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Abstract. Nonlinear optical imaging modalities (multiphoton excited fluorescence, second and third harmonic generation) applied in vivo are increasingly promising for clinical diagnostics and the monitoring of cancer and other disorders, as they can probe tissue with high diffraction-limited resolution at near-infrared (IR) wavelengths. However, high peak intensity of femtosecond laser pulses required for two-photon processes causes formation of cyclobutane-pyrimidine-dimers (CPDs) in cellular deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) similar to damage from exposure to solar ultraviolet (UV) light. Inaccurate repair of subsequent mutations increases the risk of carcinogenesis. In this study, we investigate CPD damage that results in Chinese hamster ovary cells in vitro from imaging them with two-photon excited autofluorescence. The CPD levels are quantified by immunofluorescent staining. We further evaluate the extent of CPD damage with respect to varied wavelength, pulse width at focal plane, and pixel dwell time as compared with more pronounced damage from UV sources. While CPD damage has been expected to result from three-photon absorption, our results reveal that CPDs are induced by competing two- and three-photon absorption processes, where the former accesses UVA absorption band. This finding is independently confirmed by nonlinear dependencies of damage on laser power, wavelength, and pulse width. © 2012 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE).
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1 Introduction

In recent years, nonlinear optical imaging (NLO) modalities based on near-infrared (NIR, 700 to 1000 nm) femtosecond-laser sources have been increasingly utilized in biomedical research and clinical applications. In vivo tissue imaging is especially promising for investigation, diagnostics, and monitoring of cancers and other disorders. Multiphoton laser scanning microscopy (multiphoton excited fluorescence, second and third harmonic generation) can probe tissue structure at high diffraction-limited resolution (0.3 μm), with 100- to 300-μm penetration depth, intrinsic three-dimensionality (3-D), and no out-of-focal volume photobleaching/photodamage, since there are no one-photon absorbers except melanin at NIR wavelengths.

Cells and tissues contain a host of endogenous fluorophores that can be excited using multiphoton imaging techniques. Contrast in tissue arises from autofluorescence of, among others, nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NADH, absorption maximum at 340 nm),1 flavin adenine nucleotide (FAD, 440 nm),1 melanin (broad-band absorption through all visible wavelengths),2 collagen (Type I, 375 nm),3 and various lipoproteins, while noncentrosymmetric protein arrays (collagen, myosin and tubulin) produce a second harmonic signal,4 and interfaces can be visualized with third harmonic generation.5,6 All these distinct endogenous sources can be excited simultaneously and discriminated in a spectral imaging system.5,9 NLO spectral imaging based on label-free autofluorescence has been demonstrated in vivo not only in skin,10 but also in highly dynamic tissues such as lymphoid tissue11 and intestine.12 Moreover, in vivo monitoring of protein-bound and free NAD(P)H in cancer versus normal cells,23 and during apoptosis.13

Apart from technical challenges for in vivo microscopy, one of the reasons for a delay in routine NLO imaging in clinical applications is insufficient experimental data on possible risks involved, with carcinogenic cell damage being the most serious concern.24,25 For a successful transition from laboratory bench to clinics, biological safety must be investigated thoroughly.

Since all multiphoton processes are based on simultaneous nonresonant absorption or resonant up-conversion of two or three excitation photons in the sub-femtoliter focal volume of a high-NA objective, they require femtosecond laser pulses with high peak intensity in the range of several hundreds of GW/cm². This level of photon density is high enough to cause destructive nonlinear photochemical effects in live...
cells, with acute damage ranging from irreversible impairment of cell division,26 formation of destructive oxygen radicals and singlet oxygen27 to plasma formation and morphological destruction, where the latter require an order of magnitude higher intensity.28 In fact, thermal damage resulting from multi-photon water absorption has been estimated to be insignificant throughout the near-infrared imaging range on the order of 1 mK for typical excitation power of 5 to 30 mW.29

However, the biggest safety concern has always been the direct DNA damage from simultaneous absorption of three photons, as strong absorption bands of nucleic acids lie below 290 nm, peaking at 257 nm. The DNA lesions induced are similar to those from natural UV exposure resulting in formation of cyclobutane-pyrimidine dimers (CPDs), 6-4 photoproducts, single- and double-strand breaks, and numerous modified bases.29,30–33 Among all these lesions, CPDs are primarily responsible for carcinogenesis34 resulting from a series of mutations in cells with unrepairred damage.34 The genotoxic cellular effects of the shorter UV wavelengths (UVC band, 200 to 290 nm, and UVB band, 290 to 320 nm) have been long known and thoroughly investigated.30,35–37 However, the DNA damaging effects of longer wavelengths (UVA band, 320 to 400 nm) causing strong mutagenic properties have been recognized only recently.30,35–37 There is an ongoing debate regarding the direct and indirect pathways leading from UVA absorption to CPDs. Several studies have claimed that UVA absorption produces reactive chemical species that can damage DNA.34,38–42 However, two recent studies strongly suggested that CPDs are produced by UVA via direct photochemical mechanism, without mediation of a cellular photosensitizer or intermediate reactive species.43,44 Moreover, Mouret et al. showed evidence that UVA-induced CPDs are less repairable.38 In their experiment, 48 h after exposure to UVA radiation the level of unrepairred CPDs was unexpectedly higher than after UVB-induced damage. There are no indications that different CPD dimers are produced by absorption of UVA and UVC photons. However, the possible explanations for persistence of UVA-induced CPDs include different in-cell cycle arrest after irradiation, degradation of DNA repair protein by the UVA-induced reactive oxygen species45 as well as alteration of cell behavior through changes in cell signaling pathways by UVA irradiation.45

Theoretically, in the spectral range typically used for NLO imaging of endogenous fluorophores both UVC and UVA absorption bands can be excited by femtosecond laser pulses via three- and two-photon absorption, respectively. Previously, only third-order process and UVA-like damage has been considered.25 Therefore we saw the need to investigate the mechanism of CPD damage by near-IR laser pulses. Specifically it is important to understand if CPD damage results from concurrent three- and two-photon absorption, and if it does what their contributions are.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Cell Culture

Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells were cultured in flasks containing Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (Invitrogen, Breda, The Netherlands) containing 7.5% fetal calf serum (Invitrogen), 2 mM L-glutamine (Invitrogen), and 2% antibiotic mix (Invitrogen) at pH 7.4. Cultures were maintained at 37°C in an incubator aerated with 5% CO2.

For experiments, cells were trypsinized and 3 ml of the cell suspension was transferred into sterile petri dishes of 35 mm diameter with an inbuilt glass cover slip of 0.16 to 0.19 mm thickness and 20 mm diameter (MatTek, USA), located in the petri dish center for optimal laser irradiation/imaging. Grids were drawn on the petri dish bottom in order to image known groups of cells with varying laser parameters. To obtain a confluent monolayer of cells the cell chambers were additionally incubated for another day under the above conditions.

2.2 Laser Irradiation

The cells were imaged with a laser scanning unit (C1, Nikon, Japan) mounted on an inverted microscope (TE2000, Nikon, Japan) coupled to a mode-locked titanium-sapphire femtosecond laser (Chameleon model, Coherent, USA) with 80-MHz pulse repetition rate, and 130-fs pulse width. Excitation intensity was controlled with a combination of a half-waveplate and a polarizing cube (Thorlabs, USA). Pulse width was adjusted with a group velocity dispersion compensator consisting of a pair of gratings (Thorlabs, USA) and measured at the focal plane with an autocorrelator (APE Gmbh, Germany). All experiments were performed over a range of excitation wavelengths between 695 and 810 nm with varied intensity and pulse width using a water immersion 40 × 0.8 N.A. objective (Nikon, Japan) with autofluorescence signal acquired in a nondescanned configuration.

Confluent monolayers of CHO cells were exposed to NIR irradiation doses under varying imaging conditions. The acquired xyz optical stacks contained between 10 and 12 images of 512 × 512 pixel (170 × 170 um) size with axial intervals of 1 um. The cells in the nonirradiated regions served as an internal negative control. UV-irradiation was performed in a UV cabinet (Chromato Vue CC-20, USA) over the whole surface of the petri dishes at two individual wavelengths: 254 nm corresponding to UVC band, and 365 nm corresponding to UVA band.

2.3 Immunofluorescence Assay for CPDs

After the NIR irradiation the cells were fixed for 10 min with 4% formalin (Sigma Aldrich) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS). Washing the cells with 2 ml PBS five times followed this step and every subsequent one. Fixed cells were incubated for 5 min on ice with 0.5% Triton X-100 in PBS in order to permeabilize the cell membranes for antibody penetration. The cellular DNA was then denatured by treating the samples with 2N HCL at room temperature for 30 min. Primary monoclonal antibodies specific for CPDs (Cosmobio, Japan) were diluted 1:500 in 5% bovine serum albumin in PBS and incubated with the cells for 1 h at room temperature. For the secondary antibodies, we used goat anti-mouse IgG conjugated with AlexaFluor-594 (Invitrogen, Germany) diluted 1:100 in 5% bovine serum albumin in PBS, where incubation was performed for 30 min at room temperature. Finally, the cells were stained with 0.05 μg/ml DAPI in PBS to facilitate visual localization of nuclei during the analysis. The samples were dried and mounted with Vectashield antifade medium, and the petri dishes were closed and sealed with paraffin tape.

The one-photon CPD immuno-fluorescence from the stained cells was recorded using an EMCCD camera (Cascade model, Photometrics, USA) coupled to a fluorescence microscope (TE2000, Nikon, Japan) with a 20 × 0.75 NA PlanApo air objective (Nikon, Japan). Since formalin fixation is known to
flatten cells, acquisition of a single optical section from the axial center of cells is a sufficient indicator of the total fluorescence. Signal intensity was quantified using ImageJ software (http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij/), where pixel intensity values were obtained from individual nuclei, and then averaged between all the cells irradiated under the same conditions. All samples were imaged using the same acquisition parameters with minimal and similar levels of photobleaching. Therefore the quantified fluorescence intensity serves as a valid metric for the amount of induced CPD lesions. Every set of simultaneously processed samples had one UVC-irradiated sample that served as positive control and provided a normalization factor for comparison between different sets to account for unavoidable fluctuations in staining efficiency and inhomogeneity.

3 Results and Discussion

A typical image of two-photon excited autofluorescence from cells is shown in Fig. 1(a) along with the corresponding one-photon immuno-fluorescence from CPDs in the nuclei of fixed cells [Fig. 1(b)]. We note a very minimal cell migration between the start of irradiation and fixation with formalin. A clear border of region with CPD damage corresponds to the edge of the x-y scan; the cells in the area unexposed to laser exhibit a very low level of CPD immuno-fluorescence. We attribute the background level of CPDs in the cells to CPDs formed by exposure to stray white light during culturing and especially during the experiment. Nonspecificity of the CPD staining was ruled out by a separate negative control experiment. Therefore any quantification of the laser-induced damage accounted for the fluorescence value from the cells unexposed to laser. As expected, CPD damage from imaging with femtosecond laser is evenly distributed within cell nuclei as equal doses of radiation are delivered to every pixel. Any inhomogeneity in the damage pattern corresponds to local concentration of available DNA. On the contrary, CPDs induced by UVC lamp [positive control, Fig. 1(c)] show a doughnut-shape distribution with stronger damage at the nuclei periphery. This finding can be explained by strong absorption of 254-nm photons by DNA. It has been shown that some laser-damaged cells lesions tend to migrate toward the periphery of the nuclei, suggesting that peripheral damage might be preferred by cellular repair mechanisms. Therefore the possibility that uniformly damaged nuclei might carry different consequences for cell viability, repair, and carcinogenic mutations requires further investigation.

3.1 Intensity Versus Damage

Experimentally measured dependence of CPD damage on peak intensity for three different wavelengths at fixed pulse width of 175 fs (measured at the sample) is plotted in Fig. 2. We note there is apparent minimal peak intensity around 0.35 TW/cm² that produces detectable damage just above the background level. However, this is indicative of the overall staining method sensitivity at lower levels of CPDs rather than a threshold behavior. Nevertheless, these laser intensities are lower than required for tissue imaging (0.5 to 0.8 TW/cm²), and the damage over this practical range of intensities is investigated here. As evident from the data, the minimal peak intensity that produces detectable damage decreases, while the level of CPD damage increases for shorter wavelengths.

Any third-order process would be governed by cubic power dependence. While accounting for the background level (parameter \(A_0\), Table 1) of CPD immuno-fluorescence from nonirradiated cells, linear fits of the experimental data on the log-log scale yielded slopes of 3.40 ± 0.33, 2.94 ± 0.18, and 2.81 ± 0.16 for 780, 750, and 711 nm, respectively. Clearly, these results show within the experimental error a three-photon nature of CPD damage at longer wavelengths. However, the decline in slope value of power dependence with shorter wavelengths points to the apparent sub-third-order behavior at 711 nm. In fact, if shorter wavelengths give rise to two-photon absorption corresponding to UVA absorption band, the total CPD damage would result from a superposition of the second and the third-order events.

In order to independently verify our finding that CPD lesions are induced by simultaneous combination of two- and three-photon absorption below 780 nm we investigated dependence...
of CPD damage on pulse width of excitation laser at the wavelength of 750 nm and constant intensity.

For n-photon absorption process, the number of photons absorbed per molecule ($n_a$) is given by

$$n_a \approx \frac{P^{n-1} \sigma_{n-ph}}{f_{rep}} \left( \frac{NA^2}{2\pi\lambda} \right)^n,$$

where $n$ equals 2 and 3 for 2- and 3-photon absorption, respectively, $P$ is the average excitation power, $\sigma_{n-ph}$ is the multiphoton absorption cross-section (in context of this discussion corresponds to CPD-inducing transitions), $f_{rep}$ is laser repetition rate, $\tau$ is the excitation pulse width, $\lambda$ is the excitation wavelength, $c$ is the speed of light, $h$ is Planck’s constant. We note here, that two-photon absorption is inversely proportional to the excitation pulse width, while three-photon absorption scales down proportionally to the square of the pulse width. However, for coexisting multiphoton processes one would expect an intermediate coupled behavior.

The pulse widths used for imaging are normally above 100 fs, as shorter pulses get severely broadened by the microscope optics through group velocity dispersion. In our experiment, CPD damage was recorded at 750 nm with constant intensity of 14 mW while varying pulse width at the focal plane from 164 to 425 fs with a grating pair, and the results are plotted in Fig. 3. While the intensity of 14 mW is on the high end of the levels expected for cell imaging, it provided

Table 1 Results of fitting the experimental data of CPD damage dependence on peak intensity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength</th>
<th>$A_0$</th>
<th>$A_2$</th>
<th>$A_3$</th>
<th>$R^2$-squared value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>711 nm</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>886 ± 655</td>
<td>4772 ± 927</td>
<td>0.9717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>750 nm</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>126 ± 433</td>
<td>3663 ± 624</td>
<td>0.9769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>780 nm</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0 ± 470</td>
<td>1599 ± 589</td>
<td>0.9422</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pulse width dependence investigated here has implications for in vivo imaging with ultrashort pulses, such as two-photon microscopy with pulse widths as low as 12 femtoseconds. On one hand, decreasing the pulse width translates into linear increase of two-photon excited fluorescence intensity. At the same time, as we demonstrated here, the CPD damage increases as $t^{1.56}$ (on average), and proportionally to the subcubic peak intensity under the typically used imaging conditions. For much shorter pulses, the damage will be caused by almost 100% third-order absorption overshadowing the gain in fluorescence efficiency. The increased damage can only be offset by the corresponding decrease in the average excitation intensity in order to maintain the same peak intensity of the pulses.
However, this damage cannot be compensated for without loss of intensity and, consequently, image quality.

Having demonstrated the superposition of competing two- and three-photon processes, we can decouple their relative contributions by refitting the data from Fig. 2 with the following equation:

\[
\text{CPD} \sim A_0 + A_2 I^2 + A_3 I^3, \tag{2}
\]

where \(A_0\) is the background CPD level in nonexposed cells, \(I\) is the damaging intensity, while \(A_2\) and \(A_3\) are the fitting parameters. The results are plotted in Fig. 4 and listed in Table 1. The R-squared values are not significantly different from those of the earlier fits plotted in Fig. 2, and fall between 0.942 and 0.977. However, now this simple model shows relative contributions of two- and three-photon absorption (UVA- and UVC-like CPD damage, respectively), where the latter dominates at all wavelengths investigated, even at 711 nm. Moreover, the fits estimate how this distribution changes with increasing intensity, eventually becoming almost exclusively third order. The data shows that at 711 nm, the share of two-photon process falls from 38% to 16% as peak intensity increases from 0.3 to 1 TW/cm². The data shows a weak but nonnegligible contribution of second order absorption at 750 nm (falling from 10% to 3% over the same intensity range), and pure three-photon absorption at 780 nm. In fact, the 780 nm data series exhibits better conversion when fitted with only third-order term. We note that adding the fourth-order term to the model does not improve the fitting statistics thus diffusing any concerns about possible CPD-producing absorption below 200 nm.

### 3.2 Wavelength Dependence

To further investigate the relative contributions of two- and three-photon absorption, we looked at spectral response of CPD damage. A strong dependence of induced CPD damage on excitation wavelength was found, as can be seen on Fig. 5. For this experiment, only the wavelength was varied, while intensity and pulse width were maintained constant. Although the damage level is low for wavelengths above 780 nm, where the absorption is purely three-photon according to the intensity dependence discussed above, a moderate increase in damage with shorter wavelengths is observed for the 780- to 750-nm range, followed by a dramatic threefold rise of CPD formation over 750- to 710-nm range. The factors that must be considered for the explanation of this trend act oppositely. On one hand, one-photon DNA absorption is considerably stronger at UVC range (peaking between 255 and 260 nm) than at UVA. This translates into the eightfold higher CPD damage produced by UVC lamp as compared with that from UVA lamp in our positive control experiment. On the other hand, compared with three-photon absorption, two-photon process has at least an order of magnitude higher probability and occurs over a larger focal volume. At longer wavelengths, the absorption bands of endogenous cellular fluorophores are excited primarily. However, as the excitation wavelength becomes short enough (<750 nm) to access the low UVA band, the two-photon absorption quickly becomes an important and efficient mechanism of CPD damage, although still much weaker than the three-photon effect.

We note the excessive CPD damage at 695 nm, where the data has the largest error bars. In fact, we have experienced problems with keeping cells still attached to the cell dish between exposure at 695 nm and fixation with formaldehyde. The cell loss was so high that measurement of excitation power dependence at this wavelength was not feasible, as it requires successive irradiation of several spots with 695-nm wavelength. Here the laser easily excites UVA and UVC absorption bands with high efficiency. We speculate that cumulative absorption at 695 nm is so strong that cell necrosis and/or apoptosis mechanisms are triggered immediately. Fortunately, for in vivo imaging, all endogenous fluorophores can still be excited above 750 nm, so the extreme levels of CPD damage observed at shorter wavelengths do not present additional practical concerns.

Our results suggest that imaging with wavelengths above 1000 nm would dramatically, if not completely, reduce the CPD carcinogenic risk since only the long-wavelength edge of UVA absorption band might be excited with three-photon absorption.

### 3.3 Effect of Radiation Dose

A linear dependence of CPD damage on scan speed is observed in our experiments, where we maintained constant peak...
intensity at 750 nm (Fig. 6). As expected, pixel dwell time is directly proportional to the number of multiphoton absorption events in DNA. We note the apparent damage saturation at exposure time of 40 μs. This could be due to complete DNA dimerization and/or acute effects that cause cells detachment and loss prior to fixation and staining.

3.4 Considerations for Tissue Imaging

The main finding of this study was that the CPD damage is induced by a combination of two- and three-photon absorption processes, where the relative contributions are dependent on imaging parameters. Our conclusions are made based on DNA absorption properties within one-pixel resolution. When translating these findings into the highly scattering environment of biological tissues, attenuation of excitation as well as defocusing must be considered. The attenuation will reduce average excitation intensity, while defocusing will further decrease the peak excitation intensity at the focal volume. Additionally, the relative volumes that are subject to significant two- and three-photon fluorescence (based on 1/e² intensity profile) will change. However, as can be estimated with focused Gaussian beam approximation, the volume changes alone does not significantly alter the total CPD production over 200 μm depth in human skin. Finally, we expect the scattering effectively affects these imaging parameters resulting in the corresponding combination of two- and three-photon CPD damage within the exposed focal volume.

4 Conclusion

This paper demonstrates for the first time to the best of our knowledge that the CPD damage of the cellular DNA induced during imaging with femtosecond near-IR laser results from concurrent two- and three-photon absorption. Effectively, these processes correspond to damage caused by UVA and UVC irradiation, respectively. The third-order absorption is the dominant mechanism, where its contribution increases with wavelength and intensity, while it decreases with pulse width. At all wavelengths required for practical in vivo tissue imaging the third-order absorption (UV-like damage) is responsible for more than 85% of the CPDs induced. However, as discussed above the mechanisms and implications of the CPD damage caused by absorption in the UVA band are still debated in the literature, as they might be different from those at the UVC band and might include a combination of direct absorption by DNA and damage by intermediate reactive species. Therefore, for a thorough evaluation of any risks involved during in vivo two-photon excited fluorescence imaging both mechanisms of CPD formation must be considered separately with decoupled relative contributions, and according to their carcinogenic potential. Finally, post-exposure cellular responses (repair and apoptosis) to CPD lesions with respect to different proportion of UVA- and UVC-like damage warrant further investigation, preferably in vivo, where various cell types must be studied.
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