Continuous-wave infrared optical nerve stimulation for potential diagnostic applications
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Abstract. Optical nerve stimulation using infrared laser radiation has recently been developed as a potential alternative to electrical nerve stimulation. However, recent studies have focused primarily on pulsed delivery of the laser radiation and at relatively low pulse rates. The objective of this study is to demonstrate faster optical stimulation of the prostate cavernous nerves using continuous-wave (cw) infrared laser radiation for potential diagnostic applications. A thulium fiber laser (λ=1870 nm) is used for noncontact optical stimulation of the rat prostate cavernous nerves in vivo. Optical nerve stimulation, as measured by an intracavernous pressure (ICP) response in the penis, is achieved with the laser operating in either cw mode, or with a 5-ms pulse duration at 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 100 Hz. Successful optical stimulation is observed to be primarily dependent on a threshold nerve temperature (42 to 45 °C), rather than an incident fluence, as previously reported. cw optical nerve stimulation provides a significantly faster ICP response time using a lower power (and also less expensive) laser than pulsed stimulation. cw optical nerve stimulation may therefore represent an alternative mode of stimulation for intraoperative diagnostic applications where a rapid response is critical, such as identification of the cavernous nerves during prostate cancer surgery.© 2010 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.3500656]
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1 Introduction

Conventional electrical nerve stimulation (ENS) has several general limitations, as previously reported.1 First, ENS is limited by the need for physical contact between the electrode and the tissue, which can result in tissue damage. Second, the spatial precision of ENS is limited by the electrode’s size. Third, ENS produces artifacts that can interfere with measurement.

Recently, Wells et al. developed optical nerve stimulation (ONS) using pulsed infrared laser radiation1 as a potential alternative to ENS. They determined that ONS offered several advantages over ENS, including: 1. a noncontact method of stimulation, 2. improved spatial selectivity, and 3. elimination of stimulation artifacts.

For our specific clinical application of interest, intraoperative electrical nerve mapping devices have been tested as surgical diagnostic tools to assist in identification and preservation of the cavernous nerves (CN) and erectile function during nerve-sparing prostate cancer surgery.5 However, these nerve mapping technologies have proven inconsistent and unreliable in identifying the CN and evaluating nerve function.5

Therefore, our laboratory has recently begun studying ONS as an alternative to ENS in a rat cavernous nerve model in vivo.4,6 During initial studies, we focused primarily on the role of laser wavelength, pulse energy, and spatial beam profile on ONS, with less attention spent on the effect of the operation mode (e.g., cw versus pulsed).

Recent studies by other research groups using infrared laser radiation for ONS have also focused primarily on the use of laser radiation delivered in pulsed mode and at relatively low pulse repetition rates (2 to 13 Hz), presumably to avoid thermal build-up and thermal damage to the nerves during long-term stimulation applications.1,7,8 However, our research group is instead interested in the potential of ONS to be used as an intraoperative diagnostic tool, specifically for identification and preservation of the cavernous nerves during laparoscopic and robotic prostate cancer surgery. Practical application of ONS would thus require rapid short-term nerve stimulation for identification of the cavernous nerves. Therefore, for this current study, we chose to explore delivery of infrared laser radiation to the nerve at significantly higher pulse rates (10 to 100 Hz) and in cw mode, with the hypothesis that this method may result in a more rapid response for identification of the cavernous nerves.
2 Methods

Seven Sprague Dawley rats (400 to 600 g) were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection with 50-mg/kg sodium pentobarbital. The rats were secured in the supine position and prepped for surgery. The cavernous nerve (CN) arising from the ipsilateral major pelvic ganglion situated dorsolateral to the prostate was exposed via a midline suprapubic incision and anterior pelvic dissection (Fig. 1). To assess intracavernous pressure (ICP), the shaft of the penis was denuded of skin and the left crural region was cannulated with a 23-G needle connected via polyethylene tubing to a pressure transducer (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, Massachusetts). An increase in ICP after optical stimulation of the CN was detected by a data acquisition system (DI-190, Dataq Instruments, Akron, Ohio). The response parameters were analyzed with Mathworks (Natick, Massachusetts). The ONS experiments were performed under an approved animal protocol, and at the completion of the study the rats were euthanized by intracardiac injection of potassium chloride while under anesthesia, as is consistent with the recommendations of the Panel of Euthanasia of the American Veterinary Medical Association.

Optical nerve stimulation was performed with a thulium fiber laser (TLT-5, IPG Photonics, Oxford, Massachusetts) using a similar wavelength ($\lambda=1870$ nm) and pulse duration (5 ms) as previously reported. The 1870-nm laser wavelength was chosen because it corresponds to an optical penetration depth in water, the primary chromophore in soft tissues, of approximately 400 $\mu$m (Fig. 2), which closely matches the cavernous nerve diameter, for uniform irradiation and stimulation. (An optical penetration depth significantly less than the nerve diameter would increase the probability of thermal damage to the nerve, and an optical penetration depth significantly greater than the nerve diameter would be less efficient for ONS.) A laser pulse duration of 5 ms was chosen, based on previous reports that have shown that the incident fluence for ONS is relatively independent of pulse duration in the range of 5 $\mu$s to 5 ms. The laser radiation was coupled into a custom-built probe consisting of a 200- $\mu$m-core, low-OH, silica optical fiber with an aspheric lens attached to the distal tip to deliver a collimated, flat-top, 1.1-mm-diam laser spot (corresponding to an area of 0.0095 cm$^2$) at a fixed working distance of 20 mm, as previously reported. The laser spot (1.1-mm-diam) was chosen to be larger than the cavernous nerve (200 to 400 $\mu$m diameter) to simplify alignment of the laser beam on the nerve surface, providing more uniform irradiation and more reproducible stimulation.

Two laser parameters were varied for this study, the laser pulse repetition rate (10 to 100 Hz, cw) and the laser pulse energy (0.28 to 6.37 mJ), which corresponded to an incident fluence of 0.03 to 0.67 J/cm$^2$ for the fixed laser spot diameter. The laser pulse energy was escalated in small increments until the incident fluence reached the threshold for ONS. The ICP response was then measured at or just above the stimulation threshold, providing safe and reproducible stimulation while preventing undesirable thermal damage to the nerve.

The temperature of the CN was also recorded with a thermal camera (A20M, Flir Systems, Boston, Massachusetts) during ONS, in an effort to optimize the laser stimulation parameters and to gain further insight into the mechanism of ONS (Fig. 1).

For each laser dataset, a minimum of five stimulations was performed. The data reported in Table 1 for temperature, and ICP response time represent the average of five independent measurements ± the standard deviation (SD).

3 Results

Table 1 provides a comprehensive summary of the results for our preliminary study of cw versus pulsed ONS. The threshold (minimum) pulse energy, incident fluence, average power, total energy, and temperature for successful ONS are reported, along with the ICP response time. The pulse energy and incident fluence for successful ONS were not fixed as previously thought, but rather decreased significantly as the laser pulse rate was increased. The average power to reach stimulation threshold, however, was not dependent on the pulse rate. Although there was some variation in the results, the ICP response time decreased as the pulse rate was increased from 10 to 100 Hz, with cw irradiation providing the fastest ICP response time. It should be noted that for the 10-Hz dataset, the delayed ICP response actually occurred just after the end of the 15-s laser irradiation time. Finally, successful ONS was observed to be primarily dependent on the time necessary for

![Figure 1](https://example.com/figure1.png)  
**Fig. 1** Experimental setup for optical stimulation of the rat cavernous nerves: (a) complete setup, and (b) close-up view of the cavernous nerve on the surface of prostate.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/figure2.png)  
**Fig. 2** Plot of the absorption coefficient and optical penetration depth in water as a function of laser wavelength. Water is the primary chromophore for soft tissues in the near-infrared spectrum. The wavelengths of some relevant lasers for optical nerve stimulation are also labeled.
the nerve to reach a stimulation threshold temperature of 42 to 45°C, rather than dependent on a specific set of laser parameters.

A representative example of cw optical stimulation of the rat CN is shown in Fig. 3. A strong response in the rat penis was observed with the ICP increasing from a baseline of 16 mmHg to a peak of 39 mmHg. This response occurred approximately 10 s after the laser was turned on, closely corresponding to the time necessary for the CN to heat up above a threshold temperature of approximately 43°C.

Thermal images of the rat CN before ONS and at peak temperature during ONS are provided in Fig. 4, for the same stimulation parameters and results as shown in Fig. 3. It should be noted that the baseline nerve temperature was not at normal body temperature (37°C), but rather a few degrees cooler (−34°C) due to the open surgical model used in these studies (Fig. 1).

4 Discussion

Previous studies have demonstrated successful ONS using pulsed infrared laser radiation for applications demanding long-term stimulation, as an alternative to conventional ENS. The purpose of this study was to demonstrate short-term ONS using cw infrared laser radiation for producing a more rapid response, necessary for intraoperative diagnostic applications, such as identification of the CNs during prostate cancer surgery. ICP response time decreased significantly as the laser pulse rate increased, and optimal results were observed when the laser was switched to operation in cw mode.

There appears to be a simple explanation for the results summarized in Table 1. cw stimulation produces the fastest ICP response, because delivery of the laser radiation in cw mode also produces the fastest increase in temperature from Table 1 Optical nerve stimulation threshold parameters for continuous-wave versus pulsed laser irradiation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>10 Hz</th>
<th>20 Hz</th>
<th>30 Hz</th>
<th>40 Hz</th>
<th>50 Hz</th>
<th>100 Hz</th>
<th>cw</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pulse energy (mJ)</td>
<td>4.84</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident fluence (J/cm²)</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average power (mW)</td>
<td>48.4</td>
<td>51.3</td>
<td>51.2</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>47.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICP response time (s)</td>
<td>16.7±1.9</td>
<td>14.8±1.3</td>
<td>14.5±1.1</td>
<td>14.0±0.5</td>
<td>12.8±1.3</td>
<td>10.9±1.6</td>
<td>9.7±0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total energy until stim. (J)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature (°C)</td>
<td>43.8±1.3</td>
<td>42.3±1.1</td>
<td>42.3±0.2</td>
<td>41.5±0.6</td>
<td>44.9±1.2</td>
<td>44.7±1.2</td>
<td>42.9±0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3 Continuous-wave optical stimulation of the rat cavernous nerve (wavelength=1870 nm, spot=1.1 mm, power=47 mW, total energy =0.71 J, and stimulation time=15 s). A delayed response is observed in which the intracavernous pressure (ICP) response in the rat penis begins to increase approximately 10 s after laser irradiation begins (at \( t=20 \) s) and then continues to increase after laser irradiation ends (at \( t=35 \) s). The cavernous nerve reaches a stimulation threshold temperature of approximately 43°C after 10 s of laser irradiation (at \( t=30 \) s), closely corresponding to the onset of the increase in ICP.

Fig. 4 Thermal images of the cavernous nerve. (a) Temperature of the nerve just before laser irradiation was at a baseline level of 33.9°C. (b) The nerve reached a peak temperature of 43.3°C during laser irradiation, which was just above the nerve stimulation threshold. The orange region showing the peak temperature in the thermal image on the right is approximately the same size as the 1.1-mm-diam laser spot used for heating the cavernous nerve (which has a diameter of 200 to 400 μm). (Color online only.)
baseline to above the nerve stimulation threshold temperature of approximately 43 °C, as compared to pulsed irradiation with a pulse duration of 5 ms and pulse rates of 10 to 100 Hz.

The results of this study also further confirm that ONS operates primarily based on a photothermal mechanism, in which light energy is converted to heat energy in the nerve until a sufficient amount of heat is generated to increase the nerve temperature above a threshold value (42 to 45 °C) for activation. A strong correlation was observed between the laser irradiation time necessary to raise the nerve temperature above the stimulation threshold and the onset of ICP response, as shown in Fig. 3. Thus, successful ONS was observed to be primarily dependent on the time necessary for the nerve to reach a stimulation threshold temperature for activation, rather than dependent on a specific set of laser parameters.

It should be emphasized that the objective of this study was to produce safe and reproducible ONS using cw infrared laser radiation by operating at just above the threshold temperature for ONS. It may be possible to achieve an even faster ICP response if the laser power is increased further, so that the time necessary to raise the temperature from baseline to above 43 °C decreases. However, the probability of causing thermal damage to the nerve would also significantly increase if the higher laser power results in excessive elevation of the nerve temperature above a damage threshold of approximately 47 °C.

For a diode-pumped laser (e.g., thulium fiber laser used in this study), operation in cw mode instead of pulsed modulation also translates into a significantly more compact, less expensive laser system for ONS. The advantages of ONS in the cw mode discussed here would also extend to the other diode and fiber laser wavelengths recently tested for optical nerve stimulation, some of which are labeled in Fig. 2.

Finally, although it is well beyond the scope of this study, it should be noted that femtosecond lasers have also been used for successful optical nerve stimulation. Laser stimulation using such high intensities and short pulse durations may be mediated by mechanisms fundamentally different than that of the “thermal” lasers operating at low intensities and long pulse durations (or in cw mode). For example, ONS based on low-intensity, long pulse laser irradiation appears to be primarily a photothermal effect from creation of a temporally and spatially mediated temperature gradient at the axon level, which results in direct or indirect activation of transmembrane ion channels causing action potential generation. On the contrary, ONS using high-intensity, short pulsed femtosecond lasers has been attributed to two different mechanisms: 1. a photochemical reaction producing reactive oxygen species adjacent to the cell membrane, and 2. a transient, reversible poration of the cell membrane through perforation of this tissue during laser irradiation. There appears to be considerable disagreement about the mechanism(s) for ONS. Our study utilized low-intensity, long pulse (or cw) laser irradiation and appears to support a photothermal mechanism. In general, laser pulse durations greater than approximately 1 μs produce a thermal effect in tissue, while shorter pulse durations can involve a nonthermal interaction, so it may be possible that several mechanisms exist and are dependent on the laser parameters used.

5 Conclusions

Continuous-wave laser irradiation produces faster optical stimulation of the rat cavernous nerve, as measured by an intracavernous pressure response in the penis, than does pulsed irradiation. This may be important in intraoperative diagnostic applications requiring rapid feedback, such as identification of the cavernous nerves and preservation of erectile function during prostate cancer surgery.
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