Appearance of breast cysts in planar geometry photoacoustic mammography using 1064-nm excitation
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1 Introduction

Breast cysts are among the most common benign lesions in the breast.1 They are fluid-filled sacs usually develop in the ducts and acini because of an imbalance between secretion and drainage of the ducts.1 Several hypotheses regarding this imbalance exist, and most of them consider either obstruction of the draining ducts and thereby retention of secretory material or an increase in ductular-alveolar secretion.2 Cysts are usually easily recognized using conventional breast imaging techniques. In x-ray mammography, a cyst is visualized as a circumscribed noncalcified circular density. As ultrasound investigation, anechoegenicity, smooth borders, and posterior echo enhancement are characteristic features for a cyst.6 The conventional clinical modalities are well capable of recognizing breast cysts, and there is no necessity for research into new methods for cyst imaging and diagnosis.

On the contrary, breast carcinoma is often ambiguously visualized using conventional imaging techniques due to their lack of specificity and potential for the disease, especially in patients with dense breast tissue.6 One of the new techniques currently under investigation for its potential in addressing some of these limitations is photoacoustic mammography (PAM), also called optoacoustic imaging.6,7 PAM combines the high contrast possible in optical imaging with the high resolution associated with ultrasound detection. Upon illumination of the tissue with short pulses of laser light, the light is absorbed by the chromophores in the tissue. In case of malignancy, hemoglobin in the tumor vasculature is believed to be the predominant absorber. The absorption of the light energy leads to the formation of a pressure wave by thermoelastic expansion. The pressure wave propagates through the tissue and can be detected with ultrasound detectors with the appropriate center frequency and bandwidth. From the detected signals, the location of light absorption can be retrieved with ultrasound resolution, overcoming the limitation of purely optical techniques where optical scattering causes a poor resolution deeper than several millimeters. This technique has several advantages for breast imaging besides the high contrast and good imaging resolution: it is noninvasive and does not require the use of ionizing radiation or contrast agents.

Previously, we showed that photoacoustic mammography, as applied in the Twente photoacoustic mammoscope (PAM), is able to visualize breast malignancies as high-contrast regions in the reconstructed photoacoustic volume. However, we believe that a technique that aims at visualizing malignancies in the breast should also be able to visualize benign lesions, such as fibroadenomas and cysts, and to clearly differentiate them from malignancies. In the previous study, we also reported the imaging on two breasts with cysts. We were not able to recognize the cysts as the confined high-intensity areas observed for malignancies, and we attributed this to the low absorption of the water-rich cysts compared to the hemoglobin-rich malignancies. In retrospect, it is not unlikely that...
inertial issues also contributed to the photoacoustic absence of cysts. Since cysts are easy to compress and move, the possibility cannot be excluded that they shifted out of the 4 × 4 cm² scan area of the detector during positioning. In fact, due to the nature of cysts, they can be expected to be visible using photoacoustics at certain wavelengths, but to be different in appearance from the inhomogeneously absorbing and scattering malignancies. A limited number of publications of optical imaging of breast cysts in the far-red wavelength region shows a lower or higher homogeneous absorption, and scattering lower than that of the surrounding tissue. This is confirmed by the fact that the color of the cyst fluid can vary widely per subject. Colorless to brownish fluids are reported as well as transparent to slightly turbid fluid. The color of the fluid is thought not to have any diagnostic importance. Moreover, data about the origin and function of the mammary chromophores in the cyst fluid, which might include breakdown products of hemoglobin, cholesterol epoxides, and lipofuscin complexes, are lacking.

Recently, we upgraded PAM to enable faster scanning in a larger field of view. With this system, the shifting of the cysts upon compression should be less of a problem, although the position estimation of a single cyst within the region of interest and the comparison with its position in the cranio-caudal x-ray image will still be more difficult than for malignancies, especially since there are often multiple cysts in the breast. Because of this reason, we started the investigation regarding the appearance of breast cysts in PAM by using simulations: Monte Carlo simulations and k-wave simulations were performed to couple the light–tissue interactions to the acoustic propagation. Subsequently, phantom measurements were performed to verify the results from simulations and to translate the situation to the PAM setup. Finally, patient measurements were performed in a small patient population using the upgraded version of PAM. All results were combined to investigate if cysts can be differentiated from malignancies in a PAI volume using our current image configuration, since for optimal breast cancer detection, the ability to exclude benign breast lesions is of importance.

2 Materials and Methods

2.1 Twente Photoacoustic Mammoscope

The Twente PAM (Fig. 1a) is built into a hospital bed on which the patient lies in prone position with her breast pendant through an aperture in the bed. Under the bed, the breast is slightly compressed between a glass plate for laser light illumination at the cranial side and the ultrasound detector array at the caudal side. The breast is illuminated with 1064 nm pulsed light (10 ns, 10 Hz) from a Q-switched Nd:YAG laser (Continuum Surelite, California). For patient and phantom measurements, an elliptical-shaped beam with a surface of ~35 cm² and a maximum energy of 350 mJ is used (10 mJ/cm²). Photoacoustic signals are detected with a 1 MHz (130% bandwidth) two-dimensional ultrasound detector array (Lunar Corporation, Wisconsin) consisting of 590 polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) elements of 2 × 2 mm² with an interelement distance of 1.175 mm and −3 dB directivity of 20 deg. The array covers an area of ~8 × 9 cm² of the breast. Details of the PAM detector are described elsewhere.

The photoacoustic signals are read into the PC using two eight channel digitizers (National Instruments, Texas, NI PXI 5105, 60 MS/s, 12-bit). A Labview program (National Instruments) controls the scan stage movements and the element selection. The signal processing and image reconstruction were performed off-line using MATLAB (R2011b, the Mathworks Company, Massachusetts). The raw signals were filtered with a band-pass Butterworth filter (cut-off frequencies 0.2 and 1.7 MHz) to remove the low-frequency trend and high-frequency noise. The prominent breast surface signals were removed prior to reconstruction to expand the dynamic range for signals of interest from deeper inside. A modified acoustic backprojection algorithm was used to reconstruct the images, assuming homogenous speed of sound (SOS) values of 1540 m/s for the breast and 1700 m/s for the 18.6 mm protective layer of the detector. After reconstruction, the separate sagittal slices were Hilbert transformed to demodulate the signals and obtain an envelope detection. All voxel intensity values were scaled to the maximum intensity value within the total volume, giving a range of intensity values between 0 and 255.

2.2 Simulations

2.2.1 Monte Carlo

Monte Carlo simulations were performed using Montecarlo 20.12 (MedPhys Software and Services, Almelo, the Netherlands). A simplified model was used to mimic a breast with a cyst [see Fig. 1]. A layer of 70 mm (the size of a relatively large breast under minimal compression in PAM) having a refractive index (N) of 1.42 and an absorption coefficient (µa) of 0.03 mm⁻¹ and a reduced scattering coefficient (µs) of 0.5 mm⁻¹ was used in the model. The µs was chosen low compared to values.

Fig. 1 The Twente photoacoustic mammoscope. (a) Aperture to insert breast. (b) Ultrasound detector matrix. (c) Glass window. (d) Scanning system compartment. (e) Q-switched Nd-YAG laser operated at 1064 nm with 10-ns pulses. (f) Laser safety curtain that is drawn around the instrument during the measurements. (g) Interface electronics between detector and computer. (h) Linear stage carrying detector matrix driven by hand wheel to apply mild compression to the breast. (i) Laser remote control unit. (j) Laser power supply. Image adapted from Ref. with permission.
from the literature so that a lower number of photon injections was required to get a statistically sufficient number of photons at and beyond the depth of the cyst. The cyst was modeled as a sphere with a 5-mm radius at a starting depth of 13 mm below the breast tissue. For each sphere, \( N = 1.33 \) and \( \mu_0 = 0.00001 \text{ mm}^{-1} \), mimicking an aqueous medium without scattering, since the \( \mu_0 \) of cysts is reported and expected to be well below that of breast tissue. Absorption values are reported to range from below to above the absorption of the breast tissue, therefore, with values of [0.0015; 0.015; 0.030; 0.045; 0.060; 0.090] mm\(^{-1}\), the \( \mu_a \) of the cyst was varied step-wise from below to above the \( \mu_a \) of the medium. All optical properties used for the simulations and experiments (see further Sec. 2) are summarized in Table 1. To model the scattering, the Henyey-Greenstein phase function was used. Optical properties are further referred to as \( \mu_a \) and \( \mu_s' \) for the cyst mimicking structures and \( \mu_a \) and \( \mu_s' \) for those mimicking the breast.

The medium was illuminated using an elliptical Gaussian beam with dimensions (FWHM) of 80 and 60 mm, approaching the dimensions of the beam used in the clinical measurements. For each photon absorbed within the area of the detector (0 < x < 88 mm and 0 < y < 83 mm), the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) coordinates of the voxel where absorption took place were stored. Each simulation was ended when \( 100 \times 10^6 \) photons were absorbed. The spatial distribution of absorbed photons was stored in a matrix (voxel size 0.5 × 0.5 × 0.5 mm\(^3\)), further referred to as the absorbed energy per voxel matrix (AE).

An extra set of simulations was performed, where the cyst was modeled as a cylinder with radius of 5 mm in \( y \)-direction and infinite length in the \( x \)-direction. These simulations were

| Table 1 | Optical properties as used in simulations and experiments compared to the literature values. |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
|                          | Literature                          | Simulations               | Phantom                   |
| Breast and cyst optical properties at 1064 nm                  |                                      |                          |                          |
| \( \mu_a \) [mm\(^{-1}\)] | 0.01 to 0.03 [Ref. 32]              | 0.03                      | 0.06                      |
| \( \mu_s \) [mm\(^{-1}\)] | 1.1 to 1.3 [Ref. 32]                | 0.5                       | 0.5                       |
| \( g \)                   | 0.96 [Ref. 38]                      | 0.9                       | 0.5                       |
| \( N \)                   | 1.4 [Ref. 31]                       | 1.42                      | ~1.33 [Refs. 33 and 36]   |
| Cyst                      |                                      |                          |                          |
| \( \mu_a \) [mm\(^{-1}\)] | Varying [Refs. 23 and 26]           | 0.0015; 0.015; 0.030; 0.045; 0.060; 0.090 | 0.015; 0.30               |
| \( \mu_s \) [mm\(^{-1}\)] | \( \ll \) breast tissue [Ref. 26] | 0.0001                    | 0 [Ref. 37]               |
| \( g \)                   | No information available            | 0.9\(^a\)                 | N.A. [Ref. 37]            |
| \( N \)                   | 1.33 (fluid)                        | 1.33                      | ~1.33 (fluid)             |

\(^a\)This value does not have any influence on the results because of the very low scattering that is applied in the simulations.
required to understand any differences between the appearance of cylinders and spheres, since fluid-filled cylinders were used to mimic cysts in experiments due to ease of preparation and use.

### 2.2.2 K-wave

The acoustic propagation following the initial pressure distribution associated with AE was simulated using the k-wave toolbox (version B0.5) in MATLAB.

**Initial pressure distribution.** In PAI, the initial pressure distribution follows

\[
p_0 = E_a \Gamma,
\]

with \( p_0 \) the initial pressure distribution (Pa), \( \Gamma \) the (dimensionless) Grüneisen coefficient, and \( E_a \) the local absorbed energy density (J/m³), obtained from the Monte Carlo simulations as matrix AE and described by

\[
E_a = F \mu_a,
\]

where \( F \) is the optical fluence (J/m²) and \( \mu_a \) is the optical absorption coefficient (m⁻¹). \( \Gamma \) is given as

\[
\Gamma = \frac{\beta c^2}{C_p},
\]

where \( \beta \) is the isobaric volume expansion coefficient in K⁻¹, \( c \) is the speed of sound in the medium (m/s⁻¹), and \( C_p \) is the specific heat in J/(KgK). \( \Gamma \) was chosen to be 0.8 for the solid tissue,39 and 0.2 for the cyst fluid, mimicking a water-like medium at body temperature.40

**Acoustic medium.** The acoustic medium through which the pressure wave propagates is assumed to have a constant speed of sound of 1540 m/s and an acoustic attenuation (AA) of 0.5 dB cm⁻¹/MHz for breast tissue and 0.0022 dB cm⁻¹/MHz for cyst fluid. The properties of the acoustic medium are listed in Table 2. All acoustic properties were chosen for glandular breast tissue since most cysts arise in glandular tissue.

**Signal detection.** Signals are detected by elements mimicking the detector in PAM as described in Sec. 2.3. In the k-wave code, the elements are specified to have a center frequency of 1 MHz and a bandwidth of 130%. Signals were processed and reconstructed as described in Sec. 2.3, however, in this case, signals were filtered with a high-pass Butterworth filter only (cut-off frequency 0.3 MHz) to remove the low-frequency trend in the signal.

### 2.3 Phantom Measurements

#### 2.3.1 Phantom preparation

The cysts were modeled as two fluid-filled cylindrical cavities in a 3% agar (Sigma Aldrich, Missouri) phantom [Fig. 2(b)] with \( \mu_a \) and \( \mu_s \) as in Table 1. The cavities have radii of 5 and 8 mm, respectively, and are located at a starting depth of 15 mm.

An aqueous solution of Agar 3% by weight concentration was prepared. Black ink (Ecoline Black, Royal Talens, Apeldoorn, the Netherlands) and Intralipid 20% (Fresenius Kabi, Hamburg, Germany) were added to the cooled-down solution to adjust the absorption and scattering, respectively. In order to be able to measure a larger range of \( \mu_a \), with the lowest available \( \mu_a \), being that of water (0.015 mm⁻¹), \( \mu_a \) was chosen relatively high compared to the literature values (Table 1). For \( \mu_s \) the same value as in the simulations was used (0.5 mm⁻¹), slightly lower than the value characteristic for the breast (0.6 to 1.2 mm⁻¹, Table 1). The obtained anisotropy value was less realistic (\( g = 0.5 \)) compared to breast tissue.

#### 2.3.2 Phantom measurements

Measurements were performed using the Twente PAM as described in Sec. 2.3. Upon fixation of the phantom in the imager, the cylindrical cavities were filled with water and water-ink solutions. In the first measurement, water was used with a \( \mu_a \) of 0.015 mm⁻¹, which is below \( \mu_a \). In the second measurement with black ink, a \( \mu_a \) of 0.3 mm⁻¹ was used, far above \( \mu_a \). Signals were averaged 150 times and reconstruction was applied as described in Sec. 2.3.

### 2.4 Patient Measurements

The measurement protocol and informed consent procedure were approved by the institutional review board [Medical Ethics Committee (METC), Medisch Spectrum Twente (MST), Enschede]. The study is registered in the Netherlands trial register as TC 2945.

In the period from April to December 2012, patients at the center of breast care of the MST with one or more nonsuspicious breast cysts were included in the study. Details of the measurement and imaging protocol are described in Ref. 7. Photoacoustic image reconstruction was applied as described in Sec. 2.3.

#### Table 2: Acoustic properties of the medium modeled in k-wave.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Background</th>
<th>Cyst</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grüneisen coefficient</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>35 and 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speed of sound</td>
<td>1540 m/s</td>
<td>1520 m/s</td>
<td>35 and 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>1000 kg/m³</td>
<td>1000 kg/m³</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acoustic attenuation</td>
<td>0.5 dB cm⁻¹/MHz</td>
<td>0.0022 dB cm⁻¹/MHz</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These are values for pure water at body temperature (37°C).

We assume the breast density close to that of water. Breast density can vary with tissue composition, but the density is usually within 5% deviation from the water value 41.
In addition, cyst fluid was obtained, with permission, from patients whose nonsuspicious cysts were aspirated because of physical or mental discomfort. Each fluid batch was visually inspected for color and turbidity.

3 Results

3.1 Simulations

3.1.1 Depth profile of absorbed energy

Figure 3 shows the depth profiles of AE from the Monte Carlo simulations for three situations: Fig. 3(a) for \( \mu_a = 0.0015 \text{ mm}^{-1} (\mu_a \ll \mu_b) \); Fig. 3(b) for \( \mu_a = 0.03 \text{ mm}^{-1} \); and Fig. 3(c) for \( \mu_a = 0.090 \text{ mm}^{-1} (\mu_a \gg \mu_b) \). Gray dashed lines represent the AE profiles, while the bold lines show AE multiplied with the local Grüneisen coefficient (\( \Gamma = 0.8 \) for the breast and \( \Gamma = 0.2 \) for the cyst). The latter correction with \( \Gamma \) would better resemble the shape of the initial pressure distribution profile.

As expected, there is an initial exponential decay of the absorbed energy over depth. The decay rate is approximately equal to the calculated \( \mu_{\text{eff}} \) (fit \( \mu_{\text{eff}} = 0.20 \text{ mm}^{-1} \), calculation \( \mu_{\text{eff}} = 0.22 \text{ mm}^{-1} \)). Until the depth of the cyst (13 mm), the profile is the same for all three situations, except for statistical variations caused by the limited number of photons. In the case where \( \mu_a \ll \mu_b \) [Fig. 3(a)], there is a sudden drop in the absorbed energy at the starting point of the cyst (\( d = 13 \text{ mm} \)). At the end of the cyst (\( d = 23 \text{ mm} \), there is an increase in the absorbed energy, after which the absorbed energy starts to decay exponentially again. There is hardly any difference between the profiles with and without correction for \( \Gamma \).

If \( \mu_a \gg \mu_b \) [Fig. 3(b)], the starting point and ending point of the cyst are hardly visible when only the absorbed energy is taken into account, but can still be seen as a sudden drop and increase, respectively, when \( \Gamma \) is considered as well.

For the case where \( \mu_a \gg \mu_b \) [Fig. 3(c)], the situation is reversed. Here, at \( d = 13 \text{ mm} \), an increase in the absorbed energy can be seen, while there is a slight drop in the absorbed energy at the end of the cyst. After multiplication with \( \Gamma \), a drop in the pressure distribution can be seen at 13 mm, while the back of the cyst becomes invisible.

3.1.2 Results following reconstruction of k-wave signals

Figure 4 shows the reconstructed images from the simulated photoacoustic measurements for the situation \( \mu_a \ll \mu_b \) [Figs. 4(a) to 4(c)] and \( \mu_a \gg \mu_b \) [Figs. 4(d) to 4(f)]. The three-dimensional (3-D) volume is shown in sagittal direction (indicated by the black dashed area in the 3-D volume), and the lower panel [(e), (f)] shows a slice through the center of the sphere (indicated by the white dashed line in the slices). For the latter situation, both a raw signal as well as the Hilbert demodulated signal are shown. The gray dashed lines indicate theprofile in case only AE is taken into account, i.e., in case the variations in \( \Gamma \), SOS, and AA are ignored.

It can be seen that in the first two situations (\( \mu_a \ll \mu_b \) and \( \mu_a \gg \mu_b \), two regions with high intensity are visible [Figs. 4(a) to 4(c)] and Figs. 4(d) to 4(f)]. Since the locations of these regions match the starting and ending depth of the cyst, we attribute these regions as representing the front (illumination side) and back (detection side) of the cyst. When \( \mu_a \ll \mu_b \), the front and back of the cyst are only clearly visible if the local variations in \( \Gamma \) and acoustic properties are taken into account, as seen in Fig. 4(c) (black lines). Thus, the absorbed energy alone is not enough to clearly visualize the cyst as expected. However, when \( \mu_a \gg \mu_b \) [Figs. 4(d) to 4(f)], only one high-intensity region can be observed, which originates from the front of the cyst. The back of the cyst becomes invisible after corrections for variations in \( \Gamma \) and acoustic properties. Based on the absorbed energy profile alone [Fig. 4(c), dashed line], one would expect the back to be visible as well, as long as enough photons are able to reach the back of the cyst.

It can be observed from the profiles (without corrections for \( \Gamma \), SOS, and AA) that the photoacoustic signal changes in shape for different transitions. The signal from the front of the cyst is reversed for the high-absorbing cyst [Fig. 4(f)], as compared to the low-absorbing cyst [Fig. 4(e)]. Moreover, the shape of the front and back signals differ for all three situations. However, these differences become less pronounced when \( \Gamma \), SOS, and AA are taken into account.
3.1.3 Spheres versus cylinders

We also simulated photoacoustic volumes using cylinders and spheres for the cysts. While the expected differences in the shapes of the signal coming from the sphere and the cylinder are observed, these differences are minor in the demodulated signals. This justifies the use of the easy to prepare and use liquid-filled cylinders to simulate cysts in phantom experiments as a substitute for spheres.

3.2 Phantom Measurements

Figure 5 shows slices and profiles through the reconstructed photoacoustic volumes from the phantom measurements for both the low [water, $\mu_a^c \ll \mu_a^b$, Figs. 5(b) and 5(c)] and high [ink, $\mu_a^c \gg \mu_a^b$, Figs. 5(d) and 5(e)] absorbing inclusion. For the low absorbing inclusion, two signals are visible at depths that match the front and the back of the inclusion as was the case for the simulations. These two signals clearly differ in shape as can also be seen, although less pronounced, in the simulations where variations in $\Gamma$ and acoustic properties were not taken into account [Figs. 4(c), 4(f), and 4(i), dashed lines]. For the high absorbing inclusion, only the front of the cyst is visible. The shape of the photoacoustic signal from the front of the tube is reversed for the high absorbing fluid [Fig. 5(e)] compared to the low absorbing fluid [Fig. 5(c)].

3.3 Patients

Four cases of breasts with fluid-filled cysts were imaged using PAM. In patients, $\mu_a^b$ and $\mu_a^c$ are not known. Therefore, the specific shape of the signals cannot be predicted. Moreover, the cysts are embedded in an optically and acoustically inhomogeneous medium, confounding the differentiation of signals from cysts from signals from other absorbing structures in the breast. In three of the four cases, the cysts were observed as one or more high-intensity areas at the expected location in the PAI volume. Here, we chose to present a case that is largely representative of the other cases, but with a slightly more atypical photoacoustic presentation.

This 49-year-old patient discovered a palpable mass in her right breast. Upon clinical investigation, a smooth and mobile mass could be palpated at 9 to 10 o’clock in the right breast. X-ray mammography [Fig. 6(a)] showed a sharply delineated, circular mass in the lateral upper quadrant of the right breast. The lesion was assigned as being probably benign, BI-RADS 3. Ultrasound investigation [Fig. 6(b)] showed clear signs of a benign cyst at the indicated area. A 22-mm (transversal direction) hypoechoic area, with posterior enhancement and acoustic shadow caused by the refraction of the ultrasound at the edges of the cyst, was observed <10 mm below the skin surface. The 15-mm-thick (axial direction) cyst was surrounded partly by fatty (upper side) and partly by glandular (lower side) tissue.
The ultrasound image was judged to be most probably benign (BI-RADS 2).

Photoacoustic mammography shows two high-intensity areas, lying almost on top of each other as is shown in Figs. 6(c) and 6(d). The depth at which the lesion can be seen matches the expected cyst depth. The (demodulated and modulated) profiles through the lesion [Fig. 6(e)] show comparable characteristics as the profiles from the simulations and phantom measurements: two photoacoustic signals are visible at depths matching the front and the back of the cysts, and these two signals are reversed in sign and different in shape. The difference with simulations and phantom results, and with other cases, is that the signal from the back of the cyst appears stronger than the leading signal.

 Comparable profiles could be seen in at least part of the cyst representing regions in two other patients. In one out of the four patients, large and multiple benign cysts were present in the breast, which could not be visualized using PAM.

Five batches of cyst fluid were obtained from patients whose nonsuspicious cysts were aspirated. Upon visual inspection, both the color as well as the scattering was highly varying between the batches of cyst fluid from the different patients, as can be seen in Fig. 7. Differentiation of absorption and scattering was not possible since the non-negligible scattering made the interpretation of transmission spectra difficult.

4 Discussion

In the search for improved imaging modalities for detection and diagnosis of breast cancer, the ability to differentiate between benign cysts and malignant lesions is of great importance. Photoacoustic imaging is a relatively new imaging modality that has potential for visualizing breast malignancies, but little is known about the photoacoustic appearance of breast cysts. In this study, we investigated the visibility of benign breast cysts in forward mode photoacoustic mammography using 1064 nm light, as currently applied in clinical studies. The results from
(Monte Carlo and k-wave) simulations and phantom measurements were used to interpret and understand the results from patient measurements. In this section, the results and their implications for PAM and photoacoustic mammography in general are discussed.

4.1 Visibility of Cysts Using PAM

4.1.1 Simulations and phantom measurements

The results from the simulations and phantom measurements showed that cysts can indeed be visible using PAM as either one or two high-contrast areas in the reconstructed photoacoustic volumes (Fig. 4). Based on the depths at which the high-intensity areas were observed, we attributed them to representing either the front (illumination side) or the front and the backside (detection side) of the cyst. From the absorbed energy profiles (Fig. 3), we could see that these are also the locations where there is a sudden change (either a drop or an increase) in the absorbed energy. Therefore, the front and back representations of the cysts are believed to be a true representation of the initial pressure distribution and not an artifact of limited detection bandwidth. This is further supported by an extra set of k-wave simulations in which we modeled an ideal ultrasound detector, i.e., a detector for which we do not specify a center frequency and bandwidth. With the exception of signal shape variations, the simulations also show the front and back of the cyst as seen in Fig. 8.

In the absorbed energy profiles (Fig. 3), it can further be seen that the variation in Grüneisen coefficient ($\Gamma$) between cysts and solid breast tissue plays an important role in the visibility of especially the backside of the cyst. This variation causes a gradient in the initial pressure distribution upon pulsed laser illumination and thereby an observable photoacoustic signal, which makes even a cyst with equal absorption as its background visible in PAM [Figs. 4(d) to 4(f)]. Moreover, for highly absorbing cysts, the front side is less pronounced because of the decrease in $\Gamma$ when going from the relatively solid breast tissue to the fluid-filled sac. In this case, the backside is hardly visible, even if enough photons are able to reach the backside of the cyst [Fig. 3(c)]. In such a situation, it would be difficult to differentiate the cyst from a malignancy, using the current forward mode imaging configuration.

Including variations in $\Gamma$ and acoustic properties in the simulations also makes the shape difference between signals from low and high absorbing cyst mimicking structures, as well as from the front and the back of the cyst, less pronounced. However, these shape differences are present in the results from phantom measurements. This might indicate that the $\Gamma$ of Agar is closer to water than is the $\Gamma$ of biological tissue as used in the simulations, lowering its influence on the final results. Moreover, the absorption contrast used in the phantom...
measurements was much higher than that for the simulations, and this possibly overrules the effect of $\Gamma$.

4.1.2 Clinical measurements

In the clinical measurements, three out of the four benign cysts were observed with at least partly the same features obtained during the simulations and phantom measurements. These features include the visibility of one or two signals representing the front or the front-and-backside of the cyst and the change in signal shape when comparing the signals from the front and the back of the cyst. However, we chose to present a case that is slightly atypical, while sharing the most important features with other cases (Fig. 8). The first unusual aspect is that the back signal of the cyst appears to have a higher amplitude than the front, which was not observed in phantom measurements and simulations. The explanation for this feature can be found in the ultrasound image (Fig. 6(b)), where the cyst is surrounded partly by the subcutaneous fat and partly by the glandular tissue. Fat has a lower $\mu_0$ than glandular tissue at 1064 nm making the absorption contrast at the front of the cyst lower than that at the back of the cyst. The second aspect is the small distance between the front and the back signal of the cyst in the PA image. Based on the ultrasound image, this distance is expected to be at least 15 mm, while in the PA image, the distance is less than half of this. This phenomenon was observed for the other two photoacoustically visible cysts as well. This might be the consequence of the shifting and compression of the cyst in the PAM situation, which was not modeled in simulations and was not observed in the phantom measurements because of the stiffness of Agar.

4.2 Phantom and Simulation Models

The correspondence in shape and position of the photoacoustic signals following reconstruction of the measurements and simulations showed that our models, although simplified, were sufficient to investigate the in vivo situation. From reported optical imaging studies, it is known that cysts are usually homogeneously absorbing, with an absorption coefficient ($\mu_{a,b}$) varying from below to above the absorption coefficient of breast tissue ($\mu_{a,b}$) and a scattering coefficient ($\mu_{s,b}$) almost always below that of breast tissue ($\mu_{s,b}$). Therefore, the optical properties of both the simulation and phantom models were chosen appropriately. However, aspiration of cyst fluid from human subjects revealed that cyst fluid can in fact be rather turbid (Fig. 7), which was not accounted for in the simulations. Extra simulations (not shown here), with $\mu_{s,b}$ ranging from below to equal to $\mu_{s,b}$, reveal that the scattering coefficient in this range can slightly decrease the visibility of the back of the cyst, but that the influence is minor with respect to the influence of the absorption coefficient, which is believed to be much more varying between patients.

In the simulations, cysts are modeled as spherical structures, while in phantom measurements, the easier-to-produce fluid-filled cylinders are used to model the cyst. An extra set of simulations showed that this simplification is justifiable since the difference between the sphere and cylinder signals does not change the conclusions.

Last, the breast was modeled as a homogenous medium, with optical and acoustic values approaching those of glandular breast tissue. The fact that cysts most commonly arise by duct obstruction in the glandular tissue justifies this choice. A more complicated, multilayered model is not believed to improve the understandability and reliability of the results.

4.3 Implications for PAM and Photoacoustic Cyst Imaging in Diagnosis

Using the current forward-mode single-wavelength PAM, differentiation of cysts from one or two malignancies might be problematic in some cases. The appearance of a leading and second high-contrast area along the normal from illumination to detection is not necessarily an exclusive feature for a cyst, and a multifocal carcinoma could also present such an appearance. Further, in the case of highly absorbing cysts, the back of the cyst might be invisible, additionally confounding the differentiation of cysts from malignancies if the two-contrast area feature is assumed to be the signature appearance of cysts. With the forward-mode single-wavelength system, malignancies often appear as single high-contrast areas in the PAI volume. Measuring with multiple wavelengths will be of utmost importance to differentiate hemoglobin absorption associated with malignancies from the absorption by chromophores more characteristic for cyst fluid. For the optimal choice of wavelengths, the optical absorption spectra of a large set of aspirated cyst fluids should be measured.

The reported results further recommend the use of a closed detection aperture with reconstructions from multiple projections as in a tomographic imaging geometry with a more homogeneous fluence distribution. While the inhomogeneous absorbing malignancies will be most likely visible as heterogeneous masses with absorption throughout the entire lesion, we predict that cysts will be visible as structures with a thin shell because of the strong change in fluence at the edges of
the cyst. Simulations using the computed tomography approach should throw more light on the implications of tomographic imaging for differentiating cysts from malignancies.

Finally, a better differentiation between cysts and malignancies is expected by combining PAI with imaging of ultrasound properties of the medium, like acoustic attenuation imaging.

5 Conclusions

Cysts can be visible in forward-mode PAM as one or more pronounced, confined high-contrast areas representing the front (illumination side) or the front and the back (detection side) of the cyst. In the current forward-mode imaging configuration and with one wavelength, cysts may be mistaken for malignancies, which can also present as one or multiple confined contrast areas. Performing PAI with multiple projections in a computed tomographic geometry will most likely permit discrimination. However, quantitative multipixel PAI is expected to provide the most robust differentiation of cysts from malignancies.
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