Quantitative phase imaging of living cells: application of the phase volume and area functions to the analysis of “nucleolar stress”
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Abstract. We applied coherent phase microscopy to develop a method of quantitative evaluation of functional state of eukaryotic cells using the coordinates of characteristic points (CP) in the functions of the phase volume W and area S. In a fragment of a single cell image (HCT116 human colon carcinoma cell line) with detectable nucleolus, the values of the phase thickness, area, and volume were calculated. These values dramatically changed within the initial minutes of cell exposure to the transcriptional inhibitor actinomycin D. The positions of CP in the graphs of S and W functions allowed for monitoring the time-dependent decrease of nucleolar contrast, a major optical hallmark of “nucleolar stress.” Given that the area and volume functions reflect optical heterogeneity of the cell and are independent of its optical model, these functions can be applicable as general mathematical tools for the analysis of cell morphology and physiology.

1 Introduction
The development of methods of interference microscopy (dSLIT, SLIM, DHM, WFDI, CPM) opened new perspectives in living cell imaging, largely due to high sensitivity and real-time recording.1–12 The phase imaging provides unique opportunity to dissect the biological object using normalized values of optical path difference (OPD). Measurement of this parameter, as well as refraction, with exceptionally high accuracy allowed for registering the phase thickness (h) and volume-averaged refractive index of erythrocytes,1–8 local fluctuations of cell dynamics,1–2,6 and functional responses to extracellular stimuli.3–5,7,9,11–14 Still, the literature data on physical parameters of individual cellular compartments remain scarce (see Ref. 15 as an early study). One reason for limited information about phase characteristics of the nuclei and organelles might be lack of adequate analytical algorithms.

The coherent phase microscopy (CPM)9–12 has been proved to be informative for quantitative real-time monitoring of a variety of processes in living pro- and eukaryotic cells.9–12,14 Using this method and a simplified spherical model of a T-lymphocyte, we reported the numerical values of key physical parameters of the phase volume and area functions allowed for monitoring the time-dependent decrease of nucleolar contrast, a major optical hallmark of “nucleolar stress.” Given that the area and volume functions reflect optical heterogeneity of the cell and are independent of its optical model, these functions can be applicable as general mathematical tools for the analysis of cell morphology and physiology.
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2 Materials and Methods
All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich unless specified otherwise. Human HCT116 colon carcinoma cell line (American Type Culture Collection, Manassas, Virginia) was propagated in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 5% fetal calf serum (Thermo Scientific HyClone, Logan, Utah), 2 mM l-glutamine, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin at 37°C, 5% CO₂ in a humidified atmosphere. Cells were plated on glass coverslips to reach 50% confluence by the day of the experiment. Act D (1 μM) was added to the cells for up to 40 min followed by CPM. This treatment causes a drop of the rate of transcription down to 5% of its initial level as determined by incorporation of radioactive uridine analogue (A.A.S., unpublished results).
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The phase image of the cell in the plane \((x, y)\) can be described by the integral\(^{9–11}\)

\[
h(x, y) = \int [n(x, y, z) - n_e] dz, \tag{1}
\]

where \(n(x, y, z)\) and \(n_e\) are the refractive indices of the object and environment, respectively. The function \(h(x, y)\) can be interpreted as a “projection” (onto the image plane) of an optically heterogeneous object with the refractive index \(n(x, y, z)\).

In the phase thickness profile \(h(x)\), the parts with a bigger steepness correspond to the boundaries between organelles, thereby reflecting different refractivity of subcellular structures.\(^{13}\) The coordinates of these parts are termed “characteristic points” (CPs).

Here, we use the functions of the area

\[
S(h) = \int dS, \tag{2}
\]

where \(dS = dx dy\) and phase volume

\[
W(h) = \int dS \int dh, \tag{3}
\]

where \(dh = [n(x, y, z) - n_0] dz\).

The function \(S(h)\) describes the area in the image cut by the plane \(h = \text{const}\), and the function \(W(h)\) describes the phase volume of the cell’s part projected on the area \(S(h)\). The limits of the integral in Eqs. (2) and (3) are from \(h_{\text{max}}\) to \(h\). The phase volume \(W_{0i}\) of the whole cell is the sum \(W_{0i} = \Sigma \Delta n_i V_i\), where \(V_i\) is geometric volume of the \(i\)th organelle, and \(\Delta n_i\) is its refractivity.

Figure 1(a) shows the image \(h(x, y)\) of the cell (HCT116 cell line) with nuclei (\(n_i\)) and the phase thickness \((h_{ij})\) at the boundaries of subcellular structures. The contour \(h_{34} = \text{const}\) corresponds to the border between the nucleus and the nucleolus. In the middle part of this image, we picked a fragment 12.8 \(\times\) 12.8 \(\mu\)m (not shown) containing the projections of the nucleoli. The phase thickness profile \(h(x)\) [Fig. 1(b)] was obtained in the image section along the punctured line [Fig. 1(a)]. The value \(h_{01}\) characterizes the bottom level of the cell, \(h_{\text{max}}\) is its maximum value, \(\delta h_{34} = h_{\text{max}} - h_{34}\) is the “excessive” thickness of the nucleolus over the nucleus \((h_{34})\), \(\delta h\) is the contrast of cell’s dense part, and \(d_{34}\) is the nucleolar diameter. The three-dimensional image in Fig. 1(c) shows the contour \(h_{01}(x, y) = \text{const}\) of the border between the cell and extracellular medium, the contour \(h_{23}(x, y) = \text{const}\) in the image section cut by a horizontal plane, and its area \(S_{23}\) in the projection on the plane \((x, y)\) and the phase volume \(W_{23}\) of all subcellular structures projected onto the area \(S_{23}\).

The graphs of \(S(h)\) and \(W(h)\) functions are shown in Fig. 1(d). CP and their abscises \((h_{ij})\) determine the values of
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the phase thickness at the borders of subcellular structures. The contours \( h_{ij} = \text{const} \) divide the image plane into the “zones” within equal (or slowly changing) phase thickness values. Importantly, in the right parts of graphs, the difference \( \Delta h_{34} = h_{\text{max}} - h_{34} \) characterizes the excessive phase thickness in the zone 4 within the area \( S_{34} \), which contains the image of the nucleoli. Together with the area \( S_{ij} \), we also used the equivalent diameter \( d_{ij} \), where \( \pi(d_{ij})^2/4 = S_{ij} \). The set of CP parameters \( (h_{ij}, d_{ij}, S_{ij}, \text{and } W_{ij}) \) has been termed by us a “phase portrait” of the cell.\(^{13}\)

In this study, we performed quantitative analysis of a fragment of the cell’s image, not of the entire cell. The indices \( h_{ij}, d_{ij}, S_{ij}, \text{and } W_{ij} \) (Figs. 2 and 3) should be related to this fragment.

3 Results

The fragment of the phase image of an untreated HCT116 cell [Fig. 2(a)] contains clearly detectable nucleoli [zone 4, Fig. 1(a)]. The diameter of the nucleolus in the profile \( h(x) \) [Fig. 2(b)] was \( d_{34} \approx 4 \mu m \). The shape of this nucleolus was close to spherical. The “excess” of nucleolar phase thickness was \( \Delta h_{34} \approx 60 \text{ nm} \). This value \( \Delta h_{34} \approx (\Delta n_1 - \Delta n_3)d_{34} \) reflected the difference between refractivity of the nucleolus (\( \Delta n_1 \)) and nuclei (\( \Delta n_3 \)). Suggesting a spherical shape of the nucleolus, we can estimate the excess of refractivity \( \Delta n_1 = \Delta h_{34}/d_{34} \approx 0.015 \) relative to the nucleus. The estimation of absolute nucleolar refractivity \( \Delta n_1 = \Delta n_3 + \Delta h_{34}/d_{34} \approx 0.05 - 0.055 \) came from the values of refractivity of the nucleus (\( \Delta n_3 \)) or the dense part (\( \Delta n_2 \)) of the cell.\(^{13}\) The values \( \Delta n_2 \approx \Delta n_3 = 0.035 - 0.04 \) were close to the respective numbers for the dense part of a T-lymphocyte.\(^{13}\)

Figure 2(c) shows the graphs of functions \( S(h) \) and \( W(h) \) for the image fragment with the coordinates of CP. We used maxima of \( dS/\text{d}h \) function [see Fig. 2(d)] to determine the abscises of CP. The phase contrast of the nucleolus (\( \approx 60 \text{ nm} \)) corresponded to the interval \( \Delta h_{34} = h_{\text{max}} - h_{34} \). It was the same as in the phase
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**Fig. 2** Phase image (a fragment) of intact HCT116 cell. (a) The nucleoli (n) are detectable in the region of high values of the phase thickness. The punctured line shows the section of the phase thickness profile through the nucleolus, (b) The nucleolus with the diameter \( d_{34} \approx 4 \mu m \) and the “excessive” phase thickness \( \Delta h_{34} \approx 60 \text{ nm} \) in the phase thickness profile \( h(y) \) is shown. (c) The CP on graphs \( S(h) \) and \( W(h) \) are shown. The coordinates of CP at the zone boundaries provide the values of the area \( S_{ij} \), phase thickness \( h_{ij} \) and phase volume \( W_{ij} \). The area between \( h_{\text{max}} \) and \( h_{34} \) represents the zone 4 where the nucleoli make impact into \( S(h) \) and \( W(h) \) functions. (d) Values of abscises on graphs \( S(h) \) and \( W(h) \) are determined by the positions of maxima of derivative \( dS/\text{d}h \).
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**Fig. 3** Positions of CP of integral functions in the phase image of Act D-treated HCT116 cell. (a) Note a decreased contrast and disappearance of the nucleolus in the phase thickness profile. (b) Note the absence of the extended \( (h > h_{34}) \) portion and a decreased phase thickness \( h_{\text{max}} \) on the graphs of \( S(h) \) and \( W(h) \) functions.
thickness profile [Fig. 2(b)]. The coordinates of CP allowed us to evaluate a number of physical parameters at the boundaries of subcellular compartments. At the boundary of the zone 4 onto which the nucleolus is projected [Fig. 2(c)], the area and phase volume were $S_{44} \approx 25 \mu m^2$ and $W_{44} \approx 5.6 \mu m^2$. An impact of other organelles should be considered to explain relatively big value of $W_{44}$ at the boundary of the fourth zone.

Act $D$ caused changes of the phase image rapidly after the beginning of cell exposure. In particular, the phase contrast $\delta h = h_{\text{max}} - h_{23}$ of the dense part of the cell changed with time. No contrast nucleolus was visible in the phase thickness profile [Fig. 3(a)]. The absence of an extended $(h \geq h_{34})$ portion on the graphs of integral functions $S(h)$ and $W(h)$ [Fig. 3(b)], a low contrast $\delta h$, and a decreased $h_{\text{max}}$ characterizes the nucleolar stress (essentially transcriptional block and segregation of nucleolar components) induced by Act $D$.

4 Discussion

The approach reported here is based on the representation of a eukaryotic cell as an optically nonhomogeneous object whose structural elements are physically distinguishable. These previously unknown advantages of phase images have been illustrated in a model of a T-lymphocyte where CPM allowed for identification of boundaries between the organelles. The novelty of present findings is the quantitative dissection of morphophysiological changes in the cell exposed to an anticancer drug.

The nucleoli rapidly respond to a variety of stress stimuli by reorganizing their architecture. One important prerequisite for this function is the mobility of nucleolar proteins, that is, their ability to rapidly migrate to the perinuclear region and back to the nucleus in response to a variety of extracellular stimuli. Under physiological conditions, this protein redistribution is aimed, in part, at stabilization of ribosomal RNA. When the stimulus persists, segregation of nucleolar components would halt RNA polymerase I–mediated transcription. We have demonstrated that CPM-assisted imaging of living human tumor cells (cultured or isolated from biopsies) followed by quantitative analysis of phase images strongly supported the notion that nucleolar stress is a part of general stress response, and a decreased nucleolar phase thickness is a common biophysical feature of this phenomenon. In this study, we performed a detailed analysis of nucleolar stress by introducing new parameters of the phase image, in particular, the coordinates of CP, the values of $S(h)$ and $W(h)$ functions, equivalent diameters of subcellular zones, excessive phase thickness, and refractivity. Taken together, these parameters comprised a phase portrait of nucleolar stress. To our knowledge, this report is the first attempt to analyze nucleolar stress in its entirety by calculating numerical values for a set of functionally significant parameters. The fact that phase image parameters reflect condensation/decondensation and protein traffic. Also, the state of water during nucleolar stress remains an unresolved issue; however, the impact of this particular factor into the phase thickness of the cell is critical.

5 Conclusion

The quantitative representation of phase images of a micro-object is a major methodological advantage of CPM. At present, the subcellular structure of a variety of prokaryotic organisms and individual eukaryotic cells has been qualitatively characterized. It seems logical to develop the numerical methods of image analysis of cellular responses to environmental cues. In this study, we introduced the $S(h)$ and $W(h)$ functions and demonstrated their applicability for the analysis of the previously reported phenomenon of nucleolar stress. We believe that the significance of $S(h)$ and $W(h)$ functions is not limited to this particular model. This tool can provide valuable quantitative information largely due to independence of these functions on an optical model of the micro-object. In the living cell, the $S(h)$ and $W(h)$ functions reflect its optical heterogeneity by describing the variability of physical parameters of intracellular compartments. Therefore, these functions can be considered a general tool for phase image analysis of cell structure and dynamics.
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