Application of fluorescence recovery after photobleaching to study prestin lateral mobility in the human embryonic kidney cell
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Abstract. The transmembrane protein prestin is crucial to outer hair cell (OHC) electromotility and contributes to the sensitivity and frequency selectivity of mammalian hearing. The molecular mechanisms of electromotility remain unclear, but prestin is purported to function as both a voltage sensor and a molecular motor. Understanding the role of prestin requires characterizing its organization and behavior in the plasma membrane. Fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP) provides a powerful means to quantitatively study molecular diffusion. However, OHCs are inherently fragile ex vivo, and dynamic studies of prestin require model systems, such as human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells, expressing fluorescently labeled prestin. Utilizing this system, we provide the first direct, quantitative measurement of prestin lateral mobility. The results show remarkably different diffusion behavior for prestin-green fluorescent protein (GFP) as compared to a control protein, human somatostatin receptor 5 (SSTR5). Prestin-GFP FRAP experiments reveal immobile fractions approaching 50%, low effective diffusion coefficients, and recovery times slower than those of SSTR5. Secondary bleaching of a region reveals distinctly different diffusion parameters, which we propose reflect the transient confinement of prestin in the HEK cell. Although uncharacterized, intermolecular interactions between prestin and the membrane and/or cytoskeleton may be important for the unique properties of prestin in electromotile OHCs. © 2007 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers.
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1 Introduction

The transmembrane protein prestin, found in mammalian outer hair cells (OHCs), is directly involved in the generation of a unique type of cellular motility. Electromotile OHCs exhibit axial length changes in response to alterations in transmembrane potential. The mechanism by which prestin and other components of the OHC detect voltage changes and convert them into cellular elongation or contraction remains unknown. However, these deformations are believed to enhance vibrations of the basilar membrane, and the sensitivity and frequency selectivity of the mammalian cochlea require the presence of prestin. Damage to OHCs results in a 40- to 50-dB elevation in hearing thresholds, and age-related hearing loss is associated with the gradual death of OHCs.

OHCs are cylindrical, neuroepithelial cells with a unique trilaminate lateral wall structure consisting of the plasma membrane, a cortical cytoskeleton or cortical lattice, and a network of internal membranes called the subsurface cisterna. The cortical lattice is composed of circumferential actin filaments cross-linked by spectrin and lies ~25 nm below the inner leaflet of the plasma membrane. In addition, the actin filaments are associated with a “pillar” protein of unknown composition, structure, and function that has been hypothesized to tether the cortical cytoskeleton to the plasma membrane. It is conceivable that prestin molecules in the plasma membrane have a direct or indirect connection to the cortical lattice, such that the cumulative electromotile response of prestin molecules in the membrane also affects the cytoskeleton and results in whole cell axial length changes. This interaction is feasible within the context of the currently hypothesized mechanisms of OHC electromotility, which have been recently reviewed. The first, the “area motor” model, describes prestin as having two states, each of which encompasses a different amount of membrane area. A shift in transmembrane potential results in a conformational change between states, and the concerted action of prestin molecules in the lateral wall alters cell surface area and thus produces whole cell deformation. The alternative, “membrane
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bending” model, is based on the idea that the OHC contains excess membrane area. When prestin senses alterations in transmembrane voltage, it undergoes a conformational change resulting in out-of-plane membrane deformations. This causes changes in membrane curvature, which may result in the unfolding of excess plasma membrane as the OHC elongates. Despite their differences, both models are consistent with a network of prestin molecules that sense transmembrane voltage and transmit forces to the cytoskeleton, leading to observable axial length changes.

Prestin is a transmembrane protein with a predicted molecular weight of 81.4 kDa, 10 to 12 transmembrane domains, and both N- and C- termini located intracellularly. Immunofluorescent labeling shows prestin is localized in the OHC lateral wall plasma membrane with proximity to the cortical cytoskeleton. Examining the mobility of prestin in the plasma membrane has been previously recognized as an important area of study, and the diffusion of the motor molecule, now recognized as prestin in the OHC, has been indirectly measured via electrophysiological techniques. Ideally, dynamic, fluorescence-based studies of prestin would be conducted in the native environment of the OHC. However, OHCs are extremely challenging cells to work with, as they cannot be maintained in cell culture, and they undergo a decline in health leading to cell death within hours after excision from the cochlea. Consequently, prestin studies are routinely conducted in model systems. Human embryonic kidney (HEK) cells transfected with prestin display the hallmarks of electromotility, cellular deformation and nonlinear capacitance (NLC), and are thus an acceptable system in which to study prestin function and behavior as confirmed by several recent studies.

Since prestin was first cloned in 2000, studies have focused on providing a complete explanation of the electromotile mechanism. However, to achieve this ambitious goal, two major objectives must be addressed. The first is to characterize the function of prestin at the molecular level, and the second is to determine the concerted role of prestin and associated molecules in the axial deformations of electromotility. Addressing these questions is by no means trivial, and initial work has been limited by the tools and techniques applicable to the auditory system. Our studies focus on the utilization of advanced optical techniques to study both prestin and OHCs, including fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) and fluorescence recovery after photobleaching (FRAP). Recent work has highlighted the power of optical imaging techniques to address fundamental questions in membrane biophysics, and here we describe our implementation of FRAP, a classical technique, to examine the lateral mobility of prestin in the HEK cell plasma membrane.

FRAP theory was initially developed by Axelrod et al. and is an established and broadly employed fluorescent technique used to describe the diffusion of biological molecules of interest. Important FRAP parameters providing information on the lateral mobility include: the immobile fraction (IF), which is the fraction of molecules that are unable to freely diffuse during the time course of experimentation; the effective diffusion coefficient of the fluorescent molecule (D); and a time constant ($\tau_{1/2}$) yielding information on the recovery rate postbleaching. In addition to supplying individual quantitative values for these parameters, when looking at several factors in combination, FRAP studies help characterize the mobility behaviors of proteins. For example, FRAP can be used to evaluate the in-vivo effects of membrane microdomains or lipid rafts on the mobility of various proteins. FRAP has also been previously applied to gather information about lipid diffusion and interactions in OHCs, as well as to study protein diffusion in the OHC stereocilia.

In this work, we qualitatively and quantitatively describe the lateral mobility of prestin-GFP in HEK cell plasma membranes and also provide comparisons to a different transmembrane protein, human somatostatin receptor subtype 5 (SSTR5). Our FRAP results show obvious differences in the diffusion behavior of prestin-GFP as compared to SSTR5-GFP. The work presented here is the first report directly describing, characterizing, and quantifying the lateral mobility of prestin, and is consistent with new evidence describing the presence of oligomeric populations of prestin, which may play a functional role in OHC electromotility. Furthermore, details about the mobility of prestin help describe the temporal organization of prestin in the plasma membrane, and may provide information on the magnitude and extent of speculated interactions between prestin and the underlying cortical cytoskeleton. All these questions have bearing on the larger issue of elucidating the role of prestin in electromotile axial deformations. Moreover, this study illustrates the power and potential of utilizing optical techniques to obtain molecular information beneficial to auditory research.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Cell Culture

HEK cells are cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle medium (DMEM) with HEPES 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid, NaHCO$_3$, 10% bovine calf serum (BCS), and 1% Pen-Strep under standard conditions of 37 °C, 95% humidity, and 5% CO$_2$. Cells are fed on alternate days and passed at confluency, usually 5 to 7 days. For experimentation, cells are plated in 35-mm glass bottom dishes (MatTek Corporation, Ashland, Massachusetts) coated with a second layer of poly-d-lysine by placing 1 mL of 50 µg/mL poly-d-lysine (BD Biosciences, Bedford, MA) in diH$_2$O in the dish for 1 h. Then dishes are rinsed in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), dried, and stored in a sterile, dark container.

2.2 Plasmids and Transfection

Prestin-GFP constructs, a gift from Pereira, Baylor College of Medicine, were created using the vector pEGFP-N3 (Clontech, Palo Alto, California) as previously described. Human SSTR5-GFP constructs were generously donated by Smith of Baylor College of Medicine and used the vector pGFP2-N3 (Perkin Elmer, Boston, Massachusetts).

Cells are plated 24 to 48 h prior to transfection at a density of 500,000 cells/well in tissue culture treated six-well plates. In a 1.5-mL polypropylene tube, a commercially available liposomal reagent, FuGENE 6 (Roche Applied Science, Indianapolis, Indiana), is complexed with DNA in a ratio of 3 µL:2 µg, and transfection follows product literature. Briefly, appropriate amounts of FuGENE 6, plasmid, and serum/Pen-Strep-free media are combined to a total volume of 100 µL for each transfection well and allowed to incubate for...
45 min. The transfection complex is then added dropwise to cell cultures, and 8- to 18-h post-transfection, cells are trypsinized and plated in MatTek dishes at a density of 31,500 cells/cm². All FRAP experiments are performed 48 to 96 h post-transfection to allow for sufficient prestin trafficking to the plasma membrane.\(^4\)

2.3 Data Acquisition

Imaging is performed on a Zeiss LSM 510 laser scanning confocal microscope (LSM) utilizing a 63× Plan-Apochromat, NA 1.4 objective. Prestin-GFP is excited with 488-nm light from a 30-mW argon/two laser set at 50% output, corresponding to a tube current of 5.5 to 5.7 A. 12-bit 512×512 images, corresponding to 73.1 μm², are obtained with 5% laser transmission, at a digital zoom of 2, and with a pixel dwell time of 1.60 μs. The emission signal is passed through a main dichroic to eliminate the excitation light and a secondary beamsplitter, which passes light above 490 nm. The emission signal is then directed through a 500- to 550-nm bandpass filter. The detector gain is set between 700 to 900 with an amplifier offset of −0.1 and an amplifier gain of 1. These settings were empirically determined to optimize saturated and zero-valued pixels.

For FRAP experiments, cells are bathed in sterile PBS at 25 °C, and five regions of interest (ROIs) are monitored (Fig. 1). The large region is 100² pixels (14.3 μm²) and is the area continually scanned during the bleach experiment. The four circular ROIs are 20 pixels in diameter (2.9 μm) and represent the bleaching region, a background region, and two control regions to monitor undesired photobleaching that may occur due to repeated scanning. Each experiment consists of a time series of 410 scans of the large region, each taking 192 ms, with a 1.5-s delay between scans. Irreversible photobleaching of the bleach region is performed with 40 iterations for prestin-GFP and 60 iterations for SSTR5-GFP using 100% laser transmission, which require 1.46 and 2.19 s, respectively. The first bleach occurs after ten scans of the large ROI and is repeated to create the second bleach after 210 scans of the large ROI. Bleach parameters are empirically determined and correspond to a bleeding efficiency \(B\) (decrease in intensity) of 60 to 75%. Whenever possible, each experiment is performed on a different cell. However, if the same cell is used multiple times, the selected ROIs are always positioned far away from those used in previous experiments.

2.4 Data Analysis

Fluorescent intensity is quantified using the Zeiss AIM physiology software package designed for the LSM 510. The raw data output is a single time point corresponding to the beginning of each scan and the average intensity of a selected ROI during that scan. For comparative FRAP experiments, it is important to characterize two experimental factors: bleeding efficiency \(B\) and photobleaching due to repeated scanning \(PB\). Undesired photobleaching during scanning \(PB\) is calculated from the control ROIs using Eq. (1), where \(I_o\) and \(I_i\) are averages of the first and last ten data points, respectively. Some \(PB\) is inherent to imaging studies, but a large drop in intensity throughout the experiment will clearly confound results. Data were not corrected for this undesired bleaching, since doing so decreased the signal-to-noise ratio in most cells and as \(PB\) may be an overestimate due to the diffusion of bleached molecules into the control ROI following the first bleach. For all individual cells, \(PB\) was less than 25%.

\[
PB = \frac{I_i - I_o}{I_i} \times 100 \% .
\]  

(1)

To produce a recovery curve, the bleach ROI intensity is first background subtracted at each time point using values from the background ROI. Data are then normalized by dividing each intensity point by the average value of the ten prebleach scans. This is repeated for the second bleach by averaging scans 200 to 210 as the prebleach scans (Fig. 2). Bleaching efficiency \(B\) is reported from the normalized intensities following Eq. (2), where \(I_{a1}\) is the first postbleach intensity value, from scan 11, and is also shown in Fig. 2.

\[
B = (1 - I_{a1}) \times 100 \% .
\]  

(2)

The immobile fraction \(IF\) is also calculated from normalized bleach ROI intensities as shown in Eq. (3).

\[
IF = \left[1 - \left(\frac{I_o - I_{a1}}{I_i - I_{a1}}\right)\right] \times 100 \% .
\]  

(3)

The parameters \(PB\), \(B\), and \(IF\) are evaluated from the raw data of each individual cell and then reported as an average of \(n\) cells.

In this study, we aim to determine values for the effective diffusion coefficient \(D\) that we feel are accurate estimations of prestin lateral mobility as opposed to a more empirical parameter used to compare proteins or treatment groups. To this end, \(D\) is determined by fitting normalized recovery data to the 1-D solution of the diffusion equation averaged over a line coincident with the membrane. The analytical solution requires two main assumptions. First, the bleaching efficiency should be complete (100%), instantaneous, and occur solely along the line of membrane enclosed in the bleach ROI. That is, there should be no bleaching outside of the distinct borders of the ROI. Second, the line with length corresponding to the diameter of the bleach ROI, \(\sim 2.9 \, \mu m\), has negligible width.

The general form of the diffusion equation in 1-D is:

\[
\frac{\partial I(x,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 I(x,t)}{\partial x^2} .
\]  

(4)

This equation is solved on an infinite line, \(-\infty < x < \infty\), with the bleached segment of length \(L\) centered at \(x=0\). Following Soumpasis,\(^45\) we consider the diffusion of bleached molecules out of the bleach ROI and along the line of the membrane subject to the initial conditions: \(I(x,0)=1\) for \(-L/2 \leq x \leq L/2\), and \(I(x,0)=0\) outside this region, where \(t(0)\) is immediately postbleach.

The solution can be written as:

\[
I(x,t) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \text{erf} \left( \frac{L - x}{\sqrt{4Dt}} \right) - \text{erf} \left( \frac{-L - x}{\sqrt{4Dt}} \right) \right] .
\]  

(5)
As the ROI function determines the average intensity in a region at each time point, the average value of Eq. (5) is then calculated by taking the integral over a line corresponding to the diameter of the bleach ROI, \(-L/2 \leq x \leq L/2\). This is then subtracted from unity to form an equation for the diffusion of unbleached molecules into the ROI.

\[
I_{av} = 1 - \left( \frac{1}{L} \right) \sqrt{\frac{4Dt}{\pi}} \left\{ \exp \left[ -\frac{L^2}{(4Dt)^2} \right] - 1 \right\}
+ L \ast \text{erf}\left( \frac{L}{\sqrt{4Dt}} \right),
\]

Fig. 1 Images and experimental FRAP curves for HEK cells transfected with either prestin-GFP or SSTR5-GFP. (a) through (d) Images of transfected cells shown as a bright field overlay followed by GFP fluorescence. Example ROIs are shown, with 1 being the large rectangular region scanned during experimentation (14.3 \(\mu m^2\)); 2 being the bleach ROI; 3 and 4 the control ROIs; and 5 the background ROI (all with diameter 2.9 \(\mu m\)). (a) and (b) Prestin-GFP. (c) and (d) SSTR5-GFP. (e) and (f) Normalized, averaged experimental recovery curves for the two proteins are shown bounded by curves representing the standard deviation (SD) in intensity at each time point. (e) Prestin-GFP \(n=9\). (f) SSTR5-GFP \(n=7\).
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where $I_{av}$ is the average intensity value.

We validate this model with our experimental design, in which all images are taken with confocal optical slices by setting the pinhole to 1.31 Airy units, corresponding to an image depth of $<0.9 \mu$m. However, the actual bleaching takes place in a larger cone projecting above and below this strip. For a cell geometry approximating a flattened sphere, we assume this bleach cone drastically reduces diffusion in the $z$ direction, from the substrate up through the cell. The second, in-plane, dimension is removed by assuming that bilayer flip-flop is not a possible recovery direction. Thus we are left with 1-D diffusion along a thin line of membrane. However, prestin-GFP diffusion into the bleach ROI is actually a complex 3-D process, and our simplification likely induces some deviation from the true diffusion coefficient.

Nonlinear least-squares fits are performed in Matlab (The MathWorks, Incorporated, Natick, Massachusetts) using Eq. (6) with three free parameters: the effective diffusion coefficient ($D$), a scaling coefficient to account for immobile fractions, and an offset to account for $B$ less than 100%. We also report values for characteristic recovery times. Traditionally, the recovery time constant $\tau_{1/2}$ is defined as the time point at which the intensity of the bleach ROI reaches 50% of the plateau or asymptote level.34 We have also calculated a slightly different recovery time designated $\tau_B$, which is the time to recover half the intensity lost during the bleach period. We empirically determine these time constants by solving the fit equation produced in Matlab for specific time points. $\tau_{1/2}$ is the time at which the intensity has recovered to 50% of the plateau value, and $\tau_B$ is the point at which recovery reaches 50% of the intensity lost during the bleach period. Both time constants are reported with reference to the time immediately postbleach as $t=0$. It is important to note $\tau_{1/2}$ and $\tau_B$ are not directly calculated from Eq. (6), as this equation is not described by a simple, single time constant.

Cell pools are constructed from single transfections, such that each cell included originated from the same population and passage number. Thus, the data presented are a single representative pool consisting of 9 and 7 experiments for prestin-GFP and SSTR5-GFP, respectively. For both constructs, comparisons between cell pools and across transfections showed similar results and parameter values (data not shown). Statistical significance is determined using a Student’s $t$-test or analysis of variance (ANOVA) analyses as appropriate.

3 Results

During FRAP experiments, HEK cells expressing prestin-GFP in the plasma membrane are exposed to laser light to record a baseline fluorescent intensity. The transmission of the laser is then drastically increased, resulting in irreversible photobleaching of GFP within a defined region of interest (ROI). The laser power is then returned to the initial level, and the recovery of GFP fluorescence is monitored over time as prestin molecules diffuse into and out of the selected ROI. Data are examined both qualitatively and quantitatively to describe the lateral mobility of prestin-GFP in HEK cell plasma membranes.

As a control comparison to prestin lateral mobility and behavior, we also transfected HEK cells with an SSTR5-GFP fusion construct. The SSTR (somatostatin receptor) family has five members, all of which are G-protein coupled receptors with seven transmembrane domains.37,48 In vivo, the receptors couple to a wide variety of secondary cascades in neuronal, endocrine, and immune cells and tissues.47,48 Studies of the SSTR subtypes, including SSTR5, have been previously conducted using transfected HEK cells as a model system,47-49 supporting our choice of SSTR5 as a comparison protein for prestin lateral mobility in the HEK cell model.
Confocal fluorescence imaging [Figs. 1(a)–1(d)] shows qualitative differences between prestin-GFP and SSTR5-GFP localization. In Figs. 1(a) and 1(b), the prestin-GFP signal, while mainly expressed in the plasma membrane, does not appear homogeneous. This punctate labeling is typical of HEK cells transfected with prestin. In the SSTR5 images [Figs. 1(c) and 1(d)], however, the membrane appears clearly and evenly labeled. The recovery curves [Figs. 1(e) and 1(f)] also show distinct qualitative differences even though the postbleach recovery, as monitored visually during experimentation, is similar for both protein constructs with a relatively uniform increase in fluorescence reappearing over time in the membrane segment spanning the bleach ROI. The time course of prestin-GFP diffusion appears slower and reaches lower recovery levels than SSTR5-GFP for both bleaches. In control experiments, transfected HEK cells fixed for 20 min in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS show the expected lack of recovery (data not shown).

When performing FRAP, the bleaching efficiency \( B \) should be as uniform as possible, allowing for interexperiment comparisons and to maintain consistent signal-to-noise ratios in the regions of very low intensity that occur immediately following the bleach period. \( B \) is shown in Fig. 3, and was similar throughout experiments. The only significant difference was found when comparing the decrease in prestin-GFP and SSTR5-GFP intensity created by the second bleaching period. Interestingly, the SSTR5-GFP construct required more iterations of high laser transmission over the bleach ROI to reach a bleaching efficiency commensurate with that recorded for prestin-GFP. The difference in \( B \) between the two constructs was also observed in fixed cells (data not shown). The variation could be due to higher expression level of SSTR5-GFP in HEKs, which is possible, as the GFP2 codons have been humanized. Regardless, the average values of \( B \) for both proteins and both bleach periods are closely clustered at 60 to 75%.

In our FRAP protocol, we perform two consecutive bleaches on the same ROI.\(^{35,36}\) The recovery curves, each of 210 total scans, are then independently normalized for comparison (Fig. 2). This type of double bleaching protocol can provide additional insight into the IF. If the protein of interest is truly immobile, molecules permanently bleached during the first bleach will not add to the fluorescent signal monitored during the second bleach. Thus the second bleach should approach complete, or 100%, recovery. In this study, the IF for prestin was larger than SSTR5 for both bleaches and showed statistical significance between the two proteins during the first bleach \((p<0.05, 2\text{-factor ANOVA})\). Prestin IF values were 49 and 29% for the first and second bleaches, respectively, while the SSTR5 IFs were 28% and 17%. Moreover, the IFs for prestin-GFP were significantly different between bleaches \((p<0.05, 2\text{-factor ANOVA})\). Both the qualitative nature of the recovery curves and the parameters \( B \) and IF were highly consistent across pools of prestin-GFP transfected HEK cells and also for different rates of image collection (data not shown).

Values for \( D \), the effective diffusion coefficient, and the characteristic recovery times \( \tau_{1/2} \) and \( \tau_{p} \), are determined from theoretical fits to the 1-D diffusion equation and are listed along with all parameters in Table 1. The \( R^2 \) values for all fits are at or greater than 0.94, and the raw data and fits are presented in Fig. 4, along with the 95% confidence bounds. Similar to Fig. 1, qualitative differences in shape and recovery between the prestin and SSTR5 fits are readily apparent. Values for \( D \) were 0.063 and 0.030 \( \mu m^2/s \) for the first and second bleaches of prestin-GFP, and 0.182 and 0.192 \( \mu m^2/s \) for SSTR5-GFP.

The recovery time constant \( (\tau_{1/2}) \) represents the time point when the recovery curve reaches 50% of the asymptote or plateau value.\(^{34} \) For the prestin-GFP construct \( \tau_{1/2} \) increased between the first and second bleaches and was calculated to be 18.4 s and 25.6 s, respectively. The first and second bleach \( \tau_{1/2} \) values for SSTR5-GFP are 7.5 and 8.3 s, and the time constants can be visually verified from the curves presented in Figs. 1 and 4. Because the calculation of \( \tau_{1/2} \) is dependent on

![Fig. 3 Bleaching efficiency and immobile fraction. The bleaching efficiency B was found to be different only between proteins for the second bleach period, but all values are 60 to 75%. The immobile fraction IF is different for the first and second bleaches of prestin, and also between prestin and SSTR5 during the first bleach. Values are calculated as averages of nine and seven experiments for prestin-GFP and SSTR5-GFP, respectively, with error bars representing standard deviation (+, § p<0.05, 2 factor ANOVA, with * designating comparison between constructs and § designating comparison between bleaches).](image-url)
the recovery curve plateau region, we are also reporting a characteristic time, termed $\tau_{B}$, based on the extent of bleaching. $\tau_B$ is defined as the time point at which the bleach ROI recovers 50% of the intensity lost during the bleach period. For both constructs, $\tau_B$ decreases from first to second bleach. SSTR5-GFP characteristic recovery times went from 18.9 to 12.9 s, while $\tau_B$ for prestin-GFP dropped from 233 to 67.3 s, a significant decrease. A decrease in $\tau_B$ from first to second bleach is typical for our experimental results and data analysis. Although the trends from first to second bleach are different for $\tau_{1/2}$ and $\tau_B$ with respect to the accompanying changes in $D$, it is both qualitatively and quantitatively clear that prestin lateral diffusion is consistently slower than that of SSTR5 (Figs. 1 and 4 and Table 1).

When taken as a whole, the results presented here characterize the lateral mobility of prestin in the plasma membrane of HEK cells. The important findings of this study include the discrepancy in prestin-GFP recovery behavior seen during the first and second bleach, and the observation that prestin mobility is markedly different when compared to SSTR5.

## 4 Discussion

Our initial investigation of the lateral mobility of prestin in HEK cell plasma membranes yields intriguing results. To provide a benchmark for prestin-GFP diffusion, we performed comparisons to another transmembrane protein, SSTR5. Qualitatively, the speed and extent of prestin-GFP recovery increases with a second bleaching experiment, but still recovers to a lesser extent and on a slower time scale than SSTR5. SSTR5 is a 363 amino acid protein with a molecular weight of 39.1 kDa and an intracellular C-terminus,$^{48}$ and it has been shown that SSTR5 can form homo- and heterodimers under various physiologic conditions.$^{50,51}$ For transmembrane proteins, the hydrodynamic Saffman-Delbrück model suggests a weak logarithmic dependence on protein radius ($R$), which is effectively the radius of the membrane spanning regions, since the viscosity of the membrane is much higher than the surrounding solutions.$^{52,53}$ Thus, although SSTR5 has seven transmembrane domains while prestin is purported to consist of 10 to 12, we do not feel the differences we report for the effective diffusion coefficients of the two proteins are a direct result of differences in $R$.

Confocal microscopy reveals distinct qualitative differences in labeling between the two constructs. Prestin-GFP shows punctate fluorescence, while SSTR5 appears homogeneous along the membrane, as in Fig 1. The puncta in prestin-GFP-expressing HEK cells vary in size and location. For FRAP experimentation, ROIs are stationed primarily on segments of the plasma membrane, which are continuously labeled along the diameter of the ROI. Since the ROI diameter is small, less than 3 $\mu$m, it is not exceedingly challenging to locate a continuous strip of membrane fulfilling this requirement. The visualized postbleach recovery of prestin-GFP is qualitatively similar to that of SSTR5-GFP. The line of plasma membrane in the bleach ROI increases in intensity postbleach uniformly for both constructs. This behavior shows puncta do not form diffusional barriers and suggests a minimal influence of punctate prestin-GFP labeling on FRAP experiments.

In this study, the quantitative values of $D$ are ~3 to 6 times larger for SSTR5-GFP than for prestin-GFP. $D$ also varies significantly between the first and second bleach experiments of prestin-GFP but not of SSTR5 (see Table 1). For prestin-GFP, $D$ drops between bleaches from 0.063 to 0.030 $\mu$m$^2$/s, suggesting a decrease in mobility. This initially appears at odds with the qualitative observations

### Table 1 FRAP parameters. Experimental and fitted FRAP parameters for HEK cells transfected with either prestin-GFP or SSTR5-GFP. Top section: the bleaching efficiency ($B$), photobleaching during scanning ($PB$), and the immobile fraction ($IF$) are calculated directly from the data and shown as mean ± standard deviation. $PB$ is determined for a complete experiment comprising both a first and second bleach period. $n_r=9$ for prestin-GFP and $n_r=4$ for SSTR5-GFP. Bottom section: characteristic recovery times ($\tau_{1/2}$ and $\tau_B$) and effective diffusion coefficients ($D$) are derived from the curve-fitting process and shown as the calculated value centered above the 95% confidence bounds in parentheses. $\tau_{1/2}$ is the time point at which the intensity of the bleach ROI reaches 50% of the plateau or asymptote level, and $\tau_B$ is the time to recover half the intensity lost during the bleach period.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prestin-GFP</th>
<th></th>
<th>SSTR5-GFP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>First bleach</td>
<td>Second bleach</td>
<td>First bleach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>72 ± 6%</td>
<td>71 ± 8%</td>
<td>64 ± 5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$PB$</td>
<td>12 ± 9%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$IF$</td>
<td>49 ± 18%</td>
<td>29 ± 16%</td>
<td>28 ± 15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{1/2}$</td>
<td>18.4 s</td>
<td>25.6 s</td>
<td>7.5 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(13.5, 30.8)</td>
<td>(18.9, 39.3)</td>
<td>(5.7, 12.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_B$</td>
<td>233 s</td>
<td>67.3 s</td>
<td>18.9 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(208, 565)</td>
<td>(52.5, 107)</td>
<td>(14.9, 34.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>0.063 $\mu$m$^2$/s</td>
<td>0.030 $\mu$m$^2$/s</td>
<td>0.182 $\mu$m$^2$/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(0.052, 0.074)</td>
<td>(0.025, 0.035)</td>
<td>(0.156, 0.208)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and with the significant decrease in IF. However, D reflects both the slope of the initial rise and the time to reach the plateau. From Fig. 1(e) it is apparent that the recovery curve for the second bleach of prestin-GFP requires a longer time period to reach a plateau. Additionally, the calculated values of D reported for prestin-GFP bleaches, 0.063 and 0.030 μm²/s, are within reasonable estimates for protein-GFP diffusion in cellular plasma membranes. Methods for calculating D vary greatly based on the imaging system and experimental design, so D is not universally comparable across publications and is hence usually referred to as the effective diffusion coefficient.

Since D affects the entire shape of the recovery curve, attempts to give reported values physical significance in biological systems should be supplemented with other observations or parameters. Thus, it is common to complement calculations of D with an empirical time constant such as τ₁/₂ and the IF. In some cases, determining the effective diffusion coefficient may be of less functional relevance than the calculation of the IF. The traditional τ₁/₂ values provided here show an increase in the recovery time constant for prestin-GFP between first and second bleaches, which logically follows from the two-fold decrease in D seen between bleaches.

![Graphs showing fluorescence recovery after photobleaching](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics)
The presented $\tau_{1/2}$ calculations for SSTR5-GFP remain similar between bleaches, 7.5 and 8.3 s, as do the values of $D$. However, $\tau_{1/2}$ is defined as the time point where the recovery curve reaches 50% of the plateau value, so if a definitive plateau is not reached, this will lead to underestimates of the recovery time constant. It should then be noted that the second bleach of prestin-GFP may not reach a steady-state recovery plateau during the time course of the experiment. Preliminary work with longer durations, $\sim 22$ min as opposed to 12 min, does not conclusively show more distinct plateau regions in the raw data (data not shown). Monitoring recovery for longer time periods is also hampered by sample drift, which is difficult to correct with the fast acquisition time we employ. To adjust for this possible source of error, we have also chosen to report a characteristic time $\tau_B$, which describes the time required for the GFP to recover 50% of the intensity lost during the bleach period. As shown in Table 1, the $\tau_B$ for prestin-GFP is greatly decreased from first to second bleach, 233 to 67.3 s, but is still lagging that of SSTR5-GFP, $\sim 13$ to 19 s.

Almost all proteins have some diffusional limitations in a cellular plasma membrane, and an average $IF$ of 10 to 15% was reported from a mobility study of various proteins. However, the data from our estimate, our data show quite notable $IF$ results, demonstrating that the extent of prestin-GFP recovery during the time course of these experiments is much less than that of SSTR5-GFP. The $IF$ of prestin for the second bleach remains substantial at 29%, suggesting that prestin-GFP molecules may be transiently constrained within the HEK cell plasma membrane. In other words, the molecules comprising the $IF$ may not be truly immobile. Instead, molecules may become constrained in a membrane region for a time period on the order of a few minutes, possibly corresponding to less than the time for each bleach experiment. Then these molecules could be released during or between experiments, supplementing a true immobile fraction in the measured decrease in $IF$ reported for the second bleach. Thus, the $IF$ is not a constant value. However, the $IF$ can be an overestimate of the population of molecules that cannot diffuse during an experiment due to the continual bleaching caused by imaging, $PB$. We have kept this bleaching low for prestin and SSTR5, averaging 12 and 13%, respectively, which is commensurate with values from fixed cells. $IF$ values may also be overestimated if the recovery curve fails to completely reach steady state during the experimental time course, as may be the case for the second bleach of prestin.

There are two previous reports describing the lateral mobility of prestin in the native OHC based on electrophysiological recordings. In 2001, Takahashi and Santos-Sacchi detected and mapped stress-induced displacement currents in the OHC, which provided additional evidence that prestin, as the motor protein, endows these cells with piezoelectric properties. Their results show a nonuniformity of evoked currents, suggesting limited lateral mobility of motors in the OHC, as freely diffusing motors would likely produce spatially independent currents. They also provide an alternate hypothesis, where variations in evoked currents along the length of the OHC could be due to the nature of the membrane itself along the lateral wall. In a later study, Santos-Sacchi and Zhao conducted experiments involving the irreversible photoinactivation of prestin, and through indirect measurements concluded that prestin diffuses within the lateral plasma membrane of OHCs. These two reports are not mutually exclusive, and may support our results in transfected HEK cells, as they established prestin mobility in the OHC. While prestin is unable to migrate to the basal pole of the cell, even on cytoskeletal degradation, it is capable of lateral mobility in the membrane, although diffusion may be limited when compared to an unetched membrane protein. This is consistent with our hypothesized transient confinement of prestin-GFP in the HEK cell.

From the photoinactivation experiments, a value for the diffusion coefficient of prestin was roughly estimated based on an infinite sink model. The application of this model required calculating the surface area for the lateral membrane, which varies significantly along the cochlea as OHC length changes. An estimation for the motor density was also needed, and the authors chose 7500/µm², which is on the high end of a range they cited in their previous work. In addition, they found the data were not fit by a single diffusion coefficient, and instead reported two values of $D$ that bracket the data, one fitting to the initial stage of the experimental curve and one fitting the later portion. Thus, the range of diffusion coefficients, 0.08 to 0.35 µm²/s, is larger than those we measure in HEK cells. It is important to note these effective diffusion coefficients are highly model-dependent, so making comparisons between reports is not always straightforward. Nevertheless, this study concluded that the lack of a complete fit implies phenomena other than simple, fixed-course diffusion are taking place, which is in accordance with the prestin-GFP data we present here.

The reported photoactivation of prestin was induced by either whole cell light exposure or confined exposure of a ~80-µm² region of the OHC lateral wall for periods of ~20 to 150 s. Our large ROI measures only 14.3 µm², and a single scan of this region lasts 192 ms, after which there is a 1.5-s delay before the next scan initiates. We do not believe this limited exposure, although repeated many times during an experiment, will induce the magnitude of photoactivation reported by Santos-Sacchi and Zhao. Additionally, while the nonlinear capacitance, the electrophysiological measurement utilized in that study, is a clear electrical signature of prestin, it does not necessarily completely correlate to prestin function. Although the reported photoactivation results merit further investigation, there is not sufficient evidence to suggest inactivation would alter the lateral mobility of prestin.

In our current observations, there are several possible mechanisms to explain the observed restrained, albeit transiently, lateral diffusion of prestin-GFP as described by an initial $IF$ approaching 50% and $D$ values of 0.063 µm²/s or less. Additionally, prestin-GFP recovery time constants $\tau_{1/2}$ were 2.5 to 3 times longer than those for SSTR5-GFP, while the characteristic recovery times $\tau_B$ were 5 to 12 times longer. The lateral mobility of proteins in the plasma membrane may be affected by the environmental viscosity; protein aggregation, complexing, or binding; compartmental confinement by either lipid domains or the cytoskeleton; and the induction of convective flow. For these experiments and results, both flow and changes in viscosity are unlikely. Moreover, a recent study showed that the association of proteins with lipid rafts is...
not a major determinant of their mobility in the plasma membrane, suggesting that distinct lipid microdomains may not be sufficient to induce the lateral mobility characteristics of prestin-GFP. New FRAP studies of lipids in the native OHC propose interactions between the cortical lattice and the plasma membrane that would likely also affect prestin diffusion. This could be supplemental to a suggested connection between prestin in the plasma membrane and the OHC cortical lattice as a mechanism of force transmission. However, previous work examining prestin diffusion in OHCs after cytostatic digestion with Pronase suggested the removal of the cytoskeleton did not increase prestin diffusion, since the basal region of the cell remained devoid of motor molecules as measured electrophysiologically. In terms of protein aggregation or binding, FRET studies indicate that prestin-fluorescent protein chimeras form oligomers or complexes when expressed in the membrane of HEK cells. These observations are supported by biochemical data showing the presence of multimeric forms of prestin in both model systems and OHCs. The largest of these reported in-vivo oligomers, tetramers, may represent enough of an increase in molecular weight or hydrodynamic radius for FRAP to detect changes in diffusion behavior. It is also possible that such oligomeric forms of prestin are more likely to encounter other barriers to diffusion. This could include interactions with other transmembrane or membrane-associated proteins via direct binding or molecular crowding, as well as fencing by the cortical cytoskeleton. Finally, in the model HEK cells, the overexpression of prestin may hinder its own diffusion. However, indirect measurements of prestin diffusion in the native OHC also suggest there is some inherent restraint on prestin mobility, as it does not have diffusional properties matching that of a theoretical untethered protein.

The hypothesis of transient prestin confinement introduced previously can be additionally supported by the curious and unexpected decrease in $D$ from first to second bleach while the $I/\tau$ decreases as anticipated. The drop in $D$ between bleaches is somewhat counterintuitive when compared to the qualitative increase in lateral mobility seen in the recovery curves (Figs. 1 and 4). However, in a recent publication, Houtsomuller presented simulated FRAP recovery curves that are remarkably similar to those we report for the second bleach of prestin-GFP (Figs. 1 and 4). Of particular interest, the simulations illustrate that the recovery curves for a molecule with a low $D$ ($1 \mu m^2/s$) are identical to those calculated for a molecule with a larger $D$ ($7 \mu m^2/s$) if 30% of the molecules are transiently immobilized. Thus, when using the model we employ here, the presence of a transiently confined population of molecules can result in a significantly lowered calculation of $D$.

Although a transiently confined prestin-GFP molecule can explain our data, other complex diffusion behaviors could account for the recovery behavior of prestin-GFP following the second bleach. This curve may, in fact, represent two populations with differing effective diffusion coefficients. One population may dominate the initial recovery period after the first bleach, slightly masking complex diffusion, which then becomes apparent after the second bleach. These populations could be segregated based on molecular weight, consistent with data on the oligomerization state of prestin. However, various populations described by differing values of $D$ could also be unrelated to size, especially as FRAP is not particularly sensitive to small increases in molecular weight. Instead, the functional state of prestin may have an affect on diffusion, specifically if local voltages or stresses can induce conformational changes in the protein. Future work will focus first on alterations of the model that may show the existence of two populations with different recovery behaviors, hopefully providing clarification between the possible mechanisms affecting and controlling prestin-GFP lateral mobility in the HEK cell.

Although one limitation of single FRAP control experiments is the inability to specifically distinguish between the various cellular mechanisms affecting diffusion, by analyzing the various FRAP parameters in parallel, we have gathered both qualitative and quantitative information about the lateral mobility of prestin-GFP in the HEK cell plasma membrane. Future FRAP experiments involving perturbations of the system will likely help elucidate which mechanism(s) affect prestin diffusion. In conclusion, this study characterizes the unique lateral mobility of prestin-GFP as compared to that of SSTR5-GFP. Prestin clearly diffuses differently than SSTR5 and may be transiently confined within the HEK plasma membrane through the formation of aggregates of oligomers and/or via interactions of the cortical cytoskeleton with either the plasma membrane or with prestin itself. Alternatively, prestin-GFP may exist in several forms or populations, each with a characteristic diffusion behavior. Importantly, both of these hypotheses have potential implications for prestin behavior in the native OHC. This work lays a solid foundation for studying the lateral mobility of prestin, and also demonstrates the application of an advanced optical technique to address current issues in the auditory field.
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