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Abstract. We summarize the capacity of high spatial resolution (<1 m) digital aerial imagery to support forest health monitoring. We review the current use of digital aerial imagery in the context of the recent mountain pine beetle epidemic in western Canada. Supported by this review, we posit that high spatial resolution digital aerial imagery can play at least two critical roles in forest health monitoring. First, the capacity to characterize damage at the individual tree level directly supports a broad range of forest health information needs (e.g., tree-level attributes for estimating the population at risk and for inputs to models, estimates of mortality, rates of population growth). Second, the level of detail afforded by the digital high spatial resolution aerial imagery provides critical calibration and validation data for lower spatial resolution remotely sensed imagery (e.g., QuickBird, Landsat) for large-area detection and mapping of forest damage and can be used in a double sampling scheme as a bridge between detailed field measures and landscape-level estimates of mortality. In an era with increasing numbers of commercially deployed sensors capable of acquiring high spatial resolution satellite imagery, the flexibility and cost-effectiveness of aerial image options should not be disregarded. Moreover, experiences with airborne imagery can continue to inform applications using high spatial resolution satellite imagery for forest health information needs. © 2012 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE). [DOI: 10.1117/1.JRS.6.062527]
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1 Introduction

Insect infestations and disease outbreaks often begin as localized phenomena, but given suitable environmental conditions, have the potential to cause widespread forest mortality over large areas.1 Approximately 37 million hectares of global forests were impacted by pests and disease between 1998 and 2002, representing 1.4% of global forest cover.2 Forest health monitoring requires spatially explicit information on the damaging agent, and the location, extent, magnitude, and nature of the disturbance.3 These data are used to determine appropriate management strategies to treat or reduce the impact of disturbances. As mitigation options and the success of mitigation outcomes are improved with early detection and treatment,3 forest health protection programs are often designed and implemented to monitor and detect disturbances
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at the level of the individual tree or forest stand. In most countries, forest health information is obtained informally, with the presence of pests and diseases determined through serendipitous field observations. Routine forest health monitoring, often implemented using systematic aerial surveys conducted over large areas, are commonplace in the United States and in some provincial jurisdictions in Canada, enabling the rapid and efficient acquisition of landscape-level data over forested areas.

Remotely sensed imagery has been used to detect and monitor disturbances caused by forest pests and diseases. Stand and tree-level characterizations have been enabled through parallel advancements in the spatial resolution of both satellite and airborne sensors. The spatial resolution of commercial spaceborne high spatial resolution sensors has increased (available at <1 m for panchromatic imagery and 2 to 4 m for multispectral imagery), as has the spatial resolution of airborne imagery (both panchromatic and color can now be acquired at the centimeter level). These advancements in spatial resolution enable the detection of very small objects in forests, such as groups of trees, and individual tree crowns. Traditionally, conventional aerial photography has formed the basis of forest health monitoring programs; however, as high spatial resolution satellite data become more widely available, it is increasingly being used for forest health applications. Digital camera technology, and associated enabling systems, has developed rapidly in the last decade, allowing for the acquisition of high spatial resolution digital aerial imagery. This digital aerial imagery has the potential to fulfill a broad range of forest health information needs, particularly those that require tree-level or detailed stand-level data.

This communication focuses on the use of high spatial digital aerial imagery in a forest health monitoring context. Our goal is to demonstrate how high spatial resolution digital aerial imagery can support detailed forest health monitoring information requirements and provide a low-cost, flexible complement and source of calibration and validation data for other, coarser surveys and data sources. The requisite properties of digital aerial imagery for forest health applications are considered, and a detailed review of the use of digital aerial imagery in the context of the current mountain pine beetle outbreak in western Canada is presented.

2 Properties of Digital Aerial Imagery

Small-format digital cameras were first made commercially available in the early 1990s and are now widely available and increasingly affordable. Small-format digital cameras typically record images using either a charge couple device (CCD) or a complementary metal-oxide semiconductor (CMOS). Ongoing advances in the development of these semiconductors has a direct impact on the number of pixels, and hence the resolution of these cameras. One of the main advantages of digital aerial imagery is its digital format, which negates the need to develop film and subsequently digitize data, both of which constitute a significant amount of time and expense when processing aerial photos. However, one of the disadvantages of digital aerial imagery is the relatively small spatial extent of each image, which can make data management, processing, and analyses challenging. To overcome this, individual image tiles are often mosaicked, resulting in a seamless image product over the area of interest. Another disadvantage associated with digital high spatial resolution aerial imagery is related to file size. The information rich nature of digital images can result in large file sizes that require considerable storage space. Notwithstanding these limitations, the storage of digital data is less expensive and more amenable to retrieval and archiving than conventional film products. Table 1 summarizes the properties of digital aerial imagery that should be considered in the context of forest health monitoring. For comparative purposes, the properties of satellite high spatial resolution imagery are also provided. These properties are discussed in greater detail in the following sections.

2.1 Spectral and Spatial Properties

Digital camera manufacturers are producing increasingly higher resolution cameras; currently, off-the-shelf small-format digital cameras range from 12 to 60 megapixels. These area-array
Table 1  Factors to be considered in the selection of appropriate high spatial resolution imagery for forest disturbance monitoring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aerial</th>
<th>Satellite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spatial resolution and extent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ability to capture high resolution imagery (any spatial resolution between 5 cm and 1 m pixel size).</td>
<td>Currently limited to a maximum spatial resolution of 50 cm for panchromatic and 2 m for multispectral. Other options for applications requiring lower resolution, multispectral, or very large area coverage.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparatively small image extent (depending on spatial resolution) requires many individual frames to cover a large area. Each individual frame has some radial distortion (above ground objects leaning away from the photo center on the outer edges of the photo frame).</td>
<td>Large image extent (64 km² for new acquisitions and 25 km² for archived images). Less radial scene distortion at edges of imagery allow for more accurate mosaicking.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spectral resolution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Camera: Typically red, green, and blue bands from the visible range are available. A near-infrared (NIR) band can be acquired with the use of an appropriate filter. The NIR band is of interest when the characterization of spectral vegetation profiles is needed.</td>
<td>The number and types of spectral bands available depend on the sensor. Bands from the visible (blue, green, red) and the NIR ranges are typically available. Note that the spatial resolution often varies by spectral band to accommodate required integration times.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temporal resolution</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexible acquisition dates with broader daily acquisition times. Multiple flight lines may take several hours (or longer) to capture, resulting in differing shadow directions on the imagery.</td>
<td>Fixed orbit does not allow for full flexibility in timing acquisitions. Nimble pointable sensor heads reduce revisit times dramatically, but markedly different acquisition parameters may impact subsequent analyses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acquisition is flexible; data can be acquired on relatively short notice.</td>
<td>New imagery must be ordered far in advance of desired acquisition time, and changes to acquisition location or timing are difficult or impossible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geometric fidelity</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of ABGPS or GPS/INS positioning systems result in accurate imagery with minimal ground control.</td>
<td>Satellite orbital models on new satellites are becoming accurate enough to allow orthocorrection with minimal ground control.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stereo imagery can be acquired.</td>
<td>Stereo imagery can be acquired.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Logistical considerations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More flexible weather opportunities, including high overcast weather and the ability to move from site to site depending on cloud development. Aircraft costs incurred for successful and unsuccessful photo missions.</td>
<td>Fixed orbit does not allow for full flexibility in timing acquisitions. Purchase agreements can require purchase of image unsuitable for a project (e.g., users must accept a maximum of 15% cloud cover for new taskings).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Requires specialized aircraft with integration of camera equipment/flight management software and GPS equipment. Platform is modular in nature, with the ability to capture imagery from a single vertical camera, multiple offset cameras, and oblique angle imagery. Image acquisition is catered to the particular project and information need.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

digital camera systems produce frame images that can be processed using standard digital photogrammetric software (once the camera has been calibrated). Generally, digital cameras have less color bias than film cameras as they consistently record imagery at the same color values, have a dynamic range of 10 to 11 $f$-stops (that is, analogous to integration time of
digital sensors), while film cameras have a range of 4 to 5 f-stops. Most digital systems have a 12-bit dynamic range and can produce multispectral color imagery at wavelengths ranging from blue to near-infrared, for the production of normal color or color infrared images. Filters can also be fitted to provide more narrow regions of the electromagnetic spectrum that can be especially useful for detecting specific types of forest health problems. Digital images may be spectrally enhanced and some of the indices (i.e., ratio of red to green bands) and analysis methods developed for use with satellite remotely sensed imagery may also be implemented using digital aerial imagery.

The spatial resolution of digital aerial imagery depends on the camera used and the altitude of the aircraft at the time of image acquisition. The size of an individual cell and the number of cells contained in the camera’s CCD or CMOS area array provides an indication of the potential spatial resolution of the imagery: as the number of cells increases, the potential spatial resolution will be greater. When flying low and slow, pixel sizes on the order of 5 and 10 cm are possible, enabling detailed tree-level information to be generated.

2.2 Data Acquisition

Aerial imaging platforms are typically modular and can be configured into a system that is best suited to a particular application. Two or more digital cameras can be used to capture different spectral imagery simultaneously (i.e., normal color and infrared) or multiple identical digital cameras may be configured in an array at offset angles to maximize spatial coverage of a flight line. A typical setup for airborne acquisition would include a laptop for flight control, camera control, and image storage, an anti-vibration mount, a global positional system (GPS), and an inertial navigation system (INS).

Digital aerial imagery is acquired from aircraft similar to those used during aerial surveys (i.e., manual capture of forest damage by an interpreter in the aircraft), which are flown at altitudes of between 300 and 2000 m above the ground. Initial tests with digital cameras yielded high quality images that looked similar to a 35 mm film photo, and had the potential to meet resource management needs. In early applications of digital aerial imagery, spatial resolution and the capacity for storage were relatively low; however, advances in technology have dramatically increased the resolution and storage capacity of digital cameras.

Obtaining aerial imagery is generally more flexible in acquisition terms than satellite imagery, since real-time decisions can be made on how, where, and when to acquire imagery. The flexibility of aerial data collection allows for alterations to flight plans to take advantage of, or respond to, changing weather conditions, thereby increasing the likelihood that data can be acquired during specific forest health bio-windows, (when visible symptoms of infestation or disease are most pronounced). This acquisition flexibility is especially useful in areas that are difficult to image using satellite-based sensors as a result of frequent cloud cover, since airborne sensors are able to fly below the cloud to acquire imagery (under uniform cloud cover and lighting conditions). The acquisition of digital aerial imagery can easily be tailored to meet the needs of the end user, with the additional advantage of real-time viewing, such that acquisition parameters can be fine-tuned to ensure the best possible imagery for the end user.

For aerial acquisitions, there are some additional costs and operational factors to consider including: costs for fuel and time to ferry the aircraft to the target area; scheduling (amount of notice to data provider and wait time for clear weather); sensor type (dictated by information need) and availability; and target area size and resolution (time required for data acquisition). Other costs can include obtaining ground control data, as well as orthorectification, color balancing, and mosaicking of the imagery.

2.3 Postprocessing

Digital aerial imagery usually requires more intensive processing than satellite imagery to generate a geometrically correct data product, although current computing capabilities have alleviated some of the challenges associated with this process. Furthermore, advances in GPS, which provide the image ground location; inertial measurement units (IMU), which account for aircraft pitch, roll, and
yaw, and record the location and exterior orientations of each photo capture to automate the aerial triangulation of the photos into orthophoto mosaics; and flight management systems, which control the camera(s) in order to capture imagery at exact photo overlaps, have all improved the geometric fidelity of digital aerial imagery.10,11

3 Methods for Extracting Information from Digital Aerial Imagery

Interpretation methods for extracting information from digital aerial imagery have generally advanced from visual and manual approaches, to automated pixel-based classifiers, and more recently complex object-based approaches.17 Manual interpretation of digital aerial imagery is analogous to the approach followed using traditional aerial photography: features of interest are delineated and attributed by considering the tone or color, size, shape, pattern, texture, shadows, site, and context of the features of interest.18 The manual approach relies on the interpreter’s existing knowledge of an area to aid in analysis and although it can be sufficiently accurate for forest health applications, the manual approach can also be expensive, subjective, and time consuming. Furthermore, there is a shortage of well-trained and experienced interpreters, especially those who have spent years in field who can provide their skills for photo interpretation.15

Automated approaches to information extraction from digital aerial imagery are either pixel-based or object-based. Pixel-based approaches can be supervised or unsupervised and can provide a rapid, systematic, consistent, and repeatable method for identifying certain forest health problems. However, the variance rich environment of digital aerial imagery creates difficulties for pixel-based classifiers: individual objects are composed of many pixels; for instance, a tree crown would have sunlit and shaded canopy and sunlit and shaded background. A pixel-based classifier would place all the crown features into different classes, requiring postclassification merging (if possible) or resulting in an ineffectual classification.7

As an alternative, object-based classification offers a means to group pixels into objects based upon homogeneity criteria, whereby spectral values within the image are used in concert with other interpretation characteristics (such as tone, texture, shape) for multiple pixels, to identify features of interest. Object-based classifiers are systematic, consistent, repeatable, and also allow the incorporation of multiple scales of imagery.19 The object-based approach is well suited to very high spatial digital aerial imagery as pixels can be grouped meaningfully into objects.7,19-21

4 Digital Aerial Imagery for Monitoring Mountain Pine Beetle Damage to Forests

The cumulative forest area impacted by the recent mountain pine beetle epidemic in western Canada has exceeded 17.5 million hectares and drawn significant attention.22 When a host tree is killed by mountain pine beetle, the tree’s crown will fade to red (known as red attack stage), and eventually, the dead tree will shed its needles (known as grey attack stage). This characteristic change in the color of the tree crown makes mountain pine beetle damage well-suited to detection with a variety of remote sensing instruments. High spatial resolution satellite imagery has been used to provide stand-level information for mountain pine applications, including mortality mapping8,14,23-25 and assessing changes in the location and extent of infestations over time.26 High spatial resolution digital aerial imagery has also been used for a range of information needs: observing damage over large areas or to individual trees,27,28 mapping the spread of infestations and estimating the severity of attack,29 and determining environmental conditions that enable attack.29 Digital aerial imagery can be used to verify ground-based estimates of mortality and extend those estimates across larger areas.27,28 Given the capacity to resolve individual tree crowns with high resolution digital aerial imagery (Fig. 1), tree attributes such as crown size, foliage area, and inference of diameter at breast height can be derived, over a range of accuracies, for all the trees on an image, rather than for small sample plots.29 Tree species can be identified or inferred, enabling estimates of the population-at-risk to infestation. In addition, stand conditions can be examined to determine what, if any, forest
management practices have been applied to the stand. Digital aerial imagery can be used in sampling schemes to determine attributes such as the number of infested trees in an area or the rate of population growth. Furthermore, this imagery can serve as an important source of calibration and validation data for map products generated from lower spatial resolution data sources or as inputs to insect-spread models. Finally, digital high spatial resolution imagery provides a permanent record of stand conditions that can subsequently be interrogated for retrospective analyses or for other applications.

High spatial resolution digital aerial imagery (30 cm) has been used to map different stages of mountain pine beetle mortality (red- and gray-attack). One of the objectives of this work was to assess the impact of image resolution on automated pixel-based classifiers through the systematic degradation of image resolution. The authors found that the pixel-based methods performed poorly on the 30 cm imagery, with the greatest accuracy (90%) found for an image resolution of 2.4 m. This result should not be surprising given that past research has indicated the superiority of object-based methods for high spatial resolution imagery. Moreover, a resolution of 2.4 m is likely insufficient for generating the detailed tree-level information required for many mountain pine beetle applications. Finally, although the authors were able to distinguish between different stages of mortality, the error of commission for gray attack was very large (35.2% to 57.1%). Such false positives are more problematic than omission errors in the context of suppression or management activities as limited resources may be misdirected to these areas.

Digital aerial imagery acquired in successive years provides an important archival record of changes in forest health over time. Such data have been used to assess the growth and spread of mountain pine beetle infestations and can support future reporting requirements and retrospective analyses. Mountain pine beetle population trends are expressed through the green attack to red attack ratio ($G : R$), which compares the number of current successfully attacked trees ($G$) to trees successfully attacked in the previous year ($R$). In retrospective analyses, the $G : R$ ratio derived from high spatial resolution imagery can be used to assess the efficacy of mitigation activities and archived imagery can be used to support these analyses. For example, three successive years of high spatial resolution digital aerial imagery from 2006 to 2008 were used to monitor the changes in the $G : R$ ratio, and make inferences on the impacts of mitigation activities. Since the red attack stage trees identified in 2007 and 2008 can be back-cast as green attack in 2006 and 2007, respectively, this enables the estimation of $G : R$ for 2006 and 2007. Infested trees were manually interpreted on each image and $G : R$ ratios defined over the monitoring period. The ratios indicated that mitigation slowed the rate of population growth,

![Image of VHSR airborne imagery showing various stages of mountain pine beetle infestation](https://www.spiedigitallibrary.org/journals/Journal-of-Applied-Remote-Sensing)
with the population of beetle found to be decreasing or stable over sites A and B as long as mitigation was continued. Once mitigation was discontinued over site A, the $G:R$ ratio increased, compared to decrease in $G:R$ at site B, where mitigation was ongoing.

Estimation and observation of the status and change in $G:R$ ratios over time enable insights on the nature of infestation development and dynamics. Adaptive cluster sampling has been used to determine $G:R$. In both studies, samples of digital aerial imagery with a 20 cm resolution, acquired over two years, and an adaptive cluster sampling approach were used to identify areas of infestation at two study sites at the leading edge of the current infestation. A 60 m $\times$ 60 m grid was overlaid on a mosaicked image of the study area (approximately 40 km$^2$). Transect lines were randomly positioned within the grid and mountain pine beetle infested trees automatically delineated with an object-based classification approach. In the first study, estimates of the mean, variance, and confidence intervals for the number of infested trees, as well as the rate of infestation expansion were calculated. The infestation at both sites was found to have approximately doubled in a single year. In the second study, the adaptive cluster sampling approach was compared to a nonadaptive approach. The adaptive approach was found to be twice as efficient at identifying infested trees as the nonadaptive approach and is particularly well-suited to identifying low levels of attack at the leading edge of the infestation. High spatial resolution digital aerial imagery is well suited to adaptive cluster sampling with a line transect approach, since imagery is often acquired along flight lines. Contrast this to the logistics of attempting to acquire imagery for a random sample of locations distributed along the leading edge of the infestation.

Digital aerial imagery offers the capacity to routinely collect tree level information on attacked trees, which are useful in an annual monitoring program. Such imagery can be used to detect and extrapolate tree-level information on mountain pine beetle red attack damage and rates of change in mountain pine beetle populations to large areas. A prototype monitoring system was designed to capture conditions in 2006 and 2007 at a location on the leading edge of the mountain pine beetle infestation in northern British Columbia and incorporated field measures, high spatial resolution digital aerial imagery (10 and 40 cm), and high spatial resolution satellite imagery (QuickBird). As persistent cloud cover precluded the collection of QuickBird imagery for the study area in 2007, the 40 cm digital aerial imagery was acquired on short notice and was used as a surrogate for the QuickBird. Digital aerial imagery was vital to the development of the monitoring prototype as it enabled sufficiently detailed tree-level information to be generated over a large area. A double sampling approach was used to build a regression model between mortality estimates from the digital aerial imagery and estimates from the QuickBird satellite imagery, which in turn was used to adjust the estimates of mortality over a larger area. The advantage of such an approach is that expensive data collection methods, such as ground sampling are minimized, while the estimates from the relatively lower cost, large-area data sources, such as satellite imagery, are optimized.

The use of 10 cm digital aerial imagery to generate plot-level stem maps has been demonstrated. From these stem maps, a range of plot-level and individual tree-level attributes were estimated and compared to field measures. Stocking density ($r^2 = 0.91$, standard error $= 506.51$, $p < 0.001$) and stem diameter ($r^2 = 0.51$, standard error $= 2.63$, $p < 0.001$) were found to be sufficiently correlated with field measures and were used as inputs to an infestation spread model. One of the advantages of digital aerial imagery for this purpose over conventional ground data is the fact that imagery captures both infested and uninfested areas, providing an indication of the population-at-risk, as well as the spatial context of the infestation.

Finally, digital aerial imagery can also be combined with other data sources, such as light detection and ranging (lidar) data, to estimate forest attributes and the condition of attacked trees. A combination of digital aerial imagery and lidar data was used to estimate the volume of lodgepole pine killed by a mountain pine beetle infestation. Following a sampling approach, Fifty-five 0.25 ha photo plots were established and the lidar data were used to estimate volume, while the imagery was used to indicate the health status of individual trees within the plot. At the plot level, mountain pine beetle was estimated to have killed approximately 40 m$^3$ of standing timber (standard deviation of 27 m$^3$) or 159 m$^3$ per hectare (with a standard deviation of 109 m$^3$ per hectare), which represents 42% of the lodgepole pine in the study area. The synergy between different data types, as demonstrated in this
study, enables more refined estimates of tree volume lost to mountain pine beetle, which are currently estimated using relatively coarse strategic data sets.

5 Summary

In summary, we have identified that high spatial resolution digital aerial imagery has some specific advantages over conventional aerial photography and satellite remote sensing and can offer complementary information for forest health monitoring. Moreover, the acquisition of high spatial digital aerial imagery with small-format digital cameras is cost effective when compared to extensive ground data collection. Digital aerial imagery, particularly color or infrared imagery, may be acquired with higher spatial resolutions than is possible with current earth-imaging satellites. As highlighted in this communication, the detail afforded by high spatial digital aerial imagery can support a broad range of information needs associated with forest health monitoring and can serve as a proxy for ground data or high spatial resolution satellite data when collection of either of these data are precluded by cost or logistical constraints. In an era when research is focused on increasingly higher spatial resolution satellite imagery, the utility of digital aerial imagery for forest health monitoring should not be disregarded.
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