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Computational segmentation of collagen fibers from second-harmonic generation images of breast cancer


Abstract. Second-harmonic generation (SHG) imaging can help reveal interactions between collagen fibers and cancer cells. Quantitative analysis of SHG images of collagen fibers is challenged by the heterogeneity of collagen structures and low signal-to-noise ratio often found while imaging collagen in tissue. The role of collagen in breast cancer progression can be assessed post acquisition via enhanced computation. To facilitate this, we have implemented and evaluated four algorithms for extracting fiber information, such as number, length, and curvature, from a variety of SHG images of collagen in breast tissue. The image-processing algorithms included a Gaussian filter, SPIRAL-TV filter, Tubeness filter, and curvelet-denoising filter. Fibers are then extracted using an automated tracking algorithm called fiber extraction (FIRE). We evaluated the algorithm performance by comparing length, angle and position of the automatically extracted fibers with those of manually extracted fibers in twenty-five SHG images of breast cancer. We found that the curvelet-denoising filter followed by FIRE, a process we call CT-FIRE, outperforms the other algorithms under investigation. CT-FIRE was then successfully applied to track collagen fiber shape changes over time in an in vivo mouse model for breast cancer.© 2014 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.19.1.016007]
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1 Introduction

The extracellular collagen matrix (ECM) has been found to promote the progression of many types of cancer. However, the underlying mechanism behind this relationship is not fully understood, and is the subject of intense biomedical research. Much of this research has benefited from the capabilities of laser-scanning microscopy techniques, in particular second-harmonic generation (SHG) imaging to capture high-resolution, high-contrast images of individual collagen fibers in tissue and in vitro tissue models. For example, Conklin et al. showed that patterns in SHG images of collagen can predict breast cancer patient outcome. Raub et al. showed that SHG image characteristics can be used to predict bulk mechanical properties of collagen hydrogels, a common in vitro tissue model for studying cancer cell motility. Nadiarnykh et al. and Watson et al. found that SHG image characteristics in ovarian tissue provide quantitative discrimination between tumor and benign tissues. Although SHG has been used successfully in these and many other studies, quantification of collagen fiber shape changes remains a difficult challenge, in part due to large heterogeneities in the patterns observed in SHG images of tissue. For example, in the breast tissue images shown in Fig. 1, collagen fibers can be described as wavy or straight [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)], high or low density [Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)], and with thick bundles or thin strands [Figs. 1(e) and 1(f)]. These descriptions are consistent with previously published observations of common collagen structures in tissues. In addition, depending on imaging parameters such as depth within the tissue, images can have low signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and potentially low-dynamic range [Figs. 1(g)–1(j)]. Quantitative analysis techniques for SHG images of collagen need to provide robust and informative features within this heterogeneous collection of patterns and image qualities. Also, in order to elucidate the interactions between cells and individual collagen fibers, effective quantitative analysis techniques should be able to extract information about individual fibers such as fiber number, length, angle, and curvature. The work reported here is motivated by these two requirements: the need for robust performance and the need for fiber-level information in SHG image analysis of collagen.

While these two requirements may be met by manual analysis, inter- and intra-observer variance can be significant and time requirements can be prohibitive. Computer-assisted image feature extraction is poised to help meet these requirements in an automated fashion. Transform or filter-based methods have been used for SHG collagen analysis such as the Fourier transform method published by Falzon et al., the combined Fourier and Hough transforms method by Bayan et al., the curvelet transform (CT) method by Pehlke et al., the Fourier and fractal-based methods reported by Frisch et al., the directional gradient technique suggested by Altendorf et al., and the gray-level co-occurrence method published by Hu et al. These techniques can be highly robust, often able to detect important features in a diversity of image settings. However, since these techniques do not extract individual fibers, they lack the ability
to identify fiber-level information. For example, transform-based methods can provide general information about fiber size and direction at each point in an image but cannot determine the actual fiber number nor the length or curvature of the fibers. Since pixels are not grouped into individual fibers, these methods may not sense the difference between many randomly oriented, straight fibers and long curvy fibers, features that may help classify patients into high- and low-risk groups for ovarian and other cancers. In addition, angle distributions generated by these algorithms would generally produce bias toward longer and potentially thicker or brighter fibers, since more pixels are present in longer and thicker fibers and distributions are based on pixels and not fibers. These errors must be avoided in order to appropriately test the biological hypothesis that fiber angle distribution can help predict the metastatic potential of cancer cells.

Fortunately, fiber tracking and extraction methods, such as those published by Wu et al. and Stein et al., have been developed to extract fiber-level information from images of collagen matrices. These methods can enable the automated measurement of important fiber-level parameters such as fiber length, number, and curvature, and have been used to estimate collagen gel mechanical properties based on confocal images of stained gels. However, they have not been applied to SHG images of collagen in situ. While these approaches are powerful, perhaps they have not been applied in situ because they often fail to properly segment fibers in the dense or low SNR situations commonly encountered in SHG images of tissue. Examples of two SHG images are shown in Figs. 2(a) and 2(d) with corresponding manual fiber extractions shown in Figs. 2(b) and 2(e). The fiber extraction (FIRE) algorithm, developed and made available by Stein et al., produces the overly complex fiber network shown in Fig. 2(c) and an erroneous star pattern in Fig. 2(f), and in both cases fails to identify many of the fibers extracted by the human observer.

Instead of using transform-based methods or fiber-extraction methods alone, a more strategic approach would be to combine complimentary methods and to use transform-/filter-based methods as a preprocessing step to fiber extraction. This combined approach has potential for robust performance in a wide range of challenging imaging situations commonly seen in cancer imaging, while simultaneously allowing for fiber-level information to be extracted from images. In this study, we present an approach for integrating transform-/filter-based preprocessing techniques with fiber extraction and evaluating the performance of our combined approach with the ultimate goal of improving the fiber extraction accuracy of an algorithm such as FIRE. Our hypothesis is that the application of appropriate pre- and post-image processing algorithms can significantly improve fiber extraction in SHG images. This will enable, for example, more accurate fiber angle distributions, thus allowing for increased sensitivity to detect collagen alignment changes related to cancer progression.

We evaluate four candidate preprocessing techniques including the simple Gaussian filter (GF), the SPIRAL-TV filter, the tubeness filter, and a CT-based denoising filter. Other than the GF, these filters were chosen based on their published ability to highlight edge information in images, while simultaneously suppressing spatially uniform structures and noise. We have chosen to use the FIRE algorithm based on the evidence of its ability to extract fibers from collagen gel networks and its availability; however, other fiber extraction tools may be substituted for the FIRE algorithm. We focus our analysis on two-dimensional (2-D) SHG images, because the effective nonlinear susceptibility declines sharply when fibers are tipped out of the imaging plane; however, our methods may naturally extend to three-dimensional (3-D) without significant alteration.

Fig. 1 Representative collagen patterns observed in human breast cancer tissue sections demonstrating the heterogeneous nature of collagen structure. Wavy (a) and straight (b). High (c) and low (d) densities. Thick bundles (e) and thin strands (f). Discontinuous (g) and continuous (h). Crossing (i) and parallel (j). Scale bar is 10 μm.
2 Materials and Methods

Our experimental approach for the evaluation of quantitative collagen fiber extraction is illustrated in Fig. 3. Twenty-five images were annotated by three human observers, who traced each fiber within each image to create a surrogate for ground truth. The same images are then filtered with one of four image filters, which are described in the following sections. Fiber tracking and extraction is then performed using the FIRE algorithm. We evaluate the automated fiber extraction accuracy by comparing the length, angle, and position of each fiber extracted by the FIRE algorithm with each fiber that was manually extracted to determine if fibers can be considered detected, missed, or falsely detected. $F$-measure scores are created based on these rates, and a single parameter in each image filter was adjusted to optimize the $F$-measure score for each algorithm.

The details of each step in this process are given in the following sections. After algorithm evaluation, we applied the best performing technique to quantify collagen fiber shape changes during tumor progression in an in vivo mouse model for breast cancer.

2.1 Sample Preparation

To evaluate algorithm accuracy, we chose to use images of both human and mouse tissues, as they are both routinely used by our group and others for studying stromal interactions during breast cancer development. Human ductal carcinoma in situ biopsy samples were obtained from two completely unidentified patients, paraffin processed, sectioned to 5 μm, hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) stained, and coverslipped. In addition, tumor-bearing mouse mammary glands from 8-week-old MMTV-polyoma middle-T (PyMT)$^{13}$ mice were harvested and imaged fresh, intact, and unstained. All human tissue images were collected following institutional review board approval at the University of Wisconsin at Madison. Mouse tissue images were obtained using protocols approved by the University of Wisconsin at Madison institutional animal use and care committee. We demonstrate an application of our method by measuring fiber curvature in an in vivo tumor model. In vivo images were captured through a glass intravital imaging window that was surgically placed immediately superficial to palpable tumors within the mammary gland$^{12}$ in live 8-week-old PyMT mice. Animals were anesthetized while imaging was performed at 8 and 12 weeks of age.

2.2 SHG Imaging

The SHG images were captured with an excitation wavelength of 890 nm, a pulse length of approximately 100 fs, and an emission filter centered at 445 nm with a 20-nm bandwidth (Semrock, Rochester, New York). The excitation light was
focused onto the sample using a 10 × (NA = 0.5) objective. Pixel size was approximately 0.75 μm, and pixel dwell time was approximately 10 μs per pixel. Average laser power at the sample was adjusted to approximately 15 mW for slide imaging and 30 mW for intact tissue and in vivo imaging using a Pockel’s cell and a polarizer. Forward SHG (FSHG) was used to image slides, and backward SHG (BSHG) was used to image intact and in vivo mouse tissues. The emission light was detected with a 7422-40P (Hamamatsu, Hamamatsu, Japan) photomultiplier tube in both FSHG and BSHG cases. All SHG images of collagen were captured in regions adjacent to mammary ductal epithelium verified by white-light images of H&E in the slides and by cellular autofluorescence from metabolic coenzyme flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) in the intact tissue and in vivo cases. Single images were captured of the slides, and z-series were captured for the intact tissue and in vivo imaging experiments. For intact tissue imaging, representative images were selected from each z-series for quantitative analysis. For in vivo imaging, Z-stacks at three imaging locations were captured at each time point. Three images at depths of approximately 5, 10, and 15 μm below the imaging window were selected for analysis at each location for a total of nine images per time point.

2.3 FIRE Algorithm

We briefly review the FIRE process here. A more detailed description of the algorithm can be found in Ref. 23. FIRE is an automated tracking method that can extract the geometric structure of 3-D collagen images and is capable of generating information about the number, length, and curvature of the collagen fibers in an image. The first step of this method is to apply a threshold to form a binary image such that foreground pixels represent potential fibers and background pixels represent pixels where no fiber is present. Next, the distance transform on the binary image is performed to yield the distance from each foreground pixel to the nearest background pixel. Then, the maximal ridges of the smoothed image formed by the distance transform are searched to create a list of nucleation points. Branches are formed by extending the fiber from each nucleation point based on fiber trajectory. Short fiber branches are then pruned, and closely associated fibers are finally linked based on the fiber length, fiber direction, and the distance between adjacent fibers. In the associated FIRE software,25 there are about 20 adjustable parameters initialized with default values. There are usually only a few parameters that need to be adjusted such as those impacting the binary image generation, the search for nucleation points, and fiber linkage. To our knowledge, the FIRE method has only been tested on confocal reflectance and confocal fluorescence images of in vitro collagen gels, but has not been applied to extract collagen fibers from SHG images of tissue.

Each preprocessing technique described in this article was followed by nearly identical implementations of the FIRE algorithm. The only difference is in the threshold used for creating the initial binary image. This threshold was hand optimized to produce the highest quality fiber extractions across all test cases for each algorithm.

2.4 Preprocessing Algorithms

The four preprocessing algorithms evaluated here are described briefly in the following sections. More detailed background information on the advanced filters can be found in their respective references.

2.4.1 Gaussian filter

A simple 2-D GF was used as a baseline for comparison against the other, more advanced filters. The standard deviation of the simple GF was optimized to produce fiber extractions that most closely matched the human observers using the iterative approach diagrammed in Fig. 3.

2.4.2 SPIRAL-TV filter

The SPIRAL-TV (SPTV) algorithm, by Harmany et al.,24 was developed to accurately extract features from images where Poisson noise dominates, a common occurrence in SHG imaging of collagen in tissue or in vitro collagen gels due to the low-signal levels often encountered in such imaging experiments. This algorithm has applications in compressed sensing, nuclear medicine tomographic reconstruction, and super-resolution reconstruction in astronomy. The algorithm iteratively approximates a solution to the constrained optimization problem given by

\[
f^{k+1} = \arg\min_{f \in \mathbb{R}^2} F^k(f) + \tau \cdot \|f\|_{TV} \quad \text{subject to } f \geq 0,
\]

where \( f \) is the approximation to the image of interest, \( F^k(f) \) is the negative Poisson log-likelihood function at iteration \( k \), and \( \|f\|_{TV} \) is the total variation seminorm penalty. The scalar parameter \( \tau \) was optimized to produce the best match when comparing human and automated fiber extractions. SPTV was shown to perform well at highlighting strong edges in images and smooth noise in low-gradient areas. The designers of this algorithm have tested it on noisy computed tomography reconstruction data; however, it has not been heretofore applied to preprocessing for fiber extraction from SHG images.

2.4.3 Tubeness filter

The tubeness filter (TF) is an ImageJ plugin implemented by Longair, Preibisch, and Schindelin35 and is based on the work published by Sato et al.25 The algorithm highlights fiber-like structures in images while attenuating homogeneous or noisy regions, and has found application in processing images of neurons and blood vessels.16,13 This filter was used to enhance fiber structures by first applying a 2-D GF with the standard deviation optimized to produce the best overall fiber extractions. The Hessian is computed at each point in the image and the eigenvalues, \( \lambda_1 \) and \( \lambda_2 \) for the 2-D case, of the Hessian matrix are found. The resulting pixel value is given by the following rule:

\[
\lambda_y = \begin{cases} 
|\lambda_2|, & \lambda_2 < 0 \\
0, & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

To our knowledge, this filter has not been evaluated for its ability to highlight collagen fibers in SHG images of tissue.

2.4.4 Curvelet filter

We have also implemented a denoising filter based on the 2-D CT. The CT was developed by Candes and Donoho37 to overcome the missing ability of the conventional wavelet transform to highlight lines and edges. Our group has recently reported the successful use of the CT for finding fiber alignment information in SHG images of collagen. Here, we report on the use of the
CT as a preprocessing step to fiber extraction. Briefly, the CT represents images as superpositions of elements that are constant along ridgelines and wavelets in the orthogonal direction. Curvelet lengths and widths vary with scale and obey the rule width \( \approx \) length\(^2\). Simple curvelet coefficient thresholding has been shown to be an improvement over advanced denoising techniques based on wavelets such as decimated or undecimated wavelet transforms.\(^{26}\) Our denoising implementation uses the frequency-wrapping version of the fast discrete CT\(^{27}\) and reconstructs images using the top \( x\% \) of the curvelet coefficients from the intermediate scales 4, 5, and 6 out of 7 total scales in our test cases. The parameter \( x \) was optimized to produce the best overall results, as indicated in the block diagram in Fig. 3. Scale selection may vary with different applications; however, we chose to remove the finest scale (7th scale) due to the high-noise content present at this scale. The coarser scales (scales 1 to 3) did not represent the size of the fibers in our images and were therefore discarded.

2.5 Algorithm Integration and Evaluation

As shown in Fig. 3, each filter was optimized in an iterative manner to find the best performing normalization parameters. The FIRE parameters could have also been iteratively optimized; however, we decided to fix the FIRE parameters for each of the preprocessing algorithms, except for the initial threshold that separates fiber pixels from background pixels. This threshold was hand optimized for each image as well as for each observer. This was necessary because the image histogram of the result of each algorithm was significantly different.

The method for evaluating the fiber segmentation was as follows: three human observers were asked to manually segment all fibers in each of the test images into regions of interest (ROI). The images were annotated using the ImageJ ROI Manager. The ROIs for each of the test cases were saved for each of the three observers. These ROIs were then read into MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts) using the Miji toolbox.\(^{38}\) The fibers extracted by each automated algorithm were then compared with the manually extracted fibers for each test case and each observer. Fiber angle agreement, fiber length agreement, and distance between manual and automatically extracted fibers were used to score the accuracy of the automated segmentation. The average angle of a fiber was computed by finding the absolute angle of the line connecting the end points of the fiber. Fiber length was computed as the Euclidean distance traveled along the fiber. Distance between manual and automatically extracted fibers was computed using a \( k \)-nearest neighbor search algorithm.\(^{39}\) Consider a set \( M \) of \( i \) manually segmented fibers each with \( j \) points, and a set \( A \) of \( n \) automatically segmented fibers each with \( m \) points. The function \( \text{KNN}(M, A, A_{n,m}) \) produces \( D_{i,j,n} \), where \( D \) is the Euclidean distance from point \( j \) on fiber \( i \) of set \( M \) to the nearest-neighbor point on the \( n \)th fiber of set \( A \). The metric for the distance between manually segmented fiber \( i \) and automatically segmented fiber \( n \) is then \( C_{i,n} = \sum_j (D_{i,j,n}/L_i) \), where \( L_i \) is the distance along the path of the \( i \)th manual fiber. A manually segmented fiber was associated with an automated fiber, and vice versa, if the two had similar average angles, lengths, and positions. The number of true positive (TP), false positive (FP), and false negative (FN) fibers was then found by counting the number of associated manual fibers, unassociated automated fibers, and unassociated manual fibers, respectively, for each test case. Precision (also called positive predictive value) and recall (also called sensitivity or true positive rate) were computed as \( \text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{(TP + FP)} \) and \( \text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{(TP + FN)} \), and the harmonic sum of the two was computed as follows:

\[
F\text{measure} = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}}
\]

The \( F\text{measure} \) result for each of the preprocessing algorithms was averaged over all test cases for a given observer, producing \( F\text{measure}_n \) where \( n \) represents observer number. Then, the \( F\text{measure}_n \) result was averaged over all observers and the standard deviation between observers was computed.

3 Results

Comparison of the four image-processing techniques to each other, as shown in row 1 of Fig. 4, reveals that edge-preserving filters, such as SPTV, although effective for denoising without the loss of edge information, do not lend themselves well to improving the fiber tracking results. On the other hand, the TF and CT create ridges along fiber centers (Fig. 4, row 1), helping to ease the difficulty of threshold selection and helping the fiber-tracking algorithm to follow the centers of thick or noisy fibers. Examination of fiber-tracking results in Fig. 4, row 2...
shows many completely erroneous fiber tracks for the unprocessed, GF, and SPTV filtered cases (red arrows), whereas the TF and CT filtered results show several properly segmented fibers (green arrows). Each of the images in Fig. 4 is a representative 128 by 128 pixel region cropped out of larger images.

To further test the effect of preprocessing on collagen fiber segmentation, the manual segmentation results were compared with the automated fiber extraction results for two representative test cases, shown in Fig. 5. Each row in the figure is a different test case, while each column represents a different method of fiber segmentation. Column 1 shows the original images with no overlaid segmentations. Columns 2 through 6 show the original image with overlays of the manual, GF, SPTV filter, TF, and CT filter segmentations, respectively, where FIRE was performed following each of the filter preprocessing steps. Although we had three observers manually segment each of the test cases, the manual segmentations shown in column 2 represent the segmentations of a single observer. Each tile in Fig. 5 is a 128 by 128 pixel crop of a larger image. The quality of extraction of individual fibers under the various processing conditions was compared [arrows in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b)], where it was found that improperly segmented fibers as a result of GF or SPTV filtering were accurately segmented using the TF or CT filter.

In order to assess the accuracy of collagen fiber segmentation, the results of each of the fiber extraction preprocessing algorithms were compared against each of the three segmentations performed by the independent observers using a collection of custom scripts written in MATLAB. If a fiber segmented by the automated process had a similar angle, close proximity, and similar length to a manually segmented fiber, then an association was made between the automated and manual fibers, indicating a true positive. After all fibers were evaluated, all remaining unassociated manual fibers were counted as false negatives (misses), and all remaining unassociated automatic fibers were counted as false positives (false hits). Precision, recall, and their harmonic sum ($F$-measure) were computed and compiled for all test cases and all observers. Overall average $F$-measure scores for each of the preprocessing algorithms are shown in Fig. 6. The average $F$-measure score for the CT filter was the highest followed by the TF, SPTV, and GF. The error bars indicate the standard deviation between the $F$-measure scores from each of the three observers and show that the scores between observers were very similar, meaning that the CT filter result was the closest match to all three observers. Comparing the computation time for each algorithm on identical images resulted in respective times of 0.22, 225.05, 0.83, and 4.65 s for the Gaussian, SPTV, Tubeness, and CT processing. Focusing on the CT denoising filter, we can further validate its performance combined with FIRE using a collection of computationally generated images of collagen fibers that were designed to mimic the length and curvature characteristics found in collagen gels of approximately 1.0 mg/mL. We processed these images with the CT-FIRE algorithm to extract length and angle information about the individual fibers. The results of this test are shown in Fig. 7 and show that the CT-FIRE algorithm produces accurate length and angle distribution measures in known synthetic test cases.

After identifying the CT filter as the top-performing preprocessing algorithm, we applied the combined CT-FIRE algorithm to the measurement of collagen fiber shape changes in an in vivo mouse model for breast cancer. The results of this study are shown in Fig. 8. Representative images show clear differences in waviness of fibers between the early [Fig. 8(a)] and late
Fiber waviness ($W$) was quantified for each extracted fiber by dividing the distance along the path of the fiber ($d_0$) by the distance between the end points of the fiber ($d_n$).

$$W = \frac{d_0}{d_n}.$$ 

Thus, for perfectly straight fibers $W = 1.0$ and for more wavy fibers $W > 1.0$. We labeled a fiber as wavy if $W$ was greater than a threshold value of 1.08. Then, to compute the wavy fraction per image, the number of wavy fibers was divided by the total number of fibers found in each image. The resulting wavy-fraction values were averaged over all images at each time point and plotted in the bar graph shown in Fig. 8(c). We observe that the fraction of curvy fibers at the 8-week time point was approximately $0.19 \pm 0.04$ and $0.75 \pm 0.03$ at the 12-week time point. The error terms given here and error bars in Fig. 8(c) represent one standard deviation around the average wavy fractions for the nine images analyzed at each time point and indicate that there was close agreement between all images within a given time point.

4 Discussion

In the present study, we compare preprocessing approaches prior to the application of the FIRE algorithm to identify fiber-level collagen characteristics in a series of SHG images of collagen in mammary tissue. Fiber extraction facilitates automated analysis of collagen features such as fiber number, length, and curvature. These features are important to researchers studying the role of the extracellular matrix in cancer progression. Computer-assisted interpretation of these fiber-level collagen patterns has the potential to generate more reliable and reproducible results compared to manual or transform-/filter-based quantification methods. Furthermore, an algorithm that identifies collagen fiber characteristics in tissue samples may enable large-scale studies of tumor-associated collagen signatures supporting the manual analysis performed previously.

To our knowledge, FIRE has not been applied to SHG images of collagen in tissue. According to our testing, FIRE...
works well in some situations without any preprocessing or prefILTERING. However, the algorithm fails when collagen fibers are densely packed or image quality is degraded, both of which are common occurrences while imaging collagen in tissue. Our work aims to extend FIRE’s application sphere to include complicated SHG images in tissue and to quantitatively compare the performance of a selection of preprocessing algorithms. Our results show that both the CT and the TF approaches are very promising and improve the fiber extraction accuracy achieved by the FIRE algorithm in many key situations. In addition, we demonstrate the application of our top-performing algorithm to extract in vivo fiber curvature changes during the development of a mouse mammary tumor. Although FIRE is used in our study for fiber extraction, other effective approaches that have been developed for vessel segmentation or neural diffusion mapping such as statistical tracking⁴³,⁴⁴ may be effective in SHG image analysis. We believe the CT and TF methods would generally improve these algorithms as well.

Recent articles⁴³,⁴⁴ have suggested that the CT method may be successfully applied in combination with other approaches for image processing such as fiber extraction, as we have demonstrated here. By selecting and thresholding the most representative scales, the CT-based method shows the best performance for both denoising the image and enhancing edge information, producing a better fiber extraction among the proposed preprocessing algorithms discussed in this article. Evidence supporting this claim is presented in Fig. 6, where the overall F-measure result was the highest for the CT method and notably a threefold improvement over a standard GF when considering all 25 test cases analyzed in this study. In addition, the CT-based method simplifies the often-difficult choice of selecting a threshold to binarize the image early in the FIRE process. Image thresholding can be difficult in low SNR and nonstationary images but may be alleviated through the application of more complicated thresholding techniques⁴⁵ or via the gray-level distance transform.⁴⁶ In our case, the inverse CT makes gray-level threshold selection simple by placing the background on the negative side of zero and the foreground on the positive side of zero, allowing the threshold to always remain at zero. Although gray-level thresholding is simplified, CT denoising adds the step of selecting how many curvelet coefficients to use. We chose a hard thresholding approach since it had robust performance for all cases we tested, though other soft thresholding or scale-adaptive thresholding techniques may be adopted to finely adjust the CT reconstruction. In addition, to take full advantage of the multiscale analysis of CT-based approaches, an optimal scale combination must be obtained according to the features of the images to take into account different fiber width, length, and dynamic intensity changes. The CurveAlign software⁴⁶ previously developed in our group may be used to show the curvelet centers and directions of the fiber edges at a specified scale, which may be helpful for choosing the optimal scales and threshold of the curvelet coefficients.

The TF method produces slightly lower overall fiber segmentation accuracy compared to the CT method, as shown by the lower optimized F-measure score in Fig. 6. However, the optimized recall score of the TF method was higher than that of the CT method. This indicates the use of the TF method if recall is most critical; in other words, if priority is placed on not missing real fibers. In this study, we decided to balance recall and precision equally, therefore a missing fiber and a false alarm fiber were considered equally important (see F-measure calculation). When this is taken into account, although close, the CT method produced higher accuracy segmentations on average compared to the TF method.

The GF and SPTV methods produce similarly inferior segmentations compared to the CT and TF methods. One reason for this is that the GF and SPTV methods lack the ability to normalize the fibers in the images, such that bright or dim, or thick or thin fibers do not generate the same signal level in the output image. This lack of image normalization in the GF and SPTV methods causes difficulty in the threshold selection step. In addition, these filters do not enhance the ridges along the centers of the fibers, which is an attractive feature of both the CT and TF methods. The GF method is able to attenuate high-frequency noise but does not preserve edges. The SPTV method filters high-frequency noise and preserves edge information, however, it allows plateaus of high-signal level to remain in the image, such as those seen at the center of bright, thick fibers. For these reasons, the GF and SPTV methods are not ideal for preprocessing SHG images of collagen prior to fiber tracking.

Following our evaluation and comparison of these image-processing algorithms, we applied our top-performing algorithmic approach, termed CT-FIRE, to quantify collagen fiber shape changes over time in an in vivo mouse model for breast cancer. We observed a significantly larger fraction of highly curved...
fibers at the late time point compared to the early time point, indicating a quantifiable collagen matrix reorganization in the vicinity of the developing mammary tumor. Although the precise mechanisms underlying this observed matrix reorganization are currently unknown, we have demonstrated the power of a tool like CT-FIRE to quantify key aspects of these dynamic processes and others like it, enabling further studies of ECM remodeling.

This article focuses on image processing as a technique for quantifying structural information about collagen fibers in SHG images. However, it should be noted that there are a number of related techniques that use information about the polarization or directionality of the SHG signal to make inferences about collagen fiber orientation or estimates of the nonlinear susceptibility tensor. These techniques have the potential drawback of adding costly components to the imaging system and often require multiple images to be captured per imaging frame, limiting their usefulness for applications such as in vivo imaging. Our goal here was to establish a robust technique for quantitative collagen architecture analysis of images captured with standard SHG imaging techniques.

It is worth mentioning, too, that although the CT and TF preprocessing methods can improve the results of the FIRE algorithm to some degree, they may do little about some intrinsic limitations of FIRE, such as the ability to properly segment crossing or cross-linked fibers, extremely curly fibers, or fibers with gaps due to the fibers that travel in and out of the focal plane, as we observed in our testing. However, with the improvements provided by the combined approach of CT-FIRE, we anticipate being able to more accurately measure collagen fiber angle distributions in a highly automated fashion, thereby leading to better understanding of the interactions between the cells and collagen fibers. In order to link collagen architecture to cellular features, SHG imaging and CT-FIRE may be combined with complementary imaging techniques such as multiphoton-excited fluorescence imaging and fluorescence lifetime imaging, which allow imaging of both extrinsic and intrinsic fluorescences of tumor and stromal cells. In the future, accurate assessment of tumor–stromal interactions will help reveal prognosis or treatment response in diseases such as breast cancer.

5 Conclusion

We demonstrate here an integrated approach for quantitative SHG collagen image analysis and algorithm evaluation. We show that the application of CT denoising as a preprocessing step for FIRE, a process we call CT-FIRE, performs more accurate fiber segmentations compared to other techniques we investigated in a variety of collagen images of human breast and mouse mammary tissues. We then demonstrate that CT-FIRE can automatically sense changes in collagen fiber curvature from images captured in an in vivo breast cancer mouse model. Our current work uses both MATLAB and Fiji image-processing tools in combination. To make these approaches more widely accessible, we plan to develop a single Fiji plugin to perform the CT-FIRE process to produce 2-D and 3-D collagen fibers network extractions. Other future efforts will include the evaluation of multiple fiber-tracking algorithms applied to collagen fiber tracking in SHG images. Although this study focused solely on breast cancer, the use of these fiber quantification techniques should be easily adapted to SHG images of other collagen-related diseases. A MATLAB implementation of the CT-FIRE algorithm is available at http://loci.wisc.edu/software/cfFire.
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