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Abstract. We characterize the three-dimensional (3-D) double-helix (DH) point-spread function (PSF) for depth-variant fluorescence microscopy imaging motivated by our interest to integrate the DH-PSF in computational optical sectioning microscopy (COSM) imaging. Physical parameters, such as refractive index and thickness variability of imaging layers encountered in 3-D microscopy give rise to depth-induced spherical aberration (SA) that change the shape of the PSF at different focusing depths and render computational approaches less practical. Theoretical and experimental studies performed to characterize the DH-PSF under varying imaging conditions are presented. Results show reasonable agreement between theoretical and experimental DH-PSFs suggesting that our model can predict the main features of the data. The depth-variability of the DH-PSF due to SA, quantified using a normalized mean square error, shows that the DH-PSF is more robust to SA than the conventional PSF. This result is also supported by the frequency analysis of the DH-PSF shown. Our studies suggest that further investigation of the DH-PSF’s use in COSM is warranted, and that particle localization accuracy using the DH-PSF calibration curve in the presence of SA can be improved by accounting for the axial shift due to SA.© 2013 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.18.3.036010]
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1 Introduction

Noninvasive three-dimensional (3-D) imaging based on computational optical sectioning wide field microscopy (COSM), also known as deconvolution,1 is a popular method for many biological applications because of its high throughput and photon efficiency (as opposed to confocal microscopy). COSM requires acquisition of multiple images while focusing at different depths within the object, which are then processed with model-based computational methods. Algorithms developed to extend deconvolution-based approaches, account for depth-variant imaging and correct depth-induced aberrations computationally.2 These methods incorporate changes in the 3-D point-spread function (PSF) of the imaging system due to depth-induced spherical aberration (SA).3 SA increases with imaging depth within a sample due to the refractive index (RI) mismatch in imaging layers along the optical axis when the system is focused deeper into the sample [Fig. 1(a)]. Because computational complexity for these methods is higher than traditional deconvolution methods,2 ongoing efforts have been focused on approaches that can reduce computational complexity. One approach reported in the past is the development of model approximations that reduce either the number of 3-D depth-variant PSFs required for processing images of thicker samples via linear interpolation,2 or the number of principle components in a principle component representation of the depth-variant PSF.4 Another approach is based on engineering new PSFs to be less sensitive to SA.5,7

PSF engineering through wavefront coding involves altering of the pupil function in a way that improves the amount of relevant information captured at the imaging plane8 and has played an important role in the development of computational imaging systems with improved capabilities.9 In this paper, we examine the impact of SA on the properties of an engineered PSF known as the double-helix (DH) PSF,10 so that it can be effectively modeled and investigated for use in the COSM approach.

In the DH-PSF design, depth information is encoded by the angular orientation of two dominant intensity lobes in the image plane11 which rotate with the axial (Z) position of an emitter or point source of light [Fig. 1(c)]. DH-PSFs have been used in different applications for particle tracking,12 super-resolution microscopy,13 and object ranging.14 The use of the DH-PSF in 3-D microscopy imaging coupled with single molecule imaging has provided accurate 3-D position of multiple sparse emitters from a single wide field fluorescence image.13,15 This is possible, because the center between the lobes of the DH-PSF gives the precise location of the emitter in the XY plane while the orientation of the lobes, when matched to a known calibration curve for the imaging system, provides the emitter’s location along the Z axis.

In this study, the 3-D DH-PSF variability due to SA is investigated and quantified numerically using a mean-square error metric16 that reports the difference between the 3-D DH-PSF computed without SA and with different amounts of depth-induced SA. The model developed for the 3-D DH-PSF is evaluated by comparisons of simulated and experimental data. In addition, the change in the range of rotation of the DH-PSF,
quantified by the calibration curve is also examined, as well as
the frequency content of the DH-PSF. Because the impact of SA
on the calibration curve affects the accuracy of particle locali-
zation achieved using a previously established method based on
a single calibration curve, simulations were performed to
investigate and quantify this problem under several imaging
conditions with different amounts of SA over a broad range.
Results previously reported about the impact of small amounts
of SA are consistent with our results. A simple method inves-
tigated here to account for the impact of SA on particle
localization, previously applied to imaging single molecules
in bacteria, was found to be adequate only for small amounts
of SA. A related approach to account for SA in a DH-PSF
microscope, by calibrating axial position based upon 3-D short-time diffusion coefficients, has also been demonstrated
in single particle tracking.

Recently, particle position estimation based on a maximum-
likelihood approach has been developed to provide more
robust particle localization than previously achieved with the
use of angle estimation and a single DH-PSF calibration curve.
However, this approach is computationally expensive.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes math-
ematical models for the DH-PSF and 3-D image formation
process in the presence of SA. Methods used for the computation
of the 3-D DH-PSF, the simulations, and the data-acquisition are
described in Sec. 3. Results obtained from the simulations
and from their comparison to experimental data are discussed
in Sec. 4. The paper concludes with a summary in Sec. 5.

2 Mathematical Models

The simulation studies presented in this paper were based on
mathematical models of the DH-PSF and of image formation
in a wavefront coded wide field fluorescence microscope in the
presence of SA. In this section, we give a brief overview of these
models.

2.1 Theoretical DH-PSF

In a wavefront coded microscope system, a phase mask with
phase \( \phi(f_x, f_y) \) is placed at the back focal plane of the imaging
lens which modifies the appearance of the traditional or clear
aperture (CA) PSF (Fig. 2). The DH phase mask design shown
by the wrapped phase values in Fig. 2(a) (leftmost image), is
used to generate the DH-PSFs that encodes depth information
in the spatial rotation of its main lobes [Fig. 1(c)] thereby char-
acterizing a system with new properties. The DH phase mask
was developed from the idea of wave propagation with rotating
intensity distribution suggested by Piestun et al. which results
in a high efficiency rotating PSF, named later as the DH-PSF
lobe rotation, previously applied to imaging single molecules
in bacteria, was found to be adequate only for small amounts
of SA. A related approach to account for SA in a DH-PSF
microscope, by calibrating axial position based upon 3-D short-time diffusion coefficients, has also been demonstrated
in single particle tracking.

Recently, particle position estimation based on a maximum-
likelihood approach has been developed to provide more
robust particle localization than previously achieved with the
use of angle estimation and a single DH-PSF calibration curve.
However, this approach is computationally expensive.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes math-
ematical models for the DH-PSF and 3-D image formation
process in the presence of SA. Methods used for the computation
of the 3-D DH-PSF, the simulations, and the data-acquisition are
described in Sec. 3. Results obtained from the simulations
and from their comparison to experimental data are discussed
in Sec. 4. The paper concludes with a summary in Sec. 5. Pre-
liminary results from the research studies presented here have
appeared in conference publications.

2 Mathematical Models

The simulation studies presented in this paper were based on
mathematical models of the DH-PSF and of image formation
in a wavefront coded wide field fluorescence microscope in the
presence of SA. In this section, we give a brief overview of these
models.

2.1 Theoretical DH-PSF

In a wavefront coded microscope system, a phase mask with
phase \( \phi(f_x, f_y) \) is placed at the back focal plane of the imaging
lens which modifies the appearance of the traditional or clear
aperture (CA) PSF (Fig. 2). The DH phase mask design shown
by the wrapped phase values in Fig. 2(a) (leftmost image), is
used to generate the DH-PSFs that encodes depth information
in the spatial rotation of its main lobes [Fig. 1(c)] thereby char-
acterizing a system with new properties. The DH phase mask
was developed from the idea of wave propagation with rotating
intensity distribution suggested by Piestun et al. which results
in a high efficiency rotating PSF, named later as the DH-PSF

Fig. 2 Comparison of (a) DH-PSF and (b) CA-PSF simulated images shown in XY, XZ, and YZ central cut-views from left to right, respectively. The maximum intensity projection (MIP) of the YZ cut-view makes the double-helix appearance more evident. Images are displayed on a 256 x 256 pixel grid using a different range of intensities in each case to allow visualization of structural details. Lens: 63x/1.4 NA, oil immersion. Scale bar: 3.2 μm.
because it appears as a DH along the Z-axis of the microscope [Fig. 2(a), rightmost image].

Just as in the case of the CA-PSF, the DH-PSF varies with both the imaging depth \( z = z_i \) (at which the microscope is focused) and the location of the light point source \( z = z_0 \):

\[
h_{z_i,z_0}(x, y) = \left| F^{-1} \{ H(f_x, f_y) e^{i(2\pi/I)W(f_x, f_y; z_i, z_0)} e^{i\phi(f_x, f_y)} \} \right|^2,
\]

(1)

where \( H(f_x, f_y) \) is the circular CA pupil function, \( \lambda \) is the emission wavelength of light, \( f_x \) and \( f_y \) are the normalized spatial frequencies, \( W(f_x, f_y; z_i, z_0) \) is the optical path-length error due to defocus and SA, \( F^{-1} \{ \} \) denotes a two-dimensional (2-D) inverse Fourier transform, \( \phi(f_x, f_y) \) is the phase of the DH mask, and the term within the braces \( \{} \) is the modified generalized pupil function of the system. A series of 2-D PSFs at sequential \( z_i \) forms the 3-D PSF, denoted by \( h(x, y, z; z_0) \), and represents the 3-D image of a point source of light located at \( z = z_0 \) along the optical axis.

### 2.2 Image Formation in the Presence of SA

The intensity in the 3-D image \( g(x_i) \) formed by a DH-based system in the presence of depth-variant imaging conditions can be written as

\[
g(x_i) = \iiint_O h(x_i - x_o, y_i - y_o, z_i; z_0) s(x_o) d_x d_y d_z,
\]

(2)

where \( x_i = (x_i, y_i, z_i) \) and \( x_o = (x_o, y_o, z_o) \) represent a 3-D point in the image space and in object space \( O \), respectively; \( s(x_o) \) is a function representing the fluorescence concentration in the specimen; and \( h(x_i, y_i, z_i; z_0) \) is the depth-variant DH-PSF which changes with the actual axial location of the light point source, \( z_o \), with respect to the coverslip (taken to be located at \( z_0 = 0 \) \( \mu m \)). It has been shown that it is possible to approximate Eq. (2) using a stratum-based model approximation developed previously. This model (reviewed here for completeness) uses a small number of depth-variant PSFs computed at different focusing depths \( z_i = Z_m, m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots, M \). These depths define \( M \) nonoverlapping strata \( s_m(x_o) \) along \( z_0 \) that span the object in the object space such that \( s(x_i) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} s_m(x_i) \). The PSF associated with the \( m \)th stratum, for which \( z_o \in O_m \) in the object space, is determined by interpolation between two depth-variant PSFs associated with the top and bottom of each stratum, i.e., at depths \( z_i = Z_m \) and \( z_i = Z_{m+1} \), such that:

\[
h_m(x_i, x_o) = a_m(z_o) h_m(x_i - x_o) + [1 - a_m(z_o)] h_{m+1}(x_i - x_o),
\]

where

\[
a_m(z_o) = \begin{cases} \frac{z_o - z_{m+1}}{z_o - z_m}, & z_o \in O_m, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}
\]

Using this strata-based linear interpolation, the image of the \( m \)th stratum can be obtained by

\[
g_m(x_i) = \iiint_O h_m(x_o, x_i) s_m(x_o) d_x d_y d_z,
\]

and Eq. (2) can be approximated by the sum of all the \( M \) strata images:

\[
g(x_i) \approx \sum_{m=1}^{M} g_m(x_i).
\]

### 3 Experimental Methods

This section discusses the details for the determination of theoretical and experimental DH-PSFs under different imaging conditions that were used for the evaluation of the DH-PSF model. In addition, methodologies are developed to quantify and investigate the sensitivity of the DH-PSFs and their calibration curves to SA. Finally, details for simulations performed to investigate the use of the DH-PSF calibration curve for particle localization in the presence of SA are discussed. Simulations were performed using the COSM open source (COSMOS) software package and the Matlab software environment (MathWorks, Inc.).

#### 3.1 DH-PSF Computation

The Gibson and Lanni model in the COSMOS PSF module was used to compute depth variant complex-valued coherent clear aperture PSFs (CA-PSFs) for various amounts of SA (due to point sources at different depths under the coverslip either within water or glycerol) for oil-immersion lenses with various NA (1.1 to 1.3) and various wavelengths (514, 488, and 633 nm). The imaging conditions used in each case were chosen to match the imaging conditions of the experimentally determined DH-PSFs. In the case of the experimental DH-PSFs from a fabricated mask with diameter \( D = 2.7 \) mm, the effective NA of the system was found to be 1.28 computed using the following formula:

\[
NA = \frac{(D/2) \times \lambda}{N \times \Delta x},
\]

(4)

where \( \lambda = 488 \) nm is the wavelength of light, \( N = 1023 \) is the total number of pixels in one direction of the image, and \( \Delta x = 50 \) nm/pixel is the pixel size.

Using a Matlab script, the 2-D Fourier transform of each XY-plane in a 3-D complex-valued CA-PSF was computed to produce the generalized pupil function. The DH phase mask design was then applied to form a modified generalized pupil function and finally, the square magnitude of its inverse Fourier transform was computed to obtain a 2-D DH-PSF with SA [Eq. (1)]. This process was repeated for all the planes in the 3-D CA-PSF resulting in a 3-D DH-PSF.

#### 3.2 DH-PSF Depth Variability

To quantify the depth variability of the DH-PSF due to SA, a normalized mean square error (NMSE) that quantifies the difference between depth-variant 3-D DH-PSFs (due to point sources at different depths) was used:

\[
\text{NMSE} = \frac{\| h(x, y, z; d) - h(x, y, z; 0) \|^2}{\| h(x, y, z; 0) \|^2},
\]

(5)

where \( \| \bullet \|^2 \) is the squared norm operation, \( h(x, y, z; d) \) is the 3-D PSF with SA due to a light point source located at depth \( z_o = d \) below the coverslip in the presence of a RI mismatch between imaging layers, and \( h(x, y, z; 0) \) is the 3-D PSF located at depth \( z_o = 0 \) \( \mu m \) below the coverslip (i.e., without any SA).
In general, a small NMSE value over a long range of $z_i$ indicates that the 3-D PSFs are similar over the depth range (i.e., the 3-D PSF of the system is insensitive to SA). For the NMSE computation, CA-PSFs and DH-PSFs were generated for light point sources in water located at depths of 0, 15, 25, 45, 75, and 100 μm below the coverslip.

3.3 Experimentally Determined DH-PSF

In order to characterize the 3-D DH-PSF and its depth-variability due to SA, experimentally determined DH-PSFs were acquired from two systems (configured on an optical table at Dr. R. Piestun’s laboratory, University of Colorado, Boulder) which incorporated the DH phase mask in the back focal plane of the objective lens using a 4F system and either: (1) a fabricated phase mask, or (2) a spatial-light modulator implementation of the mask.

In the first system with the fabricated mask, a magnification of 100×, a NA = 1.49, a wavelength of 488 nm, and an oil-immersion lens were used. DH-PSFs were imaged at two different depths from a sample with quantum dots, 100 nm in diameter (QD-050/515, Invitrogen Inc.), fixed to the coverslip and to the glass slide with a 90% glycerol solution (RI = 1.47) in between them. The experimental data consisted of images acquired at 100 different axial positions with 8 images at every axial location and a z-step size of 50 nm. The images of the quantum dots fixed to the glass slide below the glycerol solution were measured at a depth of 13.5 μm below the coverslip inferred from the movement of the piezo-electric stage.

In the second system (with the SLM implementation of the mask), DH-PSF images were acquired from a slide containing quantum dots (QD-525, Invitrogen Inc.) mounted in a 90% glycerol solution (RI = 1.47) using an emission wavelength of 514 nm, an oil immersion lens (RI = 1.512) with a theoretical NA = 1.3, and an effective magnification of 91.2×. The experimental data consisted of images acquired at 86 different axial positions with 8 images at every axial location and z-step size of 50 nm.

3.4 Simulated Images of a 3-D Object for Particle Localization

A computer-generated object was chosen to investigate particle localization in the presence of SA. The object comprised of three beads, with a 50 nm diameter, located at depths 0, 1.5, and 3.0 μm, respectively, within water [Fig. 3(a)]. 3-D simulated images were computed using the COSMOS Tools module for a DH system without SA [Fig. 3(b) and 3(c)] and with SA [Fig. 3(d)]. The simulated images without SA were computed using a single nonaberrant DH-PSF. To simulate depth-variant (DV) imaging due to SA, the strata-based forward-image model [Eq. (3)] was used with multiple spherically aberrant DH-PSFs defined at depths 0, 0.8, 1.6, 2.4, and 3.2 μm.

Particle localization in a nonaberrant system is also shown in Fig. 3(e) where the depths of the three beads in the simple object are obtained from the calibration curve through the rotation angles determined from the simulated image of the object. In the case without SA, the correct depths for the three beads (0, 1.5, and 3.0 μm, correspondingly) were determined. In the presence of SA, the observed change in the rotation angles that can be determined by the lines indicated in Fig. 3(c) and Fig. 3(d) can lead to errors. Particle localization in the presence of SA is discussed in detail in Sec. 4.2.1.

3.5 Calibration Curve

The angle subtended by the primary lobes of the DH-PSF was computed using an angle estimation algorithm (implemented in a Matlab) and it was plotted against axial distance to generate what is known as the calibration curve [Fig. 3(e)]. The calibration curve can be used to obtain the exact depth of point sources within an object by matching rotation angle to axial distance. Historically, the 0 deg angle associated with the best focus

![Fig. 3](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/036010-4/March-2013-Vol-18(3)/Ghosh_and_Preza_Characterization_of_a_three-dimensional_double-helix.png)

Fig. 3 Localization of multiple point sources using a single 2-D image from a DH-based system. XZ central cut-view: (a) image of a three bead object with beads located at depths: 0, 1.5 and 3.0 μm; (b) image from the forward model of a DH-PSF-based system with a 3 bead structure. XY central cut-view from the 3-D image of this object simulated with a DH-based system computed: (c) without SA; and (d) with SA. Dashed lines in (b) and (c) show pairs of DH-PSF lobes associated with each bead. The rotation angle associated with each bead is computed and mapped to the calibration curve (e), where the rotation angle versus axial distance (defocus) from a DH-PSF without any SA is plotted. The circles in (e) indicate the locations of the three beads. Scale bars: 1.6 μm in (a); 3.2 μm in (b), and 0.8 μm in (c) and (d). Lens: 63×/1.4 NA oil immersion. Wavelength: 633 nm.
has been mapped to the 0 μm reference plane. However, in 3-D samples where different structures appear in focus at different axial positions, it may be more convenient to associate the 0 μm reference plane to the +90 deg angle, so that other axial locations can be calculated relative to this point. We have used this convention throughout the paper.

Several calibration curves were generated for different lenses under various imaging conditions. A small pixel size of 50 nm was used for the DH-PSF computation because this affects the accuracy of the calibration curve as observed in our studies. Better axial resolution gives more points for plotting the calibration curve which improves the accuracy of the calibration curve.

4 Results and Discussion

In this section, we report results acquired from our investigations that study the impact of SA on the DH-PSF. Section 4.1.1 discusses PSF depth variability and Sec. 4.1.2 demonstrates its effect on the DH-PSF calibration functions. The use of the DH-PSF calibration function in particle localization is investigated in the presence of SA in Sec. 4.2. Comparisons of theoretical and experimental results are shown in Sec. 4.3. Finally, the frequency content of the DH-PSF and frequency reallocation due to SA is presented in Sec. 4.4.

4.1 Effect of SA on the DH-PSF

As it is known, with increasing SA, an asymmetry in the PSF along the z-axis as well as an apparent shift in the peak intensity of the CA-PSF are observed [Fig. 4(a)], resulting in the difference between the apparent and the actual location of a point light source. In the DH-PSF case, this axial shift is evident in the Z location of the focal plane where the two lobes are aligned along the horizontal axis, i.e., the 0 deg angle. Although this focal plane is located at \( z = 0.0 \) μm when SA is not present [Fig. 1(c)] it appears at approximately \( z = 0.8 \) μm in the presence of SA induced due to the point source being at a depth of 50 μm below the coverslip in water [Fig. 1(d)]. This depth variability of the 3-D DH-PSFs is clearly evident in the comparison of XZ central cut-view images from DH-PSFs computed at different depths [Fig. 4(c)].

4.1.1 Depth variability

Fig. 4 summarizes results that quantify the depth variability computed with Eq. (5) [Fig. 4(a)] from 3-D PSFs for a CA and a DH system at different point source depths, i.e., with a varying amount of SA [Fig. 4(b) and 4(c)]. As evident in Fig. 4(a), the NMSE for the DH-PSF changes less rapidly than the NMSE for the CA-PSFs as the axial location (depth) of the point light source in water increases, causing the SA to increase (the slight drop in the NMSE computed for the DH-PSF at depth 100 μm is an artifact due to the spread and truncation of the DH-PSF). The NMSE also suggests that for the imaging conditions simulated, the DH-PSF does not change for depths larger than ~50 μm. This result could be exploited in COSM that accounts for depth-variant imaging of thick samples using multiple depth-variant PSFs. As mentioned earlier, a reduction in the number of PSFs used in the computations reduces the time required to compute the fluorescence concentration in the sample.

4.1.2 Calibration curve

Fig. 5 summarizes the impact of both the numerical aperture (NA) of the lens and SA on the calibration curve generated for a DH-mask-based system by comparing calibration curves of DH-PSFs without SA (i.e., due to a point source at 0 μm depth under the coverslip) for lenses with a different NA [Fig. 5(a)] and calibration curves of the DH-PSFs of a single lens (with NA = 1.2) with increasing amounts of SA [Fig. 5(b)]. In order to show the change in the range of the DH-PSF rotation (i.e., the distance covered along Z as the two primary lobes rotate from

![Fig. 4 PSF depth variability due to SA quantified by a NMSE metric: (a) the 3-D NMSE computed for the DH-PSF and the CA-PSF, XZ central cut-view images from 3-D PSFs of a 1.3 NA, oil lens with an effective magnification of 91.2X for: (b) a CA; and (c) an aperture with a DH mask. PSFs were computed for point light sources located at different depths (0 to 100 μm) below the coverslip in water. Scale bar: 6.4 μm.](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Biomedical-Optics/036010-5/March-2013-Vol-18(3)/Ghosh-and-Preza-Characterization-of-a-three-dimensional-double-helix/fig4.png)
as the NA changes, we aligned the 3 curves shown in Fig. 5(a) at the best focus location (i.e., at the 0 deg angle). The 90 deg angle was mapped to the 0 μm axial position only for the calibration curve for NA = 1.1 in order to observe the maximum difference in the curves as the rotation angle changes in either direction from 0 deg. As it is evident in Fig. 5(a), a reduction in the NA of the lens introduces a symmetric increase in the range of rotation on either side of the 0 deg angle, i.e., the best focus. In fact, the range of rotation is proportional to \( \lambda / NA \) where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of light.

However, symmetry around the 0 deg angle position is lost in the calibration curves of DH-PSFs in the presence of SA shown for the system with NA = 1.2 [Fig. 5(b)]. SA causes the range of rotation to increase only on one side of the best focus [denoted by the 0 deg angle in Fig. 5(b)] resulting in an asymmetric calibration curve with respect to the 0 deg angle. As observed in Fig. 5(b), when the axial position moves away from the best focus towards the coverslip, the calibration curves with different amounts of SA show reasonable agreement; however, when the axial position moves deeper into the sample, the calibration curves show a significant disagreement. This is manifested by the curves converging at +90 deg and completely diverging at –90 deg. The change in the calibration curve due to SA impacts traditional particle localization methods that are based on the calibration curve.

Fig. 5 Effect of NA and SA on the calibration curve of theoretically determined DH-PSFs with an effective magnification of 91.2X; oil-immersion lens. Curves for DH-PSFs with: (a) different NAs and zero SA; and (b) NA = 1.2 and different amounts of SA due to changing the location of the point source, i.e., the depth \( d \) within the sample (\( d = 0 \) μm corresponds to the zero-SA case). Wavelength: 514 nm. RI of sample: 1.33.

Fig. 6 Impact of SA on the DH-PSF calibration curve. (a) Calibration curves for DH-PSFs with different amount of SA due to a point light source located at different depths within water. (b) The shift in the emitter’s axial location versus the true axial location (i.e., depth within water) of the emitter for different rotation angles (in the range of –90 to 90 deg) for the axial location of the emitters changing from 0 to 100 μm in steps of 10 μm. The inset shows a view of the observed shift for small changes in the axial locations of the emitter in the range of 0 to 3.2 μm using 5 locations from 0.0 to 3.2 μm, in steps of 0.8 μm. Lens: 63 × /1.4 NA, oil immersion. Wavelength: 633 nm.

Fig. 7 Localization of particles in the presence of SA. (a) XY view of the forward DH-PSF image of a 3 bead object in the presence of SA. Proposed calibration curves for a system in the presence of SA: (b) rotation angle versus axial distance (defocus) from a DH-PSF without any SA (the circles indicate the apparent locations of the three beads); and (c) true axial location of emitter versus apparent depth obtained by plotting the shift observed in the best focus location from Fig. 6(a). Scale bar: 0.8 μm. Lens: 63×/1.4 NA oil immersion. Wavelength: 633 nm.
4.2 Particle Localization in the Presence of SA

The accuracy of particle localization based on the DH-PSF calibration curve is affected by the accuracy of the calibration curve. Figure 6(a) shows an apparent shift of the system’s best focus due to the presence of SA. For a nonaberrant system, the 0-deg angle point on the calibration curve represents the best focus of the system while for a system with SA, it represents the axial location of the circle of least confusion. In Fig. 6(b), the difference (or shift) in the axial distance evident in Fig. 6(a), is plotted versus the true axial location of the emitter for different rotation angles (which correspond to different amounts of defocus represented by the rotation angle).

As shown in Fig. 6(b), the shift in the calibration curve changes with defocus and it is nonlinearly related with the true axial location of the emitter. For increasing SA, the apparent best focus of the emitter changes as we go deeper into the sample and thus accurate localization is not possible without the use of the calibration curve specific to the depth where the point source is located. It is interesting to note that the rate of change in the apparent location of the emitter changes through the entire range of rotation [Fig. 6(b)].

In summary, Fig. 6 shows that in the presence of SA, an error in localization occurs due to the apparent shift in the true location of a point source. In a thick 3-D sample, with multiple point sources at different depths throughout the sample, a single calibration cannot be used without incurring a particle localization error. In order to overcome this, all the calibration curves shown in Fig. 6(a) must be used. Here we propose the use of only two calibration curves: the traditional calibration curve from a nonaberrant DH-PSF and a second curve which provides a map of the apparent depth to the true depth. Such a calibration curve can be constructed from the curves in Fig. 6(a) where the depths in the legend of the figure represent the true axial location of the emitter and the apparent depth that corresponds to each of these is obtained by adding the observed shift of the “best focus” to the true depth. For small amounts of SA, this new curve is linear with a slope given by the RI ratio of the sample and the immersion medium of the lens.

![Fig. 8](https://example.com/fig8.png)

**Fig. 8** Effect of SA on calibration curves from experimental DH-PSFs acquired from quantum dots (QDs) in glycerol at different depths: (a) 13.5 μm; and (b) 0 μm below the coverslip. (c) Calibration curves from 4 QDs selected in each case [shown by boxes in (a) and (b)] are compared to simulated DH-PSFs with SA at a depth of 13.5 μm and without SA. Lens: 100 × / 1.25 NA, oil-immersion. Scale bar: 6.4 μm.
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**Fig. 9** Comparison of experimental and theoretical DH-PSFs: (a) calibration curves; and (b) to (e) XY cut-view images from experimental (b), and theoretically determined DH-PSFs (c) to (e) of a 91.2× / 1.3 NA oil-immersion lens. Theoretical DH-PSFs determined for a light point-source in water at a depth: (c) $d = 100$ μm; (d) $d = 30$ μm; and (e) $d = 0$ μm. Scale bar: 2 μm.
True depth = \frac{\text{RI of sample}}{\text{RI of lens' immersion medium}} \times \text{Apparent depth.} \tag{6}

This relation is valid only for small amounts of SA as previously reported in a confocal microscopy study.\textsuperscript{29} We have confirmed this relation with a particle localization simulation described in the next section using the 3 bead object (discussed in Sec. 3.4).

### 4.2.1 Particle localization in a three-bead object

For particle localization in the presence of SA using the angle estimation and calibration curve method, a single XY section image taken from a 3-D DH-system image was used [Fig. 7(a)]. The angle subtended by the two lobes, associated with each particle in the object, was estimated. As expected, these angles mapped to the traditional calibration curve of a nonaberrant DH-PSF [Fig. 7(b)] results in incorrect depths for the three beads (0, 1.7, and 3.3 \( \mu \text{m} \), correspondingly). This change in precision is due to the fact that the calibration curve used does not account for the SA present in the image. This error is compensated using a second calibration curve which maps the apparent depth to the true depth [Fig. 7(c)], constructed using the axial location shift observed in 0 deg angle position of the calibrations curves with SA in Fig. 6(a) as explained above.

As the amount of SA in this study is relatively small, the apparent depth is the sum of the true depth and the shift resulting in a linear curve with a slope equal to the RI ratio in Eq. (6). However, this relation does not hold for large amounts of SA as evident by the variability of the shift in the axial position of an emitter with increasing amount of SA [Fig. 6(b)].
A comparison between experimental and theoretical DH-PSFs is summarized in this section. The calibration curve for the experimental data is prepared using the same method as the one used for the simulated data described in Sec. 3.5.

The first comparison study was conducted to assess the impact of SA on experimental DH-PSFs imaged from quantum dots placed on the coverslip and glass slide, respectively, as described in Sec. 3.3. Calibration curves computed from experimental DH-PSFs were compared to calibration curves from simulated DH-PSFs computed at depths 0 and 13.5 μm using the experimental imaging conditions. Four isolated quantum dots were chosen from each data set [Fig. 8(a) and 8(b)] in order to compute calibration curves for the comparison study [Fig. 8(c)]. The observed shift at the 0 deg angle between the calibration curves from the coverslip and glass slide quantum dots is determined to be 250 nm. Shift in the axial location of the beads is predicted by Eq. (6) and reinforced by the length and shift in the 0 deg angle location of the simulated DH-PSF calibration curves. The similarity in the trends observed in the simulated and experimental calibration curves of Fig. 8 is promising and validates our model.

A second study was conducted to match a theoretically determined DH-PSF to a PSF measured from quantum dots (described in Sec. 3.3) using simulations with different amounts of SA. Calibration curves and images from both the experimental and several simulated DH-PSFs are shown in Fig. 9. The asymmetrical range of rotation on either side of the “best focus” (indicating the presence of SA) covered by the experimentally determined calibration curve is determined to be ~4.0 μm from 90 to −80 deg [Fig. 9(a)]. A gradual increment of the amount of SA in the [Fig. 9(c) to 9(e)] computed for the comparison study, resulted in a reasonable match between the calibration curves and the images of the measured DH-PSF [Fig. 9(b)] and a computed DH-PSF [Fig. 9(c)]. This result is quantitatively supported by Fig. 9(a), which shows that the best agreement in the calibration curves is achieved with an aberrant DH-PSF whose SA is equivalent to the amount induced by a point source located in water at a 100-μm depth below the coverslip [Fig. 9(c)]. The asymmetrical range of the theoretical DH-PSF rotation determined from the 100-μm calibration curve is ~3.9 μm from 90 to −90 deg which is close to the one determined for the experimental case.

Due to the noise evident in the experimental data [Fig. 9(b)], the calibration curve in Fig. 9(a) does not have the precision of the experimental calibration curves in Fig. 8(b). As expected, the large amount of SA in the system characterized in Fig. 9 (which is approximately 8 times larger than the amount of SA reported in Fig. 8) causes a reduction in the signal to noise ratio.

As evident from this figure, the 2-D DH-MTF shows a rotation as a function of defocus (consistent with the rotation that encodes depth information in the DH-PSF) and a redistribution of frequency attenuation compared to the conventional MTFs. Except for the rotation, there is less change with defocus in the 2-D DH-MTF than in the conventional MTF. This is also evident in the profiles from the corresponding MTFs [Fig. 10(c) to 10(f)] that compare the defocused 2-D MTFs of the two systems. The oscillatory frequency attenuation of the DH-MTF [Fig. 10(d) and 10(f)] shows that the DH-MTF becomes almost zero several times along the spatial frequencies axes, f_x and f_y, respectively. SA attenuates the MTF frequencies as expected, but for small defocus the attenuation is less for the DH-MTF than for the MTF of the conventional system.

5 Conclusion

Studies that characterize the 3-D DH-PSF and evaluate its depth-variability as a function of depth-induced SA encountered in optical sectioning microscopy of 3-D objects were presented. These studies, motivated by our interest to integrate the DH-PSF in computational optical sectioning microscopy (COSM) imaging, confirm that the DH-PSF changes less rapidly with increasing SA than the conventional PSF. This conclusion is based on the normalized mean square error result (computed between PSFs with SA and a nonaberrant PSF) and the frequency analysis of the DH-PSF presented. This is a promising result for the use of the DH-PSF with algorithms that use multiple depth-variant PSFs in COSM. The use of fewer PSFs in the computations makes these approaches more practical for biological use.

The impact of SA on the frequency content of the DH-PSF is shown to be different from the impact it has on the conventional PSF frequency content. The modulation transfer function computed from the DH-PSF (DH-MTF) shows extended depth of field that preserves spatial frequencies with defocus and SA. The frequency rearranging observed in the DH-MTF in the presence of SA seems favorable for COSM imaging of thick samples.

Our detailed study of the aberrant DH-PSF elucidated the impact of SA on particle localization accuracy when a calibration curve from a nonaberrant DH-PSF is used. The rate of rotation observed in the DH-PSF was shown to slow down with SA. This causes an extension in the range of rotation and results in asymmetric rotation ranges as the focus is moved in opposite directions. Theoretical DH-PSFs compared to experimental ones show the same trend when an appropriate amount of SA is incorporated in the theoretical DH-PSF. In the presence of SA, particle localization accuracy using the traditional DH-PSF calibration curve can be improved by using a second calibration curve to account for the axial shift due to SA. Although the PSF model used in this investigation includes apodisation effects, it does not include vectorial effects which can affect the appearance of the DH-PSF and consequently its range of rotation for the high NA system modeled here. However, we expect the use of a more accurate vectorial model would not change the observed trend.

Theoretical and experimental studies performed to characterize the DH-PSF under varying imaging conditions show reasonable agreement between theoretical and experimental DH-PSFs, suggesting that our model can predict the main features of the data. Thus, the DH-PSF model evaluated in these studies can

4.3 Comparison of Theoretical and Experimental DH-PSFs

4.4 Effect of SA on the Frequency Content of the DH-PSF
be used in the model-based algorithms previously developed for COSM.

Acknowledgments

We thankfully acknowledge our collaborator Dr. R. Piestun and his students Dr. S. Quirin, A. Barsic, and G. Grover at the University of Colorado, Boulder, for providing the quantum dot data, example Matlab code for the DH-PSF computation and angle estimation, and for useful discussions. We are very grateful to the anonymous reviewers and to Dr. S. V. King for their useful comments and suggestions. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF CAREER award DBI-0844682, PI: C. Preza; and NSF Collaborative IDBR awards DBI-0852847, PI: C. Preza; and DBI-0852885, PI: R. Piestun) and the Herff College of Engineering, at the University of Memphis (Graduate Herff fellowship to S. Ghosh).

References