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Abstract. It has been speculated that the red fluorescence emitted by dental plaque could be related to its cariogenicity. To test this hypothesis, we designed this crossover in situ study, with two experimental phases of 14 days each. Seventeen volunteers, wearing a palatal appliance with bovine enamel blocks, were instructed to drip a 20% sucrose solution (experimental group) or purified water (control group) onto the enamel blocks eight times daily. The specimens were removed after 4, 7, 10, and 14 days, and the red fluorescence of dental plaque formed on the enamel blocks was assessed using a quantitative light-induced fluorescence device. After the plaque removal, surface and cross-sectional microhardness tests were performed to assess the mineral loss. The comparisons were made by a multilevel linear regression analysis. We observed a significant increase in the red fluorescence of the dental plaque after longer periods of formation, but this trend was verified in both groups. The mineral loss assessed by the microhardness techniques, contrarily, showed a significant increase only in the experimental group. In conclusion, the red fluorescence emitted by the dental plaque indicates a mature biofilm, but this fact is not necessarily associated with its cariogenicity.
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1 Introduction

The phenomenon of red fluorescence emitted by dental plaque and caries lesions after excitation with light at different spectrum ranges has been extensively studied.¹,² It has been speculated that the red fluorescence emitted by dental plaque could be related to its cariogenicity. To test this hypothesis, we designed this crossover in situ study, with two experimental phases of 14 days each. Seventeen volunteers, wearing a palatal appliance with bovine enamel blocks, were instructed to drip a 20% sucrose solution (experimental group) or purified water (control group) onto the enamel blocks eight times daily. The specimens were removed after 4, 7, 10, and 14 days, and the red fluorescence of dental plaque formed on the enamel blocks was assessed using a quantitative light-induced fluorescence device. After the plaque removal, surface and cross-sectional microhardness tests were performed to assess the mineral loss. The comparisons were made by a multilevel linear regression analysis. We observed a significant increase in the red fluorescence of the dental plaque after longer periods of formation, but this trend was verified in both groups. The mineral loss assessed by the microhardness techniques, contrarily, showed a significant increase only in the experimental group. In conclusion, the red fluorescence emitted by the dental plaque indicates a mature biofilm, but this fact is not necessarily associated with its cariogenicity.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Subject Selection and Study Design

This study was approved by the Committee for Ethics in Research of School of Dentistry, University of Sao Paulo, and signed and informed consent was obtained from volunteers. A two-phase crossover in situ study was designed. Each phase lasted for 14 days with a 7-day washout period between them. The sample size was determined using a procedure for paired samples, considering an expected relative difference between the experimental and control groups of 50%, alpha error of 5%, and statistical power of 80%. We performed separate calculations for the different outcomes, leading to 17 volunteers for surface microhardness loss, 16 for the cross-sectional microhardness test, and 11 for the red fluorescence of plaque.

Eighteen volunteers (11 females and 7 males) aged 20 to 40 years were selected (mean ± standard deviation = 27.2 ± 4.7 years old). They presented good general and oral health, with no recent caries lesions, and stimulated salivary flow rate higher than 0.7 mL/min. They did not report antibiotic use 2 months before the study and use of the orthodontic appliances.

Due to these findings, some authors have speculated that the red fluorescent plaque could be more cariogenic,³,⁴,¹³ hence, measuring this fluorescence could be a useful parameter to predict the caries development. To test this hypothesis, we designed the present in situ study aiming to investigate the role of the red fluorescence of dental plaque on the detection of its cariogenicity and potential to induce demineralization.
2.2 Preparation of Enamel Samples and Palatal Appliances
Bovine incisors were selected, cleaned with a rotating bristle brush and pumice/water slurry, and washed with tap water. Then, enamel slabs with dimensions of 4 × 4 × 2 mm were sectioned from the middle third of the crown using a low-speed saw in a cut machine (Labcut 1010, Extec Corp., London, United Kingdom). These slabs were flattened under water cooling on a rotating polishing machine (Ecomet 3, Buehler, Lake Buff, Illinois) using silicon carbide paper (400, 600, and 1200 grits in sequence) and polished with a 1-μm diamond suspension. Then, the samples were visually checked for the absence of cracks or defects with a loupe (2× magnification), and baseline surface microhardness analysis (SMH) was performed. Totally, 288 plane enamel blocks without defects, with SMH mean (standard deviation) values of 325.1 (30.4) kg/mm², were selected and sterilized with gamma radiation (25 kGy).

A portion of the surface in each enamel slab (a rectangular portion in the border of the enamel block with around 1 mm of width) was covered with a colorless nail varnish (Revlon, New York, New York, USA) to protect it against the cariogenic challenge. Baseline QLF images were taken in order to guarantee that the next measurements were taken at the same positions. In a pilot study, we observed that this colorless varnish does not emit fluorescence with the QLF. The samples were stored in 100% humidity until the beginning of the study.

Individual acrylic palatal appliances containing eight cavities (4 × 4 × 3 mm) were made and eight enamel blocks were positioned in each appliance and fixed with wax. A plastic mesh (0.27-mm thickness, 1 × 1 mm squares, nylon monofil, Lualhain, Sumaré, Brazil) was fixed in the acrylic to protect the enamel slabs and to allow plaque accumulation. The subjects were randomly allocated into two groups. In the experimental group, they were orientated to drip a 20% sucrose solution extraorally onto each enamel sample, eight times a day in predetermined time periods. In the control group, the volunteers followed the volunteers to drip the sucrose solution. For all analyses, the measurements of two specimens removed at 4, 7, 10, and 14 days. After 60 s, they were spaced 20 μm, apart. After 60 μm, they were spaced 20 μm, apart until the last indentation, which was positioned at 200 μm from the outer surface. The mean hardness at each distance for the two rows was calculated. Then, we calculated the area under the curve of microhardness versus lesion depth (kg/mm² × μm) by numerical integration using the trapezoidal rule. The area under the curve of the demineralized enamel was subtracted from the area of the sound one to obtain the mineral loss (ΔS).

2.3 Quantitative Light-Induced Fluorescence Assessments
We used a QLF device to perform the fluorescence-based assessments (QLF Inspektor Pro; Inspektor Research Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands), and the images were analyzed using the The Inspektor Pro Software (Inspektor Research Systems, Amsterdam, The Netherlands). Immediately after removal of the device, the specimen covered by the dental plaque was assessed by QLF in order to measure the red fluorescence of the plaque (ΔR %). The plaque formed on the nail varnish was removed in order to expose a sound enamel surface to be used as reference during the red fluorescence assessment. The baseline images were considered to adjust the positions of the subsequent ones.

2.4 Surface and Cross-Sectional Microhardness Evaluations
After the QLF assessments, the SMH was evaluated. The evaluations were performed using a Knoop indenter of a microhardness tester (Shimadzu Micro Hardness Tester HMV-2, Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). Five indentations at distances of 100 μm between them were carried out. This row of indentations was performed at 100 μm of distance from the baseline indentations. The indentations were performed using a load of 50 g with a 25 s dwell time. We considered the mean of five indentations and the percentage of microhardness loss (%SML) was calculated considering the SMH values after the demineralization and the baseline SMH values.

For cross-sectional microhardness analysis (CSMH), the enamel slabs were perpendicularly sectioned in a cutting machine and the cut surface was polished as mentioned previously. Then, CSMH was evaluated in the polished area using a Knoop indenter with a 25 g load and 5 s dwell time. Two rows of 13 indentations each were performed from the outer enamel surface. From 10 to 60 μm, the indentations were spaced 10 μm apart. After 60 μm, they were spaced 20 μm, apart until the last indentation, which was positioned at 200 μm from the outer surface. The mean hardness at each distance for the two rows was calculated. Then, we calculated the area under the curve of microhardness versus lesion depth (kg/mm² × μm) by numerical integration using the trapezoidal rule. The area under the curve of the demineralized enamel was subtracted from the area of the sound one to obtain the mineral loss (ΔS).

2.5 Statistical Analysis
For all analyses, the measurements of two specimens removed at the same time from each subject of each group were averaged. The data were initially evaluated by Kolmogorov–Smirnov and Levene tests to check the normality and homogeneity, respectively. The variables presented normal distribution and the variances were homogenous, except for the red fluorescence of the dental plaque. We performed logarithmic transformation of these values (log10), and then the values reached the normality and homoscedasticity.

The baseline values of SMH of the enamel slabs were compared using two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) considering the groups (control or experimental) and days of dental plaque accumulation (4, 7, 10, and 14 days). After the in situ experiments, the outcomes (red fluorescence of dental plaque, %SML and ΔS) were compared considering three independent variables: groups, days of plaque accumulation, and phase of the study (first or second phase). This last variable was evaluated to check if the washout period was adequate. In case of the presence of a statistical difference between the phases, it could be inferred that the first phase exerted a carryover effect on the second phase, and the values of the second phase should not be considered. However, there were no statistically significant differences between the phases for all outcomes. Therefore, the next analyses were performed...
considering only the variables groups and days of plaque accumulation, and the data of both phases were used.

As all volunteers participated in the two groups, and the enamel blocks were clustered in the volunteers, we performed linear multilevel regression analysis considering two levels: enamel blocks (first level) and volunteers (second level). The coefficients and standard errors were calculated and the maximum-likelihood test was employed to derive the p-values.

After these comparisons, we performed linear multilevel regression analysis to evaluate the association between the red fluorescence of dental plaque and outcomes related to mineral loss of the teeth (%SML and ΔS values). First, a null model was fitted (with no variables). Then, we fitted a model with the red fluorescence of plaque and a third model adding the variable group.

The analyses were carried out using the statistical software MLwin 2.10 (Centre for Multilevel Modeling, University of Bristol, Bristol, United Kingdom) and the level of significance was fixed at 5%.

3 Results
One volunteer had to take antibiotics during the study and was excluded. Therefore, 17 participants completed both phases of the study (follow-up rate of 94.4%). There were no significant differences on the baseline SMH values of the enamel slabs divided among the groups (p = 0.991) and days of plaque accumulation (p = 0.810).

The box plot graphics representing the values of red fluorescence of plaque (log ΔR %), and data obtained from the %SML and ΔS values of the enamel slabs are shown in Fig. 1. Figure 1(a) exhibits a box plot of the values of the red fluorescence after the logarithmic transformation according to the groups and days of plaque accumulation. We observed significantly higher red fluorescence values with increasing days of plaque accumulation in both groups (p < 0.001), which was more pronounced in the experimental group (p < 0.001). A statistically significant difference between experimental and control groups was observed only after 14 days of plaque accumulation [Figure 1(a)].

The %SML was significantly higher in the experimental group compared to the control group for all days of exposure. Furthermore, there was a significant increase among the days of plaque accumulation, but only for the experimental group (p < 0.001). In the control group, there was no significant difference among the different days of plaque accumulation [Figure 1(b)].

A similar trend was observed for the ΔS values. There was no significant difference among the values obtained with different days of plaque accumulation in the control group, but in the experimental group there was a trend of higher mineral loss with a longer time of sucrose exposure (p < 0.001). Moreover, there was a statistically significant difference between the control and experimental groups considering the different days of plaque accumulation, except after 4 days [Figure 1(c)].

According to the linear multilevel regression analysis to investigate the association of red fluorescence of dental plaque and mineral loss (measured by SMH and CSMH techniques), we observed a significant association between red fluorescence of plaque and percentage of SMH loss (Table 1, model 2), which remained significant when it was adjusted for the sucrose exposure.
exposure (Table 1, model 2). Moreover, we could observe in the
random part of the model that the first level (enamel blocks) was
responsible for the major part of the residual variance, since the
percentage of variance attributed to the second level was 19.9 in
the final model (Table 1).

In Table 2, when the association of red fluorescence for
plaque and $\Delta S$ values was analyzed, a similar trend was
observed. We also found a statistically significant association
with this outcome (Table 2, model 2), which was also significant
when adjusted for the sucrose exposure (Table 2, model 3). The
higher portion of the residual variance (around 90%) was also
related to the enamel specimens (Table 2).

4 Discussion
Previous studies have suggested that the red fluorescence of
dental plaque is probably related to its maturity and thickness,
and some bacterial species related to dental caries are capable of
producing this type of fluorescence.3,6,17 Thus, some authors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Multilevel linear regression analysis of the association between red fluorescence of plaque (log $\Delta R%$) and percentage of surface micro-hardness loss (%SML) adjusted by exposure to sucrose or not.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed effects</td>
<td>Null model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>27.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First level: Enamel specimens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red fluorescence of plaque (log $\Delta R%$)</td>
<td>70.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second level: Subjects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group (ref.: control)</td>
<td>31.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random effects

| First level variance (SE)                         | 259.28 (36.31) | 203.71 (28.52) | 203.64 (28.52) |
| Second level variance (SE)                        | 398.83 (111.82) | 291.08 (83.25) | 50.47 (25.60)  |
| Intraclass correlation coefficient (%)            | 60.6         | 58.8        | 19.9          |
| Deviance                                         | 1208.72      | 1173.77     | 1132.40       |

Note: $\beta =$ Coefficient of linear regression; SE = Standard error
*p-value calculated by maximum-likelihood test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Multilevel linear regression analysis of the association between red fluorescence of plaque (log $\Delta R%$) and integrated area of cross-sectional hardness assessment ($\Delta S$) adjusted by exposure to sucrose or not.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fixed effects</td>
<td>Null model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>4190.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First level: Enamel specimens</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red fluorescence of plaque (log $\Delta R%$)</td>
<td>5571.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second level: Subjects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group (ref.: control)</td>
<td>1957.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Random effects

| First level variance (SE)                         | 3279.3(459.2) x 10^3 | 2859.9(416.5) x 10^3 | 2920.6(409.0) x 10^3 |
| Second level variance (SE)                        | 1189.4(500.6) x 10^3 | 952.1(416.5) x 10^5  | 342.8(211.7) x 10^5 |
| Intraclass correlation coefficient (%)            | 26.6        | 25.0     | 10.5     |
| Deviance                                         | 2456.86     | 2436.55  | 2412.19  |

$\beta =$ Coefficient of linear regression; SE = Standard error
*p-value calculated by maximum-likelihood test
have speculated that dental plaque emitting red fluorescence could be more cariogenic.\textsuperscript{3,4,11,12} To test this premise, the current experiment was designed, consisting of, to the best of our knowledge, the first \textit{in situ} study that directly tested the relationship between the red fluorescence of dental plaque and its cariogenicity. We observed that the red fluorescence of dental plaque indicated that this biofilm was actually more mature, but it was not possible to observe if this parameter is directly associated with cariogenicity.

For the detection of mineral loss, we employed microhardness techniques. It is well known from the literature that the mineral loss would be greater in the experimental group and with more days of exposure to sucrose.\textsuperscript{14,18} Both microhardness tests detected this trend.

Another methodological aspect in our study was the use of a multilevel approach for the statistical analysis, which presents some advantages when compared to the ANOVA.\textsuperscript{19} First, since a multilevel approach allows the inclusion of several variables in the regression model,\textsuperscript{20} we included the phase of the study as an independent variable and we could observe that the first phase of the experiment does not present any carryover effect on the second phase. Moreover, multilevel analysis would allow including participants who have dropped out in the second phase. However, we excluded the volunteer who did not complete our study, because the statistical power of the study was not affected by this exclusion.

Another advantage of multilevel analysis is that it allows the evaluation of both the fixed and the random effects of the variables. The fixed effects are related to the measures of central tendency (regression coefficients and their respective standard errors), while the random effects are associated with a variance components model. The analysis of the random effects allows evaluating the magnitude of the residual variance of the model in the different levels.\textsuperscript{19,20} In the current study, we observed that the residual variance of the final model of around 80\% and 90\%, considering, respectively, the SMH and ΔS values, was related to the enamel samples (first level). This finding emphasizes the advantage of using a crossover experimental design in order to reduce the intersubject variability.

We employed the QLF method to quantify the red fluorescence of the dental plaque, which has been related to metabolites produced by bacterium associated with dental caries and periodontal disease.\textsuperscript{5,17} These metabolites have been shown to be porphyrins,\textsuperscript{17} however, it has also been speculated that this fluorescence would possibly be emitted by extrinsic and intrinsic polysaccharides produced by microorganisms present in mature dental plaque.\textsuperscript{7}

The first reports on this issue have focused on the red fluorescence produced by some anaerobic species of microorganisms cultured in the laboratory, such as some species of \textit{Actinomyces} and microorganisms associated with periodontal disease.\textsuperscript{5,11} \textit{Actinomyces} species do not exhibit red fluorescence,\textsuperscript{5,11,17} but various species of Lactobacilli emitted fluorescence at the red spectrum.\textsuperscript{11} Recently, a research observed that microorganisms related to dental caries, including \textit{Streptococcus mutans}, \textit{Lactobacillus salivarius}, and \textit{Bifidobacterium dentium}, produced red fluorescence when some nutrients were added to the growth media. This finding suggested that the metabolic products of the oral microorganisms are responsible for emit red fluorescence.\textsuperscript{11}

Other studies were performed to evaluate the fluorescence of dental plaque instead of that of individual species of microorganisms. An \textit{in vitro} study found that some species only exhibited red fluorescence when they were close to other microorganisms,\textsuperscript{6} which was confirmed by an \textit{in situ} study.\textsuperscript{12} Thus, these authors stated that the architecture of dental plaque was responsible for the emission of the red fluorescence after excitation with a blue light.\textsuperscript{6,7,12} Recently, some \textit{in vitro} studies showed that the red fluorescence of biofilm grown with sucrose exposure was correlated with maturity and with some cariogenic properties of this biofilm.\textsuperscript{13,14} However, the authors did not evaluate the fluorescence of the biofilm formed for the time with no sucrose exposure.\textsuperscript{13,14} Therefore, it would not be possible to infer if the fluorescence was due to maturity or because of cariogenic characteristics.

However, it would seem plausible that the red fluorescent dental plaque is more cariogenic. Therefore, we designed an \textit{in situ} experiment using enamel specimens with standardized sucrose exposure and compared these to a control group with no cariogenic challenge. If the red fluorescent plaque was really more cariogenic, it would be expected that the red fluorescence of the plaque had followed the same pattern of mineral loss. Thereby, a gradual increase of red fluorescence would occur only in the experimental group. However, we observed this gradual increase with different days of plaque accumulation, but this fact happened in both experimental and control groups, although the increase was more significant in the biofilm exposed to sucrose.

This result corroborates the assertion that the red fluorescent plaque is related to its maturity.\textsuperscript{3,5,6,7,11,12} The statistically significant difference observed between the groups after 14 days of plaque accumulation is understandable since the dental plaque exposed to sucrose is often formed faster.\textsuperscript{22} However, since there was no significant demineralization in the control group, the red fluorescence of the dental plaque was not directly associated with its cariogenic activity. A possible explanation for our results is that even with no sucrose exposure, the dental plaque that is not disturbed mechanically becomes thicker and harbors anaerobic bacteria species that emit red fluorescence, independently of its cariogenicity.\textsuperscript{3,11}

Therefore, our findings refuted the hypothesis that the red fluorescence of dental plaque could be a single indicator of its cariogenicity. However, we observed in the multiple linear regression analysis that the red fluorescence of dental plaque adjusted for sucrose exposure was associated with the mineral loss of the specimens. Thus, collecting the fluorescence of the dental plaque together with the frequency of sucrose exposure of the patients could be a promising approach to assess the risk for the occurrence of dental caries lesions. Further studies should be conducted to investigate this possibility.

In conclusion, the red fluorescence of dental plaque is related to the mature plaque, but this fact is not necessarily associated with its cariogenic activity.
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