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Abstract. We describe a modification of epifluorescence microscopes that allows quantitative widefield imaging of samples labeled by upconverting nanoparticles (UCNP). A top-hat illumination profile on the sample was achieved with a 980-nm laser diode by using tandem microlens arrays, a moving diffuser and a telescope, which adjusts the top-hat area to the field of view. Illumination homogeneity is a critical factor for imaging of UCNP since the intensity of their luminescence typically scales with the second power of the excitation intensity. Our illuminator is combined with the epifluorescence attachment of the microscope, allowing easy switching between observation of UCNP and traditional fluorescent dyes. Illumination profile homogeneity of about 98% was measured for objectives with magnification from 4× to 100×, and the top-hat profile was also obtained with phase contrast objectives. We demonstrate capability of the illuminator by evaluating in vitro uptake of UCNP encapsulated in oleyl-hyaluronan micelles into breast cancer cells. Micelles bearing the targeting peptide were about an order of magnitude more efficient than nontargeted micelles. © 2016 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) [DOI: 10.1117/1.JBO.21.5.056007]
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1 Introduction

Upconverting nanoparticles (UCNP) are emerging as an interesting tool for bioimaging, assays, and theranostic applications.1,2 Their ability to convert infrared light to shorter wavelengths results in improved contrast and imaging depth compared with traditional fluorescent dyes and quantum dots.3 Notably, imaging with UCNP does not suffer from sample autofluorescence since the anti-Stokes luminescence mechanism of UCNP is not effective in biological materials.4 Other attractive features of UCNP are their low toxicity5 and unsurpassed resistance to photobleaching, enabling long-term and continuous observation.6 Unlike other multiphoton dyes, which require costly short-pulsed lasers, UCNP can be excited by continuous-wave laser diodes. Although the properties of UCNP are best exploited in deep tissue in vivo imaging and diagnosis, in vitro UCNP imaging is also of interest, as studies of UCNP interactions at the cellular level are needed for understanding their behavior in organisms.

Despite their advantages, use of UCNP in biology and biotechnology is still limited mostly to laboratories developing these nanoparticles. One obstacle for wider adoption of UCNP comes from the fact that common biological microscopes are not equipped with a light source suitable for UCNP excitation, and, to our knowledge, there is no readily available microscope for UCNP imaging besides expensive multiphoton confocal setups. Thus, potential UCNP users have to seek a custom solution or build the UCNP imaging setup themselves. Although imaging of UCNP-labeled samples is now regularly reported in the literature, descriptions of the illumination setup are usually too brief.

Many reports on bioimaging with UCNP employ confocal microscopy setups.3-11 Adapting a confocal microscope for UCNP imaging is straightforward, in principle, as it requires just a free laser port in the confocal head and an optical path adjusted for near-infrared (NIR) wavelengths. This is fulfilled in some commercial multiphoton-ready setups, where the pulsed NIR laser can also be used directly as an excitation source.12 The considerable price of such a setup is not the only limitation of confocal UCNP imaging. Laser pulses shorter than a few milliseconds are not efficient for UCNP excitation despite their high peak intensity. The UCNP excitation mechanism relies on intermediate states with long lifetimes; therefore, the quantum yield of UCNP luminescence is very low at the beginning of the pulse. Liu et al.13 have shown that the optimal pulse length for UCNP imaging is about 50 ns. As the ultrashort pulsed lasers are designed to induce two-photon fluorescence in organic dyes, there might be significant autofluorescence in biological samples; thus, time gating should be used to distinguish it from UCNP luminescence. Upconverting nanoparticles are also not suited for fast scanning, since their excited state lifetimes extend into the micro- or even millisecond range. Fast scanning can, therefore, result in poor signal or smearing in the direction of scanning.14 To avoid this, prolonged dwell times are needed. Deconvolution algorithms,15 nondescanned detection,16 or line-scanning17 can solve the problem of signal smearing and speed up UCNP confocal imaging considerably.
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Widefield luminescence imaging seems to be better suited for routine UCNP observation,18 as the slow luminescence kinetics of UCNP is not an issue here. Widefield setup is also considerably cheaper than confocal systems, as common biological microscopes can be adapted for this modality.19 The nonlinear nature of UCNP luminescence can be exploited to achieve a certain level of optical sectioning if the excitation power is kept below the saturation limit, as was first demonstrated in vivo by van Vegel’s group.20,21

Koehler reflected light illumination is often employed in widefield UCNP imaging due to its simple implementation. A laser beam is introduced through the back port of the microscope and focused on the rear focal plane of the objective.22 The most detailed widefield UCNP imaging setup description so far was published by Nadort et al.23 The authors used modified Koehler illumination for a quantitative study of single UCNP luminescence. The same setup was also used in a study of UCNP skin penetration24 and cellular uptake.25 These reports, however, do not present profiles of the illumination on the sample. Though the Koehler illumination is regarded as uniform, there can in fact be about a 10% to 20% falloff in intensity toward the edges.26 Improvement of illumination homogeneity is possible by using the central part of the profile only, but this wastes a significant amount of source power. In case of UCNP, power transmission becomes an issue, especially at smaller magnifications, as achieving convenient excitation intensities would necessitate a high-power laser.

Since the luminescence intensity of UCNP typically scales with the second power of the excitation intensity, homogeneous illumination of the sample is even more important in the case of UCNP than with fluorescent dyes. Improvement over Koehler illumination can be achieved with Koehler integrators, e.g., with refractive elements such as microlens arrays (MLA). Coumans et al.27 described adaptation of an epifluorescence microscope where tandem MLA replaces the original optics, giving a top-hat illumination profile on the sample with a mercury short-arc lamp. Using a laser with MLA requires additional power transmission becomes an issue, especially at smaller magnifications, as achieving convenient excitation intensities would necessitate a high-power laser.

Here, we present a widefield laser illuminator allowing convenient imaging of samples labeled by upconverting nanoparticles. Our illuminator is combined with the epifluorescence attachment of the microscope so that the UCNP luminescence and fluorescence images of the same area can be easily overlaid. We demonstrate the capability of our illuminator for in vitro imaging on a Nikon E400 microscope. As an example, uptake of UCNP encapsulated in oleyl-hyaluronan micelles into breast cancer cells is evaluated for bare micelles and for micelles bearing a targeting peptide.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Evaluation of Illumination Profile with UCNP Phantom

Nanocrystals of $\beta$-NaY$_{0.80}$Yb$_{0.18}$Er$_{0.02}$F$_4$ capped with oleic acid were synthesized through a thermal decomposition of corresponding rare-earth olate salts; details of the synthesis are described in our previous work.29 An aliquot of chloroform colloid of purified UCNP was then mixed with polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVP) with molecular weight of 2 MDa. The particle to PVP weight ratio was 1:3. A drop of the mixture was then cast on a clean microscope slide and spread into thin layer by pressing the coverslip on top of it immediately.

The illumination profile in the sample plane was evaluated by imaging the UCNP phantom slide with the microscope camera. For evaluation purposes, the size of the top-hat profile was adjusted to bring the profile edges into the camera field of view. Intensity profiles and standard mean deviation of intensity (σ) were then evaluated in the FIJI software.28 The homogeneity of the illumination is described by the coefficient of variation (CV) calculated according to $CV = \sigma/\mu \times 100\%$, where $\mu$ is the mean intensity in the defined area.

2.2 Modification of UCNP with Oleyl-Hyaluronan and Breast Cancer Targeting Peptide

For the purpose of the in vitro uptake study, synthesized UCNP were encapsulated into micelles of oleyl-hyaluronan bearing breast cancer targeting peptide. A linear dendecapeptide sequence ($\beta$A-$\beta$A-W-Nle-EAAYQRFL) designed by Mathews et al.30 was prepared by a solid-phase peptide synthesis on Wang resin using N-(9-fluorenyl) methoxycarbonyl (Fmoc) chemistry. Sodium hyaluronate with molecular weight 18 kDa was first oxidized to a polyaldehyde derivative.31 Amphiphilic oleyl-hyaluronan derivative with aldehyde reactive groups was then synthesized by esterification of oxidized HA via mixed anhydride chemistry.32 Breast cancer targeting peptide was attached to oleyl-hyaluronan polyaldehyde via reductive amination. Purified peptide (65 mg, 1 mol. eq. to aldehyde) was dissolved in DMSO/water solution (25% v/v, 3 mL) at ambient temperature and then slowly diluted with water (9 mL). Subsequently, oleyl-hyaluronan aldehyde (300 mg, 0.75 mmol; 6% mol. of aldehyde groups) dissolved in water (18 mL) was added to the peptide solution. The reaction mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 2 h, then 2-picoline borane complex (25 mg, 5 mol. eq. to aldehyde) in isopropanol (0.5 mL) was added to the reaction to reduce the Schiff base. The reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 48 h. The product was purified by dialysis against water (Slide-A-Lyzer dialysis cassette, MWCO 3 kDa) and then freeze-dried. Finally, water-soluble micelles were prepared by combining peptide-bearing oleyl-hyaluronan derivative with UCNP-OA (mean diameter 25 nm) by the procedure described previously.33 Micelles without peptide were also prepared as a control.

2.3 Evaluation of UCNP Uptake by Breast Cancer Cells

Human breast adenocarcinoma cell line (MDA-MB 231) was purchased from ATCC (Manassas) and cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS). Cells were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% $CO_2$. Cells were seeded into 8-well imaging chambers (Milenyi Biotec, Germany). After 24 h, cells were treated with UCNP incorporated in oleyl-hyaluronan micelles bearing targeting peptide (200 $\mu$L, 100 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ diluted in the culture medium) and further cultured for 6 h. UCNP loaded micelles without peptide were used as a control group. To study competitive inhibition of receptor-mediated internalization of the peptide-bearing oleyl-hyaluronan micelles, some
MDA-MB 231 cells were preincubated with free peptide (200 μL, 50 μg · mL−1) for 1 h. The medium was then discarded and the cells were rinsed with 0.1 M PBS, pH 7 (3 × 0.2 mL). Cells were fixed with 4% formaldehyde for 15 min, then stained in DiffQuik solution II for 30 s with subsequent counterstaining in DiffQuick solution I for another 30 s, resulting in pink cytoplasm and blue nuclei. After staining, slides were rinsed with deionized water, air-dried, and mounted with Mowiol.

Slides were imaged on a Nikon E400 using the 20x Plan Apo objective. All images were captured using the same laser intensity (110 W · cm−2) and exposure settings of the camera (600 ms, gain 4x, no binning, resolution 1280 × 1024, 12-bit depth). After background subtraction, the UCNP luminescence channel was merged with bright-field image of the cells in Fiji to help with assignment of luminescence signals to individual cells. Cell boundaries were drawn by freehand region-of-interest tool, and intensity of luminescence signal inside the cell was integrated. Histograms were constructed from 150 individual measurements.

2.4 Combined UCNP and Fluorescence Dye Imaging

Fluorescent imaging of UCNP-labeled samples was demonstrated with normal human dermal fibroblasts (NHDF) isolated from facial skin removed during cosmetic plastic surgery with the informed agreement of the donors. NHDF were obtained from dermis by the digestion method and were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% FBS. After incubation with hyaluronan-coated UCNP, the cells were fixed with ice-cold methanol, stained with Hoechst 33258, and mounted with cover slips using ProLong® Antifade mountant (Life Technologies). For nuclear and cytoskeletal staining, cells were fixed and permeabilized with 3% formaldehyde with 0.2% Triton X-100 for 10 min at room temperature. Fixation was repeated with 3% formaldehyde for 5 min, followed by PBS rinse. Actin was stained with 30 μg · mL−1 fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) conjugated phalloidin (Sigma) in 0.02% Triton X-100 in PBS for 90 min in the dark at room temperature. Nuclei were labeled with Hoechst for the last 10 min of phalloidin staining, and slides were afterward washed 3 times in 0.02% Triton X-100 for 15 min protected against the light. Dry slides were mounted with ProLong® Gold.

3 Instrumentation

3.1 Generating Top-Hat Laser Profile with Tandem Microlens Arrays

Our illuminator is based on a laser beam homogenizer using two MLA and a rotating diffuser, discussed in detail by Voelkel and Weiße [Fig. 1(a)]. A collimated laser beam enters a telescope with a rotating diffuser placed near the focal point. Behind the telescope, the recollimated beam passes through tandem MLA. The MLA need to be precisely aligned by placing the first array in a rotation mount and the second in an XY translation mount. The MLA split the incident beam into beamlets, which are then superimposed at the focus of the Fourier lens (microscope objective in our case). A square top-hat illumination profile is created in the objective’s focal plane if the incident beam is symmetrical around the optical axis. The size of the top-hat profile in the case of two identical MLA with pitch p and focal length fM is given by

\[
D_{\text{FT}} = \frac{p f_{\text{obj}}}{f_M (2f_M - s)},
\]

where \(f_{\text{obj}}\) is focal length of the Fourier lens and \(s\) is the separation of the MLA.

The best illumination quality is obtained when the second MLA is placed in the focus of the first one (\(s = f_M\)). However, in a microscope, the minimal attainable distance between the MLA and the objective could reach several decimeters. Such a large distance will compromise illumination homogeneity as well as power transmission. Additional optical elements are therefore needed to control the illumination profile, as will be described below.

3.2 Design of Widefield Laser Illuminator for Microscopes

Our adaptation of the MLA beam homogenizer for use with Nikon E400 and Ti-E microscopes is shown in Figs. 1(b)–1(e). Our goal was to combine the laser illumination with the original fluorescence illuminators of the microscopes without making irreversible changes to microscope parts. The main design constraints follow from the size of the microscope objective rear aperture and its distance from the MLA. The beam has to fit in the aperture, which is only 5 mm in diameter on some objectives. Ideally, the MLA would be placed near the objective back focal plane, but the minimal possible distance is much larger due to the microscope construction (over 40 cm on Nikon Ti-E). While we found that the top-hat profile quality could be still acceptable at MLAs-to-objective distances up to ~15 cm, distortion of the profile was observed when the beam was clipped by the objective aperture due to beam divergence. We therefore placed an additional telescope between the MLA and objective [Fig. 1(b)]. This telescope projects the image of the second MLA to the objective rear aperture. The microscope objective serves as a Fourier lens, creating a square top-hat illumination pattern on the sample. Microscope objectives can be changed without further adjustments to the illuminator, as the top-hat size scales with the objective magnification. The signal from the sample is then collected by the same objective and sent to the camera or binoculars through a barrier filter, which blocks the scattered laser.

The top-hat size can be adjusted by setting the magnification of the second telescope. This is advantageous since stock MLA can be purchased instead of custom ones with parameters selected according to the camera field of view. We note that even with a perfectly matched MLA, we would still use the telescope (with 1× magnification) to control the beam divergence.

3.3 Epifluorescence Microscopes and Illuminator Optomechanical Parts

We assembled the illuminator to fit (a) a Nikon E400 microscope with a Y-FL epifluorescence attachment and (b) a more recent Nikon Ti-E inverted biological microscope with a Ti-FL epifluorescence attachment. Both microscopes appear to have built-in heat filters in their epifluorescence light path, since their light transmission falls rapidly after 800 nm and is about 1% only at 980 nm. It is, therefore, not possible to simply replace their arc-lamps with NIR lasers. Both microscopes were equipped with Nikon Ds-Qi1 cameras (12 bit).

The illuminator was assembled from Thorlabs (Munich, Germany) cage system parts and fitted with Thorlabs NIR-coated achromats or asphers and laser mirrors from Edmund.
Optics (EO; York, UK). We used the EO shortpass dichroic filter (850-nm edge, #69-221) and shortpass emission filter (850-nm edge, #64-334) in the microscope filter cube for UCNP luminescence. Such a filter set does not hinder transmitted light observation, as its band-pass covers the region from 400 to 850 nm. The diffusor (Ø1” ground glass, 120 grit) is glued to the axle of a small motor placed in a cage plate. The speed of the motor can be varied up to about 1500 rpm to allow sufficient averaging of individual speckle patterns even for short exposure times (>2 ms). A pigtailed laser diode from Thorlabs (PL980P330J, 975 nm, single-mode fiber, optical power variable up to 330 mW) or a diode laser from Roithner Lasertechnik (RLTMDL-980-2W, 980 nm, optical power variable up to 2 W) was used as an illumination source. A longpass filter with a 950-nm edge (EO #66-238) was used to clean the laser diode emission.

### 3.4 Microlens Selection

We required the top-hat profile to fill the entire field of view of the camera, which is 810 × 650 μm for our Nikon E400 and 1160 × 930 μm at the Nikon Ti-E when using the 10x objective. For the best results, the top-hat profile should be slightly larger, since its edges will be blurred due to beam divergence and diffraction artifacts might be present near the edges. Thanks to the additional telescope behind the MLA, we could select from stock MLA and adjust the top-hat size by setting the magnification $M$ of the telescope. The top-hat size on the sample will then become $D_{\text{sample}} = D_{\text{FT}} \cdot M$. It is safer to select MLA with smaller than needed $D_{\text{FT}}$ and use $M > 1$, as the beam diameter in the objective rear aperture will be reduced by $M$ compared with the beam size at the MLA. Another important consideration is the Fresnel number (FN) of the MLA.

---

**Fig. 1** (a) General scheme of laser beam homogenization by tandem microlens arrays. Adapted from Voelkel and Weible. (b) Scheme of our illuminator and its adaptation to Nikon E400 microscope. Initial telescope with a diffusor is the same as shown in (a). A second telescope (lenses L3 and L4) is added behind the microlenses. This telescope projects the image of the microlenses to the objective rear aperture. (c) Detail of the filter cube slider. Cubes for fluorescence (FL-1 and FL-2) are left in their original positions. The cube for UCNP luminescence is mounted perpendicularly to allow entrance of the laser from the side. (d) Photo of the illuminator mounted on the Nikon E400 microscope with removed covers. Mounting of the filter cube for UCNP luminescence to the filter slider is shown as well as the telescope lens L4 attached to the cube. (e) Adaptation of the illuminator to the Nikon Ti-E epifluorescence attachment. Here, the parts painted in gray are from the original attachment and the black parts are modifications.
where $\lambda$ is the laser wavelength. The top-hat profile will be modulated by diffraction artifacts, which will become severe at low FN. There is, however, a limit for the maximum attainable FN, since the beam has to fit into the aperture $d_{\text{obj}}$

$$FN = \frac{pD_{\text{FT}}}{4A_f_F}$$

where $N \times N$ is the number of microlenses in a square array filled by a beam with diameter $N \cdot p$. Typically, $N \approx 10$ is considered sufficient for beam homogenization. We have selected an MLA with 0.5-mm pitch and 6.5-mm radius of curvature from Suss MicroOptics (Rouges-Terres, Switzerland), giving $FN = 4.3$, which is comparable to $FN_{\text{max}} = 6.4$ (calculated for $N = 10$, $d_{\text{obj}} = 5$ mm, and $D_{\text{sample}} = 1$ mm). To get $N = 10$, the dimensions of the MLA can be $5 \times 5$ mm only, which is advantageous since the MLA prices are proportional to their area. When used directly with a $10\times$ objective ($f_F = 20$ mm), the $D_{\text{FT}}$ would be $680 \mu$m. Telescopes with magnification of at least $1.2\times$ and $1.7\times$ are, therefore, needed for the E400 and Ti-E camera, respectively.

### 3.5 Attachment of the Illuminator to the Nikon E400

The laser enters the microscope from the side through the filter cube port of the epifluorescence attachment [Fig. 1(b)]. This is possible thanks to placing the filter cube for the laser perpendicular to its standard position [Fig. 1(c)]. The cube was equipped with a flipping mirror. When used directly with a $10\times$ objective ($f_F = 20$ mm), the $D_{\text{FT}}$ would be $680 \mu$m. Telescopes with magnification of at least $1.2\times$ and $1.7\times$ are, therefore, needed for the E400 and Ti-E camera, respectively.

### 3.6 Attachment of the Illuminator to the Nikon Ti-E

We merged our illuminator with the Nikon epifluorescence attachment “TI-FL” [Fig. 1(e)]. The middle part of the TI-FL containing a mirror was replaced by a Thorlabs 60 mm cage cube with an adjustable platform. To switch between arc-lamp and laser illumination, the cube was equipped with a flipping mirror. We retained the final lens of the epifluorescence attachment and the field aperture in their original positions so that the arc-lamp light path was not altered. The original lens has about 90% transmission at 980 nm. As this lens is also in the laser path [L4 in Fig. 1(b)], the second telescope of the illuminator had to be designed accordingly. To shorten the illuminator, we used a combination of two achromatic lenses with focal lengths 80, –40, and 125 mm together with the built-in lens with $f \approx 135$ mm to achieve the desired magnification of about 2x while projecting the MLA image at the rear aperture of the objective.

This variant of the illuminator attachment is more general but still requires some custom machining. The Ti-E microscope has an option for adding another level of optical accessory (the so-called stratum structure). With this second level, our illuminator would use an independent port to which it could be mounted via simple Thorlabs adapter. A similar solution is likely possible for other commercial microscopes with infinity-corrected optics.

### 4 Results and Discussion

#### 4.1 Illumination Quality

The illuminator provides a nearly smooth top-hat profile even with the rough input beam of the high-power laser diode. The intensity map of the profile captured with the $20\times$ Plan Fluor objective at laser intensity $110 \text{ W} \cdot \text{cm}^{-2}$ is shown in Fig. 2. The size of the profile was adjusted to make the edges visible; for imaging, the profile was expanded so that the flat part fills the field of view. Illumination in the central...
area shown in Fig. 2(a) has a very low CV value of 2.0%; the intensity profile along the line drawn in Fig. 2(a) has CV = 1.4% [Fig. 2(b)]. This result is comparable with the CV value reported by Coumans et al. for an arc-lamp on a Nikon E400 microscope (CV = 1.5%) and with the result of Scholtens et al. for an MLA homogenizer on an imaging cyrometer with 491 nm laser (CV = 2.0%). Importantly, the CV value is nearly identical for all tested objectives from 4× to 100× and also for phase contrast objectives (10× and 20× Plan Fluor) without any adjustment of the illuminator. This is another advantage over Koehler illumination, where the source image should fill the objective rear aperture, whose diameter varies from about 5 to 15 mm at Nikon CFI objectives.

We initially observed diffraction effects in the profile originating from the relatively low F0 of our MLA. These artifacts can be smoothed out by increasing beam divergence after the diffusor until the subapertures of the second MLA are slightly overfilled (this produces four “ghost” images of the top-hat profile at the sides of the central profile). Further improvement of the profile was observed after bringing the MLA slightly closer than their focal length.

We measured that the illuminator transmits 50% of the initial laser beam power to the objective rear aperture plane in the case of E400 and 42% in the case of Ti-E. The biggest power losses occur at the diffusor and uncoated MLA; the Ti-E further contains a built-in lens L4 coated for visible wavelengths. Typical transmission of the Nikon CFI objectives at 980 nm is about 70%, but only 55% was measured for the 60× Plan Fluor. The part of the illumination profile with CV = 2% contains about 35% of the total transmitted power in the sample plane. This means that intensity on the sample is about 10 W·cm⁻¹ with a 330-mW laser diode and 10× objective when the central part of the profile is magnified to fill the camera field of view. This value might already be practical for imaging, depending on the quantum yield of the UCNP used, their concentration, and camera sensitivity. With our variant of UCNP (monodisperse β-NaYF₄:Yb,Er core-only particles with 25-nm diameter), we found that laser intensity of about 100 W·cm⁻¹ allowed convenient observation of labeled cells through the binoculars (we note that appropriate laser safety goggles should be worn at all times to avoid possible laser reflections, even though the image in binoculars itself is free from laser scatter when correct filters are used).

4.2 UCNP Phantoms for Microscopy

The UCNP in the PVP matrix yielded highly homogeneous phantoms. Slight granularity becomes visible only at the highest magnifications. The best results were obtained when mixing chloroform particle colloid with PVP, while an aqueous mixture of PVP and bare UCNP (with oleic acid removed by HCl treatment) produced more granular phantoms. We also tested Sylgard 184 elastomer for phantom production, but in this case, the particles precipitated into fine aggregates, making the phantom unsuitable for microscopy. However, transparent blocks obtained by casting Sylgard 184 diluted with silicone oil into acrylic cuvettes are useful for spectroscopy and NIR laser beam visualization. To obtain phantoms with maximum particle concentration, we drop-casted particle colloid onto glass and let it evaporate slowly. Thanks to their narrow size distribution, the particles self-assembled into a regular hexagonal superlattice. While it was possible to find large areas with a defined number of particle monolayers, the lattice developed cracks, which would complicate CV evaluation. For adjustment purposes, such phantoms are superior due to their brightness.

4.3 Breast Cancer Cell UCNP Uptake

A detailed synthesis of UCNP and their following incorporation into amphiphilic HA derivatives were reported elsewhere. Electron microscopy and dynamic light scattering (DLS) were used to characterize size and morphology of UCNP incorporated into oleyl-hyaluronan micelles bearing targeting peptide. Dry-state STEM revealed UCNP clusters within polymer particles of oleyl-hyaluronan derivative with diameter 56 ± 14 nm [Fig. 3(a)]. The hydrodynamic diameter, expressed as Z-average size, was found to be 155.2 ± 5.2 nm [Fig. 3(b)]. The reason TEM size of the micelles is smaller than that measured by DLS is that DLS measures the hydrodynamic diameters with polymer chains extending into the aqueous solution, while STEM reflects the diameter of dried micelles.

Uptake of the UCNP loaded oleyl-hyaluronan micelles into MDA-MB 231 cancer cells was assessed from the widefield images of UCNP cellular luminescence (Fig. 4). The distribution of UCNP in the cells is a log-normal. The respective values of mean and standard deviation are 5.1 ± 0.4 for bare micelles and 5.9 ± 0.3 for peptide-bearing micelles. Uptake of peptide-bearing micelles was therefore significantly (6.4 times) greater than that of bare micelles. Since the hydrodynamic sizes of both micelle variants are comparable [Fig. 3(b)], we can conclude that the increased uptake is an effect of the peptide.

To determine the contribution of peptide receptor binding to cellular uptake, the cells were treated with free peptide (in molar excess to peptide conjugated to oleyl-HA derivative) to competitively inhibit micelle engagement of the receptors. Uptake of the peptide-bearing micelles dropped by 90% when the receptors were blocked (the corresponding peak is 4.9 ± 0.3) and was comparable with bare micelles.

4.4 Combined Fluorescence and UCNP Luminescence Imaging

Examples of widefield images of cells labeled simultaneously by UCNP and fluorescence dyes or histological DiffQuick stain are shown in Fig. 5. Thanks to the integration of our illuminator with the epifluorescence attachment, switching between UCNP, fluorescence, and bright-field was straightforward. Another advantage of top-hat illumination is that objectives can be swapped without any further adjustments. The possibility...
of observing UCNP luminescence through binoculars is beneficial for routine screening of the samples. In our experience, with cellular samples, the UCNP imaging was practical starting from the 20x objective. At smaller magnifications, the exposure time can reach many tens of seconds or the camera gain has to be increased and binning used. The presence of the UCNP in the sample did not interfere with the staining protocols we used.

**Fig. 3** (a) Scanning electron microscopy image of peptide-bearing oleyl-hyaluronan micelles. Image taken on FEI Magellan 400 microscope with detector for transmitted electrons. The inset displays higher-magnification image of the same sample (inset scale bar = 50 nm). Micelle samples were negatively stained using 0.5% phosphotungstic acid. (b) Hydrodynamic size distributions of bare (red) and peptide-bearing UCNP loaded micelles (green), dispersed in water at a concentration of 1 mg · mL⁻¹. Data from Malvern Zetasizer ZS Nano (25°C, 173° scattering angle).

**Fig. 4** Uptake of UCNP into MDA-MB 231 breast cancer cells evaluated for oleyl-hyaluronan micelles (a) with targeting peptide, (b) without peptide, and for micelles (c) with peptide where the cells were pretreated with peptide to block their receptors. Upper row shows overlays of bright-field images with UCNP luminescence (scale bar = 50 μm). UCNP signal intensity is expressed by “spectrum” LUT as defined in FIJI. This LUT emphasizes weak signals and is used to make the visual comparison easier. The graph displays UCNP uptake distributions calculated from 150 cells for each curve. Insets show the same data in the form of individual histograms fitted with normal distributions.
5 Conclusions
We have demonstrated a practical laser illuminator for widefield imaging of samples with upconverting nanoparticles on common biological microscopes. The illuminator produces highly homogeneous top-hat illumination pattern with coefficient of variation about 2% for a wide range of objectives. Thanks to the telescope placed after the microlens arrays, illumination quality and power transmission are not compromised even at large distances between microlens and microscope objective, and the profile dimensions can be adjusted. Integration with epifluorescence attachments allows easy switching between UCNP and fluorescence imaging. The illuminator is designed specifically to fit a Nikon E400 or Ti-E, but a similar design can be adapted for many other models of infinity-corrected microscopes.

We have successfully attached breast cancer targeting peptide to oleyl-hyaluronan micelles and proved their enhanced receptor-mediated uptake by MDA-MB 231 cells in vitro. The highly homogeneous illumination allows reliable mapping of UCNP distributions within the sample.
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