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Abstract. A fiber sensor array for subsurface CO₂ concentration measurements was developed for monitoring geologic carbon sequestration sites. The fiber sensor array uses a single temperature-tunable distributed feedback (DFB) laser operating with a nominal wavelength of 2.004 μm. Light from this DFB laser is directed to one of the four probes via an inline 1 × 4 fiber optic switch. Each of the four probes is buried and allows the subsurface CO₂ to enter the probe through Millipore filters that allow the soil gas to enter the probe but keeps out the soil and water. Light from the DFB laser interacts with the CO₂ before it is directed back through the inline fiber optic switch. The DFB laser is tuned across two CO₂ absorption features, where a transmission measurement is made allowing the CO₂ concentration to be retrieved. The fiber optic switch then directs the light to the next probe where this process is repeated, allowing subsurface CO₂ concentration measurements at each of the probes to be made as a function of time. The fiber sensor array was deployed for 58 days beginning from June 19, 2012 at the Zero Emission Research Technology field site, where subsurface CO₂ concentrations were monitored. Background measurements indicate that the fiber sensor array can monitor background levels as low as 1000 parts per million (ppm). A 34-day subsurface release of 0.15 tones CO₂/day began on July 10, 2012. The elevated subsurface CO₂ concentration was easily detected by each of the four probes with values ranging over 60,000 ppm, a factor of greater than 6 higher than background measurements. © The Authors. Published by SPIE under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported License. Distribution or reproduction of this work in whole or in part requires full attribution of the original publication, including its DOI. [DOI: 10.1117/1.JRS.8.083699]
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1 Introduction

The average atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide (CO₂) has been monitored continuously beginning in Mauna Loa Observatory in Hawaii since 1957. The average atmospheric concentration of CO₂ has risen over the past 55-year observation record from a mean value of 315.97 parts per million (ppm) in 1959 to 391.57 ppm in 2011. Furthermore, the rate of change of the atmospheric concentration of CO₂ has increased from an average value of 0.85 ppm/year between 1960 and 1969 to 1.96 ppm/year between 2000 and 2009. Records of CO₂ concentrations from other sites around the globe show similar results.

The increasing level of atmospheric CO₂ is due to anthropogenic activity including the burning of fossil fuel and land-use changes. The CO₂ emission from fossil fuel combustion was 7.9 gigatones of carbon (GtC) per year in 2005, whereas the CO₂ emission from land-use changes, mainly clearing of land, was 1.5 GtC per year in 2005. Atmospheric CO₂ is estimated to contribute approximately 63% of the gaseous radiative forcing responsible for anthropogenic climatic change. The increasing atmospheric concentration of CO₂ resulting from anthropogenic
sources including fossil fuel consumption and land-use changes is causing international concern regarding their effects on the climatic system.7–15

Carbon sequestration16–21 is one method for mitigating the emission of CO₂ from power generation facilities. Carbon sequestration captures the CO₂ at the source, such as coal-fired power plants, and then injects the CO₂ into geologic formations to minimize the CO₂ emissions into the atmosphere. Furthermore, injection of CO₂ can be used for enhanced oil recovery (EOR) extending the production lifetime of oil wells. A variety of carbon sequestration projects on the commercial scale is under way including the Sleipner Saline Aquifer Storage Project,22 currently storing CO₂ beneath the North Sea, and the Weyburn Project in Canada,23,24 which is using injected CO₂ for EOR to extend the lifetime of the oil fields. Furthermore, in the United States, seven regional Carbon Sequestration Partnerships25 are working to develop the science and technology needed for successful and safe carbon sequestration and EOR.

Monitoring instrumentation is one of the many areas of technology development needed for successful carbon sequestration.26–33 This instrumentation will be needed for both down-well and near-surface measurements for tracking the fate of the CO₂ once it is injected, and for ensuring both carbon sequestration site integrity and public safety. These needs will require monitoring technology based on seismic detectors and down-well sensors for both pressure and temperature. A variety of monitoring tools and techniques will need to be developed to encompass the wide variability in the carbon sequestration sites. One specific group of detection tools currently in development utilizes the light from a tunable distributed feedback (DFB) laser to monitor molecular absorption of ambient air, allowing CO₂ concentrations to be found.34–38 In this article, the development and demonstration of a 1 × 4 fiber sensor array operated with a DFB laser for subsurface monitoring of CO₂ are presented.

The 1 × 4 fiber sensor array utilizes a single DFB laser operating in the continuous wave mode with a nominal operating wavelength near 2 μm to make integrated path differential absorption (IPDA) measurements of subsurface CO₂ concentration. The light from the DFB laser is directed by a 1 × 4 fiber optic switch to the first of four probes that are placed underground. The light interacts with the subsurface CO₂ and is then directed back through the switch to a transmission detector. The DFB laser is scanned over CO₂ absorption features, allowing subsurface CO₂ concentrations to be retrieved. The fiber optic switch then addresses the second probe, and this process is repeated until measurements at all four probes have been completed, at which point the process is repeated.

The predecessor to this 1 × 4 array was tested in the years prior to the 2012 test of this instrument. This previous instrument did not incorporate a fiber switch and used only a single subsurface sensor. Four probes were chosen as a tractable means to test the scalability of the system as pertinent for use at commercial or large-scale sequestration sites.

This 1 × 4 sensor array offers a variety of advantages for commercial and scientific uses. The send/call geometry of the programming allows the fiber array to be scaled to N probes in a cost-effective manner by utilizing a single laser, two detectors, and one fiber optic switch, which are the expensive components, while designing the probes to be low cost. Commercial switches with up to 1 × 50 are available39 which allows this technology to scale up to a 1 × 50 array, leading to a low-cost sensor array since the cost of each fiber probe is minimal. Comparable point sensor arrays for CO₂ can easily add an order of magnitude in terms of cost for a system of the same size. Furthermore, because the instrument utilizes all fiber optic components, the sensor can be easily configured for field deployment and is not affected by adverse weather conditions. The system is also designed to run completely autonomously for extended periods of time and only requires personnel for data retrieval. Finally, even operating with a very low-power DFB laser and short-length free-space cells, subsurface CO₂ fluctuations due to microbial activity can be monitored.

Integration of a second DFB laser and a multiplexer could allow for measurements of subsurface oxygen (O₂) levels and conclusions to be drawn on changes in soil gas content and its causes.

This article is organized as follows. A brief discussion of IPDA spectroscopy is presented in Sec. 2. In Sec. 3, a description of a 1 × 4 fiber sensor array is presented. Data from a 58-day field deployment at a controlled subsurface release of CO₂ at the Zero Emission Research Technology (ZERT) field site40–41 are presented in Sec. 4. Finally, some brief concluding remarks are presented in Sec. 5.
2 Integrated Path Differential Absorption Spectroscopy

The atmospheric concentration of a molecular species can be related to the transmission of light by considering the optical depth, $\alpha L$, where $\alpha$ is the absorption per unit length for the molecular species of interest and $L$ is the length of the light that interacts with the molecular species of interest. The optical depth can be related to the molecular line strength, $S$, and the normalized lineshape, $g(v - v_0)$, by the relationship

$$\alpha L = S g(v - v_0) N P_a L,$$

(1)

with $N = N_L (296/T_a)$ is the total number of molecules, where $N_L = 2.479 \times 10^{19}$ molecules/cm atm is Loschmidt’s number and $T_a$ is the temperature in K. $P_a$ is the partial pressure of the molecule of interest in atm. The number density of the molecules of interest is $N P_a$, whereas the total number density of molecules is $N P_T$, where $P_T$ is the atmospheric pressure in atm. The concentration of molecules of interest is thus

$$c = \frac{N P_a}{N P_T} = \frac{P_a}{P_T}.$$

(2)

Using Beer’s law, which relates the transmission as a function of the optical depth by $T = e^{-\alpha L}$, and the above two equations, the concentration for the molecular species of interest is

$$c = \frac{-\ln(T)}{S g(v - v_0) N L \left(\frac{296}{T_a}\right) P_T L}.$$

(3)

Values for the linestrength, $S$, and normalized lineshape, $g(v - v_0)$, are tabulated in the high-resolution transmission molecular absorption (HITRAN) database. With measurements of the transmission for a known pathlength, temperature, and pressure, a retrieval of the molecular concentration can be completed using Eq. (3).

The subsurface concentration of CO$_2$ can range up to 10,000 ppm depending on soil moisture, temperature, and microbial activity. A plot of the transmission as a function of wavelength is shown in Fig. 1 for a pathlength of $L = 1$ m with a total atmospheric pressure of $P_T = 1$ atm and an ambient temperature of $T_a = 288$ K. The solid black line (dashed blue line and dotted red line) represents the transmission spectrum for a 2000 ppm (10,000 ppm and 60,000 ppm) CO$_2$
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Transmission as a function of wavelength for a 1-m pathlength, a temperature of 288 K, and a pressure of 1 atm. The black solid line (blue dashed line and red dotted line) represents calculations based on a CO$_2$ concentration of 2000 ppm (10,000 ppm and 60,000 ppm). This range of CO$_2$ concentration represents the expected subsurface CO$_2$ concentration that will be seen at a geologic sequestration site with background levels typically between 2000 and 8000 ppm depending on microbial activity and meteorological conditions.
concentration. These values of CO₂ concentration were chosen as the representative of the range of subsurface CO₂ concentrations expected at a geologic sequestration site. The maximum expected absorption for the line centered at 2.00402 μm for a CO₂ concentration of 2000 ppm (10,000 ppm and 60,000 ppm) is 2.9% (13.6% and 57.8%). The transmission measured by the instrument and the resulting calculated CO₂ concentrations will be based around the 2.00402-μm absorption line. Values for the wavelength, linestrength, and lineshape for the eight strongest CO₂ absorption features in 2.001- to 2.005-μm wavelength range are presented in Table 1.

### Table 1

The wavelength, linestrength, and normalized lineshape for the eight strongest CO₂ absorption features in the 2.001- to 2.005-μm wavelength range. The two absorption lines used in the experiment described in this article are highlighted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wavelength (μm)</th>
<th>Line strength 10⁻²¹ (molecules/cm)</th>
<th>Normalized lineshape (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.0011020</td>
<td>0.8112</td>
<td>1.1600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0015577</td>
<td>0.9316</td>
<td>1.1516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0020255</td>
<td>1.048</td>
<td>1.1401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0025057</td>
<td>1.153</td>
<td>1.1304</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0029980</td>
<td>1.241</td>
<td>1.1161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0035036</td>
<td>1.302</td>
<td>1.1022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0040192</td>
<td>1.332</td>
<td>1.0842</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0045482</td>
<td>1.332</td>
<td>1.0653</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3 Instrument Description

A schematic of the fiber sensor array is shown in Fig. 2. A DFB laser operating at 2.004-μm is mounted in a 14 pin butterfly package with a fiber-pigtailed output. The DFB laser has an internal thermoelectric cooler (TEC) that allows temperature tuning of the DFB laser. The DFB laser is mounted in a commercial mount that provides a second TEC that is used to stabilize the ambient temperature in which the DFB laser operates. This second TEC is important during field operations, where temperatures can range between a low of 0°C at night to a high of 35°C during the day. The fiber-coupled output from the DFB laser is nonisolated and directly incident on an inline fiber splitter, which uses multimode optical fiber, with 50% of the light from one port directed to a reference detector. The remaining 50% of the light from the second port is...
directed to an inline 1 × 4 fiber optic switch. The inline opto-mechanical fiber optic switch has an insertion loss of less than 0.6 dB with a crosstalk of less than −60 dB. Each of the four fiber-coupled output ports is connected via a multimode fiber optic cable to a probe that is placed into the ground. These probes collimate the light and allow it to interact with the CO₂ that diffuses into the buried probes through Millipore filters placed at the top and bottom of the probes. These filters allow the soil gas to diffuse into the probes but keep out dirt and water. The light is then recoupled into the multimode optical fiber, where it is directed back through the fiber optic switch, and is again incident on the inline fiber splitter, where light from one port is directed to a transmission detector. The reference and transmission detectors are monitored using a multi-channel voltmeter that can be read by a computer via a GPIB interface.

The laser temperature and current are regulated using a Wavelength Electronics dual laser driver and TEC controller (WTC0520). This unit has the option for both onboard and remote control capability. The laser current is run at a constant 50 mA using an onboard trimpot. The laser temperature is controlled remotely by the computer for tuning over the desired range. This operation requires a digital to analog converter (DAC) to convert the digital program commands to analog voltage signals for input into the temperature controller. The actual temperature of the laser is monitored in real time with feedback from the built-in laser thermistor, but is not used as feedback to control the laser temperature. Before field deployment, the laser wavelength was calibrated to specific temperatures. Based on this calibration, the temperature is scanned through a range of temperatures containing the absorption features of interest. In this way, actual TEC temperature, and thus the laser wavelength, can be monitored and controlled from a single panel in the Labview control program.

During field deployment, very little change in wavelength was observed in the laser operation. Minor shifts were expected to occur in the laser output wavelength due to age or extreme environmental temperature changes, but these effects were minimally observed. Any slight change in the temperature–wavelength correlation of the laser was mitigated by the programming, which always seeks out the minima of the returned intensity and assigns it to the proper absorption feature. Long-term study of the laser change in wavelength due to use would be useful for further understanding of system performance.

A schematic of the fiber probes is shown in Fig. 3. The optical fiber from the 1 × 4 fiber optic switch is a multimode optical fiber with a core diameter of 62.5 μm (Opteqip A20134) with angled physical contact (FC/APC) connector. This connector couples to the fiber probe via a keyed FC/APC connector mounted in the top-end cap of the probe. The light exiting the fiber is collimated with an aspheric, fiber-coupled collimator which has a focal length of f = 11 mm and a reflectivity of <3%. The collimated light travels to the mirror mounted in a commercial optical mount that reflects the light back through the collimating lens and back into the optical fiber. The mirror mount has a resistive heater attached to ensure that the condensation does not form on the mirror when the fiber probe is buried for extended periods of time. A thermistor is also placed in the fiber optic probe to allow temperature measurements needed for the data inversion discussed in Sec. 2. Millipore filters in both the top-end cap and bottom-end cap allow soil gas to move into and out of the fiber probe when the fiber is buried, while keeping out dirt and water. The overall length of the fiber probe is 60 cm with a 50-cm free space pathlength where the light and CO₂ interact. The diameter of the end caps is 5.0 cm, while the diameter of the narrower central tube is 3.8 cm. The fiber probes are made out of aluminum. A picture of the four completed probes is shown in Fig. 3. During field deployment, each of the four probes was buried in large diameter PVC tube that had been perforated with 3/16 in. holes to allow for soil gas to pass through the tube unimpeded. This was done to allow for easy access to the probes once buried. In the event the return signal was lost and the probes could easily be removed from the ground for inspection and maintenance. However, during field testing, the probes did not require removal once placed in the ground. Originally, the probes were also designed with piezoelectric transducers mounted behind the mirror to help peak up the return signal after an undesired strain or stress on the probe caused some loss in return intensity. Once it was realized that the fiber probes remained at peak signal for long durations of time, the piezozos were removed from the system.

The instrument is operated using software developed in the Labview programming environment. Data are collected in the following manner. Once the channel to the desired probe is set, the
programming begins a digital ramp to slowly tune the laser by stepping its operating temperature. This is a basic positive ramp function that outputs a voltage to a DAC, in which the user can set the step size and start/stop values of the function. At each step of the voltage ramp, the DAC converts the value to its analog counterpart and outputs it to the laser TEC controller. This, in turn, causes a small positive change in temperature for the diode and thus a small increase in wavelength. During each step of the temperature, the computer records a reference signal value (voltage) from the laser, a transmission signal from the probe, and the subsurface temperature. The reference and transmission signals are actually recorded several times per step, and the median value is recorded for that temperature (wavelength) step. This is done to help mitigate any noise or modulation while the laser stabilizes to that temperature. The dwell time at each step, the step size, and the time between each reference and transmission measurements are all defined by the user. Experimental measurements show that the laser requires at least 1 s to settle into each temperature and to stabilize the output wavelength. During the actual field testing of the instrument, each temperature step took about 4 s, allowing ample time for the laser wavelength to stabilize and the computer to monitor accurately the reference and transmission signals.

A single scan for a probe takes about 7 min, contains 100 points of measurement for the reference/transmission signals (mean values), and moves the laser through a temperature range from 33 to 39°C. Once a scan is completed, the transmission is normalized and the molecular concentration can be back calculated using the results in Sec. 2, and the program moves on to the next probe to repeat the entire process.

4 Controlled Subsurface Release Experiment

The ZERT field site\textsuperscript{35,36} is a controlled CO\textsubscript{2} release facility located on the western edge of Montana State University in Bozeman, Montana (45°39'N, 111°04'W), at an elevation of
1495 m. The ZERT site has a buried horizontal release pipe that was developed to simulate a longitudinal CO₂ leak source, such as a geologic fault or a weakness in a geologic capstone atop a subsurface reservoir, for the development and testing of near-surface and surface monitoring tools for carbon sequestration. A picture of the ZERT field site is shown in Fig. 4 along with a picture of the fiber array instrument deployed at the site. The site is on a relatively flat alluvial plain that consists of thick sandy gravel deposits overtopped by several meters of silts, clays, and topsoil. The buried release pipe is 98-m long with an inner diameter of 10.16 cm and is oriented 45 deg east of true north. The central 70 m of the pipe is perforated to seep CO₂ during injection. A series of eight packers was placed within the release pipe to assist in dispersing the gas evenly along the slotted portions of the release pipe with each of the eight sections of pipe plumbed with its own flow controller. The pipe was buried using a horizontal drilling technique that minimized disturbance to the surface environment; however, the pipe installation was deflected from a perfectly straight path because of cobble in the gravel-layer underground.

A 34-day release experiment was performed beginning from July 10, 2012. The CO₂ release rate for this experiment was 0.15 tons CO₂/ day, about the equivalent to two idling cars, evenly distributed over the eight sections of the underground pipe. The flow rate was chosen in the following manner. Approximately 4 × 10⁶ tones CO₂/year can be captured from a 500 MW fossil fuel-burning power plant. Over a 50-year period, this would result in a total of 200 × 10⁶ tones CO₂ which could be sequestered. Assuming that the injection area is approximately 1% of a typical geologic fault in size, the flow rate was chosen so that the seepage would mimic less that 0.01% through a typical fault. This implies that the flow rate chosen mimics the levels that need to be monitored and observed at geologic sequestration sites.

A plot of the normalized transmission as a function of wavelength is shown in Fig. 5. The solid red line represents the normalized transmission measured using one of the four probes during the release experiment. The Labview program used to collect and process the data, which was described in Sec. 3 above, returned a CO₂ concentration of 50,926 ppm. The dashed blue line in Fig. 5 is a plot of the transmission as a function of wavelength based on this CO₂ concentration resulting from the HITRAN database. Good agreement between the measured and expected results indicates that the fiber sensor probe and corresponding software are working properly.

The fiber sensor instrument was operated for a 58-day period, providing subsurface CO₂ concentration measurements from each of the four probes. A plot of the CO₂ concentration as a function of time for each of the four probes between July 5 and July 9, 2012, periods is shown in Fig. 6. This data were collected before the subsurface CO₂ began providing background data. During this 4-day period, the CO₂ concentration ranged between 1000 and 7000 ppm. A diurnal cycle is evident in Fig. 6 with a maximum CO₂ concentration occurring around 1:00 pm local time. There is a general decline in measured CO₂ concentrations after this time leading to a general minimum about 12 h later. This diurnal cycle is related to the subsurface microbial activity as well as the surface meteorological conditions and soil moisture. Secondary peaks do occur at a couple of points in the last 2 days shown in Fig. 6, which most likely correspond to the changes in wind speed or air pressure due to inclement weather. These changes in
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surface condition can drastically effect how CO2 rises from the dirt. This data show that each of the four fiber probes is able to monitor background CO2 concentration levels.

A plot of the CO2 concentration as a function of time for each of the four probes over a 58-day period is shown in Fig. 7. The four probes were located roughly on the corners of a rectangle with an area of about 1 m². The front two probes were placed closest to the pipe at a 0.5-m perpendicular distance with a maximum depth of about 1 m. The remaining two were shifted back by about another 0.5 m. All four probes were buried at 45-deg angles with respect to the horizontal surface. The CO2 release began at 12:00 pm local time on July 10, 2012, and lasted until August 13, 2012, with the release start time and stop marked in Fig. 7 with vertical lines. Data were collected 22 days before the start of the release to ensure that the instrument was able to monitor background levels. During this first 21 days, the background CO2 concentrations...
fluctuated between 1000 and 7000 ppm showing a daily diurnal cycle. After the start of the release, the subsurface CO\textsubscript{2} concentration began to rise in each of the four probes after approximately 1 day. This delay in the measured rise in subsurface CO\textsubscript{2} concentration results from the time it takes for the CO\textsubscript{2} to move from the release pipe to the location of the fiber sensor probes. About 2 days into the release experiment, a lightning strike caused a power outage and damaged the flow controllers, causing the CO\textsubscript{2} flow to be stopped. This is clearly seen in the data in the drop in CO\textsubscript{2} concentration until about 6 days after the start of the release at which time the CO\textsubscript{2} flow started again, and each of the four probes measured a rapidly rising CO\textsubscript{2} concentration which reached peak levels of about 65,000 ppm, for three of the four probes, until the release was stopped. The other probe registered values greater than 70,000 ppm during the release. Once the release was stopped, it took approximately 3 days for the subsurface CO\textsubscript{2} concentration values to fall back to their steady-state background levels.

5 Conclusions

A fiber optic sensor array for subsurface CO\textsubscript{2} concentration measurements has been demonstrated at the ZERT-controlled subsurface release facility over a 58-day period. This 1 × 4 fiber sensor array utilizing a send/call configuration uses a single-tunable DFB laser and fiber optic components to make subsurface CO\textsubscript{2} concentration measurements based on IPDA techniques. This instrument was successfully deployed over a 58-day period, measuring background CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations over 21 days, measuring the changing subsurface CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations in each of the four probes resulting from a 31-day subsurface controlled release, and finally monitoring the relaxation back to background levels for the 3 days after the injection was stopped. Background CO\textsubscript{2} concentrations ranged between 1000 and 7000 ppm, while the release was designed to mimic the conditions needed for successful geologic sequestration site monitoring with concentrations ranging over 70,000 ppm.

The 1 × 4 fiber optic sensor array can be scaled in a cost-effective manner for monitoring larger areas. Inline fiber optic switches based on the same technology used by the 1 × 4 switch employed in this demonstration instrument are available in a number of geometries with up to 50 output ports. The part count for the expensive components including the DFB laser, detectors, and fiber optic switch does not increase as the number of probes increases, and the probes have been designed to be made inexpensively. This allows the fiber sensor array to be scaled.
inexpensively with the added benefit that the fiber probes can be placed as needed and easily moved, providing for a reconfigurable sensor.

The fiber sensor array is currently used for the subsurface CO₂ detection. The ability to monitor other subsurface gases including methane (CH₄) and oxygen (O₂) can provide process-based information that can help determine the origin of the CO₂. For example, if photosynthesis is occurring, then the ratio of the change in CO₂ will be related to the ratio of the change in O₂. The ability to monitor other soil gases with a similar geometry can be incorporated through adding tunable DFB lasers at the appropriate wavelengths and using wavelength division multiplexers to allow for spectroscopy of multiple species in a single probe. Future research efforts are aimed at achieving this goal.
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