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Abstract. Otitis media diagnosis can be assisted by measuring the shape of the tympanic membrane. We have developed an ear speculum for an otoscope, including spatially distributed source and detector optical fibers, to generate source-detector intensity matrices (SDIMs), representing the curvature of surfaces. The surfaces measured were a model ear with a latex membrane and harvested temporal bones including intact tympanic membranes. The position of the tympanic membrane was shifted from retracted to bulging by air pressure and that of the latex membrane by water displacement. The SDIM was normalized utilizing both external (a sheared flat plastic cylinder) and internal references (neutral position of the membrane). Data was fitted to a two-dimensional Gaussian surface representing the shape by its amplitude and offset. Retracted and bulging surfaces were discriminated for the model ear by the sign of the Gaussian amplitude for both internal and external reference normalization. Tympanic membranes were separated after a two-step normalization: first to an external reference, adjusted for the distance between speculum and the surfaces, and second by comparison with an average normally positioned SDIM from tympanic membranes. In conclusion, we have shown that the modified otoscope can discriminate between bulging and retracted tympanic membranes in a single measurement, given a two-step normalization.
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1 Introduction

Acute otitis media (AOM) is, the most frequently diagnosed disease in children that involves antibiotic treatment.1 In the United States, more than 20 million antibiotic prescriptions are registered annually due to AOM alone.2,3 In otitis media (OM) diagnosis, the position of the tympanic membrane is an important factor as a bulging membrane is a sign indicating AOM, whereas a retracted membrane is normally associated with otitis media with effusion. Even though otitis media diagnosis cannot rely on single signs or single symptoms, a bulging tympanic membrane is associated with a high positive prediction value.4 The overlap between the signs and symptoms of AOM and, e.g., the common cold, makes it difficult for clinicians to achieve high diagnostic accuracy in OM. As a consequence, AOM is overdiagnosed and many patients are subject to unnecessary antimicrobial treatment. Hence, a modality that can help increase the diagnostic accuracy, and that does not inflict significantly on the medical examination, is needed in the primary healthcare.

We have previously proposed a technique for surface curvature assessment based on fiber optics.5,6 Spatially resolved reflection (SRR) is measured without contact by measuring backscattered photons from a sample as detected by an array of fibers where each fiber is connected to a photo diode. The SRR is measured at multiple source positions. The source and detector fibers were arranged in two linear arrays, and a custom made LabView virtual instrument controlled the activation and deactivation of the source laser diodes, with only one source to be active at a time. The technique was miniaturized and implemented in a standard otoscope (Heine Beta 200, Heine, Germany) allowing for application of the sensor in the human ear. Two parallel arrays, 4-mm wide, of optical fibers (200/230 μm, NA = 0.22), with 11 fibers in each array, were attached to the distal end of the modified otoscope; one array serving as detector and the other as source. For each source, the backscattered photons are detected at 11 detectors giving rise to an 11×11 data set. A source-detector intensity matrix (SDIM) is formed by arranging the SRR in a matrix where the row number corresponds to the source channel. In Ref.6 we presented Monte Carlo simulations of the SDIM measurements of the solid optical phantoms presented in Refs.5 and 6. The aim of the present study was to evaluate whether the SDIM method for shape assessment can be used on membranes for discriminating between bulging and retracted positions. An ear model with a latex membrane was used for proof-of-principle. Measurements were also done on tympanic membranes from harvested temporal bones.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Otoscope

A tailored epoxy otoscope speculum allowing for inclusion of a double array of parallel optical fibers was manufactured by means of stereolithography (Fig. 1, right panel). The fibers were equidistantly aligned in two parallel arrays, one array serving illumination from computer controlled pigtailed laser diodes.
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Fig. 1 Left: Set-up for membrane surface curvature assessment using a model ear. The lower part contains a cavity, into which a cylinder was inserted. The lower part of the inner cylinder was cut at 30 deg relative to the horizontal plane and a replaceable rubber membrane was attached to the tilted end. A syringe allowed for cavity pressure changes and membrane positioning. The arrows show membrane response for syringe movement. Right: Front-view photo of the tip of the ear speculum showing the fiber distributions for the source and detector arrays. Fibers other than the source and detector arrays were utilized for white light illumination.
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the syringe piston. Prior to each measurement, otomicroscopic examination verified the ability of the syringe pump to affect tympanic membrane position.

2.4 Model Ear Measurements
Measurements on two types of latex membranes were performed utilizing the model ear (Fig. 2). The closed system consisting of the syringe, tube, and model ear was filled with water and the membrane position manipulated by piston movement corresponding to cavity volume variation in the range \( V - 0.3 \) to \( V + 0.3 \) ml in steps of 0.1 ml, where \( V \) is the unknown cavity volume at atmospheric pressure. Within this range, the membrane displacement can be approximated with a spherical cap. With given volume variations, membrane peak displacement ranges from \(-5\) to \(4\) mm, where the asymmetry is due to different inner and outer diameters of the cylinder holding the membrane. Measuring distances, \(d\), of 10 and 15 mm were applied. SDIM acquisition was performed for each configuration.

2.5 Tympanic Membrane Measurements
The otoscope speculum was inserted into the external auditory canal of the TBs to a position approximately 15 mm from the tympanic membrane. The TB and otoscope were held in a fixed position by means of a translational stage so that the monitored tympanic membrane peak displacement ranges from \(-6\) to \(30\) mm in steps of \(1\) mm. The prime in Eq. (3) indicates that measurements were performed at a time different from that of the acquisition of \( M \) and \( R \). Model ear measurements were normalized using Eqs. (1) and (2), whereas the tympanic membrane measurements were normalized using Eqs. (1)–(3). SDIM\(_{\text{int}}\) relates the shape of the sample to the shape of the external reference surface, whereas SDIM\(_{\text{ext}}\) relates the sample to itself in the neutral position, \( M_{\text{neutral}} \). The external reference surface was a sheared cylinder made from Delrin, 20 mm in diameter with thickness ranging from 11 to 18 mm, yielding a slope of 19 deg from the horizontal plane. The external reference surface was made to mimic the neutral position of the tympanic membrane relative to the optical axis and the array distribution. In Eq. (3), \( C \) is introduced to correct for differences in the measuring distance between the tympanic membrane and reference phantom measurements, see Sec. 4. The distance from the otoscope to the tympanic membrane was measured once for each temporal bone. However, multiple series of measurements were performed on each temporal bone, and for measurements with an unknown measuring distance, the correction factor, \( R(d) \) in Eq. (3), was determined by use of the mean SDIM\(_{\text{int}}\)(d) from neutrally positioned tympanic membranes with a known measuring distance, \( \text{SDIM}_{\text{c}, \text{neutral}} \), the SDIM\(_{\text{int}}\) from a neutrally positioned tympanic membrane with an unknown measuring distance and least squares fitting: the measuring distance, \( d \), was estimated by finding \( d \) in Eq. (3) that minimized the relative error between SDIM\(_{\text{int}}\)(d) and \( \text{SDIM}_{\text{c}, \text{neutral}} \) in a least square sense. Analogous to internal normalization in Eq. (2), a fourth normalization approach was performed by relating SDIM\(_{\text{int}}\) to \( \text{SDIM}_{\text{c}, \text{neutral}} \) so that \( \text{SDIM}_{\text{c}, \text{mix}} = \text{SDIM}_{\text{int}} / \text{SDIM}_{\text{c}, \text{neutral}} \).

A matrix representing a Gaussian surface were fitted to each SDIM applying a Levenberg–Marquardt iterative fitting procedure\(^8\) using nonlinear least squares.

\[
F(i, j) = o + T A e^{-[a(i-i_0)^2+b(j-j_0)^2+c(i-j_0)^2]},
\]

where \( o, A, a, b, c, i_0 \), and \( j_0 \) where fitting parameters and \( i \) and \( j \) the SDIM element indices. The parameters \( A, a, b, c, i_0 \), and \( j_0 \) fits a two-dimensional Gaussian function with amplitude \( A \), centered at \((i_0, j_0)\), \( a, b, \) and \( c \) the width of the Gaussian; \( o \) the offset, i.e., the elevation from the \( j \)-plane, and \( T \) a rotation operator aligning the Gaussian to its optimal base plane.

2.7 Goodness of Fit
Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient, \( r^2 \), was calculated for quantification of the goodness of fit for surfaces that met the inclusion criteria of a variation range greater than 10% of the surface average.

3 Results
3.1 Model Ear Measurements
A typical SDIM\(_{\text{int}}\) from the thinner latex membrane (#1) is seen in Fig. 3. The amplitude in the Gaussian fit is gradually more negative for retracted surfaces and more positive for bulging surfaces. Similar SDIMs were observed from the thicker membrane (#2). The SDIM\(_{\text{int}}\) from membrane #1 is given in Fig. 4. The same conclusion can be drawn on the sign of the amplitude in relation to the shape of the membrane as for SDIM\(_{\text{ext}}\). The relation between the membrane displacement and the Gaussian amplitude for the model ear measurements from membrane 1 and 2 is presented in Fig. 5. Results from membrane 1 and 2 showed similar dynamics and amplitudes.

3.2 Tympanic Membrane In Vitro Measurements
Measurements were performed on three TBs where the otoscope was repositioned two, three, and five times, respectively. Three measurement series were performed for each position. One TB
was excluded due to a hyper mobile tympanic membrane. Typical SDIM$_{\text{ext}}$ from retracted, normally positioned, and bulging tympanic membrane are seen in Fig. 6. It can be observed that Gaussian amplitude is positive for all membrane positions. However, for SDIM$_{\text{int}}$, the amplitude is negative for a retracted and positive for a bulging tympanic membrane (Fig. 7). This was seen for all three TMs. The scatter plots of amplitude and offset from retracted and bulging tympanic membranes for the four normalization procedures are given in Fig. 8. The parameters did not discriminate between the positions for SDIM$_{\text{ext}}$. The best discrimination was found for SDIM$_{\text{int}}$ and SDIM$_{\text{cr}}$.

### 3.3 Goodness of Fit

The Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficients between the SDIM model and measurements for the various normalizations are presented in Table 1. The SDIM$_{\text{int}}$ displayed less than 10% variation in most cases.

### 4 Discussion

We have presented and evaluated an optical method using two arrays of spatially distributed optical fibers, one for illumination and one for detection of backscattered light incorporated in a custom otoscope speculum. Analysis of the recorded source detector intensity matrix SDIM, using a Gaussian surface with amplitude $A$ and offset $o$, was performed to represent the shape of the surface. It was shown, using a model ear with a latex membrane, that retracted and bulging membranes can be discriminated by either normalizing the SDIM with an external plastic flat surface, SDIM$_{\text{ext}}$, or by the neutral position of the membrane itself, SDIM$_{\text{int}}$. The more complex shapes of tympanic membranes in harvested temporal bones were discriminated when using a two-step normalization procedure.
When validating the method for surface shape representation, gradual convex and concave shapes were created by controlling the water volume displacement in a Plexiglas ear model with latex membranes. As can be seen in Fig. 5, there is a gradual, but slight decrease in amplitude for the concave shapes, while the convex surfaces displayed a better dynamic. This is in accordance with our previous findings when the method was evaluated on solid polyacetal plastic blocks. There is a strong dependence of the Gaussian amplitude and the distance to the surface. A short distance (10 mm in Fig. 5), yields a large amplitude change for varying shapes. Therefore, it is important to take the measuring distance into account when assessing the shape of the tympanic membranes from the temporal bones.

Performing measurements on the tympanic membranes with graded degrees of curvature was not possible as this would have required either a completely sealed cavity, preferably with a water filled system such as in the ear model measurements, or knowledge of the individual compliances for the different temporal bones. Instead, stable bulging and convex positions of the membrane were accomplished by applying a continuous pressure, utilizing the syringe pump, to the middle ear. If the data were normalized by an external reference surface, to account for source and detector calibration, separation of the shapes was not possible (Fig. 8, upper left panel). This is due to individual variability of the shape of the membranes and the varying distances between otoscope and membrane. Both effects are accounted for when normalizing bulging and retracted data from each membrane to that from the membrane in neutral position (Fig. 8, upper right panel). This normalization, however, requires that manipulations of the position of the membrane can be performed as in tympanometry or pneumatic otoscopy. If the shape of the membrane should be assessed by a single measurement, a different normalization that accounts for measurement distance is needed. A first effort to compensate for the distance was done by the SDIM$_{c}$, a normalization procedure utilizing reference recordings with varying distances in order to match the SDIM from a tympanic membrane to that from a normally positioned membrane with known distance (SDIM$_{c}$). However, in this case a combination of amplitude and offset of the surface was needed to separate bulging from retracted membranes (Fig. 8, lower left panel). If in addition, the distance compensated SDIM$_{c}$ was normalized to the mean SDIM$_{c}$ from normally
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Fig. 5 Amplitude (left) and offset (right) of model fit for ear model measurements (SDIM$_{ext}$) as function of membrane curvature radius corresponding to volume variations in the range $V - 0.3$ to $V + 0.3$ ml in steps of 0.1 ml, where $V$ is the ear model cavity volume at atmospheric pressure. The Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient, $r^2$, for the fit was $0.87 \pm 0.08$, $0.87 \pm 0.06$, and $0.84 \pm 0.09$ for membrane 1 at $d = 10$ mm (x), membrane 1 at $d = 15$ mm (*), and membrane 2 at $d = 15$ mm, (o) respectively.
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Fig. 6 Typical SDIM$_{c}$ from a retracted, a normally positioned and a bulging tympanic membrane (left to right) as normalized by the external reference surface.
Fig. 7 Typical SDIM from a retracted (left) and a bulging (right) tympanic membrane, normalized by the SDIM from the normally positioned tympanic membrane.

Fig. 8 Distribution of amplitude (A) and offset (o) fitting parameters for SDIM_{ext} (upper left), SDIM_{int} (upper right), SDIM_{c} (lower left) and SDIM_{cr} (lower right) of bulging (crosses), and retracted (diamonds) tympanic membranes.

Table 1 The Pearson’s product moment correlation coefficient, $r^2 \pm sd$, for the Gaussian fit in the different source detector intensity matrix calculations. $N$ is the number of measurements, $n$ is the number of measurements where the $r^2$ calculation inclusion criterion of a 10% dynamics in the SDIM, was met.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SDIM_{ext}</th>
<th>SDIM_{int}</th>
<th>SDIM_{c}</th>
<th>SDIM_{cr}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ear model</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.05</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$ = 56</td>
<td>$n = 52,(93%)$</td>
<td>$n = 44,(79%)$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tympanic membranes</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N = 60$</td>
<td>$n = 60,(100%)$</td>
<td>$n = 14,(23%)$</td>
<td>$n = 60,(100%)$</td>
<td>$n = 33,(55%)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
positioned tympanic membranes, yielding SDIMcr, position discrimination was obtained based only on the Gaussian amplitude, with minimal overlap (Fig. 8, lower right panel).

5 Conclusion
In conclusion, surface shapes from retracted and bulging tympanic membranes could be separated with a single measurement, given that variations in measurement distance is accounted for and that the measurement from normally positioned tympanic membranes are used for normalization. Hence, the method has potential to aid in quantitative assessment of the tympanic membrane position.
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