

# Shining the shortest flashes of light on the secret life of electrons

Margarita Khokhlova, Emilio Pisanty,\* and Amelle Zaïr

King's College London, Department of Physics, London, United Kingdom

Ever since the invention of laser pulses,<sup>1–5</sup> one of the key directions for the development of laser technology has been the creation of ever shorter pulses of light. Over the past sixty years, improvements in technology have pushed pulse durations down from nanoseconds through picoseconds to femtoseconds, giving us access to time-resolved studies of molecular nuclear motion and chemical reactions.<sup>6</sup> Just in time for the 59th birthday of the standard (SI) prefixes “femto” and “atto,”<sup>7</sup> the 2023 Nobel Prize in Physics was awarded to the latest landmark in this effort: the generation of attosecond pulses of light,<sup>8–10</sup> which opens a window to the most fundamental timescale of the world around us – the timescale of electrons moving inside atoms, molecules, and condensed matter.

Attosecond pulses are the result of decades of combined advances in laser technology, raising the intensity boundary of laser pulses,<sup>11,12</sup> and in nonlinear optics, which expanded to use these intense laser pulses to their full potential. Attosecond pulses are born in the extreme nonlinear interaction of high-intensity laser pulses with matter – conventionally with noble gases, but increasingly with solid-state media – in a process known as high-harmonic generation (HHG).<sup>8</sup> HHG marks the growth of nonlinear optics from the finding of second-harmonic generation<sup>13</sup> to the observation of nonperturbative processes with harmonic order that can now be measured in the thousands<sup>14</sup> (Fig. 1).

The 2023 Nobel Prize in Physics awards the discovery of HHG by Anne L’Huillier and her team in 1988,<sup>8</sup> and the subsequent use of this process by Pierre Agostini and Ferenc Krausz to experimentally demonstrate pulses of light shorter than one femtosecond.<sup>9,10</sup>

HHG occurs when a strong and ultrafast laser drives the atoms in a gas, with enough intensity to go beyond the perturbative regime. The atoms can then up-convert the frequency of the laser, by combining large numbers of laser photons into single high-frequency harmonics. In contrast with “standard” harmonic generation, where the efficiency of the conversion drops exponentially with the number of laser photons being combined, L’Huillier and her team at CEA in Saclay, France, observed a long, flat plateau of harmonics (somewhat reminiscent of an optical frequency comb<sup>15,16</sup>) emitted with roughly equal intensities,<sup>8</sup> which had first been glimpsed one year previously.<sup>17</sup>

The process is best understood via the so-called three-step model, discovered independently by Paul Corkum and by Kenneth Kulander et al. in 1993,<sup>18,19</sup> building on previous work by Brunel<sup>20,21</sup> and Kuchiev.<sup>22</sup> The driving laser first rips an electron off an atom via tunnel ionization,<sup>23</sup> then accelerates it in the continuum through the oscillations of the electric field of the laser over one cycle, and finally clashes it with its parent ion at high speed, where it recombines with the hole it left behind and emits its considerable kinetic energy as a burst of light. This process then repeats every half-cycle of the driving laser, producing a train of pulses. The simple classical picture was confirmed by experiments correlating HHG to recollision-induced double ionization,<sup>24</sup> and it was shortly followed by an analytical quantum-mechanical theory developed by Maciej Lewenstein and co-workers.<sup>25</sup>

The bursts of radiation emitted by the recolliding electron occur on a subcycle timescale. It was realized early on after the discovery of the HHG plateau that, if the harmonics are locked in phase with each other, then their broad bandwidth would support subfemtosecond pulses.<sup>26–28</sup> Moreover, the identification of the subcycle – attosecond – electron dynamics in the continuum that produces these flashes of light opened the door to the design of methods to confine the attosecond-pulse emission to only one half-cycle of the driving laser, thus singling out one of the attosecond pulses in the train as an isolated attosecond pulse,<sup>29–31</sup> a family of methods now known as “gating.”<sup>32</sup>

The scent of the attosecond world fired the starting pistol, and the race was now on: to generate attosecond pulses of light from HHG, either in a train or in isolation. This race presented significant challenges, in the design and implementation of methods for temporal characterization which could reach such an unprecedented and demanding time resolution, and – for the generation of isolated pulses – the development of gating methods practical enough to be built in the lab.

The first to cross the finish line was Pierre Agostini and his team, also at CEA in Saclay, who built a stable HHG setup and successfully timed the duration of the radiation bursts in the train down to a quarter of a femtosecond,<sup>9</sup> i.e., 250. To do this, they used a frequency-domain technique now known as RABBITT<sup>33,34</sup> (attosecond science acronyms have a distinct “animal” theme<sup>35–37</sup>), which looks at interference patterns in electrons ionized by the attosecond pulse train in the presence of a mid-intensity replica of the driving laser.

Almost simultaneously, Ferenc Krausz and his team at the Technical University of Vienna reported the generation of the first isolated



**Fig. 1** An artist’s idea of weak and strong fields interacting with matter: the weak field produces linear and low-order harmonic response to the laser field, while the strong one breaks the matter and generates high-order harmonics. Applying some imagination, one can even attribute the sound of breaking strings to attosecond pulses. Courtesy of Vasily Strelkov and Inna Midzyanovskaya.

\*Address all correspondence to Emilio Pisanty, [emilio.pisanty@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:emilio.pisanty@kcl.ac.uk)

© The Authors. Published by SPIE and CLP under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: [10.1117/1.AP.5.6.060501](https://doi.org/10.1117/1.AP.5.6.060501)]

attosecond pulse.<sup>10</sup> This was the result of a long development campaign to push the duration of strong laser pulses down to the few-cycle (and even single-cycle) regime. With such a short driver, the HHG emission is effectively confined to only a single burst, a technique now known as amplitude gating. Moreover, Krausz and his team managed to measure the duration of the emission down to 650 attoseconds, using a cross-correlation technique<sup>38</sup> closely related to a now-standard method called the attosecond streak camera.<sup>39,40</sup>

In the two decades since these breakthroughs, the floodgates for discovery have opened wider and wider, allowing for a number of measurements and observations that were considered impossible or unthinkable for decades. Attosecond pulses have been used to track nuclear motion,<sup>41</sup> and later to observe faster and faster dynamics, including direct tracking of valence electron motion in atoms<sup>42</sup> and biomolecules,<sup>43</sup> and of the even faster motion of core-shell electrons.<sup>44</sup> They have also provided views of the time-resolved build-up of quantum interference patterns in atomic spectra,<sup>45</sup> the interference of the various quasi-classical electron trajectories that produce HHG,<sup>46</sup> and of the time-resolved coupling of electron and phonon degrees of freedom.<sup>47</sup>

One standout example is the application of the attosecond streak camera to directly observe the oscillations of the electric field of a light wave,<sup>48</sup> providing a new and fresh answer to the question of optical coherence.<sup>49,50</sup> This possibility breaks many of the assumptions held during the construction of quantum mechanics in the 1920s and 1930s, similarly to the prospects offered by the manipulation of individual quantum systems.<sup>51,52</sup>

The attosecond pulses produced via HHG have also been joined by pulses from additional sources, including other high-order parametric processes,<sup>53</sup> oscillating relativistic plasma mirrors,<sup>54</sup> and, most importantly, facility-scale X-ray free-electron lasers.<sup>55</sup> XFELs also offer attosecond capabilities, at high brightness, and with a nuanced set of trade-offs regarding coherence and timing precision. This wider set of sources promises to further enrich our ability to probe the microscopic world and its attosecond dynamics.

Looking forward, the future of attosecond science promises significant and inspiring innovations, both in the advance of attosecond sources and in their applications. On the side of the sources, it has recently become possible to use attosecond pulses to both pump and probe ultrafast phenomena in the same experiment,<sup>56</sup> and attosecond interferometry has reached extreme levels of precision,<sup>57</sup> both of which hold significant promise in reaching new regimes and dynamics. The optical control of attosecond pulses continues to increase, including the use of structured light,<sup>58</sup> tailored polarizations,<sup>59</sup> chiral states of light,<sup>60</sup> attosecond frequency combs based on femtosecond enhancement cavities,<sup>61</sup> and detailed control of the HHG wavefronts.<sup>62</sup>

Attosecond science also continues to expand the range of systems it can study, from HHG in solids<sup>63</sup> to liquids<sup>64</sup> and nanostructures.<sup>65</sup> Its growth has also made it possible to build fertile interfaces with other branches of physics, including quantum optics,<sup>66</sup> and quantum information processing,<sup>67</sup> which hold substantial promise of innovation for this young – but now mature – and dynamic discipline.

## References

1. C. H. Townes, “Production of coherent radiation by atoms and molecules,” Nobel Lecture, 1964, <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/1964/townes/lecture/> (accessed October 2023).
2. N. G. Basov, “Semiconductor lasers,” Nobel Lecture, 1964, <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/1964/basov/lecture/> (accessed October 2023).
3. A. M. Prokhorov, “Quantum electronics,” Nobel Lecture, 1964, <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/1964/prokhorov/lecture/> (accessed October 2023).
4. F. J. McClung and R. W. Hellwarth, “Giant optical pulsations from ruby,” *J. Appl. Phys.* **33**(3), 828 (1962).
5. J. M. Dudley, “Light, lasers, and the Nobel Prize,” *Adv. Photonics* **2**(5), 050501 (2020).
6. A. Zewail, “Femtochemistry: atomic-scale dynamics of the chemical bond using ultrafast lasers,” Nobel Lecture, 1999, <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/chemistry/1999/zewail/lecture/> (accessed October 2023).
7. International Conference on Weights and Measures, Resolution 8, International System of Units, *Proc. 12th CGPM*, p. 94, (1964).
8. M. Ferray et al., “Multiple-harmonic conversion of 1064 nm radiation in rare gases,” *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **21**, L31 (1988).
9. P. M. Paul et al., “Observation of a train of attosecond pulses from high harmonic generation,” *Science* **292**(5522), 1689 (2001).
10. M. Hentschel et al., “Attosecond metrology,” *Nature* **414**, 509 (2001).
11. G. Mourou, “Extreme light physics and application,” Nobel Lecture, 2018, <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/2018/mourou/lecture/> (accessed October 2023).
12. D. Strickland, “Generating high-intensity ultrashort optical pulses,” Nobel Lecture, 2018, <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/2018/strickland/lecture/> (accessed October 2023).
13. N. Bloembergen, “Nonlinear optics and spectroscopy,” Nobel Lecture, 1981, <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/1981/bloembergen/lecture/> (accessed October 2023).
14. T. Popmintchev et al., “Bright coherent ultrahigh harmonics in the keV X-ray regime from mid-infrared femtosecond lasers,” *Science* **336**(6086), 1287 (2012).
15. J. L. Hall, “Defining and measuring optical frequencies: the optical clock opportunity – and more,” Nobel Lecture, 2005, <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/2005/hall/lecture/> (accessed October 2023).
16. T. W. Hänsch, “Passion for precision,” Nobel Lecture, 2005, <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/2005/hansch/lecture/> (accessed October 2023).
17. A. McPherson et al., “Studies of multiphoton production of vacuum-ultraviolet radiation in the rare gases,” *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **4**(4), 595 (1987).
18. P. B. Corkum, “Plasma perspective on strong field multiphoton ionization,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **71**(13), 1994 (1993).
19. K. C. Kulander, K. J. Schafer, and J. L. Krause, “Dynamics of short-pulse excitation, ionization and harmonic conversion,” in *Super-Intense Laser Atom Physics*, B. Piraux, A. L’Huillier, and K. Rzażewski, Eds., NATO Advanced Studies Institute Series B: Physics, **316**, 95–110, Springer, Boston, Massachusetts (1993).
20. F. Brunel, “Harmonic generation due to plasma effects in a gas undergoing multi-photon ionization in the high-intensity limit,” *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B* **7**, 521 (1990).
21. P. B. Corkum, N. H. Burnett, and F. Brunel, “Above-threshold ionization in the long-wavelength limit,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**(11), 1259 (1989).
22. M. Yu. Kuchiev, “Atomic antenna,” *JETP Lett.* **45**(7), 404 (1987) [*Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **45**(7), 319 (1987)].
23. S. V. Popruzhenko, “Keldysh theory of strong field ionization: history, applications, difficulties and perspectives,” *J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys.* **47**(20), 204001 (2014).
24. P. Dietrich et al., “High-harmonic generation and correlated two-electron multiphoton ionization with elliptically polarized light,” *Phys. Rev. A* **50**, R3585 (1994).
25. M. Lewenstein et al., “Theory of high-harmonic generation by low-frequency laser fields,” *Phys. Rev. A* **49**(3), 2117 (1994).
26. G. Farkas and C. Tóth, “Proposal for attosecond light pulse generation using laser induced multiple-harmonic conversion processes in rare gases,” *Phys. Lett. A* **168**, 447 (1992).
27. S. E. Harris, J. J. Macklin, and T. W. Hänsch, “Atomic scale temporal structure inherent to high-order harmonic generation,” *Opt. Commun.* **100**, 487 (1993).
28. P. Antoine, A. L’Huillier, and M. Lewenstein, “Attosecond pulse trains using high-order harmonics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **77**, 1234 (1996).

29. P. B. Corkum, N. H. Burnett, and M. Y. Ivanov, “Subfemtosecond pulses,” *Opt. Lett.* **19**(22), 1870 (1994).
30. A. Zaïr et al., “Time-resolved measurements of high order harmonics confined by polarization gating,” *Appl. Phys. B* **78**, 869 (2004).
31. I. J. Sola et al., “Controlling attosecond electron dynamics by phase-stabilized polarization gating,” *Nat. Phys.* **2**, 319 (2006).
32. M. Chini, K. Zhao, and Z. Chang, “The generation, characterization and applications of broadband isolated attosecond pulses,” *Nat. Photonics* **8**, 178 (2014).
33. V. Véliard, R. Taïeb, and A. Maquet, “Phase dependence of  $(N+1)$ -color ( $N>1$ ) ir-uv photoionization of atoms with higher harmonics,” *Phys. Rev. A* **54**(1), 721 (1996).
34. H. G. Muller, “Reconstruction of attosecond harmonic beating by interference of two-photon transitions,” *Appl. Phys. B* **74**(S1), s17 (2002).
35. Y. Mairesse and F. Quéré, “Frequency-resolved optical gating for complete reconstruction of attosecond bursts,” *Phys. Rev. A* **71**(1), 011401 (2005).
36. C. Iaconis and I. A. Walmsley, “Spectral phase interferometry for direct electric-field reconstruction of ultrashort optical pulses,” *Opt. Lett.* **23**(10), 792–794 (1998).
37. S. Rozen et al., “Controlling subcycle optical chirality in the photoionization of chiral molecules,” *Phys. Rev. X* **9**(3), 031004 (2019).
38. Z. Chang et al., “Measurement of  $\sim 10$  fs XUV pulses from high-order harmonic generation,” in *Conf. Lasers and Electro-Opt. (CLEO '99)*, 28, p. 343, IEEE (1999).
39. E. Constant et al., “Methods for the measurement of the duration of high-harmonic pulses,” *Phys. Rev. A* **56**, 3870 (1997).
40. J. Itatani et al., “Attosecond streak camera,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **88**, 173903 (2002).
41. H. Niiikura et al., “Probing molecular dynamics with attosecond resolution using correlated wave packet pairs,” *Nature* **421**, 826 (2003).
42. E. Goulielmakis et al., “Real-time observation of valence electron motion,” *Nature* **466**, 739 (2010).
43. F. Calegari et al., “Ultrafast electron dynamics in phenylalanine initiated by attosecond pulses,” *Science* **346**, 336 (2014).
44. T. Barillot et al., “Correlation-driven transient hole dynamics resolved in space and time in the isopropanol molecule,” *Phys. Rev. X* **11**, 031048 (2021).
45. C. Ott et al., “Lorentz meets Fano in spectral line shapes: a universal phase and its laser control,” *Science* **340**, 716 (2013).
46. A. Zaïr et al., “Quantum path interferences in high-order harmonic generation,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**(14), 143902 (2008).
47. H.-T. Chang et al., “Electron thermalization and relaxation in laser-heated nickel by few-femtosecond core-level transient absorption spectroscopy,” *Phys. Rev. B* **103**(6), 064305 (2021).
48. E. Goulielmakis et al., “Direct measurement of light waves,” *Science* **305**, 1267 (2004).
49. R. J. Glauber, “One hundred years of light quanta,” Nobel Lecture, 2005, <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/2005/glauber/lecture/> (accessed October 2023).
50. S. D. Bartlett, T. Rudolph, and R. W. Spekkens, “Dialogue concerning two views on quantum coherence: factist and fictionist,” *Int. J. Quantum Inform.* **4**(1), 17 (2006).
51. S. Haroche, “Controlling photons in a box and exploring the quantum to classical boundary,” Nobel Lecture, 2012, <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/2012/haroche/lecture/> (accessed October 2023).
52. D. J. Wineland, “Superposition, entanglement, and raising Schrödinger’s cat,” Nobel Lecture, 2012, <https://www.nobelprize.org/uploads/2018/06/wineland-lecture.pdf> (accessed October 2023).
53. O. Hort et al., “High-order parametric generation of coherent XUV radiation,” *Opt. Express* **29**, 5982 (2021).
54. H. Vincenti et al., “Optical properties of relativistic plasma mirrors,” *Nat. Commun.* **5**, 3403 (2014).
55. N. Hartmann et al., “Attosecond time–energy structure of X-ray free-electron laser pulses,” *Nat. Photonics* **12**, 215 (2018).
56. M. Kretschmar et al., “Compact realization of all-attosecond pump-probe spectroscopy,” [arXiv:2306.16212](https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.16212) (2023).
57. D. Azoury et al., “Electronic wavefunctions probed by all-optical attosecond interferometry,” *Nat. Photonics* **13**, 54 (2019).
58. L. Rego et al., “Generation of extreme-ultraviolet beams with time-varying orbital angular momentum,” *Science* **364**, eaaw9486 (2019).
59. A. Fleischer et al., “Spin angular momentum and tunable polarization in high-harmonic generation,” *Nat. Photonics* **8**, 543–549 (2014).
60. D. Ayuso et al., “Synthetic chiral light for efficient control of chiral light–matter interaction,” *Nat. Photonics* **13**, 866 (2019).
61. I. Pupeza et al., “Extreme-ultraviolet frequency combs for precision metrology and attosecond science,” *Nat. Photonics* **15**, 175 (2021).
62. K. Veyrinas et al., “Chromatic aberrations correction of attosecond high-order harmonic beams by flat-top spatial shaping of the fundamental beam,” *New J. Phys.* **25**(2), 023017 (2023).
63. S. Ghimire et al., “Observation of high-order harmonic generation in a bulk crystal,” *Nat. Phys.* **7**, 138 (2011).
64. O. Alexander et al., “Observation of recollision-based high-harmonic generation in liquid isopropanol and the role of electron scattering,” *Phys. Rev. Res.* **5**(4), 043030 (2023).
65. M. F. Ciappina et al., “Attosecond physics at the nanoscale,” *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **80**, 054401 (2017).
66. M. Lewenstein et al., “Generation of optical Schrödinger cat states in intense laser–matter interactions,” *Nat. Phys.* **17**, 1104 (2021).
67. M. Blavier, R. D. Levine, and F. Remacle, “Time evolution of entanglement of electrons and nuclei and partial traces in ultrafast photochemistry,” *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **24**(29), 17516 (2022).

**Margarita Khokhlova** is a Royal Society University Research Fellow at King’s College London. She received her BSc/MSc and PhD degrees from Lomonosov Moscow State University (MSU), and has since held a Royal Society Newton International Fellowship at Imperial College London and an Alexander von Humboldt Postdoctoral Research Fellowship at the Max Born Institute in Berlin. Her current research interests cover the optimization of the generation of attosecond pulses of light as well as the study of ultrafast dynamics in atoms, molecules and solids, including chiral molecules and topological solids, triggered and tracked by ultrashort light pulses.

**Emilio Pisanty** is a Royal Society University Research Fellow at King’s College London. He received his BSc degree from the National Autonomous University of Mexico (UNAM), and a PhD in Controlled Quantum Dynamics from Imperial College London. He has worked at the Max Born Institute in Berlin and in ICFO – The Institute of Photonic Sciences in Barcelona. His current research interests include the intersections of attosecond science with structured light, catastrophe optics, and quantum optics.

**Amelle Zaïr** is the head of the Attosecond Quantum Physics Laboratory at King’s College London. She received her BSc in Physics from the University of Bordeaux, France, her MSc in laser–matter interaction from the University of Orsay and the École Polytechnique in Palaiseau, France, and her PhD degree from the University of Bordeaux. She held an Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council Fellowship at Imperial College London. She then created the Attosecond Quantum Physics Laboratory at King’s College London in 2017. She has worked in collaboration with Anne L’Huillier since 2003. Her current research covers the development of cutting-edge chirped-pulse amplification lasers for attosecond physics at high repetition rate; the full characterization and control of attosecond sources and their application in metrology; the investigation of attosecond dynamical processes in gases, solids, metamaterials and topological materials; the dynamical coherent control of charge migration in molecules at attosecond time scale; and the investigation of qubits controlled at attosecond time scale for application in quantum information processing.