Cellular resolution *ex vivo* imaging of gastrointestinal tissues with optical coherence microscopy

Aaron D. Aguirre

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
and Research Laboratory of Electronics
and
Harvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology
77 Massachusetts Avenue
Building 36-345
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Yu Chen

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
and Research Laboratory of Electronics
77 Massachusetts Avenue
Building 36-345
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Bradley Bryan

Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center
Harvard Medical School
Department of Pathology
330 Brookline Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02215

Hiroshi Mashimo

Qin Huang

VA Boston Healthcare System
and
Harvard Medical School
1400 VFW Parkway
West Roxbury, MA 02132

James L. Connolly

Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center
Harvard Medical School
Department of Pathology
330 Brookline Avenue
Boston, Massachusetts 02215

James G. Fujimoto

Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science
and Research Laboratory of Electronics
77 Massachusetts Avenue
Building 36-345
Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Abstract. Optical coherence microscopy (OCM) combines confocal microscopy and optical coherence tomography (OCT) to improve imaging depth and contrast, enabling cellular imaging in human tissues. We aim to investigate OCM for *ex vivo* imaging of upper and lower gastrointestinal tract tissues, to establish correlations between OCM imaging and histology, and to provide a baseline for future endoscopic studies. Co-registered OCM and OCT imaging were performed on fresh surgical specimens and endoscopic biopsy specimens, and images were correlated with histology. Imaging was performed at 1.06- μm wavelength with $<2\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ transverse and $<4\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ axial resolution for OCM, and at 14- μm transverse and $<3\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ axial resolution for OCT. Multiple sites on 75 tissue samples from 39 patients were imaged. OCM enabled cellular imaging of specimens from the upper and lower gastrointestinal tracts over a smaller field of view compared to OCT. Squamous cells and their nuclei, goblet cells in Barrett's esophagus, gastric pits and colonic crypts, and fine structures in adenocarcinomas were visualized. OCT provided complementary information through assessment of tissue architectural features over a larger field of view. OCM may provide a complementary imaging modality to standard OCT approaches for endoscopic microscopy.

© 2010 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers. [DOI: 10.1117/1.3322704]

Keywords: imaging; microscopy; endoscopy.

Paper 09488R received Nov. 6, 2009; revised manuscript received Dec. 29, 2009; accepted for publication Dec. 31, 2009; published online Mar. 2, 2010.

1 Introduction

Endoscopic optical coherence tomography (OCT) utilizes low-coherence interferometry with broadband near-infrared

(NIR) light sources to perform depth-resolved imaging of tissue architecture.¹ Standard OCT has an axial resolution of 10 to 15 μm and a transverse resolution of 15 to 25 μm , while ultrahigh-resolution (UHR) endoscopic OCT systems have axial resolutions of $<5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$.^{2,3} Several studies demonstrated that endoscopic OCT can characterize *in vivo* normal

Address all correspondence to: James G. Fujimoto, PhD, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science and Research Laboratory of Electronics, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 50 Vassar St. Rm. 36-361, Cambridge, MA 02139. Tel: 617-253-8528; Fax: 617-253-9611; E-mail: jgf@mit.edu

mucosal tissues as well as pathologies such as Barrett's esophagus and esophageal adenocarcinoma.⁴⁻⁹ OCT was also investigated for the detection of high-grade dysplasia in Barrett's esophagus,^{10,11} with one report citing sensitivity and specificity of 85% and 75%, respectively.¹² A major limitation of cross-sectional OCT imaging methods is the restricted transverse image resolution. This limits the ability to visualize cellular features, which is important for the detection of dysplasia and early gastrointestinal tract cancers.

Laser scanning confocal microscopy generates *en face* images by scanning a laser beam through a high numerical aperture objective lens, achieving transverse resolutions of $\sim 1\ \mu\text{m}$ and axial resolutions of 3 to 5 μm . High-speed reflectance confocal microscopy using near-infrared lasers was studied for *in vivo* cellular resolution imaging of human skin,^{13,14} cervix,¹⁵ and oral mucosa.¹⁶ Several *ex vivo* studies investigated reflectance confocal microscopy in the gastrointestinal tract.¹⁷⁻²⁰ Results demonstrated the ability to visualize cellular features in the esophagus, and to detect differences in the nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratio between normal and cancerous tissues.¹⁸ In the colon, hallmark features of normal, hyperplastic, and adenomatous crypts were identified on confocal images.¹⁹ A preliminary report of endoscopic reflectance confocal microscopy has been published,¹⁹ but the method has yet to become widely available in endoscopy.

Fluorescence confocal microscopy is becoming readily available for endoscopic imaging in the gastrointestinal tract. A confocal endomicroscope with transverse resolution of 1 to 1.5 μm and axial resolution of 7 μm was commercialized for gastrointestinal endoscopy.²¹ In this device, the confocal scanner was integrated into a clinical video endoscope. Outstanding cellular resolution images of normal mucosa were presented,²¹ and *in vivo* detection of neoplastic changes in colorectal mucosa were demonstrated with sensitivity of 97.4% and specificity of 99.4%.²² Detection of Barrett's associated intraepithelial neoplasia with sensitivity and specificity of 92.9% and 98.4% respectively, was also reported.²³ Fluorescence confocal endoscopy offers great promise but has limited image penetration depth compared to near-infrared reflectance confocal microscopy. In addition, fluorescence dyes can present toxicity and regulatory issues. A method capable of high-quality cellular imaging at greater depths than existing confocal methods and without the need for dyes would be an important advance.

Optical coherence microscopy (OCM) combines confocal microscopy with OCT to achieve cellular resolution imaging in the *en face* plane. The combination of coherence and confocal detection enhances rejection of unwanted scattered light, thereby allowing improved imaging depth compared to confocal microscopy alone.²⁴ In addition, OCM can image with lower numerical aperture than confocal microscopy, facilitating the development of miniaturized endoscopic probes.²⁵ Limited studies with this method have been performed to date, largely due to the lack of advanced OCM imaging technology. *Ex vivo* investigations of human tissues include preliminary reports on normal colonic mucosa²⁶ and normal and dysplastic oral mucosa.²⁷ *In vivo* imaging was limited to an initial demonstration in human skin.²⁵

The present study was designed to evaluate a new high-speed OCM method that can be applied in the future with miniaturized probe technologies for endoscopic imaging. The

study was an *ex vivo* imaging survey of freshly excised gastrointestinal tissues. Images of normal and pathologic tissue specimens were correlated with histology in order to understand which features could be visualized on OCM. OCM images were also correlated to ultrahigh-resolution OCT to enable comparison between the two methods. The results provide a basis for interpretation of future *in vivo* OCM images in the gastrointestinal tract and will also aid in the interpretation of endoscopic OCT and OCM.

2 Methods

2.1 Optical Coherence Microscopy Imaging System

This study used a portable OCM prototype system designed for high-speed imaging at 1060 nm. A detailed description of the system is described in Ref. 28. The light source was a compact Nd:Glass femtosecond laser that was spectrally broadened in a high numerical aperture optical fiber to a bandwidth of $>200\ \text{nm}$ at 1060 nm. High-speed phase modulation with an electro-optic waveguide modulator produced a heterodyne frequency of 1 MHz, which enabled fast raster scan imaging. Using special techniques to compensate chromatic dispersion and wavelength-dependent source polarization, a coherence gated axial resolution of $<4\ \mu\text{m}$ was achieved. This corresponds to optical image slices thinner than traditional histologic sections. *En face* imaging was performed using a confocal microscope with fast galvanometer scanners and a 40 $\times$ water immersion objective lens (Zeiss Achroplan 440095). The measured transverse resolution was $<2\ \mu\text{m}$, and the axial point spread function width was $\sim 19\ \mu\text{m}$. Images were acquired at 2 frames/second over a field of view of $400\ \mu\text{m} \times 400\ \mu\text{m}$ with 500×750 pixels. The detection sensitivity was $-98\ \text{dB}$ with 10 mW of incident power. The system used a custom autofocus technique to ensure that the coherence gate position was matched to the confocal gate position in the OCM images. Automated image processing after acquisition consisted of digital demodulation, pixel resampling to remove scanner hysteresis and correct aspect ratio, mild spatial filtering with a 3×3 triangular kernel, square-root compression of signal values, and contrast enhancement. Images are displayed on an inverse grayscale color map, where black represents increased reflectivity.

An ultrahigh-resolution OCT system operating at 1060 nm was combined with the OCM system to perform co-registered OCT and OCM imaging. The OCT system was similar to that used in a previous *in vitro* imaging study.²⁹ The OCT and OCM systems shared the same optics, except for the objective lens, which was turret mounted to allow rapid interchange between high and low magnifications. Cross-sectional images measuring 3 mm transverse by 1.3 mm deep were acquired with 1344×1000 pixels at 1 frame/second. The OCT system had a 14- μm transverse resolution and $<3\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ axial resolution. The OCT signal was demodulated and logarithmically compressed using an analog circuit before analog to digital conversion. Image processing after acquisition consisted of pixel resampling to the correct aspect ratio followed by contrast enhancement. Again, images are displayed on an inverse grayscale color map. The compact OCT and OCM systems were integrated into portable instrument carts and transported to the hospital for the imaging studies.

2.2 Study Design and Imaging Protocol

Imaging was performed on freshly excised surgical specimens in the pathology laboratory at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center and on freshly excised tissue acquired during upper and lower gastrointestinal endoscopy procedures at the endoscopy suite of the VA Boston Healthcare System. Imaging in the pathology laboratory has the advantage that it provides access to normal specimens, as well as larger and more intact specimens, compared with pinch biopsy samples obtained in the endoscopy suite. In contrast, biopsy imaging provided access to smaller, more diagnostically sensitive specimens that were not readily available in the pathology laboratory.

Imaging protocols were approved by the institutional review boards at the Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Harvard Medical School, and the Boston VA Healthcare System. Fresh surgical specimens were selected based on the presence of pathology upon gross examination, prompt arrival to the pathology laboratory, and large specimen size, which allowed normal and pathologic tissues to be collected from each specimen without interfering with routine diagnostic procedures. The study used excess tissue from surgical specimens deemed unnecessary for diagnosis by supervising pathologists. Imaging was performed within ~ 2 to 3 h of excision.

Imaging studies in the endoscopy suite occurred as part of ongoing studies investigating endoscopic OCT. Subjects enrolled under the upper GI protocol were selected from those undergoing surveillance endoscopy and biopsy based upon a history of previously diagnosed Barrett's esophagus, dysplasia, or adenocarcinoma. Subjects enrolled under the lower GI protocol were selected from those undergoing routine screening colonoscopy. Specimens consisted of biopsy samples as well as samples from snare polypectomy procedures.

In total, 75 samples were imaged from 39 patients. Sample sizes from surgical specimens typically measured approximately $1 \times 1 \times 0.6$ cm. The size of pinch biopsies typically measured 0.3 to 0.4 cm in greatest dimension, while resected polyps ranged from 0.3 to 0.7 cm in maximum dimension. The high imaging speed of the OCM system enabled extensive survey of multiple sites in each specimen. In total, thousands of images were collected for review. Normal specimen subtypes included esophageal squamous mucosa (10), glandular mucosa of the stomach (3), small intestine (5), colon (11), and pancreas (2). Pathology specimen subtypes included columnar-lined esophagus (11), esophageal adenocarcinoma (1), celiac disease (1), inflammatory bowel disease (3), acute inflammation (2), chronic colitis (2), melanosis coli (1), tubular adenoma (11), hyperplastic polyp (5), colorectal adenocarcinoma (4), cholecystitis (2), and chronic pancreatitis (1). Specimens were classified based on histologic diagnosis by an experienced gastrointestinal pathologist.

To prevent tissue dehydration, specimens were immersed in isotonic phosphate-buffered saline. Imaging was performed through a coverslip, with a thin ~ 100 - μm layer of transparent ultrasound gel between the coverslip and tissue surface to prevent distortion of surface architecture. Imaging was first performed using ultrahigh-resolution OCT over a 3-mm field of view. The OCT and OCM images were acquired through the same microscope with variable, collinear objective lenses,

allowing co-registration of the center of the OCM images to the center of the OCT data set. Once regions of interest were located on OCT images, OCM was performed of those areas. Registration of OCM and OCT images was approximate in real-time imaging, but was improved in post-processing of the data by using tissue landmark features to correlate the images. After imaging, the sample was prepared for histologic sectioning. Discarded samples from the pathology laboratory were inked to mark the OCT imaging plane before formalin fixation and histologic processing. Sections were cut in both cross-sectional and *en face* planes relative to the luminal surface to allow comparison to both OCT and OCM images. Specimens from the endoscopy clinic were placed in formalin in accordance with standard clinical protocol and processed for histology. Tissue sections were stained with hematoxylin and eosin, and digital photomicrographs of histology were recorded.

2.3 Data Analysis

A selection of normal and pathologic conditions from this feasibility study is presented in this paper. Data analysis focused on qualitative image interpretation and correlation with histology. The image database and histology slide set were first reviewed, and representative specimen data selected for further evaluation based on several factors, including relative correlation with histology, overall image quality, and accurate representation of the larger data set. Representative photomicrographs of histologic sections were then made with the best effort attempt to provide correlation among features. OCT images proved more useful than OCM for histologic correlations, because the larger field of view allowed appreciation of architectural features visible in low-power fields. For presentation, OCT and OCM still frames were selected from the individual specimen data set to most accurately correlate with histologic features. Image correlation with histology was non-blinded since the purpose of this investigation was to establish correspondence between OCM and histology and understand which features could be visualized by OCM in a cross section of GI normal tissues and pathologies.

3 Results

3.1 Upper Gastrointestinal Tract

Figures 1(a) and 1(b) present representative ultrahigh-resolution OCT (UHR OCT) and histology images of normal esophageal squamous mucosa. The OCT image in Fig. 1(a) clearly delineates the layered cross-sectional architecture of the esophageal mucosa, including the cellular epithelial layer and underlying *lamina propria* and submucosa. UHR OCT cannot, however, identify cellular epithelial features. Images acquired with *en face* OCM of the same region of the same specimen are presented in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). The OCM images have a smaller field of view than OCT, but the much higher transverse resolution enables visualization of squamous cells and their nuclei. Figures 1(c) and 1(d) show images at depths of 30 μm and 125 μm , respectively. In Fig. 1(c), nuclei and cell membranes can be readily visualized as highly scattering relative to the cytoplasm. The transition region between the stratified squamous epithelium and the underlying *lamina propria* can be seen in Fig. 1(d), demarcated by arrows. Small cells evident on the top portion of this image

Fig. 1 Normal squamous esophagus. (a) Ultrahigh-resolution cross-sectional OCT image. The layered mucosal structure including the epithelium (e), lamina propria (lp), and submucosa (sm) is visualized. No muscularis mucosa layer is seen in this cross section. Scale bar, 500 μm . (b) Corresponding cross-sectional histology, hematoxylin and eosin 4 $\times$; scale bar, 500 μm . (c) OCM *en face* image of squamous epithelial cells. Nuclei (n) and cell membranes are readily visible. Image depth is 30 μm . (d) OCM image near the basement membrane. The transition between cellular epithelium and more highly scattering loose connective tissue in the *lamina propria* is visible (arrows). Image depth is 125 μm . (e) and (f) Corresponding *en face* hematoxylin and eosin histology (20 $\times$) to (c) and (d), respectively. Scale bars (c) to (f), 100 μm .

point out the change in cell size expected in going from the surface to the basal layer in a stratified squamous epithelium. The *lamina propria* appears highly scattering and disorganized relative to the cellular epithelium. Representative *en face* histology is shown for comparison, with Fig. 1(e) corresponding approximately to OCM image 1(c) and Fig. 1(f) to the image in 1(d).

The high resolution of OCM well below the tissue surface is further highlighted in Figs. 2(a)–2(d), which present sequential OCM images taken at depths between 30 μm and 210 μm . Surface cells are larger and with lower relative nuclear to cytoplasm (N/C) ratio compared to cells at deeper levels. In this specimen, the papillae projections in the squamous mucosa can be visualized, with squamous cells appearing to swirl around them. At greater depths, the cellular epithelium disappears earlier over the ridges, as evident in Figs. 2(c) and 2(d). Figures 2(e)–2(h) show 3 $\times$ zoom views of the boxes identified in 2(a)–2(d). A clear decrease in the cell size,

Fig. 2 (a) to (d) OCM imaging of squamous cellular progression in depth. Decrease in cell size and increased relative nuclear-to-cytoplasm ratio is evident in the images. Image depths for (a) to (d) are 30 μm , 90 μm , 180 μm , and 210 μm , respectively. Scale bars, 100 μm . (e) to (h) Zoom views (3 $\times$) of the regions highlighted in (a) to (d). Scale bars, 20 μm .

as well as an increase in the relative N/C ratio can be appreciated.

Figure 3 demonstrates the squamo-columnar junction marking the transition between squamous esophagus and gastric mucosa. The cross-sectional OCT image in Fig. 3(a) shows a fairly homogeneous squamous epithelium as well as the gastric pit architecture, as verified in the histology of Fig. 3(b). The *en face* OCM images in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) show squamous cells immediately adjacent to the gastric pits. The image depth is approximately 100 μm . Normal epithelial gastric mucous cells can be identified surrounding the lumens of the pits.

Figure 4 shows OCT and OCM images of Barrett's esophagus and corresponding histology acquired from a pinch biopsy specimen. The UHR OCT image in Fig. 4(a) shows a distinct architecture for columnar mucosa that differs from either the esophageal squamous or gastric glandular morphology. Structural heterogeneity results from glandular features at and beneath the mucosal surface. Representative cross-sectional histology is presented in Fig. 4(b). An OCM image from this same specimen is presented in Fig. 4(c) along with higher magnification histology in Fig. 4(d). Goblet cells, a

Fig. 3 Squamo-columnar junction. (a) UHR OCT image. Esophageal squamous (s) and gastric (g) mucosa are highlighted, with gastric pit architecture identified (arrow). Scale bar, 100 μm . (b) Histology, hematoxylin and eosin, 10 $\times$. (c) to (d) OCM images of the squamo-columnar junction. Squamous cells (s) and gastric glands (g) are visible with individual mucous cells lining the gastric pits (arrows). Scale bars, 100 μm .

marker of Barrett's epithelium, appear on OCM as distinct, nonscattering inclusions within the epithelium. The OCM image also shows heterogeneity in scattering properties of the glandular epithelium. Contrast is generated within and between the adjacent columnar cells, which can be appreciated in parts of the epithelium by the striated appearance radiating away from the lumen.

Figure 5 shows UHR OCT and OCM images of invasive esophageal adenocarcinoma. The UHR OCT image in 5(a) demonstrates fine tissue heterogeneity and relatively low image penetration depth compared to squamous mucosa, as well as an absence of larger glandular structures generally seen on OCT images of Barrett's esophagus. Histology in Fig. 5(b) confirms invasive adenocarcinoma. The OCM image of the tumor in Fig. 5(c) demonstrates profound structural heterogeneity consistent with the histology of Fig. 5(d). Darkly staining nuclei of malignant cells evident on histology appear as highly scattering spots on OCM. In addition, gland forming entities devoid of OCM signal are present throughout the tumor and chords of highly scattering stroma can be seen penetrating the tumor.

3.2 Lower Gastrointestinal Tract

Figure 6 shows UHR OCT and OCM images of normal colon in the region of the cecum. Crypt architecture can be appre-

Fig. 4 Barrett's esophagus. (a) UHR OCT image of a biopsy specimen. Barrett's glands (arrows) can be identified in the heterogeneous epithelium. Scale bar, 500 μm . (b) Histology, hematoxylin and eosin, 4 $\times$. Scale bar, 500 μm . (c) OCM image of Barrett's epithelium. Individual goblet-like cells (arrows) are identified surrounding the crypt lumen. Scale bar, 100 μm . (d) Histology, hematoxylin and eosin, 20 $\times$. Scale bar, 100 μm .

Fig. 5 Esophageal adenocarcinoma. (a) UHR OCT image. Scale bar, 500 μm . (b) Histology, hematoxylin and eosin, 4 $\times$. Scale bar, 500 μm . (c) OCM image of adenocarcinoma. Malignant gland architecture is evident (black arrows). Highly scattering bands of stroma can also be identified (black arrow heads). In addition, highly scattering inclusions (white arrows) likely represent darkly staining malignant cell nuclei visible on histology. Image depth is ~ 50 μm . Scale bar, 100 μm . (d) Histology, hematoxylin and eosin, 20 $\times$. Scale bar, 100 μm .

Fig. 6 Normal colon. (a) UHR OCT image. Crypt epithelial architecture as well as the underlying *muscularis* layer is identified. Scale bar, 500 μm . (b) Histology, hematoxylin and eosin, 4 $\times$. Scale bar, 500 μm . (c) OCM image of individual colonic crypts. Single goblet cells are identified within the crypt epithelium (arrows). Image depth is $\sim 75 \mu\text{m}$. Scale bar, 100 μm . (d) Histology, hematoxylin and eosin, 10 $\times$. Scale bar, 100 μm .

Fig. 8 Adenocarcinoma of the colon. (a) UHR OCT image. Fine scattering heterogeneity with interspersed malignant gland formation can be recognized on OCT (arrow). Scale bar, 500 μm . (b) Histology, hematoxylin and eosin, 4 $\times$. Scale bar, 500 μm . (c) OCM image of tumor microstructure. Gland formation (black arrows) as well as highly scattering malignant nuclei (white arrows) are highlighted. Image depth is 80 μm . Scale bar, 100 μm . (d) Histology, hematoxylin and eosin, 20 $\times$. Scale bar, 100 μm .

Fig. 7 Tubular adenoma. (a) UHR OCT image. Crypt architecture with distinct epithelial lining is identified. Scale bar, 500 μm . (b) Histology, hematoxylin and eosin, 4 $\times$. Scale bar, 500 μm . (c) OCM image of adenomatous crypts. Elongated, irregular crypts are visible with striated highly scattering epithelium representative of dysplastic nuclei (arrows). Image depth is 90 μm . Scale bar, 100 μm . (d) Histology, hematoxylin and eosin, 10 $\times$. Scale bar, 100 μm .

ciated by OCT with good correspondence to histology. OCM visualizes the individual crypt morphology with high resolution. Crypt lumens show high contrast relative to the epithelium, and individual goblet cells can be clearly identified in correspondence to histology.

Figure 7 presents images and corresponding histology from a tubular adenoma of the colon. Histology in Figs. 7(b) and 7(d) confirms the presence of long, parallel crypts with enlarged, cigar-shaped nuclei typical of tubular adenomas. On UHR OCT, the crypt lumens can be identified, and the high axial resolution enables delineation of the columnar epithelial layer lining the crypts. OCM *en face* images show the crypts in the transverse plane and compare well to the representative histology in Fig. 7(d). Notably, the elongated, eccentric crypts with varying alignment differ markedly from the uniform field of smaller crypts of normal colon. Moreover, OCM visualizes striations in the epithelial layer that likely represent pseudostriated nuclei in the crypt epithelium (arrows).

Compared to the images of normal and adenomatous colon, OCT and OCM images of adenocarcinoma of the colon display a prominent loss of crypt architecture, as demonstrated in Fig. 8. Similar features are visualized as in esophageal adenocarcinoma. UHR OCT images show relatively low image penetration and heterogeneous fine tissue architecture consistent with densely packed malignant glands, as seen in the histology in Fig. 8(b). The *en face* OCM image in Fig. 8(c) provides a high-resolution view of the tissue architecture and identifies individual gland-forming units within the tumor. In addition, highly scattering malignant nuclei are also prominent in the OCM images. Corresponding histology is provided in Fig. 8(d).

4 Discussion

In this study, OCM was investigated for cellular resolution imaging of gastrointestinal tissue *ex vivo*. Conventional cross-sectional OCT imaging cannot reliably image cellular features because of limited transverse image resolution. OCM achieves high transverse resolution by acquiring images in the *en face* plane, similar to confocal microscopy. The image is generated from a single depth, which allows tight focusing. OCM can achieve image resolutions of 1 to 3 μm in three dimensions, thereby enabling cellular imaging.

This work represents the first survey of tissues in the gastrointestinal tract using OCM and introduces OCM for endoscopic imaging applications. Freshly excised normal and pathologic specimens were imaged with system parameters similar to those that would be used *in vivo* to provide an assessment of image quality that can be expected from future endoscopic studies. OCM imaging was compared with histology in order to understand which features could be visualized by OCM in a selection of normal and diseased tissues. Furthermore, OCM images were acquired co-registered to ultrahigh-resolution OCT images from the same specimen, allowing the reader to interpret the *en face* OCM images with respect to the more familiar, previously published cross-sectional OCT images. Together, this OCT and OCM data set provides an important comparison of the state of the art for these two methods.

The study was limited by the lack of blinded image interpretation that would allow determination of diagnostic sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for specific pathologies. However, since this is the first study of OCM across a range of GI pathologies, its purpose was to establish which features could be visualized using OCM. Future blinded studies focusing on individual pathologies with larger specimen numbers will be necessary to determine diagnostic capability. These studies should ultimately be conducted *in vivo* using endoscopic OCM devices.

Several conclusions can be drawn from this study about the relative capabilities of OCT and OCM for structural imaging of gastrointestinal tissues. Within scattering tissue, OCT is most useful for characterizing mucosal layers and overall tissue architecture, and identifying the presence or absence of structures at an intermediate resolution scale. Cross-sectional UHR OCT images provide excellent assessment of layer thicknesses within the first 500 μm to 1 mm of mucosal tissues. UHR OCT can also frequently visualize crypt and glandular entities, vessels, and ducts at and beneath the surface. Within individual crypts and glands, the columnar cell layers lining the lumen can often be appreciated, although single cells cannot be identified. OCT can also assess tissue surface architecture, as exemplified by the images of villous structure from the duodenum and small intestine. Delineation of tissue types based on these surface architectural features may therefore be possible.

OCM provides an order of magnitude improvement in the transverse resolution compared with OCT, allowing visualization of cellular and subcellular features. Squamous cell nuclei and cytoplasmic membranes can generally be identified, and the progression of cell size and nuclear-to-cytoplasm ratio can be appreciated. Nuclei can also be identified in many colon specimens, with adenomatous polyps, for example, frequently

exhibiting nuclear pseudostratification. Other mucosal cell types visible on OCM include mucin-containing cells in the normal stomach and goblet cells in the colon as well as in pathologic conditions such as Barrett's esophagus. OCM can also characterize tissue architecture on a smaller scale and in an orthogonal plane compared to OCT. This includes such features as crypt size, shape, and arrangement, and the presence of gland formation within specimens of adenocarcinoma.

The overall quality of the OCM images compares favorably to previously published reflectance confocal microscopy images.¹⁸ This is impressive given that OCM uses relatively low numerical aperture compared to confocal microscopy. Typical reflectance confocal microscopes utilize a numerical aperture of 0.7 to 1.0 in order to provide a depth gate of $<5 \mu\text{m}$, which is equivalent to a conventional histologic section thickness.¹⁴ OCM uses optical coherence gating in addition to confocal gating and improves rejection of out of focus scattered light compared to confocal microscopy alone. In this work, an effective numerical aperture of ~ 0.3 to 0.4 was used to provide a high transverse resolution of 1 to 2 μm , but with a depth gate of only $\sim 20 \mu\text{m}$. In itself, this slice thickness would be insufficient for high-contrast cellular imaging below the tissue surface. The longer confocal region, however, was compensated for by using a very short $<4\text{-}\mu\text{m}$ coherence gate. Development of high numerical aperture objective lenses remains a challenging obstacle for reflectance confocal endoscopy. The use of coherence gating to relax the numerical aperture requirements should facilitate the development of small-diameter endoscopic probes.

A major factor currently limiting the application of OCM in endoscopy is the widespread availability of two-axis scanning catheters for *en face* imaging. A number of scanning solutions are currently being explored, including microelectromechanical systems (MEMS)³⁰ and piezo-electric fiber scanners.³¹ Moreover, solutions have already been implemented for fluorescence confocal endoscopy, which would work equally well for OCM.²¹ There are no fundamental limitations that will prevent endoscopic OCM from being a clinically viable alternative to confocal endoscopy in the near future.

OCM images have intrinsic contrast provided by backscattered light, without the need for exogenous fluorescence dyes. In addition, OCM uses longer wavelength light that penetrates farther into tissue than the visible wavelengths used for fluorescence excitation. Contrast and resolution in OCM are generally lower, however, than in confocal fluorescence microscopy due to the weak intrinsic contrast in gastrointestinal tissues. To enhance contrast, acetic acid has been applied to fresh tissue to increase nuclear scattering.³² Although not used in this study, similar contrast improvement can be expected in OCM. Acetic acid solutions are used routinely in endoscopy and would therefore find widespread applicability. Contrast in OCM is also limited by coherent speckle, which gives a grainy appearance to the images. A number of speckle reduction methods are currently under investigation.^{33–37}

The imaging depth of OCT is limited by a combination of detection sensitivity and multiple scattering. The minimum detectable reflection, set by the quantum detection limit, determines the absolute maximum depth. The more practical limit, however, is the depth at which image resolution and

contrast degrade to the point where the images are no longer useful. Such degradation results from detection of out of focus and multiply scattered light due to insufficient confocal and optical coherence gating. As noted earlier, OCM can maintain contrast despite the loss of confocal gating. As the focus is translated deeper, however, contrast in OCM also degrades, which suggests detection of increasing amounts of multiply scattered light. The degree of improvement of OCM over confocal microscopy depends strongly on the tissue scattering and optical properties.

An estimate of the imaging depth for OCM in various tissues can be obtained from the OCT images of these tissues. However, the imaging depth in OCT often leads to an overestimate of the usable image depth in OCM because of the role of resolution and contrast loss. OCT uses only moderate numerical aperture and transverse resolution, without a significant confocal gate. Hence, the loss of lateral resolution in OCT, although still present, is not as detrimental as in OCM. Furthermore, imaging depth is generally assessed based on the sensitivity limit when the signal level approaches the noise floor. The transition to the multiple scattering regime occurs before this and therefore limits the depth at which single scattered light can be detected with sufficient contrast. The multiple scattering regime is evident in nearly all of the images as the diffuse tail of OCT signal that approaches the noise floor. Some features can still be visualized within the multiple scattering regime, but the effective image resolution will be lower.

A limitation of all cellular resolution microscopy techniques is the relatively small field of view. A potential solution to this problem would be to combine OCT with confocal microscopy or OCM, as suggested by previous investigators.²³ OCT images a larger field of view, providing information about architectural morphology, while OCM provides a high magnification view of cellular structures. Recent advances in OCT have yielded tremendous improvements in imaging speed,^{38,39} promising to enable wide area coverage and comprehensive mapping of entire segments of the esophagus or colon.^{40,41}

With future development of endoscopic devices, OCM promises to find utility in applications that are currently being studied with confocal microscopy and OCT. These include, among others, surveillance for dysplasia in Barrett's esophagus or inflammatory bowel disease, discrimination between hyperplastic and adenomatous polyps, and identification of tumor margins and invasion. In addition, the ability to image with reduced numerical aperture will enable a host of new cellular imaging applications using very small diameter needle-based probes, which can perform cellular-level imaging inside solid tissues or organs.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health R01-CA75289-13, R01-EY11289-24, 5F31EB005978-03, R01-NS057476-02, and R01HL095717-01; the Air Force Office of Scientific Research FA9550-07-1-0101 and FA9550-07-1-0014; the National Science Foundation BES-0522845; the Cancer Research and Prevention Foundation; and the VA Medical Center. We thank Daniel Kopf, Saleem Desai, Marisa Figueiredo, Marcos Pedrosa, Ashish Sharma, and Shu-Wei

Huang for helpful scientific discussions and assistance. J.F. receives royalties for intellectual property licensed by MIT to LightLab Imaging and Carl Zeiss.

References

1. G. J. Tearney, M. E. Brezinski, B. E. Bouma, S. A. Boppart, C. Pitris, J. F. Southern, and J. G. Fujimoto, "In vivo endoscopic optical biopsy with optical coherence tomography," *Science* **276**, 2037–2039 (1997).
2. P. R. Herz, Y. Chen, A. D. Aguirre, J. G. Fujimoto, H. Mashimo, J. Schmitt, A. Koski, J. Goodnow, and C. Petersen, "Ultrahigh resolution optical biopsy with endoscopic optical coherence tomography," *Opt. Express* **12**, 3532–3542 (2004).
3. Y. Chen, A. D. Aguirre, P. L. Hsiung, S. Desai, P. R. Herz, M. Pedrosa, Q. Huang, M. Figueiredo, S. W. Huang, A. Koski, J. M. Schmitt, J. G. Fujimoto, and H. Mashimo, "Ultrahigh resolution optical coherence tomography of Barrett's esophagus: preliminary descriptive clinical study correlating images with histology," *Endoscopy* **39**, 599–605 (2007).
4. B. E. Bouma, G. J. Tearney, C. C. Compton, and N. S. Nishioka, "High-resolution imaging of the human esophagus and stomach *in vivo* using optical coherence tomography," *Gastrointest. Endosc.* **51**, 467–474 (2000).
5. M. V. Sivak, Jr., K. Kobayashi, J. A. Izatt, A. M. Rollins, R. Ung-Runyawee, A. Chak, R. C. Wong, G. A. Isenberg, and J. Willis, "High-resolution endoscopic imaging of the GI tract using optical coherence tomography," *Gastrointest. Endosc.* **51**, 474–479 (2000).
6. X. D. Li, S. A. Boppart, J. Van Dam, H. Mashimo, M. Mutinga, W. Drexler, M. Klein, C. Pitris, M. L. Krinsky, M. E. Brezinski, and J. G. Fujimoto, "Optical coherence tomography: advanced technology for the endoscopic imaging of Barrett's esophagus," *Endoscopy* **32**, 921–930 (2000).
7. A. M. Sergeev, V. M. Gelikonov, G. V. Gelikonov, F. I. Feldchtein, R. V. Kuranov, N. D. Gladkova, N. M. Shakhova, L. B. Suopova, A. V. Shakhov, I. A. Kuznetsova, A. N. Denisenko, V. V. Pochinko, Y. P. Chumakov, and O. S. Streltsova, "In vivo endoscopic OCT imaging of precancer and cancer states of human mucosa," *Opt. Express* **1**, 432 (1997).
8. G. Zuccaro, N. Gladkova, J. Vargo, F. Feldchtein, E. Zagaynova, D. Conwell, G. Falk, J. Goldblum, J. Dumot, J. Ponsky, G. Gelikonov, B. Davros, E. Donchenko, and J. Richter, "Optical coherence tomography of the esophagus and proximal stomach in health and disease," *Am. J. Gastroenterol.* **96**, 2633–2639 (2001).
9. J. M. Ponerros, S. Brand, B. E. Bouma, G. J. Tearney, C. C. Compton, and N. S. Nishioka, "Diagnosis of specialized intestinal metaplasia by optical coherence tomography," *Gastroenterology* **120**, 7–12 (2001).
10. P. R. Pfau, M. V. Sivak Jr., A. Chak, M. Kinnard, R. C. Wong, G. A. Isenberg, J. A. Izatt, A. Rollins, and V. Westphal, "Criteria for the diagnosis of dysplasia by endoscopic optical coherence tomography," *Gastrointest. Endosc.* **58**, 196–202 (2003).
11. G. Isenberg, M. V. Sivak Jr., A. Chak, R. C. Wong, J. E. Willis, B. Wolf, D. Y. Rowland, A. Das, and A. Rollins, "Accuracy of endoscopic optical coherence tomography in the detection of dysplasia in Barrett's esophagus: a prospective, double-blinded study," *Gastrointest. Endosc.* **62**, 825–831 (2005).
12. J. A. Evans, J. M. Ponerros, B. E. Bouma, J. Bressner, E. F. Halpern, M. Shishkov, G. Y. Lauwers, M. Mino-Kenudson, N. S. Nishioka, and G. J. Tearney, "Optical coherence tomography to identify intramucosal carcinoma and high-grade dysplasia in Barrett's esophagus," *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **4**, 38–43 (2006).
13. S. Gonzalez, K. Swindells, M. Rajadhyaksha, and A. Torres, "Changing paradigms in dermatology: confocal microscopy in clinical and surgical dermatology," *Clin. Dermatol.* **21**, 359–369 (2003).
14. M. Rajadhyaksha, S. Gonzalez, J. M. Zavislan, R. R. Anderson, and R. H. Webb, "In vivo confocal scanning laser microscopy of human skin II: advances in instrumentation and comparison with histology," *J. Invest. Dermatol.* **113**, 293–303 (1999).
15. K. B. Sung, R. Richards-Kortum, M. Follen, A. Malpica, C. Liang, and M. R. Descour, "Fiber optic confocal reflectance microscopy: a new real-time technique to view nuclear morphology in cervical squamous epithelium *in vivo*," *Opt. Express* **11**, 3171–3181 (2003).
16. W. M. White, M. Rajadhyaksha, S. Gonzalez, R. L. Fabian, and R. R. Anderson, "Noninvasive imaging of human oral mucosa *in vivo* by confocal reflectance microscopy," *Laryngoscope* **109**, 1709–1717

- (1999).
17. P. W. Chiu, H. Inoue, H. Satodate, T. Kazawa, T. Yoshida, M. Sakashita, and S. E. Kudo, "Validation of the quality of histological images obtained of fresh and formalin-fixed specimens of esophageal and gastric mucosa by laser-scanning confocal microscopy," *Endoscopy* **38**, 236–240 (2006).
18. H. Inoue, T. Igari, T. Nishikage, K. Ami, T. Yoshida, and T. Iwai, "A novel method of virtual histopathology using laser-scanning confocal microscopy *in vitro* with untreated fresh specimens from the gastrointestinal mucosa," *Endoscopy* **32**, 439–443 (2000).
19. M. Sakashita, H. Inoue, H. Kashida, J. Tanaka, J. Y. Cho, H. Satodate, E. Hidaka, T. Yoshida, N. Fukami, Y. Tamegai, A. Shiokawa, and S. Kudo, "Virtual histology of colorectal lesions using laser-scanning confocal microscopy," *Endoscopy* **35**, 1033–1038 (2003).
20. J. T. Liu, M. J. Mandella, S. Friedland, R. Soetikno, J. M. Crawford, C. H. Contag, G. S. Kino, and T. D. Wang, "Dual-axes confocal reflectance microscope for distinguishing colonic neoplasia," *J. Biomed. Opt.* **11**, 054019 (2006).
21. A. L. Polglase, W. J. McLaren, S. A. Skinner, R. Kiesslich, M. F. Neurath, and P. M. Delaney, "A fluorescence confocal endomicroscope for *in vivo* microscopy of the upper- and the lower-GI tract," *Gastrointest. Endosc.* **62**, 686–695 (2005).
22. R. Kiesslich, J. Burg, M. Vieth, J. Gnaendiger, M. Enders, P. Delaney, A. Polglase, W. McLaren, D. Janell, S. Thomas, B. Nafe, P. R. Galle, and M. F. Neurath, "Confocal laser endoscopy for diagnosing intraepithelial neoplasias and colorectal cancer *in vivo*," *Gastroenterology* **127**, 706–713 (2004).
23. R. Kiesslich, L. Gossner, M. Goetz, A. Dahlmann, M. Vieth, M. Stolte, A. Hoffman, M. Jung, B. Nafe, P. R. Galle, and M. F. Neurath, "In vivo histology of Barrett's esophagus and associated neoplasia by confocal laser endomicroscopy," *Clin. Gastroenterol. Hepatol.* **4**, 979–987 (2006).
24. J. A. Izatt, M. R. Hee, G. M. Owen, E. A. Swanson, and J. G. Fujimoto, "Optical coherence microscopy in scattering media," *Opt. Lett.* **19**, 590–592 (1994).
25. A. D. Aguirre, P. Hsiung, T. H. Ko, I. Hartl, and J. G. Fujimoto, "High-resolution optical coherence microscopy for high-speed, *in vivo* cellular imaging," *Opt. Lett.* **28**, 2064–2066 (2003).
26. J. A. Izatt, M. D. Kulkarni, H.-W. Wang, K. Kobayashi, and M. V. Sivak, Jr., "Optical coherence tomography and microscopy in gastrointestinal tissues," *IEEE J. Sel. Top. Quantum Electron.* **2**, 1017–1028 (1996).
27. A. L. Clark, A. Gillenwater, R. Alizadeh-Naderi, A. K. El-Naggar, and R. Richards-Kortum, "Detection and diagnosis of oral neoplasia with an optical coherence microscope," *J. Biomed. Opt.* **9**, 1271–1280 (2004).
28. A. D. Aguirre, "Advances in optical coherence tomography and microscopy for endoscopic applications and functional neuroimaging," PhD Thesis, Harvard University—MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology (2008).
29. P. L. Hsiung, L. Pantanowitz, A. D. Aguirre, Y. Chen, D. Phatak, T. H. Ko, S. Bourquin, S. J. Schnitt, S. Raza, J. L. Connolly, H. Mashimo, and J. G. Fujimoto, "Ultrahigh-resolution and 3-dimensional optical coherence tomography *ex vivo* imaging of the large and small intestines," *Gastrointest. Endosc.* **62**, 561–574 (2005).
30. W. Jung, D. T. McCormick, J. Zhang, L. Wang, N. C. Tien, and Z. P. Chen, "Three-dimensional endoscopic optical coherence tomography by use of a two-axis microelectromechanical scanning mirror," *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **88**, 163901 (2006).
31. X. Liu, M. J. Cobb, Y. Chen, M. B. Kimmey, and X. Li, "Rapid-scanning forward-imaging miniature endoscope for real-time optical coherence tomography," *Opt. Lett.* **29**, 1763–1765 (2004).
32. R. A. Drezek, T. Collier, C. K. Brookner, A. Malpica, R. Lotan, R. R. Richards-Kortum, and M. Follen, "Laser scanning confocal microscopy of cervical tissue before and after application of acetic acid," *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* **182**, 1135–1139 (2000).
33. D. L. Marks, T. S. Ralston, and S. A. Boppart, "Speckle reduction by I-divergence regularization in optical coherence tomography," *J. Opt. Soc. Am. A* **22**, 2366–2371 (2005).
34. D. C. Adler, T. H. Ko, and J. G. Fujimoto, "Speckle reduction in optical coherence tomography images by use of a spatially adaptive wavelet filter," *Opt. Lett.* **29**, 2878–2880 (2004).
35. M. Pircher, E. Gotzinger, R. Leitgeb, A. F. Fercher, and C. K. Hitzenberger, "Speckle reduction in optical coherence tomography by frequency compounding," *J. Biomed. Opt.* **8**, 565–569 (2003).
36. J. M. Schmitt, "Array detection for speckle reduction in optical coherence microscopy," *Phys. Med. Biol.* **42**, 1427–1439 (1997).
37. A. E. Desjardins, B. J. Vakoc, G. J. Tearney, and B. E. Bouma, "Speckle reduction in OCT using massively parallel detection and frequency-domain ranging," *Opt. Express* **14**, 4736–4745 (2006).
38. S. H. Yun, G. J. Tearney, J. F. de Boer, N. Iftimia, and B. E. Bouma, "High-speed optical frequency-domain imaging," *Opt. Express* **11**, 2953–2963 (2003).
39. R. Huber, M. Wojtkowski, and J. G. Fujimoto, "Fourier domain mode locking (FDML): a new laser operating regime and applications for optical coherence tomography," *Opt. Express* **14**, 3225–3237 (2006).
40. B. J. Vakoc, M. Shishko, S. H. Yun, W. Y. Oh, M. J. Suter, A. E. Desjardins, J. A. Evans, N. S. Nishioka, G. J. Tearney, and B. E. Bouma, "Comprehensive esophageal microscopy by using optical frequency-domain imaging (with video)," *Gastrointest. Endosc.* **65**, 898–905 (2007).
41. S. H. Yun, G. J. Tearney, B. J. Vakoc, M. Shishkov, W. Y. Oh, A. E. Desjardins, M. J. Suter, R. C. Chan, J. A. Evans, I. K. Jang, N. S. Nishioka, J. F. de Boer, and B. E. Bouma, "Comprehensive volumetric optical microscopy *in vivo*," *Nat. Med.* **12**, 1429–1433 (2006).