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1 Introduction

The technique of adaptive optics (AO) is used in astronomy to improve the performance of optical/near-infrared (NIR) telescopes by compensating for the effects of wavefront distortions, which are introduced when light from a distant astronomical source passes through the turbulent atmosphere of Earth.

As per record, it was Babcock who first proposed the concept of AO in the year 1953, and about two decades later, with the advent of computers, the first “real-time wavefront correction system” was proposed.

The past few decades have witnessed the commissioning of several large telescopes, and we are now talking of extremely large telescopes, e.g., the Thirty Meter Telescope, the Giant Magellan Telescope, and the Extremely Large Telescope. The atmospheric turbulence seriously limits their performance, limiting their angular resolution to \( \approx 4 \lambda / r_0 \), where \( r_0 \) is the Fried parameter, e.g., which quantifies the coherence length scale of the atmosphere. The sensitivity of the telescope for point sources fainter than the sky background also grows only as the square of the telescope diameter unlike as the fourth power of the diameter as in a turbulence-free/compensated environment.

AO has great potential in upgrading the performance of moderate-sized telescopes. Several moderate-sized telescopes in the range 1- to 3-m diameter apertures have been upgraded with AO facilities, e.g., at Mount Wilson, Lick Observatory, and very recently with the Robo-AO on P60 telescope at Palomar Observatory and the 2-m telescope at Kitt Peak National Observatory.

2 System Description

The iRobo-AO comprises two main subsystems: (a) the LGSF mounted at the side of the telescope and (b) the Cassegrain AO facility mounted at the Cassegrain focus of the telescope.

2.1 Laser Guide Star Facility

Fine sampling of the wavefront by the wavefront sensor (WFS) is required for optimal correction by the AO system, and this requires a good amount of photons to be incident across its working aperture. The need for a bright guide star close to the target star is therefore imperative.

Owing to the lack of sufficiently bright natural guide stars (NGSs) close enough to every target in the sky, AO systems...
cannot function in all directions of the sky. This poses a serious limitation to AO corrections. To overcome this limitation, Foy and Labeyrie suggested an alternative technique, the creation of an artificial guide star in the sky using a laser; the LGS remains within the isoplanatic angle and in spite of some cone effect limitations it increases the sky coverage, thereby overcoming the limitation set by the unavailability of bright NGS.

iRobo-AO uses the Rayleigh backscattered light from an ultraviolet (UV) LGS for wavefront correction. The brightness of the Rayleigh scattered guide stars depends on the density of the air molecules present at a given altitude and it falls exponentially with altitude. iRobo-AO LGSF is optimized for a moderate height of 10 km.

The laser projector is made of a UV laser, an external shutter, one fast steering mirror (FSM), a fold mirror (FM), one convex lens, and planoconvex projector lens. Each component of the laser projector system (Fig. 1) is briefly explained below. The specification of all the optics is given in Table 1.

The core of the laser projector system is a Q-switched UV laser (10 W at 355 nm, with a pulse width of 33 ns and 10-kHz repetition rate) mounted in an enclosed projector assembly. A separate chiller is used to circulate cold water through the laser so that the laser diode temperature is maintained at an optimum level.

As the laser is of type class IV, an additional external dielectric laser shutter is used to facilitate emergency shutdown irrespective of the internal laser shutter.

Flexures and alignment errors of the LGSF could lead to an error in pointing of the LGS in the sky. The FSM is used to compensate for any mechanical pointing error so that all the subapertures on the primary mirror are adequately illuminated. The FSM has a laser line UV-coated mirror at 350 nm mounted on it with a maximum mechanical stroke of ±26 mrad, which corresponds to ±1.89′ in the sky.

![Fig. 1 Optical layout of the laser projector.](image1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of optics</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FSM, FM</td>
<td>Diameter: 25 mm, material: fused silica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Convex lens (off the shelf)</td>
<td>ROC: ±14.4 mm, center thickness: 5.9 mm; material: fused silica; diameter: 12.7 mm; focal length: 15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Projector lens (custom-made)</td>
<td>Planoconvex ROC: 727.39 mm, center thickness: 35 mm material: corning HPFS grade 1A (C79-80), diameter: 165 mm, conic constant: −0.58759, focal length: 1.527 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ROC: Radius of curvature.

A UV-coated convex lens mounted on a linear actuator expands the laser beam to fill the entire projector lens. The stage is computer-controlled and can be used to focus the LGS.

A laser line mirror coated at 350 nm is then used to fold the beam toward the projector lens. The folded geometry using the FSM and the FM makes the laser projector very compact.

The main projector lens is a planoconvex lens of diameter 165 mm and is custom-made from UV-grade fused silica; this lens is slightly aspheric and it focuses the output laser beam at a suitable height of about 10 to 12 km.

The complete assembly of the laser projector is shown in Fig. 2.

### 2.1.1 Periscope

The laser projector is mounted on the side of the telescope, as shown in Fig. 3. The emanating beam bends twice near the top of the telescope so that the beam is launched into the sky from the back of the secondary mirror. The periscope system consists of two custom-made 250-mm diameter laser line-coated mirrors. One of the mirrors is mounted on the upper rim of the telescope just above the laser projector, which reflects the laser light from the projector toward the second mirror mounted behind the cover at the back of the secondary mirror. With the help of the periscope the laser beam can be fired axially with respect to the telescope. This is advantageous as explained in the next section. Figure 4 shows the laser beam being test-fired from IUCAA Girawali Observatory (IGO)—the photograph was taken with a U-filter mounted in front of a modified digital single-lens reflex camera.

![Fig. 2 Laser projector assembly (length: 1.5 m, width: 0.37 m, height: 0.2 m, and weight: 65 kg).](image2)

![Fig. 3 Laser projector mounted on telescope.](image3)
2.2 Viewing Geometry Dependence of Laser Beacons

The shape of the laser spot will not be identical in all the subapertures for large telescopes because of the viewing geometry. As the subapertures move away from the projection axis, the spots appear more elongated. This situation has been discussed at length by several authors, e.g., Refs. 4, and 14–16.

Here, we compare the two possibilities of laser projection geometries—projected from behind the secondary and from the side of the telescope; irrespective of the projection geometry, some of the spots will appear elongated. A simulation was done to determine the radial elongation of the laser spots across various subapertures because of the viewing geometry, under the two cases.

2.2.1 Simulation

The first requirement in doing so is to estimate the approximate number of backscattered photons from the LGS per subaperture \( n_p \) reaching the WFS. This was estimated following Hardy and using the Lidar relation as in Eq. (1), which was originally given by Gardner et al. 1

All the parameters used are given in Table 2 with their values and units. Here, \( \sigma_B N(z) \) is the effective backscatter cross section and \( N(z) \) is the number density of scatterers at range \( z \). Note that, \( \sigma_B N(z) \) for various \( z \) and wavelengths are presented in a tabular format in Hardy and typically varies from 100 to 400 m.

\[
n_p = \left( \frac{\lambda_B}{hc} \right) T_A \frac{\sigma_B N(z)}{4\pi} \left( \frac{\Delta z}{z} \right) (ETLd^2T_0).
\]

Using Eq. (1) and Table 2 we get 34,639 photons/pulse/subaperture, and considering 1 ms exposure we get about \( \approx 346 \) photons per subaperture.

Figure 5 shows the viewing geometry of the laser beacon. It can be seen that the elongation will progress in a radial direction from the projection axis. Considering an ideal situation where there is no elongation, we find from Zemax Optical Design Software that the spot size diameter of a single lenslet of the lenslet array-Shack–Hartmann wavefront sensor (SHWFS) (refer WFS in Sec. 2.3) image on the WFS camera to be 36 μm using Zemax FFT PSF cross-section subroutine. Assuming the spot to be symmetric and Gaussian in nature, we equate this to \( 6\sigma_{org} \) (i.e., \( \pm 3\sigma_{org} \) range) cutoff, where \( \sigma_{org} \) is the standard deviation of the spot size without any elongation. For a 24-μm pixel size of the camera, we obtain

\[
\sigma_{org} = 0.25 \text{ pixel.}
\]

The angular size of the elongation (Fig. 5), \( \beta_{elo} \), was estimated by Ref. 22 to be

\[
\beta_{elo} \approx \left( \frac{\Delta z \times L}{z^2} \right) \left( \frac{206265 \times P_s}{24} \right) \text{ pixel,}
\]

where \( P_s \), the plate scale on the WFS camera, is 32.4 μm/" and \( L \) is the distance of the subapertures (on the primary) from the laser projection axis.

---

**Table 2** Parameter and their values for estimating \( n_p \).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Laser beacon wavelength, ( \lambda_B )</td>
<td>0.355 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One-way transmission of atmosphere</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>between telescope and beacon (at 10 km and 45 deg), ( T_A )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rayleigh backscatter parameter, ( \sigma_B N(z) )</td>
<td>27.2 photons per million meters</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Received range gate length, ( \Delta z )</td>
<td>400 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range to the center of the range gate, ( z )</td>
<td>10 km</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laser power</td>
<td>10 W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse repetition rate</td>
<td>( 10^4 ) Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulse energy, ( E )</td>
<td>( 10^{-3} ) J</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission of laser path to projection aperture, ( T_L )</td>
<td>0.8855</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subaperture size at telescope entrance pupil, ( d )</td>
<td>0.181 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transmission of laser path from primary mirror to WFS, ( T_0 )</td>
<td>0.6025</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The product of the two parameters \( \sigma_B N(z) \) was obtained from Hardy.*

---

Fig. 4 LGSF test-fired from IGO.

Fig. 5 LGSF elongation at a distance \( L \) from the laser projection axis.
the laser launch point; the maximum value of \( L \) for off-axis and on axis projections are 2 and 1 m, respectively, in our case.

The standard deviation of this elongated spot, \( \sigma \), again considering a Gaussian distribution [6 STD (i.e., \( \pm 3 \) STD) range] can be approximated to one-sixth of \( \beta_{elo} \) and the effective standard deviation of the elongated laser spot along the direction of elongation is given as

\[
\sigma_{elo} = \sqrt{\sigma^2 + \sigma_{org}^2} \text{ pixel.} \tag{4}
\]

The angular variation of the elongated spot at various subapertures can be estimated as follows. We define the laser projection coordinate as \((x_{\text{center}}, y_{\text{center}})\) with respect to the subaperture coordinate \((x, y)\). The rotation angle of the spot is defined as

\[
\gamma = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{y - y_{\text{center}}}{x - x_{\text{center}}} \right). \tag{5}
\]

The covariance matrix for a two-dimensional Gaussian distribution, whose major and minor axes are axially aligned to the frame of reference, can be written as

\[
\text{COV}_{\text{matrix}} = \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_{elo}^2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{org}^2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

When the spot is rotated by \( \gamma \), the general covariance matrix can be rewritten as

\[
\text{COV}_{\text{gen}} = R(\gamma) \text{COV}_{\text{matrix}} R(\gamma)^T, \tag{6}
\]

\[
\text{COV}_{\text{gen}} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \tag{7}
\]

where \( R(\gamma) \) is the rotator matrix

\[
R(\gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\gamma) & -\sin(\gamma) \\ \sin(\gamma) & \cos(\gamma) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{8}
\]

and the covariance matrix elements are

\[
a = \sigma_{elo}^2 \cos^2 \gamma + \sigma_{org}^2 \sin^2 \gamma
\]

\[
b = (\sigma_{elo}^2 - \sigma_{org}^2) \sin \gamma \cos \gamma
\]

\[
c = b
\]

\[
d = \sigma_{elo}^2 \sin^2 \gamma + \sigma_{org}^2 \cos^2 \gamma.
\]

Using the Python random number generator routine “numpy.random.multivariate_normal(mn, COV, n)" [this Python routine draws \( n \) number of random samples from a multivariate normal distribution centered at “\( \mu \)" and having generalized variance ("COV") with respect to an arbitrary axis] and Eq. (7), \( n \) photons are randomly distributed within each subaperture where the mean position of the distribution is the subaperture center. This was done for both the coaxial (\( 0 \leq L \leq 1, \ 0 \leq \gamma \leq 2\pi \)) and the side (\( 0 \leq L \leq 2, \ 0 \leq \gamma \leq \pi \)) projection geometries.

The simulated results are presented in Figs. 6 and 7 where the red spot represents the laser projection axis and the square array represents a total of 11 \times 11 subapertures. It can be seen that as we move outward from the point of projection the spots elongate radially and more so for the side projection geometry; the maximum elongation for coaxial and side projections was estimated to be \( \sim 1.2'' \) and \( \sim 2'' \) for our case. As discussed by Hardy (1998), \( 1^\circ \) elongation of laser spots is a significant contributor to WFS measurement errors and also errors due to angular isoplanatism. In the iRobo-AO system, these errors for a side projection geometry will be \( \approx 1.67 \) times more than that for on-axis projection. Hence we have opted for on-axis laser projection.

2.2.2 Focusing mechanism

It is extremely important for the LGS to be well focused in the sky. To achieve this, the biconvex lens mounted on a high-precision linear actuator in the laser projector is moved along the laser projector optical axis. Figure 8 shows the difference of the beam diameter across 22-m separation between the projector.
lens and the screen for various actuator positions; the difference is minimum for an actuator position of \( \approx 6 \text{ mm} \).

The seeing limited spot width of the LGS at 10 km will be around 1.629'' at 0.355-\( \mu \text{m} \) wavelength. Cumulative effect in the spot size is generated by the quadrature addition of the pure blurring of the LGS due to the defocusing and the seeing limited spot. An LGS width of up to \( \approx 2' \) (half of the subaperture at CCD39 WFS camera, Sec. 2.3—wavefront sensor) is within the acceptable range. The cumulative spot diameter of the LGS at 10 km due to the translation of the convex lens from the optimal position by the precession actuator is given in Fig. 9. The actuator has a stepping resolution of 0.10 \( \mu \text{m} \), which is more than adequate to focus the LGS within the required spot size at 10 km.

![Fig. 8 Beam diameter change across 22 m for various actuator positions.](image1)

![Fig. 9 Cumulative effect on the LGS blurring considering both seeing and effects of various actuator positions as per Zemax.](image2)

2.3 Cassegrain AO Facility

The Cassegrain facility primarily consists of three arms: two science arms working in the visible and infrared (IR) and a wavefront sensing UV arm. The entire optical relay system is shown in Fig. 10. It mainly consists of five off-axis parabolic (OAP) mirrors, a deformable mirror (DM), a tip-tilt correcting mirror (TTM), a pair of rotating prisms used as atmospheric dispersion corrector (ADC), and two dichroic filters to split up the light into various bands. Apart from these, it also has an electron multiplying charged-couple device (EMCCD) camera, a fast readout UV-sensitive wavefront sensing CCD39 camera and an infrared camera (IR cam), which is indigenously developed at IUCAA (details of the IR cam will be presented in our forthcoming paper). The dimension of the entire Cassegrain box is about 1 m \( \times 0.8 \text{ m} \times 0.2 \text{ m} \).

A brief introduction of all the elements that are assembled in the Cassegrain facility are given here with specifications of the optics in Table 3.

Telescope simulator. An internal telescope optics simulator is also an integral part of iRobo-AO. The entire optical alignment of iRobo-AO has been done using the simulator. It has the capability of simulating two foci—one for a UV source at a finite height of say 10 km above the telescope and second for a source at infinity in the visible band. In both cases, the \( f/ \) number is matched with that of the telescope beam. FM1 mounted on a linear stage is used to direct the light from the telescope into the main AO system. Figure 11 shows the optical layout of telescope simulator, it can be seen that the UV (LGS) focus and the visible (target) focus are separated by 40 mm with \( f/10 \) beam as in the case with telescope optics.

OAP mirrors. iRobo-AO uses five custom-made OAP mirrors to relay light from the telescope focus to the various components of the visible, IR, and UV arms. They also help in reimaging the telescope entrance pupil on the DM, the ADC, and the WFS.

Deformable mirror. The entrance pupil of the telescope is first reimaged on the DM surface across its clear working aperture of 4.4 mm. The atmospheric turbulence demands a stroke size of [Eq. (5)]\(^23\)

\[
\delta = \frac{3\lambda}{2\pi} \sqrt{\left(\frac{D_{\text{pupil}}}{r_0}\right)^{\frac{5}{6}}},
\]

where \( l = 1.03 \) if the DM compensates for the tip-tilt plus higher-order aberrations and is equal to 0.134, if tip-tilt is separately accounted for and removed, say using the TTM. Here, \( D_{\text{pupil}} \) is the telescope entrance pupil diameter. It can be seen from the equation that as \( r_0 \) varies as \( \lambda^{5/6} \), the DM stroke is wavelength-independent.

At IGO for \( r_0 \approx 7.6 \text{ cm} \) at \( \lambda = 0.550 \mu\text{m} \), the net stroke required for the complete aberration correction is \( \approx 4 \mu\text{m} \) and \( \approx 1.5 \mu\text{m} \) with separate tip-tilt correction. The Boston Micromachines’ MEMS-based DM with a maximum stroke size of 3.5 \( \mu\text{m} \), clear aperture of 4.4 mm, and a total of 140 working actuators was selected for iRobo-AO to handle the higher-order corrections only.

Dichroic filters. A set of two dichroic filters are used to split the input beam into the UV, visible, and IR arms (more details are given in Sec. 2.3.1).

Filters. Two motorized filter wheels each with six slots are mounted in front of the EMCCD science camera. A set of Bessell U, B, V, R, and I filters are mounted in the slots. The rest of the slots will be populated as per future requirements.

Atmospheric dispersion corrector. The atmospheric dispersion also imparts serious effects, especially when observing objects at large zenith angles. In such cases, the images of the objects generally tend to elongate due to dispersion. The ADC performance is discussed at length in Sec. 2.3.2.
**Table 3** Specification of iRobo-AO Cassegrain optics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of optics</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| FM1 (elliptical) | Major and minor diameters: 35.3 and 20 mm  
Thickness: 2 mm, material: fused silica (UV grade) |
| OAP1<sup>a</sup> | OAD:<sup>b</sup> 23.556 mm, Parent ROC:<sup>c</sup> 78.056 mm, material: Zerodur |
| DM (MEMS-based) | Aperture: 4.4 × 4.4 mm<sup>2</sup>, continuous surface  
Stroke: 3.5 μm, Actuators: 140 |
| UV arm optics | |
| Laser dichroic | Diameter: 22 mm, thickness: 2 mm, reflects 355 nm, and transmits 390 to 2500 nm |
| Lens | Surface1, ROC: 141.669 mm (convex)  
Surface2, ROC: 80.1968 mm (convex)  
Center thickness: 5 mm, diameter: 19 mm  
Material: fused silica (UV grade) |
| FM4,5 | Diameter: 25.4 mm, material: fused silica (UV grade) |
| OAP5 | OAD: 68.812 mm, parent ROC: 303.086 mm, material: Zerodur |
| Retarder | Retardation: λ/2 at 355 nm, Size: 10 × 10 × 1.6 mm<sup>3</sup>  
Material: quartz |

---

**Table 3 (Continued).**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of optics</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pockels cell</td>
<td>BBO Pockel cell at 355 nm, aperture: 6 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| SHWFS | Planoconvex, focal length: 76.6 mm @ 633 nm  
Lenses array: 11 × 11, each lenslet size: 0.5 × 0.5 × 1 mm<sup>3</sup> |
| Analyzer | Polarizing cube beam splitter, 15 × 15 × 15 mm<sup>3</sup>  
Material: fused silica (UV grade) |
| Relay lens1 | Surface1, plane, surface2, ROC: 38.6 mm (convex)  
Center thickness: 2 mm, diameter: 15 mm  
Material: fused silica (UV grade) |
| Relay lens2 | Surface1,2 ROC: ±21.5 mm (convex), center thickness: 3.1 mm  
Material: fused silica (UV grade), diameter: 15 mm |
| Visible and IR arms optics | |
| OAP2 | OAD: 62.648 mm, parent ROC: 118.919 mm, material: Zerodur |
| OAP3 | OAD: 57.595 mm, parent ROC: 326.64 mm, material: Zerodur |
| OAP4 | OAD: 304.468 mm, parent ROC: 730.583 mm, material: Zerodur |

---

Fig. 10 iRobo-AO optical layout.
Table 3 (Continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of optics</th>
<th>Specification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FM2,TTM</td>
<td>Diameter: 30 mm, material: Zerodur</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC</td>
<td>IGO specific design (Fig. 15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Science dichroic</td>
<td>Diameter: 76 mm, thickness: 10 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Reflects visible (390 to 900 nm) and Transmits NIR spectrum (900 to 2500 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM3</td>
<td>Diameter: 101.6 mm, thickness: 19.1 mm, material: fused silica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Coating: protected gold (700 to 10,000 nm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visible filters</td>
<td>Bessell U, B, V, R, and I, diameter: 25 mm, thickness: 5 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*OAP: Off-axis parabolic mirror.
OAD: Off-axis distance.
ROC: Radius of curvature.

Tip-tilt mirror. Tip-tilt correction is the lowest-order AO correction, only when \( r_0 \approx D_{\text{pupil}} \) for \( D_{\text{pupil}} > r_0 \), there is lesser power in the tip-tilt. The presence of a NGS (can be fainter than the LGS), however, is a must for tip-tilt correction. LGSs cannot be used for this purpose because, after the two-way travel of laser lights through the atmosphere, the tip-tilt information is lost. The overall one-axis tilt variance is given as

\[
\alpha_{\text{sin}}^2 = 0.184 \left( \frac{\lambda}{D_{\text{pupil}}} \right)^2 \left( \frac{D_{\text{pupil}}}{r_0} \right)^2 \text{rad}^2. \tag{10}
\]

The net angular tilt considering ±3 sigma variation will be \( \approx 11 \mu\text{rad} \) for IGO parameters; this is the overall tilt of the wavefront in one axis at the primary mirror. With an angular magnification of the beam at the TTM of 95%, the overall atmospheric tilt after magnification at TTM will be \( \approx 1 \text{ mrad} \). Hence the chosen piezo tip-tilt platform with a maximum stroke of 2 mrad is sufficient for our purpose.

Both the ADC and TTM are common to the visible and IR arms. It needs to be mentioned here that although a TTM is an integral part of iRobo-AO, it can be used to its full capacity only after the IR camera is commissioned. Either the EMCCD visible camera or the IR camera can be used for tip-tilt correction depending on the science arm we choose. It is controlled by detecting the motion of the center of the gravity of fast readout images by either visible or IR camera. Alternatively, lucky imaging technique can be used for tip-tilt removal.

EMCCD visible science camera. iRobo-AO uses a 1 K × 1 K EMCCD (Andor iXon3 888) with 13-μm pixel size as the science camera in the visible arm (0.4 to 0.9 μm). The camera can function both in single and in rapid frame modes with different predefined EM gain. There are a total of 16 preset modes in which the camera can operate and this also includes tip-tilt mode. The fast readout of the camera (∼9 frames per second) enables tip-tilt correction even without the IR camera. The data are stored in fits cube format and is processed with a shift and add lucky imaging. The scale and the field of view of the FOV are 2.25″/mm and ±15°.

Range gate. The beam from the laser projector is continuously Rayleigh scattered as it propagates upward through the atmosphere. To pick up the scattered light only from within the Rayleigh depth and to stop the stray scattered light from reaching the WFS, a range gating system is installed. The gating works by opening a high-speed electro-optical shutter based on Pockels effect for a specific time such that only the scattered light from the Rayleigh depth reaches the WFS. More details are given in Sec. 2.3.3. The gating system is coupled with a delay generator (DG) to account for the return time of the pulse and can be individually programmed for delay and pulse width adjustments.

Wavefront sensor. iRobo-AO uses a Shack–Hartmann lenslet array for a WFS, which is made of a rectangular array of 11 × 11 planoconvex lenslets, each with a focal length of 76.6 mm and pitch of 0.5 mm. It is placed immediately after the Pockels cell. The wavefront sensing camera has an e2v CCD39 chip, which has 80 × 80 pixels each of size 24 μm. There are four amplifiers at four corners of the CCD39, which can be read simultaneously for fast readout. More details on the wavefront sensing structure of the CCD39 camera are presented in Sec. 3. The plate scale and the FOV/subaperture are 0.675″/pixel and 4″.

2.3.1 Optical relay

As shown in Fig. 10, the incoming light from the telescope is first directed perpendicular to the telescope axis with the help of an FM1 and the telescope entrance pupil is first reimaged on the DM with OAP1. After reflection from the DM and with the help of a dichroic filter (UV dichroic), all the UV light is reflected toward the wavefront sensing arm. The transmitted visible and IR beam after reflection from OAP2 and OAP3 passes through the ADC and is guided by the TTM to OAP4. Finally with the help of another dichroic filter (science dichroic) the visible light is passed to the EMCCD and the IR light to the IR camera.

In the UV arm, with the help of a lens and OAP5, the pupil is again reimaged on the SHWFS after passing through the range gating system. The range gating system is mounted between two crossed polarizing beam splitters (PBSs). A rotating half waveplate retarder at the entrance of the first PBS keeps the polarization axis of the LGS light fixed with respect to the axis of the
polarization components following it. The range gating system is discussed in detail in Sec. 2.3.3. Finally, the image after the SHWFS is relayed to the CCD39 with a pair of relay lenses. Figure 10 shows the complete optical relay, and the complete mechanical assembly of iRobo-AO is shown in Fig. 12.

**Optical performance.** With a $f/45.42$ beam and a plate scale $2.25''/\text{mm}$ at the input of the EMCCD, a theoretical estimate of the FWHM at $\lambda = 0.65 \mu m$ is $\sim 30.4 \mu m$ or 2.34 pixels, which satisfies the Nyquist criteria. Figure 13 shows the polychromatic spot diagram on the EMCCD for a total FOV of $\pm 15''$. The variation of the RMS spot size at EMCCD as a function of field position is given in Fig. 14.

In the UV arm, the most important parameter other than the pupil diameter on the SHWFS is the wavefront error and the...
degree of collimation of the beam. After several rounds of iteration of the lens (after UV dichroic) and OAP5 parameters, we obtain a 0.5” collimated beam with a tilt removed P–V and RMS wavefront error of 0.04λ and 0.0072λ at 0.355 μm.

2.3.2 Atmospheric dispersion corrector

Apart from astronomical seeing, the other deleterious effect of the Earth’s atmosphere on the light that passes through it is caused by atmospheric dispersion. Atmospheric dispersion smears out the light into different wavelengths due to differential refraction as light passes through the atmosphere. Atmospheric dispersion is a cumulative effect of pressure, temperature, humidity, and location of the observatory, but the altitude of the object has the maximum effect on dispersion; the lower the object (farther away from zenith), the more is the dispersion, as light passes through a thicker layer of the atmosphere.

The net effect of dispersion is elongation of the object’s image on the camera, leading to a loss of resolution thereby defeating the purpose of AO. ADCs, therefore, play a very important role in AO systems.

Among the many types of ADC designs, the rotating double Amici prism design is most widely used in astronomy.29,30 As shown in Fig. 16, it consists of two identical prism assemblies, each capable of rotating independently about the optic axis. Each of our prism assemblies consists of cemented wedge-shaped glass plates (Fig. 15), made of N-FK51A, N-LASF31A, and N-FK51A in sequence. These glasses have good transmission over the working wavelength range. For maximum, intermediate, and minimum dispersions, the orientation of the prisms are shown in Fig. 16. The beam diameter at ADC is ≈10 mm. To minimize the reflection losses, the exposed surfaces were antireflection-coated. The optical design of the ADC was done using Zemax, and to take atmospheric parameters into account we used the Zemax subroutine “Atmospheric” (this subroutine simulates the effects of the refraction through the Earth’s atmosphere when viewing a point source or a star). It is designed to work across the wavelength range from 0.4 to 2.2 μm.

The performance of the design over the entire working wavelength range is shown in Fig. 17. The polychromatic RMS spot size is well within the Airy disk radius of 12 μm (defined at an intermediate wavelength of 1 μm) for various zenith angles (z) of the object. Similar behavior is also obtained in the individual B, V, R, I, J, H, and K bands. From the figure, we also infer that at z ≈ 65 deg (maximum dispersion case), the prisms get aligned with prism angle (θ) ~0 deg and the dispersion of
individual prisms adds up in a manner nullifying the atmospheric dispersion.

A plot for the deviation of monochromatic spot position from the reference position (i.e., the position of the primary wavelength at 1 μm) versus wavelength at the optimal prism angle for various zenith angles are given in Fig. 18. All spots sizes are well within the Airy disk.

The analysis was done with the IGO specific parameters for observatory height, pressure, humidity, and latitude of 1005 m, 993 mbar, 50%, and 19.0883°N, and three different temperatures.

Relation between prism angle and dispersion. The atmospheric angular dispersion between wavelengths λ max and λ min at a particular location and object position can be defined as

\[ D_{atm} = \xi_{\lambda_{\text{max}}} - \xi_{\lambda_{\text{min}}}, \]  

where \( \xi_{\lambda} \) is the net bending of light from upper atmosphere to the observer at \( \lambda \). Assuming \( p \) to be the dispersion of individual prisms, which are rotated by ±θ (one prism rotates by +θ and the other rotates by −θ and the differential angle between the two prisms is 2θ) from a nominal position, it can be shown using vector addition equation\(^3\) that the dispersion of the ADC can be given as

\[ D_{adc} = 2p \cos(\theta). \]  

This expression can be inverted to estimate the prism rotation angle \( \theta \) for a particular \( D \) as

\[ \theta = \cos^{-1}\left( \frac{D_{adc}}{2p} \right). \]  

The analytical method of estimating \( D_{atm} \) is discussed in Sec. 2.3.2. To nullify the atmospheric dispersion, \( D_{adc} \) must be equal to \( D_{atm} \) but opposite in sign.

Laboratory performance of ADC. To check laboratory performance of the ADC, dispersion data points were obtained experimentally and with Zemax between wavelengths 0.355 and 0.556 μm by rotating the prisms from 0 deg to 90 deg. Atmospheric effects were not considered here. In Fig. 19, the dispersion of the ADC at various prism angles obtained from Zemax (Zemax Data) are superposed with dispersion data obtained from Eq. 12 (model, Zemax data) with \( p \sim 1.9039^\circ \), where the dispersion of individual prism was again estimated from Zemax. To fit the laboratory data, a dispersion equation in more general form was written as

\[ D_{adc} = dc + 2p_{\text{exp}} \cos(\theta + \phi). \]  

where the term \( dc \) and epoch \( \phi \) were introduced in Eq. (14). This model was fitted (model, exp. data) to the laboratory data (experimental data) from which we obtain \( p_{\text{exp}} \sim 1.54854^\circ \pm 0.05733^\circ, \phi \sim 0.557698 \text{ deg} \pm 2.525664 \text{ deg}, \text{ and } dc \sim 0.936802^\circ \pm 0.155637^\circ. \)

Difference between the experimental data and the Zemax prediction, as seen from Fig. 19, can be attributed to alignment errors, minor difference in refractive indices of the melt glasses with that of the indices of Zemax glass catalog, and due to minor fabrication error particularly in the wedge angles of the prisms.

Estimation of atmospheric dispersion: We discuss two cases here.

**Fixed atmospheric parameters.** Following Smart and Green,\(^3\) we assume an atmospheric dispersion model as

\[ D_{atm} \propto \tan(z). \]  

Equating Eq. (15) to Eq. (12), it can be shown that

\[ \frac{\cos(\theta)}{\tan(z)} = k, \]  

where \( k \) is the proportionality constant. Rearranging,

\[ \theta = \cos^{-1}[k \tan(z)]. \]  

Using the optimized values of \( \theta \) for various \( z \) from Fig. 17 in Eq. (16), the mean value of \( k \) was estimated to be 0.466 for \( T = 280 \text{ K} \). Figure 20 shows a good match between Eq. (17) and the data points of Fig. 17. Similar behavior is noticed at other temperatures but with different values of \( k \). As the value of \( k \) changes with varying temperature throughout the night, this method sets serious constraints on the estimated value of \( D_{atm} \). One, therefore, needs to look at more generic methods of
estimating $D_{\text{atm}}$ using atmospheric parameters only and also take into account their real-time variation.

**Varying atmospheric parameters.** Here, we estimate $D_{\text{atm}}$ following the atmospheric model proposed by Sinclair and Hohenkerk et al. All the atmospheric and object parameters are stored in a file, which can be updated at regular intervals and called by the computer program that calculates the required prism rotation angle. The program can also accommodate all observatory-related parameters, thus making it versatile enough to be used at any observatory. Computing $D_{\text{atm}}$ for a set of atmospheric parameters (as in Sec. 2.3.2) and using Eq. (13) with $p \sim 2.266$ for the entire working wavelength range, we obtain $\theta$ for various $z$, as shown in Fig. 21. To accommodate for the slight mismatch (this mismatch is unrelated to the results of the laboratory measurements mentioned in Laboratory performance of ADC paragraph) at higher values of $z$, we slightly modified the expression for $A$ [the variable $A$ is part of the expression (Cauchy’s equation) of the refractive index of air in the troposphere] in the troposphere region with a factor 0.92257. The final expression can be written as

$$A = 0.92257 \left( \frac{287.604 + \frac{1.6288}{\lambda^2} + \frac{0.0136}{\lambda^4}}{273.15} \right) 1013.25$$

(18)

Figure 22 shows a good match between the computed values and the Zemax data points. We adopted this algorithm to drive the iRobo-AO ADC unit.

**Effect of telescope derotator.** When an altitude azimuth telescope (as in IGO) is pointed exactly toward the North (South) direction (azimuth angle 0 or $\pi$), the elevation axis moves along a line of constant RA and a change in telescope elevation produces only a change in declination. For any other azimuth orientation, there is some angular difference between a line of constant RA and the line accessed by moving the telescope in elevation; this angle is known as the parallactic angle. Thus, the parallactic angle is the angle between a line of constant azimuth and a line of constant RA. Lines of constant azimuth converge at the zenith and lines of constant RA converge at the projection of the Earth’s North Pole on the sky.

Also, when an alt-azimuth telescope tracks an object field, the image of that field rotates with time. The object field orientation on the focal plane is kept steady by moving the derotator by an angle equal to the parallactic angle. At the Cassegrain focus of an alt-azimuth telescope, the mid-angle of the two prisms has to remain fixed relative to the telescope tube, as the dispersion direction is always perpendicular to the elevation axis. As the ADC unit is located behind the derotator, the entire unit will rotate relative to the telescope tube. Prism 1 and the prism 2 are mounted on two independent rotation stages. So to keep the mid-angle of the prisms fixed relative to the dispersion axis, we have to rotate both the prisms in exactly the opposite direction as the derotator by an amount equal to the parallactic angle. This is in addition to the differential prism angles required for dispersion correction. The prism angle ($\theta$) is the theoretical angle of the prism calculated from Eq. (17). The net rotation angle of the stages of prism 1 and prism 2 would then be

$$\Omega_1 = \theta - \omega_{pa} + \text{offset}_1,$$

(19)

$$\Omega_2 = -\theta - \omega_{pa} + \text{offset}_2.$$ 

(20)
respectively, where ω_{pa} is the parallactic angle and offsets (offset_1, offset_2 of the two prisms stages) are the difference between the actual mechanical and the theoretical estimated prism angle (Ω -- θ) corresponds to, when ω_{pa} = 0, which is when the object crosses the meridian. The signs of the angles in Eqs. (19) and (20) are best estimated on-sky. A computer program estimates ω_{pa} and θ and updates Ω at a rate discussed in the next section.

**Rate of rotation.** As it is not desirable for the prisms to lag behind in time from the stipulated positions, an estimate of the optimal rotation rate needs to be done. For k = 0.466, from Eq. (17) and Smart and Green we obtain [the rate of change of hour angle is \( \frac{360}{3600} \times 0.466 = 1 + \frac{1}{365.2422} \) deg /s, neglecting higher-order terms]

\[
\frac{d\Omega_k}{dt} = \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{d\omega_{pa}}{dt},
\]

(21)

\[
\frac{d\theta}{dz} = \frac{d\theta}{dz}
\]

\[
\frac{d\theta}{dz} = -\frac{k \sec^2(z)}{\sqrt{1 - [k \tan(z)]^2}}
\]

\[
\frac{dz}{dt} = 15 \frac{3600}{1 + \frac{1}{365.2422}} \sin A \cos \phi \deg /s,
\]

(22)

and

\[
\frac{d\omega_{pa}}{dt} = -\frac{15}{3600} \left(1 + \frac{1}{365.2422}\right) \cos \phi \cos A \csc(z) \deg /s,
\]

(23)

\[
\frac{d\Omega_k}{dt} = \frac{1}{240} \left(1 + \frac{1}{365.2422}\right) \left[ -\frac{k \sec^2(z)}{\sqrt{1 - [k \tan(z)]^2}}ight]
\]

\[
\times \sin A + \cos A \csc(z) \right] \cos \phi \deg /s,
\]

(24)

where A and φ are the azimuth angle and latitude, respectively. Using Eqs. (19), (22), and (23), an estimate of the rate of rotation for one prism stage [Eq. (24)] is shown in Fig. 23 for T = 280 K, where the mean value of k is larger as compared to T = 293 K and T = 303 K. The other prism angle (Ω_z) changes in a similar fashion but with the opposite sign. It is seen that the required maximum rate of rotation is \( \sim 0.28 \) deg /s, and the default speed of the ADC rotational stages have been set to 2.0 deg /s which is much above the estimated maximum value.

The ADC moves at the set default maximum speed quickly and stops and waits about 3 s until the next update. The maximum mismatch of around 0.84 deg (= 0.28 deg x 3) below the required and the achieved positions occurs at the maximum zenith angle (z) \( \approx 65 \) deg in the 3-s interval. The RMS and geometrical spot radius changes are well within the Airy disk due to the mismatch up to \( \pm 2 \) deg prism angle (Fig. 24), which justifies the 3-s update frequency.

**2.3.3 Range gate**

At the heart of the LGS-based AO system is a 10-W Q-switched UV pulse laser with a pulse width of 33 ns and 10-kHz repetition rate. The Q-switched signal from the laser is fed to the pulse DG, which triggers the ON/OFF states of the Pockels cell electro-optical shutter. When the appropriate voltage is applied to the Pockels cell, it behaves like a half wave optical retarder in the optical path. The Pockels cell is placed between two orthogonal PBSs. When a voltage as high as 3.3 kV (for 355 nm) is applied to the Pockels cell, the shutter switches to “open” mode and the beta-BaB_2O_4 crystal of the Pockels cell rotates the plane of polarization of the input beam by 90 deg, which can pass through the orthogonal PBS. When no voltage is applied to the crystal, the light is blocked by the crossed PBS.

The shutter was first carefully aligned on an optical bench to ensure that the laser beam transits the crystal parallel to the direction of the crystal’s Z axis. A commercial shutter was first made parallel to the optical bench, and later the crystal was inserted in the optical path. Fine adjustment with precision gimbals mounted was made to ensure that the crystal axis and the laser axis are collinear, which can be determined by isogyres seen on a screen as shown in Fig. 25.
To check the behavior of the shutter when voltage is applied to it, we triggered the DG with a 500-Hz square signal from a function generator. The output ON/OFF state of the Pockels cell; the ON/OFF signals are separated by 2.3 μs (corresponding to 345 m on sky, which is the expected height of laser “spot”), whereas the time delay between the DG ON and the signal from the function generator was set to 66.67 μs (corresponding to beam return time from 10-km altitude in the sky). A high-sensitive photodiode was used to pick up the gated signal from the Pockels cell, as shown in Fig. 26.

**Cassegrain derotator effect.** As the laser projector is located at the side of the telescope that has an alt-azimuth mount system, the Cassegrain derotator causes the polarization plane of the laser beam (as seen by the Pockels cell) to change with time. However, the Pockels cell works only when the plane of polarization of the input beam is oriented in a particular direction with respect to the axes of the crystal. To ensure that this happens, an optical half wave plate retarder mounted on a rotating stage is introduced at the entrance of the range gate system. The retarder is rotated so as to align the plane of polarization of the input laser beam to its original optimal direction every 3 s. The rate of rotation of the retarder is synchronized with that of the Cassegrain derotator.

As the same controller module drives both the ADC and the retarder mount, while each has different working principles, multithreading feature was introduced in the software structure to communicate with both the modules simultaneously.

**New features.** The entire instrument is optimized for IUCAA 2-m alt-azimuth system at Cassegrain main port, whereas the first Robo-AO was designed for equatorial-mounted 1.5-m Palomar P60 telescope. In the process, all the major optical components had to be redesigned to meet the iRobo-AO requirement, as given in Table 3. The significant differences are the incorporation of retarder in front of the Pockels cell to suit our alt-azimuth telescope requirement and the generic atmospheric parameter-sensitive ADC software. Both the components ADC and retarder work in sync and are driven by the same master controller.

### 3 Wavefront Reconstruction

The DM, the SHWFS, and the WFS camera are at first aligned as per the geometry shown in Fig. 27. The output of the WFS camera is a 3 × 3 binned image of size 26 × 26 binned pixels. There are 13 × 13 subapertures on an image, out of which only 11 × 11 subapertures are within the pupil and among them only 97 subapertures are used for the AO correction. The rest are unused because they do not contribute in wavefront sensing over the circular pupil. Each subaperture consists of 2 × 2 binned camera pixels. An unbinned image of the spot array formed by the SHWFS lenslets as seen on the WFS camera is shown in Fig. 28 after complete alignment of the wavefront sensing arm.

From each new image grabbed by the WFS camera, a linear array of pixel values is formed from which the wavefront slopes are measured. The x and y slopes of the images within each subaperture are measured as

\[
S_x = \frac{(I_2 + I_4) - (I_1 + I_3)}{(I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4)},
\]

\[
S_y = \frac{(I_3 + I_4) - (I_1 + I_2)}{(I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4)},
\]

where the intensity at each pixel are numbered in a quadrant cell format as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
I_1 & I_2 \\
I_3 & I_4
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

As the response of a quadrant cell is nonlinear in nature particularly when it reaches the edges, the slopes are first linearized with the help of a precalculated table. Noncommon path errors are taken care of with the help of slope offsets, where again all the slope offsets are stored in a precalculated table. The final slopes are then multiplied by the reconstruction matrix to generate new DM actuator poke voltages as per the control law

\[
P(t + T) = P(t) + gE(t) + l\{F - [P(t) + gE(t)]\},
\]

where \(P(t + T)\) denotes the new position of the DM actuator, \(P(t)\) denotes the current position of the DM actuator, \(E(t)\)
denotes the errors after matrix multiplication, and $F$ is the flat-map values of the DM describing its flat position. Here, $g$ and $l$ are the loop gain and leak constant. For small $l$, and in the absence of any measurement error, the system has a very small tendency to fall back to the flatmap values.

### 3.1 AO Loop

Figure 29 depicts the control structure of iRobo-AO. To check the behavior of the AO system, we ran the AO loop for some time with and without loop correction for comparison. The local $X$ and $Y$ slopes of the spots within each subaperture of the binned image were estimated and stored in the slope telemetry file (Fig. 29). This was done for all the 97 subapertures and in all the image frames captured within the specific runtime.

Figure 30 shows the RMS values of a set of 97 slopes against the individual frame numbers for both the cases, when AO correction was (i) absent and (ii) present. We present the data from three test runs in Fig. 30. Table 4 represents the mean of slope RMS and the standard deviation of the data plots in the linear sections for all cases.

Case (i), panel (a) of Fig. 30 represents the RMS variation of $X$ and $Y$ slopes when there is no AO correction.
Case (iia), panel (b) of Fig. 30 represents the RMS variation of slopes when the AO loop correction was turned on. The entire time plot is segmented into two parts, the initial system transient (S1) and steady-state part (S2), as shown in Fig. 30. We can see the servo effect, i.e., oscillation at the beginning of the system (transient state) at the S1 segment; this could be due to the presence of local turbulence. The RMS value in the transient became high and drastically reduced within the first few thousand frames. Then it reaches the steady state only with very little or no effect, henceforth, resulting in a flat curve (S2 segment). As a result of the servo loop state transition, the system is trying to achieve the target value from an OFF condition through the transient. Once the system reaches the target state, it locks and does not deviate from the target value. Thus, standard deviation reduces in locking period. Comparing Figs. 26(a) and 26(b) and results from Table 4, we find >70% reduction in slope deviation in X and Y, and the relative shift between means of X and Y slope RMSs in Fig. 26(b) is less by 80%, as compared to Fig. 26(a).

Case (iib): to test the system under a more rigorous condition, we generated artificial turbulence in the beam path, and this was done by passing ~1 A current through a 5-W resistance. It was mounted at a location such that the local turbulence generated from heating of the resistance would disturb the collimated light in the path of the telescope simulator. This disturbance would be reflected as a severe shift of slopes on the WFS camera. After the AO loop ran for some time, the heater was switched on for a period of about 5 to 10 s only. The results are presented in Fig. 30(c). The entire time plot is segmented into four parts S3, S4, S5, and S6.

Here, S1 and S3 represent the system’s initial transient part and S5 represents the turbulent zone generated due to heating of the resistance. S2, S4, and S6 are the corrected segments.

Servo oscillation is present at the beginning of the system at the S1 segment, which is brought down by the system within the first thousand frames similar to the panel (b). The region where the artificial turbulence begins is distinct and shows high disturbance of the slopes in segment S5; eventually, this effect was
also nullified quickly as we can see the fall of the slopes (in segment S6) due to the AO correction.

4 Conclusion

In this paper, we present the comprehensive design and description of the iRobo-AO instrument with various tests and calibration results obtained in the laboratory—a prerequisite before shifting it to the observatory.

In Sec. 2.1 to 2.2.2, we have presented the general description of the LGSF explaining all its internal components and their functions. The computed maximum elongation of coaxial and side projection of the LGS are 1.2” and 2”, respectively. The importance of on-axis projection was also discussed with simulation results and how this was achieved at IGO with the help of periscopes.

Sections 2.3 to 2.3.1 discuss the Cassegrain AO facility with all its components. The optical performance of the system has been described at length; all the required optical and stroke size constraints required for AO correction at the IGO site were met. The net required stroke length of the DM actuator is 1.5 μm with separate tip-tilt correction, which is well within the 3.5-μm dynamic range of the used Boston Micromachines’ MEMS-based DM.

Sections 2.3.2 to 2.3.3 discuss at length the ADC system designed with added features to suit the requirements of the IGO alt-azimuth telescope; some laboratory tests and modeling results under various atmospheric conditions were also presented. The ADC is designed to cope up with the atmospheric dispersion over the wavelength range from 0.4 to 2.2 μm up to ~66 deg zenith angle. The design produces reasonable 11.4-μm RMS spot radius, which is well within the 12 μm Airy disk radius shown in Fig. 17. The rate of rotation of the ADC mounts to counter the effects of Cassegrain derotator has also been discussed at length.

A method for reducing stray scattered light from reaching the WFS camera by gating the backscattered light of the LGS has been discussed in Sec. 2.3.3; optical alignment of the crystal and gating performance tests in the laboratory are also elaborated. The achieved range gating width is 2.3 μs, which corresponds to 345 m expected on-sky height of the laser “spot.”

Finally in Sec. 3, we discuss the AO control law and how the corrected wavefront is reconstructed with some laboratory test results under various conditions. The slope telemetry files are analyzed and plotted, and the AO correction is evident from the plots. About 70% reduction in the slope RMS deviation and about 80% reduction in the relative shift between the mean values of slope RMS in both X and Y were obtained with AO correction.
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Table 4 Statistics of data points in the linear sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Panel</th>
<th>Segment</th>
<th>Slopea</th>
<th>Mean of slope RMS</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) without AO</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>X-slope</td>
<td>2.894 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>2.137 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y-slope</td>
<td>2.635 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>2.832 × 10⁻³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) with AO</td>
<td>S2</td>
<td>X-slope</td>
<td>2.733 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>5.668 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y-slope</td>
<td>2.782 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>6.181 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) with AO and turbulence</td>
<td>S4</td>
<td>X-slope</td>
<td>2.721 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>5.330 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y-slope</td>
<td>2.757 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>5.927 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S6</td>
<td>X-slope</td>
<td>2.711 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>6.325 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y-slope</td>
<td>2.753 × 10⁻¹</td>
<td>5.674 × 10⁻⁴</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

aSlope is dimensionless as per Eqs. (25) and (26).