Spherical aberration correction in multiphoton fluorescence imaging using objective correction collar
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Abstract. Multiphoton microscopy has evolved into a powerful bio-imaging tool in three dimensions. However, the ability to image biological specimens in-depth can be hindered by sample spherical aberration and scattering. These two phenomena can result in the degradation of image resolution and the loss of detected multiphoton signal. In this work, we use the correction collar for cover glass thickness associated with a water immersion objective in an attempt to improve multiphoton imaging. In the two samples we examined (human skin and rat tail tendon), we found that while the improvement in image resolution was not visible qualitatively, the measured axial fluorescence or second harmonic generation signal profiles indicate that the use of the correction collar can help to improve the detected multiphoton signals. The maximum increases are 36% and 57% for the skin (sulforhodamine B fluorescence) and tendon (second harmonic generation) specimens, respectively. Our result shows that for in-depth multiphoton imaging, the correction collar may be used to correct for spherical aberration. However, each tissue type needs to be examined to determine the optimal correction collar setting to be used.
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1 Introduction

Since its introduction in 1990, multiphoton fluorescence microscopy has developed into a powerful imaging technique for the acquisition of structural information of three-dimensional biological samples. There are several major advantages associated with this imaging modality. First, imaging with the point-like, multiphoton excitation volume results in images with improved axial depth discrimination. An additional benefit associated with the limited excitation volume is the reduced sample photodamage. Furthermore, the near-infrared excitation photons used for sample excitation are absorbed and scattered less than the ultraviolet or visible excitation sources used in one-photon excitation microscopy.1,2

The unique features of multiphoton fluorescence microscopy allow unique application of this technique in addressing a variety of bioimaging questions. Areas such as neurobiology, developmental biology, hepatology, drug delivery, and deep-tissue imaging have all benefited from the development of multiphoton imaging techniques.3-9

Although multiphoton microscopy has proven to be a successful, in-depth, bioimaging method, limitations in its applications still exist. At increased imaging depths, optical phenomena such as refractive index mismatch induced spherical aberration and scattering can lead to resolution degradation and the loss of fluorescence signal. While these conditions create inconveniences for qualitative multiphoton fluorescence microscopy, they can result in devastating effects for quantitative microscopy. In many areas of fluorescence microscopic applications, the ability to determine the absolute concentrations of fluorescence species is vital in providing a complete description of the phenomena being investigated. For example, in drug delivery studies, the quantification of fluorescently labeled drugs is important information for the development of improved drug delivery technologies. In transdermal drug delivery studies, multiphoton fluorescence microscopy has proven to be successful in revealing many aspects of the delivery process. However, the quantification of the fluorescent molecules in such studies remains elusive.6-8

2 The Use of Objective Collar for Spherical Aberration Correction

In the case of skin, the complex landscape of optical parameters proves to be the major hurdle in providing quantitative information of fluorescence phenomena in three dimensions. Previously, optical coherence tomography was used to measure the refractive indices and scattering coefficients of the skin. In terms of refractive indices, it was revealed that the surface stratum corneum of the skin has a refractive index of
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1.47, a value close to that of typical immersion oil used for optical microscopy. In deeper epidermis, the index of refraction decreases to about 1.43 in the granular layer and is around 1.34 in basal layer. Beyond the epidermis, the index of refraction increases to about 1.41 in the upper dermis. The skin’s scattering coefficients also vary significantly from the epidermis to the dermis. The stratum corneum has scattering similar to a system described previously. As shown in Fig. 1, a diode (Millenia X, Spectra Physics, Mountain View, CA) pumped titanium-sapphire laser (Tsunami, Spectra Physics) was used as the excitation source. 780 and 880 nm were the wavelengths used for fluorescence excitation and second harmonic generation from the sulforhodamine B (SRB) treated skin specimen and rat tail tendon, respectively. The output of the laser is guided towards our home-built microscope (E800, Nikon, Japan) using an x-y mirror scanning system (model 6220, Cambridge Technology, Cambridge, MA). For optimal imaging, the excitation laser beam is expanded and reflected towards the water-immersion objective [Plan Apo, 60×, numerical aperture (NA) 1.2, Nikon] using a short-pass dichroic mirror (720DCSPXR, Chroma Technology, Brattleboro, VT). The power levels of 1.5 and 4.4 mW (at the samples) were used for the skin and rat tail tendon specimens, respectively. The fluorescence or second harmonic signal generated at the focal volume is collected by the objective in an epi-illuminated fashion. After passing through the dichroic mirror and an additional short pass filter (E680SP, Chroma Technology), the fluorescence is directed towards a photomultiplier tube (R7400P, Hamamatsu, Japan). Additional band-pass filters were used to isolate the fluorescence or SHG signal of interest. In the case of SRB treated skin specimen, a bandpass filter centered at 610 nm (QA610/75, Chroma Technology) was used to detect SRB fluorescence. For the collagen specimen, a different band pass filter centered at 440 nm (HQ440/20, Chroma Technology) was used to measure the SHG signal. In our single-photon counting detection mode, the signal photons are processed by a discriminator before being recorded by the image acquisition computer.

Central to this study is the cover glass thickness correction collar associated with the 60×, Plan Apo objective. The cor-

Fig. 1 An upright multiphoton fluorescence and SHG microscope.
rection collar is marked from 15 to 18 intended to correct for cover glasses with thickness from 150 to 180 mm. This range corrects for the No. 1 and No. 1.5 cover glasses commonly used for optical microscopic imaging. Since the refractive index of the skin surface and the rat tail tendon have refractive indices to be closer to that of typical immersion oil, we decided to use No. 1 cover glass for this investigation. With the proper correction collar setting, we can correct for the skin-induced spherical aberration by increasing the collar setting from 15. In our study, we acquired the images of the same area of fluorescently labeled skin at seven collar settings (15, 15.5, 16, 16.5, 17, 17.5, and 18) without increasing the laser power.

For both the SRB skin and rat tail specimens, three sets of three-dimensional images were acquired and averaged for statistical analysis of the axial signal profiles. At the ends of experiments, we return to the starting correction collar setting to verify that the sample has not been photodamaged. We found that the power levels used for the two types of specimens did not cause significant photodamage during the course of the experiments. We also found that the experimental errors associated with averaging the three data sets at each collar setting were small in comparison with the measured signal values and we choose not to plot the standard deviations of the measurements in the averaged axial signal curves.

3.2 Skin and Rat Tail Tendon Preparation
The ex vivo human skin used was fluorescently labeled using sulforhodamine B, (SRB, S-1307, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR). To prepare the labeling solution, polybutene sulfone buffer was mixed with ethanol in a 1:1 ratio. 5% oleic acid was added to enhance the penetration of the SRB across the skin. The final labeling concentration of SRB was 0.5 mg/mL. For SRB labeling, the ex vivo skin was immersed in the SRB solution for 24 h prior to being removed for imaging purposes. The tendon specimen can be easily obtained by removing the tendon from the excised tail of a rat.

4 Results and Discussions
To compare the performances of the fluorescence skin images under different degrees of correction settings, we show the cross-sectional images of the skin at different depths (0, 4, and 12 μm) in Fig. 2. Since the skin structure can be heterogeneous, we define the surface or the 0 μm position at the axial position at which the average fluorescence of the cross-sectional image is a maximum. In Fig. 2, we only displayed the skin images at three correction collar settings of 15, 16.5, and 18, corresponding to the equivalent correction for cover glass with thickness of 150, 165, and 180 μm, respectively. The images at each correction collar setting are displayed at the same intensity scale (as indicated by the color palette). Visual examination of Fig. 2 shows that it is difficult to discern differences of image resolution under the different collar settings. However, changes of the SRB fluorescence intensity with imaging depths and correction collar settings shown in Fig. 4 indicate the quantitative differences between the different collar settings. The detailed comparison of the axial fluorescence intensity profiles was done by plotting the average fluorescence intensity at different collar settings. As shown in

Fig. 2 Multiphoton images of SRB labeled skin at different objective (60× Plan Apo, NA 1.2, Nikon) correction collar settings (150, 165, and 180 μm).
Fig. 4, we plotted the absolute values of the average fluorescence intensity at depths ranging from 0 to 28 mm for all collar correction settings between 150 and 180 μm. Visual examination shows that the average fluorescence intensity at each imaging depth varies with the collar setting. The variation (for depths up to 28 μm) can be as large as 36% (0 μm data). Another interesting observation from Fig. 4 is that the average fluorescence tends to peak around the cover glass correction of 165 μm for the imaging depths of 0, 4, 8, 12, and 16 μm. This is an indication that by increasing the collar setting, we are compensating for the spherical aberration induced by the surface skin layers. However, over correction (beyond 165 μm of cover glass thickness) actually lead to a decrease in measured fluorescence intensity, indicating a degradation in the multiphoton PSF responsible for generating the fluorescence signal. However, at greater imaging depths of 12, 16, 20, 24, and 28 μm, the SHG intensity displays a different behavior with the increase in correction collar settings. In the case of rat tail tendon, there can be an increase in the SHG signal at depth along with an increase in the correction collar settings. The increase can be as large as 57% (imaging depth of 28 μm). At the depths of 0, 4, and 8 μm, the SHG signal increases until the correction collar setting for 170 μm cover glass and decreases. However, at 12, 16, 20, 24, and 28 μm deep, the SHG signal increases up to the collar setting of 180 μm. These observations are consistent if the refractive index of the region of the rat tail tendon being examined is relative consistent. At shallower depths (0, 4, and 8 μm), the increase of the collar settings initially helps in increasing the measured SHG signal. The drop in SHG signal beyond the collar setting of 170 μm shows that over correction actually lead to a PSF degradation that lead to less efficient SHG. As the imaging depths increase, this effect tends to be less obvious as higher correction collar settings are needed to correct for the additional tendon tissue the excitation beam needs to traverse in reaching the focal point.

5 Conclusions
In this work, we demonstrated the use of the cover glass collar of a water immersion objective in the correction for index

---

**Fig. 3** SHG images (centered at 440 nm) of rat tail tendon at three different objective (60× Plan Apo, NA 1.2, Nikon) correction collar settings (150, 165, and 180 μm).
mismatch induced spherical aberration in multiphoton imaging biological tissues such as the skin and rat tail tendon. We found that in the case of skin, the correction collar can help to obtain improved SRB fluorescence measurement by compensating for the refractive index of the skin. However, the ability of the correction collar to compensate for the skin’s refractive index decrease with increasing imaging depths, most likely due to the complex landscape of the skin’s refractive index profiles. In the case of a rat tail tendon, a specimen with a more uniform refractive index, the correction collar is effective in improving the measured SHG signal up to 28 μm. Our observations demonstrate that the correction collar settings can be used to correct for the spherical aberration caused by a mismatch in refractive indices. However, since biological tissue specimens can have a wide range of refractive indices properties, the entire range of correction collar settings need to be explored for the tissue type of interest prior to determine the optimal collar setting to be used.
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Fig. 4 Average fluorescence signal in SRB treated skin (top) and SHG signal in rat tail tendon (bottom) at different objective collar correction settings (60× Plan Apo, NA 1.2, Nikon) at different depths (μm).