Combined optical intensity and polarization methodology for analyte concentration determination in simulated optically clear and turbid biological media
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Abstract. The use of a combined spectral intensity and polarization signals optically scattered by tissue to determine analyte concentration in optically clear and turbid biological media was explored in a simulation study. Blood plasma was chosen as the biological model and glucose as the analyte of interest. The absorption spectrum and optical rotatory dispersion were modeled using experimental data and the Drude’s equation, respectively, between 500 and 2000 nm. A polarization-sensitive Monte Carlo light-propagation model was used to simulate scattering media. Unfold partial least squares and multiblock partial least squares were used as regression methods to combine the spectral intensity and polarization signals, and to predict glucose concentrations in both clear and scattering models. The results show that the combined approaches produce better predictive results in both clear and scattering media than conventional partial least squares analysis, which uses intensity or polarization spectra independently. This improvement was somewhat diminished with the addition of scattering to the model, since the polarization signals were reduced due to multiple scattering. These findings demonstrate promise for the combined approach in clear or moderately scattering biological media; however, the method’s applicability to highly scattering tissues is yet to be determined. The methodology also requires experimental validation. © 2008 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.2968198]
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1 Introduction

Diabetes mellitus is a chronic systemic disease with no known cure in which the body either fails to produce, or fails to properly respond to, the glucose regulator hormone insulin. Estimates put the number of diabetics in North America at 15 million and the number worldwide at over 100 million, with these numbers expected to rise dramatically over the next 30 years. The primary goal of diabetes therapy is to maintain normal blood glucose homeostasis through diet, exercise, medication, or insulin injections. Accordingly, frequent monitoring of blood glucose levels is of paramount importance in guiding these therapies. Conventional “finger-prick” methods have low compliance due to their invasive nature, and as a result, many diabetics do not monitor their blood glucose levels as frequently as needed to obtain the medical care that could avoid long-term complications of the disease. A noninvasive method for glucose monitoring would greatly aid in increasing the frequency of monitoring and lead to a decrease in the complications associated with diabetes.

Due to this tremendous need for a less invasive method of blood glucose monitoring, a significant amount of research in a variety of fields has focused on developing such a method. Apart from diabetes, there is also a need for more frequent or continuous monitoring of preterm infants and other at-risk infants as well as people with rare metabolic disorders. Among the many approaches being investigated, research is underway to develop optical methods for noninvasive monitoring, including infrared spectroscopy, optical coherence tomography (OCT), photoacoustic techniques, Raman spectroscopy, fluorescence techniques, and polarimetry. While some of these techniques are approaching acceptable levels of accuracy in vivo, none have been approved for clinical use; therefore, improvements in these modalities, combin-
ing these modalities, or other modalities are needed.

Nearly all of the techniques in development measure a signal due not only to glucose but also to many other biological constituents. As a result, the techniques suffer in their specificity to glucose. In addition, the techniques lack sensitivity because the signal due to glucose is generally much smaller than that due to other constituents. In this work, we propose a combined modality optical approach to determine glucose concentration in biological media. Specifically, combining near-infrared (NIR) spectroscopy signals, arguably the most promising optical method, with spectral polarization information lends itself well to a hybrid approach, because simultaneous measurements can be made with a single polarization-sensitive optical system. This combination exploits three optical effects of glucose: its NIR absorption spectrum, its optical rotatory dispersion (ORD, also known as optical activity), and its refractive index matching effect. For the simulation study described in this paper, only the first two effects were explored, NIR absorption and ORD, since these are specific to glucose. The refractive index matching effect is the change in the refractive index of the media with changes in constituent concentrations and is not specific to a single analyte. However, this effect may add further sensitivity and will be investigated in future work. Although glucose was used as the constituent of interest for this study, the technique is applicable for other absorbing and optically active constituents and may well have application to other biological and nonbiological systems [e.g., detection of optically active drugs, remote sensing of planetary (chiral) atmospheres].

To test the combination of NIR and ORD spectroscopy for concentration determination, a model of blood plasma containing glucose and plasma proteins was used to generate intensity and polarization spectra in both clear and scattering media. As with nearly all of the developing optical techniques, this requires extraction of the signal specific to glucose from a signal also influenced by many confounding factors (plasma proteins and water in this case). The field of chemometrics provides a number of well-developed techniques for analyzing measurements of complex chemical systems to yield constituent concentrations or other properties of interest. Most of these techniques regress one block of data, such as a set of NIR absorption spectra, to a single sample property of the sample, such as glucose concentrations, to build a predictive model. In our case, we wish to regress two blocks of data (NIR intensity and ORD polarization spectra) to improve the predictive abilities of the model. This requires the use of multiblock chemometrics to combine two or more of the data sets into a single predictive model.

The outline of this paper is as follows. First, the blood plasma model used in this study to generate the intensity and polarization signals as a function of wavelength is described. Both a clear and a scattering model were employed in this study to investigate our approach under these two conditions of increasing biological relevance. Second, the chemometric techniques used for concentration predictions are briefly reviewed, and the procedure for building and testing the predictive regression model is described. Third, the results of the predictions are presented for both the clear and scattering model, and the findings and their implications are discussed.

2 Blood Plasma Model

To investigate the abilities of a combined intensity and polarization method to predict analyte concentrations, a simulated blood plasma model was employed as a biological model. The model was implemented using MATLAB 7 (The MathWorks, Inc). Blood plasma is the liquid components of blood with the blood cells removed, the primary constituents being the proteins albumin, globulins, and fibrinogen as well as glucose. Other constituents of blood such as cholesterol and urea were omitted in this model, because they exist in lower levels. As noted above, due to the considerable interest in noninvasive glucose monitoring, glucose was chosen as the analyte of interest for this study. The mean and standard deviation of the concentrations of plasma components, in units of g/100 ml, from a group containing both healthy and diabetic individuals are shown in Table 1. Concentrations of the plasma components were randomly generated in this model using these means and standard deviations and assuming a normal distribution. The wavelength range of 500 to 2000 nm was chosen for this study because it is the most frequently employed spectral window, due to relatively low hemoglobin absorption, and includes the effects of both absorption and optical activity. The following sections describe the methods used to create the clear and scattering blood plasma models.

2.1 Absorption and Optical Rotatory Dispersion

The effects of absorption due to water, plasma proteins, and glucose in the visible and NIR were modeled using experimental data from a number of reports. Since data for individual plasma protein absorption dispersion could not be found, the representative plasma total protein (albumin, globulin, and fibrinogen) absorption was used. Figure 1 shows the absorption coefficients μ(λ) per concentration of analyte (cm⁻¹ g⁻¹ dl), given as a function of wavelength for water, total protein, and glucose. To calculate the absorption due to water, the mass displacement caused by the addition of the proteins and glucose was calculated and subtracted from the mass of the initial water. This corrected mass of water was then used to calculate the absorption due to water using the data in Fig. 1. The absorption due to the total protein and glucose was calculated simply by using the mass of each constituent and the appropriate data from Fig. 1. The total absorption coefficient was then calculated as the sum of the absorption coefficients due to each component.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Albumin</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globulin</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrinogen</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Mean and standard deviation of blood plasma component concentrations (g/100 ml). The standard deviation of fibrinogen was estimated based on standard deviations of albumin and globulin.
The effects of optical activity due to proteins and glucose in the visible and NIR were modeled using Drude’s equation,

\[
[\alpha]_A = \frac{A}{\lambda^2 - \lambda_c^2},
\]

where \([\alpha]\) is the specific rotation of the molecule in units of rotation per concentration and pathlength (deg m\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\) dm\(^{-1}\)) at the wavelength \(\lambda\), \(A\) is a constant specific to the molecule, and \(\lambda_c\) is the center wavelength. The parameters of Drude’s equation for the plasma proteins and glucose are given in Table 2 and plotted in Fig. 2. The resulting rotation in degrees is calculated as

\[
\alpha(\lambda) = \frac{[\alpha]_A Cl}{100},
\]

where \(C\) is the concentration of the molecule in g/100 ml, and \(l\) is the optical pathlength in dm (dm=0.1 m). The total rotation due to all components in plasma was calculated as the sum of the rotations due to each component.

Given the concentrations of the plasma components, the absorption and optical rotation signals as a function of wavelength, \(\mu_\text{abs}(\lambda)\) and \(\alpha(\lambda)\), can be calculated using the data in Fig. 1 and Eq. (2), respectively. The full polarization state of the light before and after passing through the plasma sample can be described with a four-element Stokes vector \(S\). The first element \(I\) represents the intensity of the light beam, the second element \(Q\) represents the linear polarization at 0 and 90 deg, the third element \(U\) represents the linear polarization at 45 and 135 deg, and the fourth element \(V\) represents the circular polarization. Polarization effects are applied to the input state of polarization \(S_i\) the output state \(S_o\) after passing through the clear sample is calculated as

\[
S_o = M_s M_r S_i.
\]

where the first matrix \(M_s\) applies the effect of absorption by reducing the intensity of the beam, and the second matrix \(M_r\) applies the effect of optical activity by rotating the plane of linear polarization of the input beam. The order of these matrix multiplications is not important, since \(M_s\) is symmetrical about its diagonal. Equation (4) is valid only for optically clear media; the presence of multiple scattering necessitates the use of scattering models such as the Monte Carlo method described below.

Six wavelength-dependent signals were available to build the regression model (refer to Sec. 3) and predict the glucose concentrations in clear (nonscattering) media \(\mu_\text{abs}(\lambda)\) and \(\alpha(\lambda)\).
calculated based on the constituent concentrations, and the Stokes parameters $I_0(\lambda)$, $Q_0(\lambda)$, $U_0(\lambda)$, and $V_0(\lambda)$ calculated using Eq. (4) based on the input polarization. In an experimental situation, the measurements of the Stokes parameters would be made and $\alpha(\lambda)$ would be calculated based on measured Stokes parameters. Using the described methods to calculate absorption and optical activity, intensity and polarization spectra were generated from 500 to 2000 nm in 2-nm intervals with physiological levels of the plasma constituents, and with the optical pathlength through the plasma being 1 cm. The light incident on the sample was set to be linearly polarized horizontally ($S_0=\lbrack 1 0 0 0 \rbrack^T$). To assess the predictive abilities of the models in an experimental situation, simulated Gaussian noise was added to each signal with an increasing standard deviation. This added uncertainty simulated both source and measurement noise.

2.2 Scattering Monte Carlo Model

To investigate the ability to predict analyte concentrations using the combined method in scattering biological media such as tissue, a Monte Carlo model for polarized light propagation in multiply scattering media was used. This validated model, available for download, records individual photon packet polarizations in the form of Stokes vectors and includes the effects of multiple scattering, absorption, optical activity, and linear birefringence. The model tracks a large number of photons as they propagate and multiply scatter through the sample, then sums the photons to calculate their macroscopic properties of interest.

To reduce the computational time needed to generate data, simulations were run to create a lookup-table with absorption and optical activity as the independent parameters. This lookup table approach is similar to that taken in other Monte Carlo studies. The computational time required was reduced in two ways: first, the number of simulations needed was reduced because data for each full spectrum were not required, since each spectrum could be generated from the lookup table; second, fewer photons were needed in each simulation because the lookup table could be smoothed to reduce the noise due to the statistical nature of the model. To create the lookup table, the values of absorption and optical activity were varied in the full range of blood plasma physiological levels as found from the compiled data. The changes in refractive index and the resulting effects on scattering due to the variations in plasma components were ignored in this study to reduce the parameter space of the lookup table, and thus to reduce the number of simulations required. This approximation is a reasonable first step; in fact, its inclusion, while complicating the analysis, may actually improve the ability to predict analytic concentrations due to an increased sensitivity to concentration changes in both light intensity and polarization. In addition, refractive index dispersion in all media was ignored to reduce the required simulations. Finally, the linear birefringence was set to zero since this effect was ignored in the current study.

Simulations were run with the absorption coefficient varied between 0 and 30 cm$^{-1}$ in 2 cm$^{-1}$ increments, and with the optical activity varied between 0 and 0.009 rad cm$^{-1}$ (0 and 0.5157 deg cm$^{-1}$) in 0.001 rad cm$^{-1}$ (0.05730 deg cm$^{-1}$) increments, to create a $10 \times 16$ lookup table. All simulations were run with $3 \times 10^8$ photons. The sample was a $1 \times 1 \times 1$ cm$^3$ cube with a scattering coefficient of 60 cm$^{-1}$, which is somewhat lower than that of tissues; this coefficient was selected for this feasibility investigation to reduce the simulation times by reducing the number of photons required so each photon would retain a higher degree of polarization. The scattering particles in the medium were simulated to represent spherical polystyrene microspheres with a diameter of 1.4 $\mu$m. The refractive indices of the scattering particles and the surrounding media were 1.59 and 1.33, respectively (corresponding to polystyrene and water), giving an anisotropy $g=0.93$ for the microspheres at 633 nm. The photons exiting the sample were binned in 1 mm$^2$ detection areas with an acceptance angle of 20 deg to approximate a typical experimental measurement. The forward direction (direct transmission) was chosen as the geometry of interest to compare the results with those from the clear plasma model. As in the clear media case, the light incident on the sample was set to be horizontally linearly polarized ($S_0=\lbrack 1 1 0 0 \rbrack^T$). Spectra were then generated with the lookup table to create wavelength-dependent Stokes parameters $[I_0(\lambda), Q_0(\lambda), U_0(\lambda), V_0(\lambda)]$ between 500 and 2000 nm in 2-nm intervals using the appropriate values for optical activity and absorption at each wavelength. The orientation of polarization $\gamma(\lambda)$ as a function of wavelength was found from the output Stokes parameters as

$$
g(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{U_0(\lambda)}{Q_0(\lambda)} \right].$$

The orientation of polarization was directly proportional to the optical rotation $\alpha(\lambda)$ because it changed with the rotation, and could be used in place of $\alpha(\lambda)$ in the regression calculations. Five spectroscopic signals $[I_0(\lambda), Q_0(\lambda), U_0(\lambda), V_0(\lambda), \gamma(\lambda)]$ were available to build the regression model and predict the glucose concentrations from the scattering model. In addition to the noise due to multiple scattering—and similar to the clear model—simulated Gaussian noise was added to each Stokes parameter with an increasing standard deviation to assess the predictive abilities of the methodology when experimental noise (i.e., source output fluctuations and measurement uncertainties) was present.

3 Chemometric Methods

Two chemometric algorithms, unfold partial least squares (U-PLS), and multiblock partial least squares (MB-PLS), were used to combine the intensity $I(\lambda)$ and $\mu_0(\lambda)$ and polarization $Q(\lambda)$, $U(\lambda)$, $V(\lambda)$, and $\gamma(\lambda)$ data in a model to predict the glucose concentration. These two methods were chosen because they employ the widely used conventional partial least squares (PLS) regression algorithm currently used in most glucose monitoring techniques. The Multiblock Toolbox for MATLAB was used to implement the algorithms. This section will briefly review these two algorithms; further details are available in the cited references.

Both algorithms are based on the widely used PLS regression method. In PLS, a regression relationship is found between a descriptor block or matrix of data (in our case, a set of intensity or polarization spectra) and a response block or matrix (in our case, a set of corresponding glucose concentra-
This is achieved by decomposing both the descriptor and response blocks into so-called latent variables that describe the maximum variance in the data. The regression is calculated based on how the variances in each block explain each other—in other words, finding the covariance between the blocks. In situations where there is more than one descriptor block, as is the case with the intensity and polarization measurements, a method of combining the information in both descriptor blocks must be used to predict the response block.

The most rudimentary approach for combining and regressing the data is U-PLS. In this method, each descriptor block is placed in a single combined block, as shown in Fig. 3, and normal PLS regression is performed on this combined descriptor block. In other words, as the name suggests, U-PLS “unfolds” the multiblock data into a single block. The inclusion of more information in the combined descriptor block can improve the predictive ability of the model. A more sophisticated method of combining and regressing the data is MB-PLS. In this method, the blocks are kept separate; however, the blocks are used to create a single super descriptor block that is then regressed to the response block using PLS.

The creation of this super block involves finding the common information contained in each of the descriptor blocks, referred to as the “consensus” in the literature. In other words, the variations in the signals that are common in both descriptor blocks are identified as the consensus between the two blocks. Since each block contains measurements done on the same samples, looking at the common information contained in each block can provide better predictive ability for analytes that affect both measurement techniques (as in the plasma model). For example, glucose (as well as plasma proteins) influences both the intensity and polarization signals, causing a common variation in both signals that should be identified in the calculation of the consensus.

Once the regression techniques have been chosen, two steps are required to develop a chemometric model: calibration and testing. In calibration, often referred to as training, a set of data (both descriptor and response blocks) is used to create the PLS model. In our case, a set of intensity and polarization spectra (descriptor blocks) and glucose concentrations corresponding to each spectra (response blocks) were used to calculate the regression parameters using either U-PLS or MB-PLS. Once the model is built in the calibration step, it must be tested with new data to ensure the validity of the model and to assess its predictive ability. In our test, a new set of intensity and polarization spectra, along with corresponding glucose concentrations, was generated for the purpose of testing both regression techniques. The size of both the calibration and testing data sets was 400 spectra with corresponding glucose concentrations.

The predictive ability of the methods was determined by calculating the root mean square error of prediction (percent error) using the testing data set, with increasing noise added to both the training and testing spectra. The percent error was then used to calculate the error as a percentage of the mean glucose concentration. Results from conventional PLS regression on intensity and polarization spectra separately were also calculated to quantify the improvement in the combined methodology.

### 4 Results and Discussion

#### 4.1 Clear Media

The percent error values for the clear plasma model are shown in Figs. 4–6. They demonstrate the improved predictive abilities of the combined intensity and polarization approach. The descriptor block contained 400 spectra between 500 and 2000 nm in 2-nm intervals, with each spectrum having a corresponding glucose concentration in the response block. For reference, a typical human blood glucose level is 0.18 g/100 ml (from Table 1); to be within the generally ac-
The acceptable level of error for glucose monitoring of 15% (some other reports indicate 20%), the predictive error should be less than 0.027 g/100 ml. Figure 4 plots the results from regressing $\alpha(\lambda)$ and $\mu_a(\lambda)$ individually, with increasing noise added to the signals using conventional PLS, and the combined regression results using U-PLS and MB-PLS. In this case, the standard deviation of the added noise was specified as a percentage of the mean value of each intensity and polarization signal. This was done to corrupt the signals at approximately the same rate, because the signals differed considerably in magnitude. Figure 4 shows that regressing the absorption spectra $\mu_a(\lambda)$ produced much better predictive results (i.e., less corrupted by noise) than regressing the optical activity spectra $\alpha(\lambda)$. This is due to the similarity in the ORD of the plasma components, as shown in Fig. 1, making them difficult for the PLS regression to separate. However, when the absorption and optical activity spectra were regressed with the combined methods (either with U-PLS or MB-PLS), there was considerable improvement over regressing the absorption spectra (or ORD) alone. Both combined methods produced an approximately 25% reduction in percent error with the maximum added noise over $\mu_a(\lambda)$ alone. As hypothesized, the combination of the information in both these spectra decreased the predictive error. Given the poor results of the optical activity spectra regression, the large improvement when it was combined with the absorption spectra was somewhat surprising. Evidently, enough information was contained in the optical activity spectra to complement the absorption spectra and improve the combined regression results.

To test the regression methods on more experimentally realistic signals (i.e., on results from a typical polarimeter), the Stokes vectors of the output light from the clear plasma model were calculated using Eq. (4) with input horizontally and linearly polarized light $S_i = [1, 1, 0, 0]^T$. The results from regressing the Stokes parameters individually [including the intensity $I(\lambda)$] using conventional PLS with increasing noise added to each parameter are shown in Fig. 5. Stokes parameter $V(\lambda)$ (circular polarization) was omitted because it contained no information in the present model. Predictions with the parameter $U(\lambda)$ produced poor results because the input light was horizontally polarized, yielding small values of $U(\lambda)$ that were rapidly corrupted by the added noise. A small change due to the rotation of the polarization from optical activity was present; however, this small change was quickly swamped by the added noise. Predictions using either $I(\lambda)$ or $Q(\lambda)$ parameters produced similar and far superior results. The predictive errors using $I(\lambda)$ and $Q(\lambda)$ were very similar since they contained nearly identical information due to the fully horizontal polarization of the input light $I(\lambda) = Q(\lambda)$. Stokes parameter $Q(\lambda)$ was attenuated by the absorption and slightly reduced while the optical activity while the polarization vector was rotated. In this case, even though $Q(\lambda)$ was affected by both absorption and optical activity, there was no improvement gained over $I(\lambda)$, which contained only absorption information. This suggests that even though $Q(\lambda)$ was affected by both absorption and optical activity, it was difficult for PLS to decouple the effects.

The results from combined regression methods for the Stokes parameters are shown in Fig. 6, along with the result for the regression of $I(\lambda)$ alone (from Fig. 5) for comparison. Both U-PLS and MB-PLS produced lower predictive errors than regressing $I(\lambda)$ alone. In both cases, combining either $I(\lambda)$ and $Q(\lambda)$ or $I(\lambda)$, $Q(\lambda)$, and $U(\lambda)$ resulted in similar predictive errors, yielding little additional information in the $U(\lambda)$ signal as discussed above. However, MB-PLS produced significantly lower predictive errors than U-PLS. The reductions in percent error for U-PLS and MB-PLS were approximately 8% and 15%, respectively, at the maximum noise level. These results differed from the results of predicting using $\alpha(\lambda)$ and $\mu_a(\lambda)$, where U-PLS and MB-PLS prediction accuracy were nearly the same. Evidently, the creation of the consensus super-block in MB-PLS by combining the common information in each descriptor block leads to better predictive power in the case of the Stokes parameters. This is likely due to the mix of absorption and optical activity information contained in Stokes parameters $Q(\lambda)$ and $U(\lambda)$ as opposed to the

---

**Fig. 5** Percent error as a function of simulated noise for $I(\lambda)$, $Q(\lambda)$, and $U(\lambda)$ in clear media regressed individually using PLS. Points are prediction results and lines are a guide for the eye.

**Fig. 6** Percent error as a function of simulated noise for $I(\lambda)$, $Q(\lambda)$, and $U(\lambda)$ in clear media combined and regressed using PLS, U-PLS, and MB-PLS. Points are prediction results and lines are a guide for the eye.
pure optical activity and absorption channels $\alpha(\lambda)$ and $\mu_a(\lambda)$. This mixing may make it difficult for the regression methods to decouple the effects when building the predictive models. These results suggest that regressing absorption combined with optical rotation, rather than the measured Stokes parameters, provides better predictive abilities [an improvement of approximately 25% for combined $\alpha(\lambda)$ and $\mu_a(\lambda)$ versus an improvement of approximately 15% for the combined Stokes parameters]. However, when optical rotation is calculated based on measured Stokes parameters, the magnitude of noise will increase as the parameters are divided in Eq. (5). Therefore, as shown later, the potential improvement is diminished.

To summarize, it is apparent from these results that combining the information contained in the intensity and polarization spectra improves the predictive abilities for glucose in simulated clear media.

4.2 Scattering Media

The results of predictive calculations using the data generated by the Monte Carlo model for scattering media are shown in Figs. 7–9. Similar to the clear media, the descriptor block contained 400 spectra between 500 and 2000 nm in 2-nm intervals with each spectrum having a corresponding glucose concentration in the response block. As also was the case for the clear media, a reduction in predictive error was achieved by combining the intensity and polarization signals. Figure 7 plots the percent error for the Stokes parameters $I(\lambda)$, $Q(\lambda)$, $U(\lambda)$, and $V(\lambda)$, plus the orientation of the polarization $\gamma(\lambda)$ calculated using Eq. (5), with each regressed individually. In contrast to Fig. 5, the results for the parameter $V(\lambda)$ are now shown, since scattering produced some transfer of linear-to-circular polarization [giving a nonzero value of $V(\lambda)$] and therefore some information is now contained in $V(\lambda)$. As expected, when using single information channels, regression using $I(\lambda)$ produced the lowest predictive error. The other Stokes parameters and $\gamma(\lambda)$ suffered (to various extents) in predictive ability as a result of the depolarizing effects of multiple scattering. This depolarizing reduced the values of the Stokes parameters, leading to large corruption, relative to $I(\lambda)$, by the added simulated noise. The fraction of retained polarization for the incident fully linear (horizontally) polarized light was approximately 50% after propagating through the media. As a result, the values of the Stokes parameters $Q(\lambda)$, $U(\lambda)$, and $V(\lambda)$ were significantly reduced. Because the incident light was horizontally polarized, $Q(\lambda)$ yielded much lower predictive errors than either $U(\lambda)$, $V(\lambda)$, or $\gamma(\lambda)$, although the percent error was still higher than that for $I(\lambda)$ due to depolarization.

The predictive errors for the combined regression methods are shown in Figs. 8 and 9, along with the results for the regression of $I(\lambda)$ alone for comparison (from Fig. 6). In addition to the combinations of the Stokes vectors, the orienta-
ation of the polarization vector $\gamma(\lambda)$ as calculated by Eq. (5) was also combined and regressed with $I(\lambda)$ using U-PLS and MB-PLS. All the combined methods with Stokes parameters improved the predictive power; however, the improvement was more modest than that for clear media calculations. In addition, little difference was observed between the percent errors for U-PLS and MB-PLS, as was seen for the clear media, with the overall reduction in error being approximately 8% for all combined Stokes parameter prediction methods. Also, the combination of $I(\lambda)$ and $\gamma(\lambda)$ through U-PLS or MB-PLS produced little or no improvement. In fact, the predictions when using U-PLS shown in Fig. 8 overlapped with predictions when using $I(\lambda)$ alone. This is likely due to an increase in noise when calculating $\gamma(\lambda)$ through Eq. (5), which led to a decrease in predictive power [i.e., the effective error on $\gamma(\lambda)$ was approximately two times that for the other parameters]. As previously discussed, the lower improvements are due to the depolarizing effects of multiple scattering and the subsequent reduction in the Stokes parameters $Q(\lambda)$, $U(\lambda)$, and $V(\lambda)$. However, even with the lower improvement for the combined approaches in scattering media, the results still demonstrate the potential for the method. A significant improvement in prediction is still achieved with the combination of intensity and polarization information from multiply scattering media. Future work will further investigate the influence of scattering in an effort to determine at what level of scattering an improvement is still realized. Since this rather large reduction in improvement has already been observed from clear media to a scattering coefficient of 60 cm$^{-1}$, it would seem unlikely that predictions with more realistic tissue-scattering values ($\sim 100$ cm$^{-1}$) would exhibit significant improvement. However, this is yet to be determined, and smoothing or other preprocessing steps could be used to reduce the signal noise. The present results demonstrate the potential for the methodology in clear or moderately scattering biological fluids such as blood plasma, or extra-cellular fluid and tissues such as the aqueous humor of the eye.

Four additional areas have been identified for further investigation and will be explored in future work. First, the effects of refractive index matching due to variations in constituents will be added to the model to investigate how these effects influence the predictive abilities of the regression techniques. The changes in the refractive index and resulting changes in the scattering properties of the media will influence both the intensity and polarization of the light propagating through the media and may add some additional sensitivity to the constituent concentration changes. It is possible that this may improve the predictive power of this methodology.

Second, the influence of other common biological optical effects such as linear birefringence on the abilities of the regression methods will also be investigated. Linear birefringence has the effect of transferring the polarization state from linear to circular or vice versa, and will interfere with the effects of optical activity. The extent to which this influences the abilities of the methodology will be investigated by employing a method of polar Mueller matrix decomposition to decouple the two effects. This will be done in both clear and scattering media with increasing scattering coefficients. Third, the effect of detection geometry and wavelength range will also be investigated to determine the optimal experimen-

tal parameters for the measurement of intensity and polarization signals. This will involve both simulations and experimental work. Fourth, experimental testing must be completed to validate the combining methodology, because the current results are for simulated measurements only. This will involve the design, construction, and testing of a combined spectral intensity and polarimetry system suitable for experimental measurements.

5 Conclusions

We have simulated and analyzed a combined intensity and polarization method for analyte concentration determination in clear and scattering biological-like media with glucose as the analyte of interest. The determination of glucose concentrations in biological media is a crucially important unsolved problem in clinical medicine, because a tremendous need exists for a noninvasive method that diabetics can use to monitor their blood glucose levels. The methodology was tested using a simulated blood plasma model for both clear and scattering media. The spectral intensity and polarization data generated through this model were used to build and test predictive chemometric models that combined the two data sets. The results showed that the combination of these two modalities improved the predictive ability over the use of intensity or polarization data alone, for both simulated clear and scattering media, thus demonstrating potential for the methodology. This improvement is reduced in scattering media due to light depolarization. Thus, the applicability of these methods may be limited in higher scattering media; however, further study is required to investigate this. In addition, the effects of refractive index matching, tissue birefringence, detection geometry, and wavelength range, which have not been included in the current model, require further study. The development of a suitable combined intensity and polarization system and experimental testing of the methodology is also required for validation. The applicability of this methodology is not limited to glucose sensing, because other analytes of interest could be targeted. The methodology is also not limited to biological media, since nonbiological applications for concentration determination of absorbing optically active molecules exist—for example, in remote sensing scenarios. To our knowledge, this is the first demonstration of a combined spectral intensity and polarization methodology for analyte concentration determination.
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