \) was increased beyond 3 mm\(^{-1} \), light reaching the camera decreases. The net effect of changing the reduced scattering coefficient 10 mm\(^{-1} \) leads to a decrease of light transmission within the acceptance angle of the camera, despite the fact that the total transmission increases. Although the decrease in intensity was not the desired effect, it may be a tradeoff for the increase in spatial resolution.

Glycerol application caused a decrease in spot size [Fig. 7(a)] ranging from 51.9\% for 550 nm light to 68.0\% for 650 nm light. The difference in resolution improvement between the wavelengths used is believed to be due to absorption (and hence the shorter mean free path) of shorter wavelength light by hemoglobin. Higher glycerol concentrations were also shown to be more effective at improving spatial resolution at 30 min postinjection (Fig. 5). Notably, 100\% and 50\% glycerol are effective in reducing the imaged spot size (i.e., increasing spatial resolution), while 25\% glycerol was not able to cause changes in spot size that were significantly different from native tissue. This is due to the higher osmotic pressure of the higher concentration of agent.\textsuperscript{26,27}

The \textit{in vivo} macroscopic imaging study (Fig. 6) showed that, in accordance with previous studies, gross subdermal structures normally obscured by turbid tissue can be made visible by treatment with hyperosmotic agent. It was also shown that the clearing effects reversed 12 to 24 h after injection.

Penetration of optical clearing agents through intact skin (\textit{in vivo}) is minimal and extremely slow. This has been attributed to the fact that these agents are hydrophilic and penetrate the lipophilic stratum corneum poorly. In this study, we circumvented this problem by injecting the clearing agent directly in the skin (intradermally). Subdermal injections had no noticeable clearing effects. Given the relatively small thickness of the tissue (\approx 220 \mu m), it is difficult to inject the necessary amount (0.2 ml) of glycerol. It was found that relatively high glycerol concentrations (50 to 100\%) were necessary to achieve clearing within the desired time frame (15 to 30 min). At this concentration, the solution has a high viscosity, further increasing the difficulty of injection.

Promising recent work by Khan et al., who have explored a number of different skin clearing agents, has shown that a combination of Food and Drug Administration–approved lipophilic PEG based polymers and hydrophilic agent is effective, topically applied skin clearing agent. Although we have not tried this agent in mice, \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} results in humans suggest that it does not have the problems associated with glycerol.\textsuperscript{28}

The results of the \textit{in vivo} simulated bioluminescence experiment [Figs. 7(a) and 7(b)] were consistent with the trends seen in the \textit{in vitro} experiments (Figs. 4 and 5). A 41.8\% decrease in integrated intensity and a 38.5\% decrease in spot size were seen 30 min postinjection. Although we limited our tissue clearing to the clearing of skin for practical reasons (it is difficult to obtain adequate diffusion of the agent in thicker layers, especially \textit{in vivo}), BLI is particularly useful for the imaging of processes that occur several millimeters or even centimeters below the tissue surface. For those cases, clearing only the skin (200 to 300 \mu m in thickness in a typical mouse) will have a significantly reduced effect. Nevertheless, it is conceivable to extend this approach to clearing deeper tissues layers, in which case, spatial resolution is significantly improved and evidence suggests that the photon flux may in fact be improved,\textsuperscript{33} depending on the imaging geometry.
5 Conclusions
In summary, we have shown that applying a hyperosmotic clearing agent to skin of small rodents has the potential to improve spatial resolution of BLI owing to a reduction in the reduced scattering coefficient in skin by one order of magnitude. In contrast, reducing the scattering coefficient reduces the amount of light reaching the camera due to a reduction in the amount of multiple scattered light that reaches the camera aperture and thus reducing sensitivity of the method. Tissue thickness and imaging geometry appear to be the critical factors that determine the effect of tissue clearing on spatial resolution and sensitivity.
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