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Abstract. Optical coherence microscopy (OCM) combines coherence gating, high numerical aperture optics, and a fiber-core pinhole to provide high axial and lateral resolution with relatively large depth of imaging. We present a handheld rigid OCM endoscope designed for small animal surgical imaging, with a 6-mm diam tip, 1-mm scan width, and 1-mm imaging depth. X-Y scanning is performed distally with mirrors mounted to micro galvonometer scanners incorporated into the endoscope handle. The endoscope optical design consists of scanning doublets, an afocal Hopkins relay lens system, a 0.4 numerical aperture water immersion objective, and a cover glass. This endoscope can resolve laterally a 1.4-μm line pair feature and has an axial resolution (full width half maximum) of 5.4 μm. Images taken with this endoscope of fresh ex-vivo mouse ovaries show structural features, such as corpus luteum, primary follicles, growing follicles, and fallopian tubes. This rigid handheld OCM endoscope can be useful for a variety of minimally invasive and surgical imaging applications. © 2011 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE).
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1 Introduction

Optical coherence tomography (OCT) is a high-resolution cross-sectional imaging technique that uses light backscattered from tissue index of refraction mismatches to create an image. OCT is analogous to ultrasound, where the image is created using backscattered sound waves. However, unlike ultrasound, a Michelson interferometer is needed to measure the backscattered light waves due to the faster speed of light and detector temporal integration times. This method of time-gating photons allows OCT to detect depth-resolved structural information in highly scattering tissue up to 2 mm in depth. Conventional OCT systems use low numerical aperture (NA) optics in the sample arm to achieve a 2-mm depth of focus, which results in lateral resolutions from 10 to 40 μm. To achieve higher and more uniform lateral resolution without image processing, a higher numerical aperture objective can be used along with dynamic focus tracking throughout the penetration depth. Alternatively, image-processing techniques, such as deconvolution and inverse scattering, can be used to improve lateral resolution. Additionally, recently a Gabor-based fusion technique demonstrated 2-μm lateral resolution. Each of these techniques requires increased hardware and/or software complexity to achieve uniform lateral resolution over a large depth range.

An alternative method for achieving high lateral resolution images is to use a high numerical aperture objective in the sample arm and scan laterally in two dimensions to create an en face image. Confocal microscopy is a well-established imaging modality that operates in this manner. In confocal microscopy, a focal volume in the sample is imaged back to a pinhole, which aids in rejecting out-of-focus backscattered light. Even with the use of pinhole imaging, confocal microscopy is limited to a penetration depth of a couple hundred microns in highly scattering samples such as tissue. An optical coherence microscope (OCM) is a combination of an OCT system and a confocal microscope. Like OCT, it utilizes time gating to increase the rejection of out-of-focus backscattered light. Like confocal microscopy, it contains high numerical aperture optics coupled to a fiber pinhole to provide high lateral resolution. The result is a system that can have ultrahigh lateral resolution and a penetration depth of several hundred microns.

We have previously described a tabletop OCM system (Fig. 1). Briefly, the system contains a superluminescent diode source centered at 835 nm with an 80-nm full width half maximum (FWHM) bandwidth, providing a theoretical axial resolution of 3.8 μm. The light intensity is split by a 50:50 single-mode fiber coupler into a reference arm and sample arm. In the sample arm, light from the fiber is collimated and reflected off two X and Y scanning galvanometer mounted mirrors that perform en face scanning synchronized with data sampling. A 20X infinity-corrected water-immersion microscope objective produces a 4-μm lateral resolution with a 1-mm × 1-mm field of view. In the reference arm, fiber polarization adjustment paddles (not shown) match polarization states in the sample and reference arms to maximize fringe visibility. Given that the source spectrum is large and close to the visible range, dispersion compensation for the sample arm objective is necessary and provided by a BK7 prism pair. The light is focused onto a small lightweight mirror glued on a piezoelectric stack. To achieve modulation,
A literature survey was conducted to determine if an appropriate endoscope design already existed or to discover potential design elements that could be utilized. Most endoscopes that have been developed for OCT are side firing. These probes are ideal for imaging tubular organs, such as the colon, esophagus, and trachea. The first OCT endoscope developed in 1996 had a lateral resolution of 40 μm. In this side-firing endoscope design, still commonly used today, the light from the distal end of the fiber is focused by a gradient-index (GRIN) lens and reflected perpendicular to the probe axis with a micropipette. 3-D imaging using this design is possible by using a spiral scanning fiber endoscope probe or incorporating a 2-D scanning unit, such as a two-axis microelectromechanical system (MEMS).

A forward-firing probe is preferred in certain imaging situations because it is easier to position the probe over the target tissue. The optical design and scanning mechanisms of a forward-firing endoscope are more technically challenging, and the forward-imaging probes reported thus far for OCT are relatively large, ranging from 1.65 to 7.5 mm. The first forward-imaging endoscope was designed in 1997 by Sergeev et al. It used an electromechanical unit to move a fiber tip across the imaging plane of a stationary lens system. This system achieved a 2.2-mm-diam flexible OCT probe. This design is still used in current research, and there have been modifications to the mechanism of fiber scanning, including using electroactive polymers, piezoactuators, thermoelectric actuators, magnetic actuators, and resonant scanning. Other methods of forward scanning include counter-rotating GRIN lenses, which have the smallest diameter at 1.65 mm, and MEMS 1-D and 2-D scanning. All these designs used relatively low NA sample arm optics.

A straightforward scanning approach uses galvanometer-mounted mirror scanning at the proximal end of a rigid OCT endoscope containing high NA optics. This method of scanning has been reported with axial and lateral resolutions of 8–20 μm. This method of scanning is also used in the only other OCM endoscope developed to date, to the authors’ knowledge. This endoscopic system has a 0.8 NA, <2-μm measured spot radius, <4-μm axial resolution, and an imaging speed of four images per second. This endoscope has an 8-mm
Table 1 Optical properties and physical requirements of the OCM endoscope.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specification</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Driving Factor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lateral resolution (Airy disk radius)</td>
<td>1.5 μm</td>
<td>Ability to visualize cell nuclei</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axial resolution</td>
<td>10 μm</td>
<td>Size of a cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full field of view in tissue</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
<td>Size of mouse ovary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging depth range</td>
<td>1 mm</td>
<td>Placement in abdomen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dynamic range</td>
<td>70 dB</td>
<td>Optical properties of ovary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaged diameter</td>
<td>6 mm</td>
<td>Size of surgical incision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Packaged length</td>
<td>&gt;30 mm</td>
<td>Depth to ovary in mouse, clear visualization of imaging field</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image acquisition speed</td>
<td>&lt;4 s/image</td>
<td>Ability to hold still during surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA in fiber space</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>Single mode fiber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA in tissue space</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>Derived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n in tissue space</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>Assumed similar to water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnification, tissue to fiber</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>Derived</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Focus compensator</td>
<td>Outer housing</td>
<td>Operational simplicity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Our application required a unique OCM endoscope design that combined high lateral resolution (<1.5-mm Airy disk radius), moderate diameter (6 mm), and large field of view (1 mm). The initial design for our endoscope has been previously presented. Here, we present a fully realized and tested OCM endoscope design to meet these requirements, which replaces the sample arm of our previously developed table top OCM system.

2 Materials and Methods

The specifications of Table 1 were used to determine the optical design of the endoscope. The packaged diameter of 6 mm allowed for an optical clear aperture of 3.6 mm. Using smaller diameter optics, in general, will reduce the NA of the optical system, which reduces the diffraction-limited lateral resolution. This can be seen from the following equations, where f is the focal length and λ₀ is the center wavelength of the light source (835 nm in our case):

\[
NA \approx \frac{\text{Entrance Pupil Diameter}}{2f},
\]

\[
\text{Lateral Spot Diameter} = \frac{1.22\lambda_0}{NA}.
\]

Allowing for a focal length of 4.5 mm (to enable at least the required 1-mm imaging depth range), submicron lateral spot diameter was theoretically achievable. A greater challenge came from the requirement for a 1-mm field of view. Imaging over a large field of view increased the difficulty of designing diffraction-limited optics for high numerical apertures because of axis aberrations, such as astigmatism and coma, increase with field. A trade-off can be made between field of view and numerical aperture to ease the optical design and still achieve diffraction-limited performance. Our lateral resolution and field-of-view specifications could be achieved with a 0.4-NA endoscope provided that the optics performed close to the diffraction limit.

Axial resolution in an optical coherence system is nominally determined by the characteristics of the light source, rather than the sample arm optics. For a Gaussian source spectrum, the axial resolution is proportional to the square of the center wavelength (λ₀) and inversely proportional to the source bandwidth (Δλ, 80 nm in our case), according to the following equation:

\[
L_c = 2\frac{\ln(2) \lambda_0^2}{\pi \Delta \lambda}.
\]

Superluminescent diode (SLD) sources produce axial resolutions ranging from 5 to 15 μm, while a femtosecond laser can produce submicron axial resolution. Our light source produced a theoretical axial resolution of 3.8 μm in air. Therefore, assuming that dispersion was appropriately compensated, axial resolution requirements were easily met.

To appropriately scan the beam, relay through a long endoscope, and focus into the tissue, the optomechanical design of Fig. 2 was implemented. The optical design details for each element are provided in Table 2. The design consisted of a collimating lens, X-Y scanning galvanometer mirrors, scanning doublets, an afocal Hopkins relay, and a 0.4-NA objective. Specifically, the 0.15-NA single-mode fiber was collimated using a 12-mm focal-length achromatic lens, producing a 3.6-mm-diam colli-
mated beam. The XY scanning was performed using a pair of miniature 4-mm X and Y scanning galvanometer mirrors (Cambridge Technologies, 6210H, Lexington, MA). These scanners enabled image line rates of 600 Hz using a sawtooth waveform with a 70% duty cycle. A pair of scanning doublets relayed the stop between the mirrors to the afocal Hopkins relay stop. The afocal Hopkins relay consisted of two meniscus relay lenses and two high-index glass rod lenses that contain the field lenses. The stop was relayed from the afocal Hopkins relay to the 0.4-NA objective, and the light was focused onto the tissue. The system was modeled in ZEMAX (ZEMAX Development Corporation, Bellevue, Washington), and the simulated best-

Table 2 Endoscope optics specifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Part number</th>
<th>Radius of curvature (mm)</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Glass type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collimating lens</td>
<td>EO NT45–784</td>
<td>69.82</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SFL6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>LAKN22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-6.84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scanning doublet (2)</td>
<td>EO NT45–824</td>
<td>9.68</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>LAKN22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-7.91</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SFL6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-39.89</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relay lens (2)</td>
<td>Custom</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N-LASF31A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field lens (2)</td>
<td>Custom</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>N-SF66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>Custom</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>N-LAK33A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-3.06</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>N-SF66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-105.21</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-16.47</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>N-SF66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-11.29</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>N-LAK33A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-4.93</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N-SF66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-6.63</td>
<td>0.15 [variable]</td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass slide</td>
<td>Custom</td>
<td>Infinity</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>N-SF66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
case system had an Airy disk radius of 1.25 μm, corresponding to an ability to visualize a 800-lp/mm feature with 0.1 contrast. The tissue was imaged at the Petzval surface, which had a radius of curvature of 4 mm, causing a full field sag of 31 μm.

Once the optical design was complete, a tolerance analysis was performed to determine the effects of errors in the radius of curvature, lens thickness, surface and element tilt, surface and element decentering, surface irregularity, index of refraction, and Abbe number. A sensitivity tolerance analysis and a Monte Carlo tolerance analysis were both performed. A sensitivity tolerance analysis considers the effects on the selected criterion for each tolerance, individually. The aggregate performance is estimated by a root-sum-square calculation, assuming that all the sources of error are acting independently. Initially, all the sources of error were set to have standard precision tolerances to minimize costs, and a sensitivity tolerance analysis was performed to evaluate the “worst offenders,” or sources of error that strongly degrade the image quality. After a list of worst offenders was compiled, the tolerance on each of these offenders was slowly tightened until the image quality was acceptable. The results of this tolerance analysis directed us to design a custom mount to hold the scanning lenses in place that could hold a tight element decentering tolerance. A Monte Carlo simulation is an alternate way of estimating aggregate effects of all tolerances, by generating a series of random lenses that meet the specified tolerances, then evaluating the criterion. The Monte Carlo tolerancing in ZEMAX showed that 0.1 contrast at 720 lp/mm, or an Airy disk radius of 1.4 μm, was a realistic expectation.

To allow focusing at various depths in the tissue, the endoscope housing was designed as two pieces screwed together with fine pitch threads. The outer housing contained the distal window, and the inner housing held the refractive optics (Fig. 2). A small rotation of the outer housing moved the lenses proximal and distal relative to the window, causing the focal location in the tissue to change. A complicating factor with most OCM systems is that the path length in the reference arm must be adjusted to account for a change in path length in the sample arm during focusing. The sample arm path length changes because light in the sample arm travels through less air and more tissue as the focus is moved deeper. We avoided this problem in our endoscope design by filling the space between the final objective lens and the window with distilled water. Because the refractive index of tissue is close to water, and the focus was adjusted by <1 mm, misalignment of the focus and coherence gate in tissue was negligible. The housing was designed to accommodate the displacement of water during focusing. This type of design also enabled optical imaging at a single conjugate, or a single object and image location, which eased the optical design.

The inner and outer endoscope housing was machined out of brass to insure a smooth interface without galling between male and female threads, and to avoid the additional anodization thickness that would have been added if the housing was machined out of aluminum. The 4-mm galvanometer mirrors were mounted and attached to the collimating lens mount and the endoscope housing through the use of three aluminum alignment surfaces. Therefore, when the endoscope was assembled, it was self-aligned. Figure 3 shows the assembled endoscope compared to a penny.

The sample and reference arms on the tabletop OCM system were modified to incorporate the OCM endoscope. The endoscope replaced the entire sample arm optomechanics. In the reference arm, a duplicate of the endoscope optics replaced all reference-arm optics, except the piezomounted reference mirror. This replacement was performed to be able to best match dispersion. Because the endoscope contained multiple glass elements with different and sometimes a very high index of refraction, simple compensation with a glass prism pair was insufficient.

The endoscope was characterized by imaging a high-resolution 1951 U.S. Air Force (USAF) negative glass slide resolution target, a mirror, and freshly excised normal mouse ovaries. The ovary images were compared to histology at the corresponding location. Blue tissue marking dye was used to circle the area corresponding to the image location on the mouse ovary. A biopsy at this location was taken and fixed in choice tissue fixative (Amersco, Solon, OH) for at least 24 h. The biopsy was then processed, embedded routinely in paraffin, and 6-μm-thick sections were taken. The sectioned tissue was stained with hematoxylin and eosin, photographed, and compared to the OCM images.

3 Results and Discussion

The endoscope met all required physical characteristics. It had a diameter of 6 mm, a length of 34 mm, and an imaging depth range of 1 mm. Optically, the system performed as expected. Figure 4 shows an image of the high-resolution USAF target, imaged in confocal mode (i.e., with the reference arm blocked) to avoid interference fringes. Group 9 element 4, 724 lp/mm corresponding to a 1.4-μm line-pair feature, is visible with 10% contrast. This corresponds to an Airy disk radius of 1.4 μm, which was predicted by the Monte Carlo tolerancing analysis. The axial resolution (full width at half maximum of the signal from a mirror as a function of depth) was measured to be 5.4 μm, slightly larger than the theoretical limit. This difference is most likely due to residual dispersion, from manufacturing tolerance differences in the optics of the two endoscopes. The field of view of the endoscope was slightly larger than 1 mm.

The dynamic range of the endoscope-enabled OCM system was measured as the ratio of the signal when it was just saturated to the smallest detectable signal, without adjustment of system parameters, such as gain or reference arm intensity (i.e., the
variation in signal levels permissible in a single image). Dynamic range was measured by barely saturating the signal then inserting increasing neutral density (ND) filters in the endoscope until the signal-to-noise ratio was 2. Using this technique, the dynamic range was measured to be 76.8 dB. The dynamic range of our system was limited by saturation levels of some analog electronics components. This dynamic range is theoretically sufficient to obtain an image stack in ovary tissue of \( >500 \) \( \mu \)m depth, without requiring system parameter adjustment. Accounting for the ability to modify system settings, ultimate sensitivity was similar to that typically reported for optical coherence systems (e.g., 98 dB for the endoscope enabled OCM system\(^3\)).

Image acquisition speed was limited by the data acquisition rate (\( 6 \times 10^4 \) pixels/s) and the endoscope galvanometer scan speed (600 Hz), either of which could be the limiting factor, depending on the size and number of pixels in the image. In practice, the data acquisition rate, not the endoscope design, limited the image frame rate. The \( 100 \times 100 \) pixel images across a \( 1 \times 1 \) mm full field of view were acquired at a rate of six images per second, whereas a \( 500 \times 500 \) pixel image was acquired in 4 s.

Images of freshly excised mouse ovaries and corresponding histology are shown in Figs. 5–7. Figure 5(a) shows an OCM image taken \( 10 \) \( \mu \)m below the surface of a left mouse ovary. The two thick arrows point to possible corpus luteum, and the thin arrow points to a possible primary follicle. Many cell nuclei (small dark ovoid regions, e.g., arrow head) are seen throughout the image. Figure 5(b) shows an expanded view of the corpora lutea at the top center of the image. Arrow heads point to some of the many cell nuclei. Corresponding histology, arrows defined in (a). Cell nuclei are small dark dots.

We found that a 1-mm-diam field of view enabled the ventral surface of a normal mouse ovary to be completely imaged by tiling four to seven images. Therefore, we believe this endoscope design will be appropriate for \textit{in vivo} surgical imaging, allowing high-resolution imaging of the entire mouse ovary surface in a reasonable period of time. In low-pixel-resolution mode (\( 100 \times 100 \) pixels), the endoscope could be slowly translated across the ovary and images obtained at a frame rate of 6/s. After an area of interest was identified, high-resolution images (\( 500 \times 500 \) pixels) were obtained. The slow acquisition rate at high resolution provided a challenge for the handheld device. Although operation in contact mode mitigated motion artifacts, future efforts will include a redesign of the analog piezodrive and demodulation electronics to improve pixel acquisition speed.
In practice, images obtained at large depths (>300 μm) often showed weak signal and somewhat blurry signal features. We believe this image degradation is due to modulations of the tissue index of refraction, leading to a distorted wavefront. Using our endoscope, the focal plane and coherence gate are properly aligned at all depths assuming a tissue bulk index of refraction that is constant and close to water. We were unable to deblur images by changing the reference arm path length, which leads us to believe that our use of water in the endoscope to avoid reference arm adjustments was not the fundamental problem. As light propagates through tissue, the wavefront becomes distorted due to microscale modulations of the tissue index of refraction. Therefore, eventually it becomes impossible to match the coherence gate and focal plane for every point in our relatively large 1-mm-diam field of view, leading to loss of signal and blurring of features. One improvement we could make would be to move to a longer wavelength light source (e.g., 1300 nm). At this wavelength, both tissue scattering and differences in index of refraction of tissue components are lower, which should enable greater depth of imaging with high quality. Obtaining high resolution, however, is a greater challenge at longer wavelengths, as can be seen in the equations for lateral spot diameter and axial resolution. Fortunately, because the small size of the mouse ovary and the ability to image both sides of the organ under light compression, we are able to visualize nearly the complete volume of the ovary with our current design. We can also easily visualize the entire fallopian tube.

4 Conclusion

OCM can provide cellular-level resolution, and an endoscope enables surgical and minimally invasive imaging of internal organs. Our endoscope enables the visualization of structural and cellular features of the mouse ovary, as confirmed by corresponding histology images. This rigid OCM endoscope, which has the smallest diameter and largest field of view reported to date, will primarily be used for minimally invasive surgical imaging in small animals. It may also be convenient to use the handheld endoscope for other applications, such as assessing dysplasia and sun damage in skin during chemoprevention and therapeutic trials.
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