Quantification of nanoscale nuclear refractive index changes during the cell cycle

Rajan K. Bista
Shikhar Uttam
Pin Wang
Kevin Staton
Serah Choi
Christopher J. Bakkenist
Douglas J. Hartman
Randall E. Brand
Yang Liu

Quantification of nanoscale nuclear refractive index changes during the cell cycle

Rajan K. Bista,^a Shikhar Uttam,^a Pin Wang,^a Kevin Staton,^a Serah Choi,^b Christopher J. Bakkenist,^b Douglas J. Hartman,^c Randall E. Brand,^a and Yang Liu^{a,d}

^aUniversity of Pittsburgh, Department of Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15232

^bUniversity of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Department of Radiation Oncology, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15232

^cUniversity of Pittsburgh School of Medicine, Department of Pathology, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213

^dUniversity of Pittsburgh, Department of Bioengineering, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219

Abstract. Intrigued by our recent finding that the nuclear refractive index is significantly increased in malignant cells and histologically normal cells in clinical histology specimens derived from cancer patients, we sought to identify potential biological mechanisms underlying the observed phenomena. The cell cycle is an ordered series of events that describes the intervals of cell growth, DNA replication, and mitosis that precede cell division. Since abnormal cell cycles and increased proliferation are characteristic of many human cancer cells, we hypothesized that the observed increase in nuclear refractive index could be related to an abundance or accumulation of cells derived from cancer patients at a specific point or phase(s) of the cell cycle. Here we show that changes in nuclear refractive index of fixed cells are seen as synchronized populations of cells that proceed through the cell cycle, and that increased nuclear refractive index is strongly correlated with increased DNA content. We therefore propose that an abundance of cells undergoing DNA replication and mitosis may explain the increase in nuclear refractive index observed in both malignant and histologically normal cells from cancer patients. Our findings suggest that nuclear refractive index may be a novel physical parameter for early cancer detection and risk stratification. © 2011 Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE). [DOI: 10.1117/1.3597723]

Keywords: biomedical optics; refractive index; microscopy; scattering. Paper 11184LR received Apr. 12, 2011; revised manuscript received May 12, 2011; accepted for publication May 17, 2011; published online Jul. 6, 2011.

The cell cycle is an ordered series of events that describes the intervals of cell growth, DNA replication, and mitosis that precede cell division. Abnormal cell cycles and increased proliferation are common features of many human cancer cells and quantitative analysis of cell cycle profiles is an important tool for cancer diagnosis.^{1,2} Dividing eukaryotic cells progress through

the cell cycles that describe four distinct phases during each cell division: G₁, S, G₂, and M phases. DNA content is often experimentally used to distinguish cells in different phases of the cell cycle. In G₁-phase, normal human diploid cells [2N (or 46 chromosomes)] containing 7.14 pg of DNA grow as they accumulate the nutrients required for DNA replication and mitosis. During the synthesis phase (S-phase), chromosomes are replicated and cells double their DNA content from 7.14 (2N) to 14.28 pg [4N (92 chromosomes)].³ In the G₂-phase, DNA repair is completed as cells prepare for mitosis (M phase). In mitosis, the chromosomes are equally partitioned into two daughter cells as the parental cell divides.

In this letter, we examine the nuclear refractive index, a recently described optical property of cell nucleus, as synchronized cells increase their DNA content and progress through the cell cycle. The refractive index is often used to identify a substance, or quantify the concentration and density of particular macromolecules in cells. This parameter provides fundamental biophysical information about the composition and organizational structure of cells. Cell refractive index has been explored in living cells using interference microscopy, quantitative phase microscopy, and confocal light absorption and scattering spectroscopic microscopy.^{4–11}

The significance and great potential of the nuclear refractive index in the diagnosis and treatment of human cancers has not been well recognized. Recently, we quantified the nuclear refractive index in histology tissue specimens derived from patients with various types of cancer using spatial-domain low-coherence quantitative phase microscopy (SL-QPM), a new instrument that allows the quantification of subtle changes in the optical path length with a sensitivity of 0.9 nm.^{12–14} We found that the nuclear refractive index is significantly increased in malignant cells derived from cancer patients in comparison to those derived from apparently normal individuals or patients with benign disease. Significantly, we also found that increased nuclear refractive index can be detected in cells derived from patients that were originally labeled as “normal” or “indeterminate” by expert pathologists but subsequently diagnosed as cancer patients, suggesting that SL-QPM is a superior diagnostic tool.^{12,13} Since SL-QPM can be directly applied on the clinical histology and cytology specimens, it has the potential for clinical translation. We propose that the nuclear refractive index may represent a novel and innovative approach for early cancer detection as well as improved diagnostic accuracy and risk stratification.

Here, we sought to identify potential biological mechanisms underlying our recent observation that the refractive index of the cell nucleus is significantly increased in not only malignant cells, but also histologically normal cells in clinical histology specimens derived from cancer patients. Since abnormalities in the cell cycle are considered to be one of the hallmarks of cancer, we determined the nuclear refractive index of fixed cells from synchronized populations of the well-characterized human cervical cancer HeLa cell line as they progressed through the cell cycle.

We quantified the nuclear refractive index of fixed cells in synchronized G₁/S-phase and G₂/M-phase populations of cells. We synchronized HeLa cells in the G₁/S-phase using a

Address all correspondence to: Yang Liu, University of Pittsburgh, Medicine and Bioengineering, 5117 Centre Avenue, HCCLB 2.32, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15232; Tel: 412-623-3751; Fax: 412-623-7828; E-mail: liuy@pitt.edu.

double thymidine (an inhibitor of DNA synthesis) block and in the G₂/M-phase using nocodazole (a mitotic inhibitor).¹⁵ Specifically, HeLa cells were grown in Dulbecco's modified eagle medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Mediatech, Inc.) and 1% penicillin-streptomycin in a 70% humidified incubator at 37 °C, 5% CO₂. Cells were treated with 2 mM thymidine (Sigma) for 17 h, released from thymidine for 8 h, and then treated with 2 mM thymidine for an additional 15 h. This double thymidine block generated a synchronized population of G₁/S-phase arrested cells. To generate G₂/M-phase arrested cells, cells were released from a double thymidine block and then treated with 100 ng/ml nocodazole for 11 h.

To determine the efficiency of the cell synchronization we used flow cytometry. HeLa cells were synchronized using the aforementioned protocols and then trypsinized and fixed in 70% ethanol. Cells were washed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS), permeabilized with 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS, and stained with 6% propidium iodide (PI) for 15 min in the presence of RNase (100 µg/mL) in a sodium citrate buffer (40 mM) containing 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS. Stained cells were then passed through the CyAN flow cytometer (Beckman Coulter Inc.). Since PI is a DNA intercalating dye, the fluorescence intensity is directly proportional to the DNA content in the nucleus.

To mimic the conditions of clinical histology specimens, we made cell blocks from populations of synchronized cells. Briefly, cells were trypsinized and resuspended in Cytolyt[®] solution (Cytec) prior to centrifugation to generate cell pellets. HistoGel (Thermo Scientific) was added to each cell pellet and once the histogel embedded cell pellet solidified, 10% formalin was added to remove the cell block (gel button with specimen cells) from the container. Individual slides from each cell block were prepared following the standard tissue histology processing protocol with paraffin-embedding, sectioning at 4-µm thickness, mounting on a glass slide, paraffin removal, and hematoxylin and eosin staining. The stained specimen was covered with a coverslip. We acquired the data for approximately 150 to 160 cells from each sample using the SL-QPM system and extracted the nuclear refractive index using Fourier analysis, as described in detail in our previous publications.^{12,13}

The nuclear refractive index distribution resembles the histogram of fluorescence intensity from the flow cytometric analysis. Figure 1 shows the comparison of the nuclear refractive index and flow cytometry of cells synchronized at G₁/S and G₂/M phases. Flow cytometry is shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) and nuclear refractive index is shown in Figs. 1(c) and 1(d). Cells arrested at the G₁/S phase have a 2N DNA content due to an inhibition of DNA synthesis while cells arrested at the G₂/M phase have a 4N DNA content. HeLa cells arrested at the G₂/M phase with 4N DNA content exhibit a significantly higher nuclear refractive index, as further confirmed by the statistical analysis ($P < 1E-30$) shown in Fig. 1(e).

To further confirm the relationship between the nuclear refractive index and DNA content, we monitored the progression of nuclear refractive index as cells progressed through the cell cycle. Cells were arrested using a double thymidine block protocol and then released. Parallel cultures of cells were trypsinized and resuspended in Cytolyt[®] solution at different time points (4, 8, 10, and 15 h) following the second release from thymidine for cell block processing. We quantified the nuclear refractive index and DNA content at 4, 8, 10, and 15 h following release from the

Fig. 1 (a) and (b) Flow cytometry and (c) and (d) the corresponding nuclear refractive index histogram of HeLa cells arrested at the G₁/S and G₂/M phases. (e) Statistical analysis of the refractive index from the cell nuclei. The nuclear refractive index from cells arrested at the G₂/M phase (4N DNA content) shows a significant increase compared to those at the G₁/S phase (2N DNA content) ($P = 2.1E-31$). Approximately 150 to 160 cells were analyzed for nuclear refractive index at each phase.

second thymidine treatment (G₁/S-phase arrest), as shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Again, there is a clear correlation between the nuclear refractive index and DNA content at different phases of the cell cycle. At four hours following the G₁/S transition, approximately 50% cells have progressed into the S-phase, as indicated by the peak at 4N DNA content. This change is clearly reflected in the elevated average nuclear refractive index compared to that at G₁/S ($P = 0.001$). At eight hours, only ~25% cells have a 2N DNA content (G₁/S-phase) and the majority of cells have a 4N DNA content (S-phase). The corresponding nuclear refractive index showed a further increase ($P = 0.0001$). At 10 h, more cells (~44%) have a 2N DNA content as they complete the cell cycle and re-enter G₁-phase. The corresponding nuclear refractive index showed a slight increase ($P = 0.0003$). At 15 h, when most cells (~80%) have completed the mitosis, a more prominent peak at 2N DNA content and a further reduced nuclear refractive index was seen ($P = 0.000005$). At 15 h, the percentage

Fig. 2 Flow cytometry of HeLa cells at 4, 8, 10, and 15 h following release from a double thymidine block (G₁/S-phase arrest) to monitor progression through the cell cycle.

Fig. 3 Statistical averages of (a) fluorescence intensity from PI and (b) nuclear refractive index at different time points during the cell cycle.

of cells with 2N DNA content was similar to that of the cells originally arrested in the G₁/S-phase, as is the nuclear refractive index ($P = 0.4$). These results confirm that the alteration in the average nuclear refractive index is correlated with DNA content.

Our results suggest a strong correlation between the nuclear refractive index and alterations in DNA contents through the cell cycle. The changes in refractive index alteration have been shown to be proportional to macromolecular concentration or mass density based on a well-established linear dependence: $n = n_0 + \alpha C$, where C represents the mass density and the proportionality coefficient α (specific refraction increment) can be approximated as 0.185 ml/grams for most biological molecules, such as nucleic acid and protein.^{4,5} Therefore, the nuclear refractive index may be a sensitive measure of nuclear mass density or concentration caused by the alterations in DNA content during the cell cycle. For example, when the DNA content doubles from the G₁/S to G₂/M phase, the average refractive index of the cell nucleus increases by 0.0014, corresponding to a nuclear mass density change of approximately 7.6 femtograms/ μm^3 . Since cancer is characterized as uncontrolled cell growth that is often associated with higher DNA content, the increased DNA content may be one of the mechanisms responsible for the increased nuclear refractive index observed in both malignant cells and histologically normal cells derived from cancer patients.

In conclusion, we show that the nuclear refractive index of fixed cells correlates with DNA content through the cell cycle. Thus, the characterization of the nuclear refractive index could be developed as a novel methodology to determine the cell cycle distribution in a population of cells. However, the nuclear refractive index should not be considered to be a DNA specific biomarker. It could, in principle, detect cumulative nuclear density changes arising from any macromolecules (e.g., DNA,

RNA, protein). Most significantly, our findings further suggest that the nuclear refractive index may be a novel physical parameter for early cancer detection as well as improving diagnostic accuracy and risk stratification.

Acknowledgments

This work was funded by research Grants Nos. R21CA138370 and R21CA15293 from the National Institute of Health and University of Pittsburgh Medical Center.

References

1. R. J. Cho, M. Huang, M. J. Campbell, H. Dong, L. Steinmetz, L. Sapinoso, G. Hampton, S. J. Elledge, R. W. Davis, and D. J. Lockhart, "Transcriptional regulation and function during the human cell cycle," *Nat. Genet.* **27**, 48–54 (2001).
2. M. A. Shah and G. K. Schwartz, "Cell cycle-mediated drug resistance: an emerging concept in cancer therapy," *Clin. Cancer Res.* **7**, 2168–2181 (2001).
3. J. S. Ross, G. P. Linette, J. Stec, M. S. Ross, S. Anwar, and A. Boguniewicz, "DNA ploidy and cell cycle analysis in breast cancer," *Am. J. Clin. Pathol.* **120**(suppl), S72–S84 (2003).
4. R. Barer, "Interference microscopy and mass determination," *Nature* **169**, 366–367 (1952).
5. R. Barer, "Refractometry and interferometry of living cells," *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **47**, 545–556 (1957).
6. C. L. Curl, C. J. Bellair, T. Harris, B. E. Allman, P. J. Harris, A. G. Stewart, A. Roberts, K. A. Nugent, and L. M. Delbridge, "Refractive index measurement in viable cells using quantitative phase-amplitude microscopy and confocal microscopy," *Cytometry, Part A* **65**, 88–92 (2005).
7. H. Lee, V. Richards, and C. Klausner, "Dry mass and cell area changes in Ehrlich mouse ascites carcinoma cells after complement-fixation reaction measured by interference microscopy," *Cancer Res.* **20**, 1415–1421 (1960).
8. G. Popescu, Y. Park, N. Lue, C. Best-Popescu, L. Deflores, R. R. Dasari, M. S. Feld, and K. Badizadegan, "Optical imaging of cell mass and growth dynamics," *Am. J. Physiol. Cell Physiol.* **295**, C538–C544 (2008).
9. H. Fang, L. Qiu, E. Vitkin, M. M. Zaman, C. Andersson, S. Salahuddin, L. M. Kimerer, P. B. Cipolloni, M. D. Modell, B. S. Turner, S. E. Keates, I. Bigio, I. Itzkan, S. D. Freedman, R. Bansil, E. B. Hanlon, and L. T. Perelman, "Confocal light absorption and scattering spectroscopic microscopy," *Appl. Opt.* **46**, 1760–1769 (2007).
10. I. Itzkan, L. Qiu, H. Fang, M. M. Zaman, E. Vitkin, L. C. Ghiran, S. Salahuddin, M. Modell, C. Andersson, L. M. Kimerer, P. B. Cipolloni, K. H. Lim, S. D. Freedman, I. Bigio, B. P. Sachs, E. B. Hanlon, and L. T. Perelman, "Confocal light absorption and scattering spectroscopic microscopy monitors organelles in live cells with no exogenous labels," *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **104**, 17255–17260 (2007).
11. L. T. Perelman, V. Backman, M. Wallace, G. Zonios, R. Manoharan, A. Nusrat, S. Shields, M. Seiler, C. Lima, T. Hamano, I. Itzkan, J. Van Dam, J. M. Crawford, and M. S. Feld, "Observation of periodic fine structure in reflectance from biological tissue: a new technique for measuring nuclear size distribution," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 627–630 (1998).
12. P. Wang, R. Bista, R. Bhargava, R. E. Brand, and Y. Liu, "Spatial-domain low-coherence quantitative phase microscopy for cancer diagnosis," *Opt. Lett.* **35**, 2840–2842 (2010).
13. P. Wang, R. Bista, W. E. Khalbuss, W. Qiu, K. Staton, L. Zhang, T. A. Brentnall, R. E. Brand, and Y. Liu, "Nanoscale nuclear architecture for cancer diagnosis beyond pathology via spatial-domain low-coherence quantitative phase microscopy," *J. Biomed. Opt.* **15**, 066028 (2010).
14. P. Wang, R. K. Bista, W. Qiu, W. E. Khalbuss, L. Zhang, R. E. Brand, and Y. Liu, "An insight into statistical refractive index properties of cell internal structure via low-coherence statistical amplitude microscopy," *Opt. Express* **18**, 21950–21958 (2010).
15. J. V. Harper, "Synchronization of cell population in G₁/S and G₂/M phases of the cell cycle," *Methods Mol. Biol.* **296**, 157–166 (2005).