Low-frequency wide-field fluorescence lifetime imaging using a high-power near-infrared light-emitting diode light source
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Abstract. Fluorescence lifetime imaging (FLi) could potentially improve exogenous near-infrared (NIR) fluorescence imaging, because it offers the capability of discriminating a signal of interest from background, provides real-time monitoring of a chemical environment, and permits the use of several different fluorescent dyes having the same emission wavelength. We present a high-power, LED-based, NIR light source for the clinical translation of wide-field (larger than 5 cm in diameter) FLi at frequencies up to 35 MHz. Lifetime imaging of indocyanine green (ICG), IRDye 800-CW, and 3,3'-diethylthiatricarbocyanine iodide (DTTCl) was performed over a large field of view (10 cm by 7.5 cm) using the LED light source. For comparison, a laser diode light source was employed as a gold standard. Experiments were performed both on the bench by diluting the fluorescent dyes in various chemical environments in Eppendorf tubes, and in vivo by injecting the fluorescent dyes mixed in Matrigel subcutaneously into CD-1 mice. Last, measured fluorescence lifetimes obtained using the LED and the laser diode sources were compared with those obtained using a state-of-the-art time-domain imaging system and with those previously described in the literature. On average, lifetime values obtained using the LED and the laser diode light sources were consistent, exhibiting a mean difference of 3% from the expected values and a coefficient of variation of 12%. Taken together, our study offers an alternative to laser diodes for clinical translation of FLi and explores the use of relatively low frequency modulation for in vivo imaging, © 2010 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.3648997]
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1 Introduction

Over the past decade, wide-field, noncontact optical imaging has made tremendous progress toward solving important clinical problems. Continuous-wave (CW) fluorescence has been successfully translated to clinical trials1–7 and paves the way for many other optical techniques. Modulating the light source in space or time allows for improved fluorescence detection8–11, and for recovery of endogenous optical properties of tissues (i.e., absorption and scattering coefficients).12–15 Therefore, the translation of a wide-field, modulatable light source in the context of fluorescence imaging is of special interest. For instance, many fluorescence techniques require the intravenous injection of an exogenous fluorophore, which binds to a target, but which also typically clears incompletely from surrounding tissue, leading to a reduced signal-to-background ratio (SBR). Currently, the only solution to this problem is to design fluorescent probes that clear quickly from the body, resulting in a sufficiently high SBR.16,17

Another possible solution is the imaging of fluorescence lifetime (FLi). There are four main advantages with this technique. First, one can design a fluorescent probe whose lifetime is significantly different from endogenous fluorescence lifetimes.18 Second, fluorescence lifetime varies between the bound and unbound state.19 Third, lifetime varies with chemical environment, which permits functional imaging.20,21 And, last, probes with different lifetimes could be used to image several targets using only a single excitation wavelength.

Presently, however, clinical imaging systems that perform fluorescence lifetime imaging are not readily available. One of the major roadblocks to such systems is the design of a light source capable of producing safe, high-power illumination that is modulated at high frequency. Laser diode–based imaging systems, at the power required for wide-field imag-
ing, would require laser goggles and special operating room precautions. LEDs, on the other hand, are particularly attractive for clinical translation because they are safe, inexpensive, efficient, and available at many different wavelengths, and they have relatively narrow illumination bands. There has been some previous work using LEDs for FLi in wide-field microscopy, but our interest here is focused on macroscopic, surgical-scale wide-field (typically 10 cm in diameter) subsurface fluorescence imaging. In this application, optical power requirements are significant.

In this article, we lay the foundation for the clinical translation of fluorescence lifetime imaging. First, we describe an optimization of parameters for performing frequency-domain imaging (FDi) of fluorescence lifetimes in a clinical context. This includes the choice of the modulation frequency and its effect on lifetime recovery. We then introduce an LED-based light source specifically designed to modulate 12 high-power, 5-mm epoxy LEDs simultaneously and in phase. We validate the optical performance of this LED light source and compare it to the gold standard FLi light source, a laser diode, under both bench and in vivo conditions.

2 Optimal Fluorescence Lifetime Imaging (FLi)

2.1 General Description

Fluorescence lifetime imaging (FLi) has been studied extensively. Some of the most common applications include fluorescence lifetime determination using ultraviolet (UV) and blue wavelengths. Recently, there has been significant interest in using NIR wavelengths for exogenous fluorescence lifetime imaging. Several approaches are possible to perform FLi. The most intuitive is time-domain imaging (TDi), where short pulses (ps to fs) of laser light are delivered to the sample. The fluorescence signal is then detected using short acquisition gates synchronized with the illumination pulse. Scanning this gate in time permits the recovery of fluorescence lifetime. Another approach is to use frequency-domain imaging (FDi). In this case, one uses an intensity-modulated illumination at a frequency from several tens to hundreds of MHz. Relative to excitation, the emitted fluorescence intensity will be shifted in phase due to its lifetime decay. Instead of delaying a gate in time for detecting the fluorescence decay, an image intensifier, modulated in gain, is shifted in phase relative to the excitation light, and the fluorescence signal’s phase is measured. The image intensifier gain modulation frequency can be the same as the illumination and phase-shifted to perform homodyne detection, or slightly different to perform heterodyne detection.

The main performance difference between TDi and FDi resides in practical implementation, since they are theoretically the exact Fourier transform of one another. Sources used in TDi are often expensive, resulting in an overall cost higher than FDi. Imaging of wide fields of view (FOVs) in TDi can be challenging, whereas in FDi, it can be performed easily by taking advantage of the multipixel detectors of conventional CCD cameras. For these reasons, we chose to implement a frequency-domain approach to explore the potential for clinical translation of FLi.

2.2 Optimizing in vivo Lifetime Detection in FDi

A major issue with in vivo measurements of lifetime in living tissues is photon propagation delays due to scattering. When tissue optical properties are well known, these delays can be predicted and fluorescence lifetime estimated. However, optical properties are not always known. In this case, one can use a fluorescence lifetime reference probe inside the tissue to minimize the influence of scatterers and recover lifetime of the unknown probe. The main assumption is that the optical properties contribute similarly to both the region under interrogation and to the reference lifetime and therefore can be accounted for.

In the absence of scatterers, the relationship between phase and lifetime for mono-exponential decay can be expressed using Eq. (1):

$$\tau = \frac{\tan(\theta)}{2\pi f},$$

where $\tau$ is the unknown lifetime, $\theta$ is the phase referenced to the illumination, and $f$ is the frequency of illumination. Equation (1) highlights the nonlinear nature of the relationship between phase and lifetime at a single frequency $f$. It is therefore nonintuitive to understand the relationship between phase and lifetime. Of particular interest is the choice of the frequency of illumination, which is directly linked to an important parameter, the minimum phase detectable by the imaging system. The latter is mainly determined by the phase resolution of the signal generators and the noise of the image intensifier.

The phase difference $\Delta \theta$ between two probes with known lifetimes $\tau_1$ and $\tau_2$ is expressed as:

$$\Delta \theta = \theta_2 - \theta_1 = \arctan(2\pi f \tau_2) - \arctan(2\pi f \tau_1).$$

To understand the relationship between phase difference and modulation frequency [Eq. (2)], we used MATLAB to compute and plot in Fig. 1(a) the phase difference between an unknown dye and indocyanine green (ICG) in vivo [0.67-ns lifetime; obtained in 100% serum using time-domain measurement and verified against literature values from Akers et al.] at various modulation frequencies (20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 MHz). It is interesting to note that above 60 MHz, there is little benefit in increasing the modulation frequency when comparing fluorescent dyes with lifetime values similar to that of ICG. In this case, working at relatively low frequency is possible, with few disadvantages.

When a fluorescent target of unknown lifetime is compared to a reference, the following relationship can be used to recover the unknown lifetime:

$$\tau = \frac{\tan[\arctan(2\pi f \tau_{ref}) + (\theta - \theta_{ref})]}{2\pi f},$$

where $\tau$ is the unknown lifetime, $\tau_{ref}$ the reference lifetime, $\theta$ the phase of the unknown probe, $\theta_{ref}$ the phase of the reference probe, and $f$ the frequency of illumination. In the present study, we chose to modulate the light source at 35 MHz. Based on Eq. (3), the fluorescence lifetime of ICG (0.67 ns; $\tau_{ref}$) and the phase resolution limit of our detection system (5 deg), lifetime differences as short as 0.5 ns should be resolvable. The comparison curves for measured lifetime versus...
3 Material and Methods

3.1 LED Light Source

We designed the LED light source to be modular and to accommodate various wavelengths. Each LED module is composed of two elements: an LED printed circuit board (PCB) hosting the LEDs and a driver PCB that supplies power to the LEDs. This permits different LED PCBs to be swapped for use with the same driver PCB. A detailed description of the LED light source is available in Ref. 40 and Gerber files, and parts lists for the PCBs are available at www.frangionilab.org. For clarity, we will introduce briefly the LED PCB and highlight the working principles of the LED driver PCB. Further details are available in Ref. 41.

3.1.1 LED PCB

760-nm near-infrared (NIR) 5-mm-diam epoxy LEDs were purchased from Marubeni Epitex (Santa Clara, California; catalog #L760-01AU). These LEDs have a 1.85-V forward voltage, a 10-deg half angle, and a typical optical power of 18 mW when driven at 50 mA. A custom PCB hosting the LEDs was fabricated by Nashua Circuits (Nashua, New Hampshire) and assembled by Sure Design (Farmingdale, New Jersey). More details about the LED PCB are available in Ref. 40.

3.1.2 Driver PCB

A typical LED driver involves a resistor connected in series with the LED, setting an operating point onto the voltage-current curve of the LED. This allows the control of current flow through the LED and, therefore, its optical output. However, in this case, the current flow will be constant with time. The desired LED driver should be able to modulate the optical output of the LED in time at relatively high frequency (typically, tens of MHz for FLi). One approach would be to modulate the LED forward voltage; however, operating multiple LEDs in series would require high-power modulation. To overcome high-power modulation, one could tune a resonant circuit at the frequency of interest, as suggested in Ref. 22. Although efficient, this approach provides only a single modulation frequency, greatly impairing the flexibility and potential use of LEDs in DC mode or at other frequencies. Another disadvantage of the tuned resonance approach is that the LED fabrication process does not guarantee a well-known current–voltage relationship, which can lead to variations in optical output.

Another approach consists of controlling the current flow through the LEDs.45 As illustrated in Fig. 2(a), one solution consists of using a combination of an operational amplifier (op-amp), a transistor [here, a metal-oxide semiconductor field effect transistor (MOSFET)], and a resistor. Voltage applied to the positive input of the op-amp will be replicated at the resistor through negative feedback. Therefore, the current flow through the resistor will be simply set by Ohm’s law applied to the resistor potential difference, and imposes the same current flow through the LEDs. The forward voltage is supplied to the LEDs to ensure their proper operation at the desired current. The elegance of this approach resides in the fact that the excess in supply voltage ($V_{supply}$) is stored at the transistor drain. More details on the principles of the driver circuit can be found in Ref. 42.

In order to obtain the best possible high-power modulation, attention must be paid to several parameters in the choice of the components. A Maxim (Sunnyvale, California) model no. 4451 operational amplifier was chosen in combination with a Zetex (Diodes Incorporated, Dallas) model no. ZVN3306F MOSFET. The op-amp has been selected for its wide bandwidth (210 MHz, −3 dB) and driving capabilities (rail-to-rail output), and the MOSFET for its low ON resistance (5 Ω), high drain-source breakdown voltage (60 V), high current capability (150 mA), and low input capacitance (35 pF). Further details about the driver circuitry and its performance are available in Ref. 41.

3.2 Experimental Setup

Shown in Fig. 3 is the experimental setup used to perform both in vitro and in vivo FLi measurements. Images were collected using a simultaneous color and NIR fluorescence...
imaging system described in detail previously. Briefly, the system consists of NIR-compatible optics collecting light from the region of interest and forming images on two CCD cameras resampled at 640 by 480 pixels. A dichroic mirror (model no. HMS3000-Em680, Chroma Technology, Brattleboro, Vermont) split the light into color information.

\[ \text{Fig. 2} \text{ High-power, high-frequency linear LED circuit: (a) Principle of operation. (b) Practical implementation.} \]

(400 to 650 nm) collected by a color camera (model no. Imitech IMC-80F, IMI Technology, Seoul, Korea) and NIR fluorescence emission information (>800 nm) collected by an NIR camera (model no. Orca-AG, Hamamatsu, Bridgewater, New Jersey). A 650-nm shortpass filter (Chroma HMS3000-Em650SP) was used in front of the color camera to prevent blooming artifacts from NIR excitation light. To perform homodyne detection, we added an image intensifier (IIT) model no. FS9910C-268484 (ITT Night Vision, Roanoke, Virginia), in front of the NIR camera. To prevent NIR fluorescence excitation light from reaching the IIT, an 800-to-848-nm emission filter (Chroma no. HMS3000-Em824/47) was employed (Fig. 3).

A custom high-voltage DC power supply for the image intensifier, model no. 2006540-004, was manufactured by GBS Power Supplies (San Jose, California) and was used to set DC voltages for the photocathode (PC), the multichannel plate (MCP), and the screen. The gain of the IIT’s PC was modulated at the exact same frequency as the light source using a high-frequency signal generator model no. IFR2023 (Aeroflex, Plainview, New York) amplified to ~150 Vpp using a 25-W high-frequency power amplifier model no. 25A250A (Amplifier Research, Souderton, Pennsylvania). The amplified AC signal was superimposed on the DC voltage of the IIT using a custom circuit (GE Global Research, Niskayuna, New York).

Two types of light sources were used for our experiments: (1) the LED module described earlier, and (2) a laser diode (considered the gold standard for FLi). For the LED light source, DC input voltage \( V_{\text{DC}} \) was supplied using a signal generator model no. HP3245a (Hewlett Packard, Palo Alto, California). LED modulation voltage (AC component), from a high-frequency Aeroflex no. IFR2023 signal generator, was superimposed on this \( V_{\text{DC}} \) DC signal using a bias tee model no. ZNBT-60-1W (Mini-Circuit, Brooklyn). Power \( V_{\text{supply}} \) and 5 V) was supplied by a BK Precision (Yorba Linda, California) triple-output power supply model no. 1672. For the laser diode light source, a 100-mW, 785-nm diode model no. L785P100 (Thorlabs, Newton, New Jersey) was mounted in a model no. TCLDM9 (Thorlabs) laser diode mount with thermo-electric cooler (TEC). Laser diode temperature was controlled using a TEC controller model no. TEC200 (Thorlabs). The DC component of the laser diode output was controlled by a model no. LD220C driver (Thorlabs). The AC component was generated using an Aeroflex IFR2023 high-frequency signal generator directly plugged into the TLDCM9 RF input and fed into the laser diode using the internal bias tee. Components were controlled and images were acquired using a custom program written in LabVIEW (National Instruments, Austin, Texas). During FLi experiments, LED and laser light sources were set to identical depths of modulation by means of a 125-MHz photodiode model no. 1801-FS (New Focus, San Jose, California) whose output was visualized using a WaveSurfer oscilloscope model no. 44Xs (LeCroy, Chestnut Ridge, New York). Detector system settings remained unchanged when comparing LED and laser light sources.

\[ \text{Fig. 3} \text{ Experimental apparatus. Light reflected from the sample is collected through lenses and separated into color (400 to 650 nm) and NIR fluorescence (>800 nm) wavelengths using a dichroic mirror and filters. An image intensifier tube (IIT) is modulated at frequency } f \text{ with a selected phase difference (phase) relative to the light source, permitting homodyne detection.} \]
3.3 FLi Experiments

3.3.1 Bench Experiments

Indocyanine green (ICG) and 3,3′-diethylthiatriacarbocyanine iodide (DTTCI) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, Missouri), and the carboxylic form of IRDye 800-CW (CW800) was from LI-COR (Lincoln, Nebraska). NIR fluorescent dyes were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), 100% serum supplemented with 20 mM 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)piperazine-1-ethanesulfonic acid (HEPES), pH 7.4, or 50% serum in HEPES/50% Matrigel (BD Bioscience, Bedford, Massachusetts), and imaged with the experimental setup. FLi experiments were performed using the homodyne technique, with images acquired alternatively with the LED and the laser diode light sources, at 35 MHz, with phase increments of 10 deg, five repetitions per phase value, and over one phase period. ICG in DMSO ($\tau = 0.67 \text{ ns}$) was used as our lifetime standard. Lifetime values were compared to those obtained using a well-characterized time-domain imaging system and verified against literature values.

3.3.2 In Vivo Experiments

Animals were housed in an Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care (AAALAC)-certified facility and were studied under the supervision of an approved institutional protocol. Healthy CD-1 mice of either sex weighing 30 g were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Wilmington, Massachusetts) and anesthetized with 65 mg/kg intraperitoneal pentobarbital (Ovation Pharmaceutical, Inc., Deerfield, Illinois).

We used fluorophores (5 $\mu$m to 10 $\mu$m) mixed in Matrigel to prevent the dyes from diffusing into surrounding tissues and the bloodstream after subcutaneous injection. Matrigel is a biocompatible extracellular matrix that is liquid at 4 °C and a rapidly polymerizing gel at body temperature. Mice were shaved on their dorsal side to expose the skin. The injection locations were marked with black circles on the skin before injection. ICG, CW800, and DTTCI were added to 4 °C Matrigel and injected subcutaneously. FLi experiments were performed using the homodyne technique, with images acquired alternatively with the LED and the laser diode light sources at 35 MHz, with phase increments of 10 deg, five repetitions per phase value, and over one phase period. ICG in Matrigel was used as the lifetime reference, as described for bench experiments.

3.4 Processing

All images were similarly processed to extract the phase at every pixel location using a custom program written in MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts). First, the image pixels were binned (5 by 5) to decrease processing time. Each resulting pixel intensity over one phase period was normalized in amplitude, resulting in a 0-to-1 variation in intensity. Last, each pixel over one phase period was fitted to a sine wave and its phase used for fluorescence lifetime estimation. The fit function was used from the Ezfit toolbox, which performs an unconstrained nonlinear minimization of the sum of squared residuals (SSR) with respect to the fitted parameter (here, phase). The motivation for normalizing data from 0 to 1 was justified in improving the fitting time by fitting only for phase and not for amplitude.

Last, the fluorescence lifetime of each binned pixel was extracted using Eq. (3) (see Sec. 2) and the reference fluorophore. Images were displayed using the correlation coefficients from the sine wave fit as a mask. In this way, display is not dependent on fluorescence intensity, but solely on lifetime.

4 Results

4.1 LED Light Module

Shown in Fig. 2(b) is the circuit implemented in the driver PCB. It includes decoupling capacitors, loads to stabilize the circuit behavior, and an input bias current compensation. Pictures of the driver PCB are shown in Fig. 4(a). Pictures of an LED module containing twelve 5-mm LEDs, pre- and post-molding with thermally conductive silicone, are shown in Fig. 4(b). More information about the light modules and their use in a clinical fluorescence imaging system is available in Ref. 40. Measured power for one module using a 7-deg half-angle silicone collimator was 166 mW when driving the LEDs at 80 mA (Ref. 40).

4.2 Module Modulation Performance

Shown in Fig. 5 is the optical output from the LED module shown in Fig. 4(b) as a function of modulation frequency. Modules were driven at typical operating conditions with 50-mAmp current [Fig. 5(a)] or at absolute maximum operating conditions with 100-mAmp current [Fig. 5(b)]. Notice that ~3-dB amplitude modulation is obtained at 35 MHz when using the typical operating condition and 30 MHz when using...
absolute maximum operating conditions. More details about the testing procedure and the performances are available in Ref. 41.

### 4.3 Bench Experiments

Results from bench fluorescence lifetime measurements are summarized in Table 1. Values used for the expected lifetimes of ICG, CW800, and DTTCI were obtained from time-domain measurements on a well-characterized instrument\(^{36}\) and verified with literature values from the study of Akers et al.\(^{34}\) that systematically studied NIR fluorescent dyes lifetime in various chemical environments. Overall, measurements made using our modulated LED module or a conventional laser diode were very similar, and close to the expected lifetimes. Due to the low modulation frequency used \(35\text{ MHz}\), small variations of phase result in large variations in lifetime [see Fig. 1(b)]. As might be expected, this results in an increased influence of detector noise compared to measurements made at higher modulation frequency. The standard deviation of lifetime measurements was in the range 0.1 to 0.2 ns for both the LED module and the laser diode. Instrument noise is discussed in detail below.

Raw images from bench experiments are shown in Fig. 6. The left pictures show unprocessed images from the color video camera (top left) and NIR fluorescence camera (bottom left). The right pictures show fluorescence lifetime images obtained using an LED module (top right) or laser diode (bottom right). As expected, raw NIR fluorescence does not permit identification of the different fluorophores present, whereas imaging their lifetime makes the NIR fluorophores highly separable.

### Table 1
Summary of bench FLi results. Fluorescence lifetimes of ICG, CW800, and DTTCI in various environments (DMSO, serum, and Matrigel) obtained using LED or laser diode light sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environment</th>
<th>Fluorescence lifetime using LED light source (ns)</th>
<th>Fluorescence lifetime using laser diode light source (ns)</th>
<th>Expected fluorescence lifetime (ns)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ICG</td>
<td>DMSO Used for reference</td>
<td>Used for reference</td>
<td>0.97(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serum Used for reference</td>
<td>Used for reference</td>
<td>0.68(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Matrigel (0.53\pm0.09)</td>
<td>(0.55\pm0.07)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW800</td>
<td>DMSO (1.24\pm0.13)</td>
<td>(1.29\pm0.12)</td>
<td>(1.26(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serum (0.86\pm0.13)</td>
<td>(0.93\pm0.15)</td>
<td>(0.9(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Matrigel (0.77\pm0.09)</td>
<td>(0.75\pm0.06)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTTCI</td>
<td>DMSO (1.42\pm0.20)</td>
<td>(1.37\pm0.16)</td>
<td>(1.44(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serum (1.13\pm0.14)</td>
<td>(1.19\pm0.13)</td>
<td>(1.12(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Matrigel (1.29\pm0.09)</td>
<td>(1.27\pm0.08)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Values obtained by time-domain measurements (Ref. 36) and verified on Akers et al. (Ref. 34).  
\(^b\)Values obtained by time-domain measurement (Ref. 36). 

NA: Not available.
4.4 In Vivo Experiments

Smoothed images (using a Gaussian averaging filter with 5 pixels FWHM) from the in vivo experiments are shown in Fig. 7. The left pictures show unprocessed images from the color video camera (top left) and NIR fluorescence camera (bottom left). The right pictures show fluorescence lifetime images obtained using an LED module (top right) or laser diode (bottom right). Although minor diffusion into surrounding tissue was seen, most of the NIR fluorescence signal remained at the injection site within the palpable Matrigel. As expected, the chemical environment appears to influence fluorophore lifetimes. In particular, CW800 dye lifetime decreased to 0.55 ns on average, and DTTCI decreased to 1.05 ns on average. It is interesting to notice that a 0.5-ns difference is detectable using the 35-MHz modulated illumination.

5 Discussion

LEDs are becoming increasingly more common in biomedical imaging light sources because they are inexpensive and available at many different wavelengths. Recent advancements in die compaction and heat dissipation reduces overall LED size and makes them attractive from an optical output standpoint. However, their capacitance does not make them the best candidates for modulation at high frequencies, since in general, increasing the die size results in higher capacitance. Consequently, there is a trade-off between power and modulation capabilities. The frequency limitation of the presented LED module seems to be a reflection of this trade-off.

As shown in Table 1, noise is present in the fluorescence lifetime measurements. This is due to various factors. First, the use of 35 MHz as the modulation frequency causes small changes in phase to result in large variations in lifetime [see Fig. 1(b)]. Second, the largest noise contributor in the system is the image intensifier. Noise is visually noticeable in the images collected by the camera. This is typical for image intensifiers and is due to the main amplification stage at the MCP. Also, the full dynamic range of our intensifier was not used, resulting in a lower signal to noise ratio. Only 100-V to 150-V modulation was used, whereas the linear portion of the IIT’s PC gain spans 500 V. A study conducted by the Boston University Electronics Design Facility was performed to evaluate the capabilities of our particular image intensifier. The study revealed that it was essentially impossible to modulate the gain at higher frequencies and amplitudes due to 36-pF capacitance and low resistance (~3 Ω) at the IIT’s PC. For instance, using this image intensifier at 100 MHz and full depth of modulation (500 V) would result in power dissipation of 383 W in the PC. A higher depth of modulation is desired, however, since it would minimize the impact of noise on the images and thus lower standard deviations. A final issue with using an IIT is the amount of radiated RF noise. Modulating 150 V at 35 MHz can generate a large amount of radiated power, and one must pay careful attention to shielding the intensifier.

One interesting point of the study was to use both low-frequency modulation and in situ referencing for lifetime measurement. The use of in situ lifetime referencing minimizes the influence of scattering-induced phase delays. Additionally, the use of low frequency for FLi measurements reduces equipment costs and makes the technique more accessible to both researchers and clinicians. During surgery, low-frequency FLi might improve tumor margin detection using exogenous NIR fluorophores by improving discrimination of the targeted tumor from normal tissue.

One limitation of frequency-domain lifetime imaging using a single frequency of interrogation is that only a limited range of lifetimes can be recovered. Thus, when performing measurements, it is best to have a priori knowledge of the lifetime of the fluorophore of interest. This assumption is acceptable for many exogenous fluorophores, such as ICG or DTTCI, which are well characterized in different chemical...
environments. However, when working with new fluorophores with unknown lifetimes, one should consider performing measurements at various modulation frequencies to ensure recovery of a broader range of fluorescence lifetimes. A limitation of our study is that FLI measurements require a reference lifetime within the medium being interrogated. Inaccuracies could therefore be introduced if a particular chemical environment unknowingly changes the reference lifetime. This point is actually demonstrated in our data when comparing bench and in vivo results. Accuracy could also be improved by knowing the optical properties of each pixel of the image. This is made possible by combining temporal frequency-domain imaging (TFDi) with recent development in spatial frequency-domain imaging (SFDi). SFDi provides fast and accurate determination of optical properties in vivo. One could envision an apparatus allowing both temporal and spatial modulation by means of light projection through a digital micromirror device. Knowledge of both optical properties and phase shift could then be used in a model to predict fluorescence lifetimes.

6 Conclusion

We developed an LED-based high-power light source capable of driving twelve 760-nm LEDs from DC to 35 MHz for wide-field fluorescence lifetime imaging (FLi). We discussed the selection of modulation frequency and lifetime referencing for minimizing, as much as possible, the influence of scatterers in living tissues. We hypothesized that the combination of a relatively low modulation frequency and an in situ lifetime reference probe would permit accurate measurement of NIR fluorophore lifetime, and we demonstrated this to be the case both on the bench and in vivo. We also confirmed that up to 35 MHz, the performance of our LED light source was equivalent to the gold standard, a laser diode light source. This study lays the foundation for the future clinical translation of the technology for image-guided surgery.
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